



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



This is the final issue of

# VOLUME 50

Celebrating 50 years of continuous publication of

# “ON TARGET”

A Special thankyou to all of our supporters.

‘Fifty years’ is a long time for any organisation, especially when it is made up of many volunteers.

## Brief History of the Australian League of Rights

The Australian League of Rights grew out of the Social Credit movement that followed WWI — ‘the war to end all wars’.

The first League of Rights was formed in South Australia in 1946. It developed from the Vote NO campaign conducted against Dr. Evatt’s continuing bid to change the Constitution in order to centralise more power in Canberra. Evatt tried to do so, and failed at the wartime 1944 referendum.

The League is a Christian-based service movement, that unreservedly accepts the Christian Law of Love. It does not seek political power, but is a type of political watchdog, equipped to warn the individual about threats to rights and freedoms, irrespective of the label of the government of the day.

The Australian League of Rights was established in 1960 when the separate Leagues in the States agreed to form one national movement. The establishment of The League of Rights in Canada, the United Kingdom and New Zealand resulted in an association called the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights in 1975.

For eight years the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights was an international chapter of the World anti-Communist League, participating in a number of international conferences

in different parts of the world prior to the collapse of the Soviet Union.

The League is not motivated exclusively by threats to individual freedom, It constantly upholds the vision of a world of expanding freedom and security for all, in which every individual can participate freely in association with his fellow man to help build the finest civilisation yet created.

### THE LEAGUE'S TRACK RECORD

“By their fruits ye shall know them.” The track record of The League of Rights is clear for all to see. When the League was formed at the end of World War II, there was widespread optimism about the future. The League stressed that the real winner of the war was international communism, that the future of the once-great British Empire was at risk, and that the drive towards the World State through the United Nations would prove a trap for the free world.

For over 70 years International Communism exerted influence on every continent, and approximately one-third of the world’s population lived under Communist governments. Although the League warned that Communism required capitalist financial support, and could not feed its captive peoples, the West was caught by surprise when the Berlin Wall crumbled. Now the

United Nations is emerging as the foundation structure for a proposed World State, complete with global "peace-keeping" forces.

### **SUCH WARNINGS PROVED TO BE PROPHETIC**

The League has constantly warned of the erosion of the Constitutional Monarchy as a barrier to centralised power. In the 1980's, the League again warned of the use of UN Treaties to undermine the Constitution and strip away State powers. It also directed attention to the long-term Fabian socialist programme of amalgamating local government into regions before abolishing the States and the Senate. This programme is now well advanced.

### **THE LEAGUE'S PREDICTIONS WERE CORRECT**

From its inception the League warned that high progressive taxation, and consequent social controls were inevitable under financial policies which generated increasing debt. It predicted that irrespective of the label of government, no constructive solution to high taxes and inflation was available under debt finance. The social consequences of the debt system include the depopulation of rural Australia, as farmers and small businesses are eliminated.

In 1975 the League warned that the establishment of a New International Economic Order would have a dramatic impact on Australia's industries. Following the deregulation of the banking industry, the drive toward the "global market" has meant the "restructuring" out of existence of many Australian industries, companies and employment opportunities.

### **RECENT EVENTS HAVE PROVED THE LEAGUE TO BE RIGHT**

After the dismissal of Whitlam in 1975, the League predicted that the Fraser Government had no answer to Labor's Fabian socialist revolution, because ALP policies were not being reversed. Apart from the League, few other groups dared to warn that an open-door immigration policy would fragment a homogeneous population, jeopardising a common culture and heritage. Unfortunately, where adopted, multiculturalism has usually resulted in increased racial and cultural friction.

### **AGAIN, THE LEAGUE'S WARNINGS WERE JUSTIFIED**

The League has provided accurate forward intelligence because of its vast bank of information, extensive network of international contacts and an understanding of the application of policy. You are invited to make use of its services.

### **THE LEAGUE'S FREEDOM CAMPAIGN**

No political movement can exist in a moral vacuum, and Australians have traditionally accepted that it is the Christian Faith that generated our heritage of representative government. While the League maintains a small full-time staff primarily motivated by Christian service, it is the extensive network of volunteers from all walks of life who form the backbone of the Movement.

The League of Rights seeks to help create a body of dedicated men and women who serve not for their own material gain, but as custodians of those truths and values which must form the basis of all successful efforts to defeat the enemies of human dignity and freedom.

**The League encourages and equips individuals to independently exercise their own initiative in the service of freedom.**

**Source — <http://alor.org/Library/Briefhistory.htm#1a>**

---

## **From the first issue of 'On Target' 5th February 1965**

### **Design**

Lord Casey is reported as having said in Sydney before leaving for Sir Winston Churchill's funeral, that intelligent comment was no longer possible on developments in South Vietnam. He is quite wrong. We are reminded of Lord Acton's famous observation concerning the French Revolution: that behind all the smoke and the confusion there was clear design. In an interview with League of Rights Director, Mr. Eric Butler, only a few weeks ago, Sir Raphael Cilento, Australian expert on international affairs, said that late last year when in Hong Kong he was able to meet Chinese Communists who frankly stated that their central strategy in South Vietnam was to prevent the creation of stable Government, Communist strategy is most intelligent. A spokesman for the Portuguese Embassy in Canberra said on February 1 that Indonesia had terminated its main diplomatic link with Portugal by closing its Embassy in Lisbon. However, diplomatic contact is still being maintained through its consul in Portuguese Timor. Recent reports have claimed an increase in Indonesian military build up in the Indonesian portion of Timor. Timor is only 400 miles north-west of Darwin. As predicted by those who understand that trade is regarded by the Communists as an important part of their total

revolutionary war, the current wheat price war between Canada and the U.S.A. is playing right into the hands of the Chinese Communists. Australia must be seriously affected. The Chinese are in the position now to start applying pressure to her wheat suppliers to achieve political objectives. It is not generally known that China diversifies her food supplies of buying wheat so that rice and soya beans can then be sold to other countries. Rice sells at nearly twice the price of wheat, thus enabling a profitable deal in obtaining foreign exchange. Foreign exchange is necessary for financing the New China News Agency operations in Australia. Mr. Ted Hill's faction of the Communist conspiracy in Australia, which favours Peking's tactics as opposed to Moscow's tactics, is making steady progress. The New Zealand Communists, led by V.G. Wilcox, also favour Peking tactics. Peking Radio of December 19 reported that Mr. Ted Hill had told an Indonesian Social Science Academy on December 2 that "Australian workers placed a very high value on the struggle of the Indonesian people and the Indonesian Communist Party under leadership of the great Marxist -Leninist Comrade Aidit, against imperialism, feudalism and modern revisionism.

---

**See here: <http://alor.org/Volume1/Vol1No1.htm#1a>**

The foregoing was typical of the warnings issued regarding communist tactics and expansion into the SE Asian part of the world. Typically these warnings were labelled as 'red ragging' or derided as 'reds under the bed' syndrome. Fifty years later we can now judge by events; crystalized history, if you like.

The dreadful Truth is now played out in history and showing no sign of any change in direction or policies.

Prime Minister Abbott has now signed 'free trade deals' with Japan, China and many other countries on the 'Pacific Rim'. *ND*

*( 'On Target' archives continued on page 11)*

**IN THIS ISSUE**

Peter Spencer Needs Your Help ..... 4  
Liberal MP Says Party's Property Rights Policy 'Theft' ..... 4  
Victorian Elections ..... 4  
Humans Need Not Apply – Social Credit Response By M. Oliver Heydorn ..... 5  
We Should 'Cash-Bomb' The People – Not The Banks..... 5  
Tony Abbott's Delusions About The 'Coming Of China's Democracy' ..... 6  
Selling Australia Out To China By James Reed..... 7  
Delusions About China By Richard Miller..... 7  
Made In Australia Campaign From Kenneth Grundy ..... 8  
Will China Eclipse the United States By Peter West ..... 8  
50 Years Of *On Target*: Letters To The Editor ..... 9  
Dear Editor, On Target..... 9  
Letter To The Editor ..... 10  
Doctor Geoffrey Dobbs On "Special Creation" By Peter West ..... 10  
The Super-Ultimate Problem Of Evil By Peter West..... 10  
From the '*On Target*' Archives..... 11

**JOY TO THE WORLD THE CHRIST HAS COME!**

**A Blessed Christmass to Our Readers**

Silent night! Holy night!  
All is calm, all is bright,  
Round yon Virgin Mother and Child!  
Holy Infant, so tender and mild,  
Sleep in heavenly peace!  
Sleep in heavenly peace!

Silent night! Holy night!  
Shepherds quake at the sight!  
Glories stream from Heaven afar,  
Heavenly Hosts sing Alleluia!  
Christ, the Saviour, is born!  
Christ, the Saviour, is born!



**Sainsbury's Christmas:** Inspired by real events from 100 years ago, the advert was made in partnership with The Royal British Legion. It commemorates the extraordinary events of Christmas Day, 1914, when the guns fell silent and two armies met in no-man's land, sharing gifts – and even playing football together.

Sainsbury's OFFICIAL Christmas 2014 Ad

**FINAL MESSAGE FOR 2014 FROM THE NATIONAL DIRECTOR**

Thank you to our many loyal and generous supporters — without your support, spreading the essential Social Credit message would be almost impossible. To be chosen to be in the forefront of this spiritual battle is a

privilege and honour. Next year will see new challenges as one 'promise' the Prime Minister will most likely keep is a referendum to 'recognise indigenous people' in the Australian Constitution. This is an unnecessary racially,

motivated initiative, and an attack on our stable system of government. We must 'frustrate their knavish tricks' to the best of our ability. The Heritage Book Shop in Melbourne

*(Continued on page 7)*

# PETER SPENCER NEEDS YOUR HELP

How you can help

## 1. Help pay the costs

There are three ways to donate. 1: Paypal, 2: Direct Deposit, or 3: Cheque.

1.(Paypal accepts credit cards from people without paypal accounts).

2. Direct deposit to: Bank Account Details: National Bank of Australia. Peter Spencer "Tower of Hope" BSB: No. 082335 Account No: 484643925

3. Send a cheque to: PO Box 4297, Pitt Town, NSW, 2756 Australia.

**2. Urge the Australian Farmers Fighting Fund to fund legal counsel to represent Spencer.** See the sample letter on Peter Spencer's site or UPDATE: Enough copies of the sample letter have been sent. Please write your own short

polite note, adding your name, to urge the AFFF to get back on the Spencer case. So many Farmers stand to benefit if this case is properly tested in the High Court.

Secretary: Sarah McKinnon  
smckinnon@nff.org.au

02 6269 5666

## 3. Tell the world — your friends, news editors, twitter and facebook

The more people that know — the better. That includes people, editors, and groups overseas. Australia does not have a big tradition of fighting for individual rights.

We need all the help we can get and foreign pressure and advice will make a difference.

---

## LIBERAL MP SAYS PARTY'S PROPERTY RIGHTS POLICY 'THEFT'

By Jessica Strutt and Jacob Kagi, *ABC News*, 28 Nov 2014

A WA Liberal MP has criticised his Government's proposed legal changes to property rights, claiming they will only further expand the "theft" of private land. Former minister Murray Cowper has long been calling on his Government to better protect the property rights of private landowners. He said many pieces of legislation and work by Government agencies to deliver essential services such as water, power and roads, were increasingly resulting in property being taken from landowners or diminished in value.

Yesterday, on the last sitting day of State Parliament for the year, Premier Colin Barnett introduced new legislation which he said would improve and clarify property

rights and ensure landowners are properly compensated when public works are carried out on their property by the Government. But Mr Cowper believes the proposed changes would actually have the opposite effect and said he will cross the floor on the Bill.

"What the Premier's attempting to do here is resolve the issue of property rights," he said. "What he's done is actually the opposite, he's now legitimising and indoctrinating the rights of Government departments to steal land. The issue here with the Premier is that he doesn't understand the legislation himself, he doesn't understand the concerns of people in regional WA." Mr Cowper said there had been no consultation with affected

landowners.

### Hundreds of laws impede property rights: Murray Cowper

He also raised concerns that the proposed changes would only amend a few pieces of legislation, when there were actually hundreds of laws which impeded the property rights of landowners. "It does nothing about planning (laws), nothing about the environment (laws) and....it does not achieve what was promised," he said. "They're saying this is the first step - well it's taken 10 years to get to the first step, how long is it going to take for this to be resolved? It could be 10 or 20 years time."

Read further here... <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2014-11-28/liberal-mp-says-party-property-rights-policy-27theft27/5927060>

---

## VICTORIAN ELECTIONS

Labor wins government: As we now all know Labor has 'won the election' by winning the majority of seats in the Legislative Assembly.

As John Black, a demographer and analyst, and former Labor Senator, wrote in *The Australian*, "Labor didn't win this election. Hardcore Liberal voters chucked out their own. Just as hardcore Labor voters chucked out state Labor governments during the chaotic Rudd/Gillard era."

In the Legislative Council, the balance of power will probably be held by a number of 'micro parties', along with the Greens. These could include the Shooters and Fishers, the Australian Country Alliance,

the DLP and even the Sex Party. As more votes are counted, these will change.

ABC 'LATELINE' 11 November 2014

A survey of electors by Professor Mark Evans for the Institute of Governance revealed the following opinions.

Parties need to give members of Parliament free votes rather than having to 'toe the party line'.

People want the right to recall their Members of Parliament if they lied or were corrupt.

Cut political advertising and donations to political parties.

Asked if their local member was a good representative – 80-90% Australians

surveyed believed they had little or no influence over national decisions.

Widespread disenchantment with the nature of current political process characterises untrustworthy contemporary politicians.

### The top 3 Responses "What they don't like about democracy in Australia

1. **Media has too much power**
2. **Politicians get away with broken promises**
3. **Big Business has too much power**

# HUMANS NEED NOT APPLY – SOCIAL CREDIT RESPONSE

by M. Oliver Heydorn

The video, entitled "Humans Need not Apply", has received over 2 million hits on youtube.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7Pq-S557XQU>

It explains how the development of intelligent machines (computers, robots, etc.) now threatens many of the occupations that traditionally relied on brain-power (while continuing to threaten those jobs that rely primarily on muscle power). The result is that more and more people are ending up and will continue to end up being permanently unemployed. The solution to this growing problem is the solution offered by Social Credit.

People must be granted access to goods and services regardless of whether their work is required by the formal economy or

not. Furthermore, that access must not further indebt society, nor be dependent on redistributive taxation. It must be financed through the creation of a suitable volume of debt-free money to equate the rate of flow of consumer prices with the rate of flow of consumer incomes. The same phenomenon which, on the physical plane, is rendering people permanently unemployed (i.e., the replacement of human labour by machines) is the same phenomenon which, on the financial plane, is chiefly responsible for the gap between the rate at which the prices of

goods and services are generated and the rate at which income is distributed by any modern productive process (machines or real capital involve, under current financial and accountancy conventions, the levying of financial charges for which no or an insufficient volume of income is simultaneously being liberated). Social Credit kills two birds with one stone by effectively addressing both sides of the central social problem of our age: the financial system that we've got is not designed to deal appropriately with the realities of industrial progress.

## WE SHOULD 'CASH-BOMB' THE PEOPLE – NOT THE BANKS

**Simon Jenkins, *The Guardian* Thursday 27 November 2014: Abandon helicopters. Use bombers. Bomb Germany, France, Italy, Greece, the entire eurozone. Bomb them with banknotes, cash, anything to boost demand. The money must go straight to households, not to banks. Banks have had their day and miserably failed to spend. From now on they get nothing.**



Five years after the financial crash it is nearly unbelievable that the eurozone's lords and masters now confront renewed recession. They seem inert before deflation, subflation, lowflation or whatever lets them avoid the word "scandal". An ever more dominant Germany is unmoved. Its finance minister, Wolfgang Schäuble, is set on "black zero" or a balanced German budget. The European Central Bank (ECB) murmurs about "quantitative easing" but is up against Germany's furious protests. Ten per cent of the eurozone's workforce is unemployed and one in five of its young. Greece has lost a quarter of its national product. The waste of resources is staggering.

At the heart of this tragedy stands the absurd figure of the new EU president, Jean-Claude Juncker, impresario of Luxembourg's outrageous tax-evasion oasis. Yesterday he proposed a €21bn European fund which, he claimed implausibly, would entice private backers to invest "up to" 15 times the sum. Who

will invest when there is no demand?

The pope rightly called the EU "elderly and haggard", mistrusted, insensitive and bureaucratic. I doubt if Juncker turned a hair.

The eurozone is heading towards what even the pro-EU Economist calls "Europe's lost decade". Ever since the credit crunch the continent has been suffering what Keynes called a classic liquidity trap. There is too little money around and thus a chronic shortage of demand. People have too little to spend, which means shops close, supplies dry up and no one invests.

Britain and the US supposedly met this challenge by "printing money", by quantitative easing (QE). This was a confidence trick. It claimed to release money "into the economy" to stimulate borrowing and thus spending. It merely channelled billions into bank vaults and boosted reserves. What did spill into the economy went to stock market inflation and obscene bonuses. In Britain it also leaked into the mad world of sub-prime housing subsidies. Otherwise, demand has remained dangerously sluggish. What saved Britain was George Osborne not practising what he preached. He borrowed and spent like a crazed Labour chancellor. Borrowing has risen, not fallen, and this year is 10% up on last year. Public spending is still higher than in 2010, welfare payments are up, mega-projects are booming; only hated local

government is down. To Osborne, austerity is for the small guy – and a gullible media.

The eurozone has no such luck. Germany continues to defy the two great minds of 20th-century economics, Keynes and Friedman. They clashed on much but agreed on the need to "loosen" money supply to avert recession. Keynes buried it in the ground and had the poor dig it up. Friedman more generously dropped it from helicopters.

Such methods have long been ridiculed as vulgar by conventional economists and politicians. To them economics is a branch of ethics. Monetary policy should punish the poor for its extravagance in booms, not "reward" the undeserving. Any money going should be channelled through the welfare state or the great and good banks.

Yet versions of helicopter money (HM) are now emerging into public debate. The essence of HM is not to boost government spending – and thus challenge "budgetary austerity" – but to print money off-budget to avert deflation. It is like giving blood to a shattered body: without it, all other remedies are a waste of time. Such "neo-monetarism" is aired by the *Financial Times's* Martin Wolf in his new and exhaustive study of the credit crunch, *Shifts and Shocks*. He suggests "permanent helicopter money", with government deficits simply covered

*(Continued on page 6)*

by printing presses unless and until inflation returns. It has been discussed sympathetically by Tim Congdon, by the Americans Mark Blyth and Eric Loneragan in the magazine Foreign Affairs, and by the former City regulator, Lord Turner.

**All challenge the conventional wisdom of bank-led deflation.**

John Muellbauer, professor of economics at Oxford, champions “QE for the people”. He points out that, as existing policies to revive Europe’s growth have faltered, “proposals for distributing money directly to citizens have been quietly gaining traction among critics of orthodox central banks.”

The commentator Anatole Kaletsky points out that if the £375bn of QE had gone to private bank accounts rather than to buying bonds from banks, it would have meant £24,000 per British family. This would have transformed the demand economy.

To have government simply depositing cash in cashpoints or handing out spending vouchers at post offices might seem eccentric. But this has been done in Japan, China, Vietnam and Taiwan, where cash and vouchers have yielded swift spending surges. HM has been adopted by aid organisations including Give Directly in Africa, sometimes tied to school attendance. Brazil and Mexico have likewise “dropped” cash on poor communities. All these schemes were declared resounding successes. The west’s brief flirtation with HM through car scrappage schemes is credited with having saved the US car industry.

**Why are policies considered suitable for developing societies not relevant to Europe?**

The answer, I believe, is not intellectual or political but rather a matter of class. Just to print money and hand it out

leaves people vulnerable to “moral hazard”. People should not get cash they have not deserved. If demand is to be stimulated with cash, it should be through someone responsible, like a banker. If the banker in effect “steals” the money, too bad.

I imagine that even if Friedman and Keynes banged together on the door of the EU or the ECB, those inside would send them packing. These institutions are in a line of descent from those who sealed Europe’s fate with the 1919 Treaty of Versailles, the Great Depression and the 2008 credit crunch. They seem happy to visit on Europe a “lost decade”. Meanwhile, the bombers are on standby. The printing presses can roll and Europe can be saved. But who will throw the switch?

**Wallace Klinck noted:**

It seems that the problem of a lack of buying power is beginning to dawn on various observers. Blaming the banks for not spending is not appropriate, though. Banks release demand into the community by lending and all such monies go through the price-system to create costs which must appear in prices. This applies to consumer as well as production loans because consumer loans must be repaid from money extracted from future production, and so costs are merely carried forward as debt and are not liquidated at all.

The normal operation of the price-system generates costs and prices in a flow that increasingly exceeds the flow of uncommitted incomes that it releases as wages, salaries and dividends.

***The problem arises from the banks having a virtual monopoly of the creation of money as debt and the failure of the government to institute remedial measures based upon real as opposed to financial cost.***

For all the turmoil and ferment the question of macro-economic cost-accountancy seems never to enter the discussion and debate which seems always to centre around prices influenced by supply and demand in terms of the quantity of money. Deflation is equated with economic depression, contraction and bankruptcy while the expectation is that economic prosperity must be accompanied with rising prices which can only be dampened by restrictive financial measures. ***Both positions fly in the face of reality.***

Douglas pointed out that credit-creation and price-creation are two aspects of the same thing and the two must be firmly matched or co-ordinated at the final stage of production on a macro-economic level. This requires not price control but a universal price-regulation. People are accustomed understandably to regard price-control as unworkable, which they are in a system that can only continue to function through continuous expansion of money to accommodate rising financial costs. Douglas explained that money is simply accountancy and how it is entirely feasible to create money to reduce prices so that they reflect real costs - which are typically falling due to increased technological efficiency. Under such a policy a National Dividend would have increased buying power.

To speak of “cash-bombing” an economy with anything that might be employed as purchasing-power sounds impulsive and irresponsible, but Douglas provided a rational and practical means of generating new consumer purchasing-power to constantly cancel multiplying financial costs, while ensuring consumer access to goods as they emerge from the production line.

---

## TONY ABBOTT’S DELUSIONS ABOUT THE ‘COMING OF CHINA’S DEMOCRACY’

by Chris Knight

Abbott claims that Chinese communist President Xi Jinping had claimed that China would be fully democratic by 2050. Abbot, excited, said that this was a “historic statement”.

What Xi had said was he had a goal to “turn China into a modern socialist country that is prosperous, democratic, culturally

advanced and harmonious by the middle of the century”.

This is a standard speech given by Xi and he has said the same thing many times. All that Xi meant by “democracy” was a slight tweeting of some “democratic” procedures within the communist state.

Xi has rejected China ever embracing what Abbot understands (or we think he means) by “democracy”. If Abbot gets that wrong, what faith should we have in the rest of the trade rhetoric?

(Perhaps Tony Abbott should travel to China and address members of the opposition parties! ND)

**“ON TARGET”** is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

## SELLING AUSTRALIA OUT TO CHINA by James Reed

The political and chattering classes have been in a state of euphoria about the bilateral free trade agreement that Australia has signed with China. According to the media it is a "gift" which China has given us – but I for one would be suspicious about any nation giving us a "gift". Nations act in their own self-interest and this is what China has done. Chinese companies will be able to bring in, in numbers never before seen, Chinese national workers on 457 visas. Abbott says that this will not undercut the pay and jobs of locals, but surely that it the sole reason for bringing foreign workers in, in the first place. (*The Australian* 18 November 2014, p.7) The ACTU is right in slamming this, especially when unemployment is at a 12-year high. Kiss the local manufacturing industries goodbye. It is well known, contrary to Abbott's assurances, that often Chinese workers work at about half the hourly rate paid to Australian workers and there is even mention of this in the media. (*The Australian* 18 November 2014, p.7) Tasmanian agricultural land is being

devoured by Chinese buyers. (*The Weekend Australian* 15-16 November 2014, p.1) Farming land is then set to be farmed by China's Big Agri using Chinese workers, with produce sent directly to China. This is nothing short of colonisation and countries with self-identity and self-respect such as Thailand wouldn't allow this to occur. For the media, China has opened the door to the Chinese markets. Greed comes before a fall – there is no reason to believe this. China has an appalling record of sticking to agreements. Once tariffs are eliminated to satisfy naïve Westerners, *ad hoc* regulatory strategies are used to protect Chinese industries and production. Thus American beef was banned in 2003, allegedly because mad cow disease was discovered in one animal. The ban has never been lifted. Few sectors of the Chinese economy escape political interference by the Communist Party. And don't worry about China's vast military build-up. According to President Xi in his speech to the "Australian"

parliament, China will never inflict war on other nations. China's development is peaceful. Gerard Henderson (*The Weekend Australian* 15-16 November p.18) is critical of "alarmists" who predict conflict, if not war between China and the U.S., perhaps sparked by tensions over the South China Sea. He cites of evidence of US/China co-operation, the agreement between Obama and Xi over carbon emissions cuts. But he says that neither can keep this promise. This is especially so in the light that China's carbon emissions will be over double the reductions of the US and Australia over the next 15 years. So, making an agreement that can't be kept isn't good evidence. Nor is Obama's easing of restrictions on Chinese business people and students, a move done in US interests. A cartoonist (*The Australian* 19 November 2014, p.14) has depicted Tony Abbott beaming wearing a red Chinese communist uniform. They say that a picture is worth a thousand words.

**As you read the following, replace the word Communism with Globalism. Globalism is Communism!**

The first rule of warfare is 'Know Your Enemy' and **Communism is the Enemy.**

To understand communism requires study of communist tactics and theory **from a communist perspective.**

Eric Butler was a foremost student of communism and his in-depth analysis can be found here...

<http://alor.org/Library/RedPatternofWorldConquest.htm#1a> — here... <http://alor.org/Library/Dialectics.htm#1a> and here... <http://alor.org/Library/FabianSocialistContributiontotheCommunistAdvance.htm#1a> The foregoing suggested reading, and much, more is freely available from the Australian League of Rights website [alor.org](http://alor.org)

## DELUSIONS ABOUT CHINA by Richard Miller

Chinese communist President Xi Jinping in his visit to Australia committed China to the non-militarisation of Antarctica and a global ban on mining. So far so good, if we can believe him – apart from vast mineral reserves Antarctica is thought to have one third of the world's remaining hydrocarbon reserves.

A recent article "China 'A Threat to Aussie Grip on Antarctica'" *The Weekend Australian* 22-23 November 2014, p.10, states that the opposition assistant defence spokesman believe that even given China's pledges on non-militarisation and non-exploration of Antarctica, China will work to overthrow the treaty system upon which Australia claims 43 per cent of

Antarctica. While Australia is retreating from Antarctica because of lack of funding, China and our other beloved Asian trading partner, India, are increasing their presence. In the future they will no doubt want those hydrocarbon reserves and what they want, will no doubt, be theirs.

(Continued from page 3)

is now closed and will reopen at a new location to be announced as soon as possible. We have been searching around but have yet to clinch a deal and make arrangements for moving. Book order enquiries should be directed to the South Australian

connections until further notice. As announced earlier, the Basic Fund is open for donations and every contribution greatly appreciated. New Year Resolutions are a subject of conversation at this time of the year and if you can resolve to recruit at least one new subscriber to 'On Target' then our base expands just

that little bit further enhancing the sphere of influence. There is no doubt we live in interesting times but next year could be as interesting as anything we have experienced in past years. The Financial Enquiry Report released today offers more of the same. God Bless and take care. ND

Paul Dibb and John Lee have recently published "Why China Will Not Become the Dominant Power in Asia", [Security Challenges](#), vol. 10, 2014, pp.1-21. This report was discussed in *The Australian* "China Will Never Eclipse US" 19 November 2014, p.1 at the same time as a flood of positive articles about the free trade agreement with China. The strategic sub-text seems to be that China will therefore not be a security threat, so it should be full speed ahead with business, business, business.

The Dibbs/Lee paper is thought-provoking because the political elites in Australia accept as a matter of religious faith that China will be No.1, based upon linear projections of its economic growth

and military build-up. This line of thought is seen in writings outside of Australia such as by Martin Jacques, "When China Rules the World: The End of the Western World and the Birth of a New Global Order" (2012). However in the 1980s Japan was also seen as No.1, yet its economy ultimately faced diminishing returns and financial difficulties, especially debt.

It is not widely discussed but China's capital-intensive growth model is founded on an ocean of debt. National corporate debt levels have grown from 147 per cent of GDP in 2008 to over 250 per cent by June 2014. As Dibb and Lee put it, "the expansion of debt – which has been used to finance capital inputs –

from US\$9-10 trillion in 2008 to US\$20-25 trillion in 2014 exceeds the size of the total American banking system" (p.4). Thus the debt to asset ratio of Chinese firms was 105 per cent in 2011 and is now (in 2014) even higher.

Corporate debt could easily sink the global economy.

Dibb and Lee do not go on to follow through the implications of their debt analysis, namely that all countries face a debt crisis, a product of an unjust globalist financial system run by a class of cosmopolitan mega-elites and that this structure of greed is unstable and capable of collapse at any time.

---

## MADE IN AUSTRALIA CAMPAIGN from Kenneth Grundy

Response to Government Inquiry into Country of Origin Food Labelling Report: November 2014: My first reaction was positive because a number of key complaints were identified and promoted by those appearing before the Committee.

The message repeated throughout the report is one of being aware of International and Trade Agreements and how these provisions impact on Australia establishing rules which might best fit the wishes of our consumers. It is abundantly clear that Australian negotiators have committed us to a multitude of restrictions over a number of years. They have been asleep at the wheel.

Despite the above, and I will return to this matter, the committee have made progress on the matter which concerns most consumers who either have a loyalty to Australian producers and/or seek an item which has special country of origin quality.

### Comment on the recommendations:

1. Regarding the first recommendation, I feel the "Grown in", "Product of" etc are meaningful. The fourth dot point could be unclear where the 'country' is not Australia. e.g., If it read "Made in Italy from mostly local ingredients – more than 50% Australian content". It would not make sense. It looks as though the wording can only apply when the 'country' is Australia. I am sure that was the intention.
2. The second recommendation needs teeth - it is too weak. To recommend amending the code to allow for label details to be increased in size is insufficient. Replace allow with require.
3. Similarly, the third recommendation seeks an increase in scrutiny over symbols etc. Would the scrutiny lead to positive action or be filed away in some report?
4. Recommendation 6 wins little support because consumers do not wish to carry gadgets to scan bar codes for information. Keep it simple; write the information large enough in a prominent position and everyone will be able to access it.

Committee remarks as per item 4.59 and 4.60 form the nub of the problem and must not be lost sight of in the resolution.

**Section 5:** International and Trade Obligations, leads me to return to my earlier point.

It is a shocking admission when we as a sovereign nation have difficulty establishing laws which our own citizens desire, all because of a Clause in an Agreement to which we have previously committed. We can look back in amazement at naïve negotiators who sold out our rights to self-determination. Even more amazing

is the fact that the same errors are being made this very day as the Trade Agreement with China is due for signature. The same Clause is present and it will prevent Australia making any changes to domestic laws (which we want) because those changes will trigger the foreign power's right to sue our Government for loss of income due to the changes. When will we learn?

In the Section 5 on GATT there is reference to us not being able to establish an unfair advantage over imports. I do not believe that has ever been the intention. All we want is a fair go for our product. We do not want the imports to have an unfair advantage!

Many of the Agreements seem to favour the foreign nations more than us. I refer to the Trade Agreement with Thailand where it permitted cars to enter Australia more cheaply and yet when our Ford Territory was exported to Thailand it attracted such a huge barrier that no sales could be made. Hardly a "Fair" agreement! It was heartening to read in 5.8 that Mr Kewalram considered "there is no issue with the concept that (country of origin labelling) is entirely consistent with our Trade Agreements". If he is correct, it seems we have no problem in establishing labels as we wish!

Similarly 5.14 reveals that WTO rules on origin have yet to reach agreement. Take the opportunity to act now in a full and meaningful way.

The Trans Tasman Mutual Recognition Arrangement (TTMRA) needs attention because of the confusion and perceived deception of food sourced from third countries being processed in NZ and labelled for export to Australia with label wording like "Product of NZ".

In summary, I confirm that some headway has been achieved and this is appreciated. However more needs to be done. Test the legalities of Agreements to the limit and if necessary withdraw or amend them.

An opinion I have had for some time has been confirmed in the report. It is regretfully, that Australia is a very weak negotiator on the international stage. Can I expect any change?

Made in Australia Campaign [www.madeinaustraliacampaign.net](http://www.madeinaustraliacampaign.net)  
Ken Grundy, Naracoorte SA 5271



## 50 YEARS OF *On Target*: LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

I have followed and supported the New Times and the League all my life. Monetary reform and Social Credit spread very much during the 1930s. The election of a Social Credit government in Alberta (Canada) looked like spreading. The war put a stop to that. Although we were at war with National Socialism (Hitler, Germany), we were allied with Russia (Soviet Socialism). While Fabian Socialism (English speaking countries) helped pervert the course of history. What was not recognised was that the 3 courses of Socialism were simply 3 different tactics to the main objective, "One World" Government.

Eric Butler influenced many people including high ranking persons and politicians. Unfortunately, many considered their own necks under banking and media influences and remained negative.

60 years ago, Butler warned that China would be a key factor in Australia's security. The Communist blueprint map listed North Queensland as owned by Indonesia and called "Irianjia". A recent *Herald Sun* article stated that there are now 10 million Chinese waiting to come to

Australia within the next 10 years.

When Jack McEwan was Minister for Trade and Commerce, the policy of "What we can produce in Australia, we won't import" – Tariffs were set accordingly. Under that policy we had full employment and no debt.

In obedience to Treaties and United Nations' directives all that has been wiped. Fabian Socialism is gaining. Increased welfare and other directives, taxation continues to rise plus increased borrowing and debt. Since World War II, the International Banks have increased their ability to create money out of nothing. They create this as a debt, not a credit. What ratio the banks use to create money as to their deposits, one would not know. But he who controls the countries' finances, directs the policies of Governments and hold in their hands the destiny of the peoples.

According to World Watch 35% to 40% of our gross product goes in interest.

-- Cedric Turner, Grovedale, Victoria.

Footnote: Cedric Turner is Eric D. Butler's brother-in-law. Cedric, God bless you and Margaret. We wish you a Blessed Christmas.... from all at *On Target* and The Hub, Melbourne.

### Dear Editor, On Target

I can't clearly remember when I first started receiving and reading *On Target*, but I first came into contact with the League of Rights in my teens back in the sixties. Mail was delivered on Saturdays in those days and it was the highlight of my week to receive my weekly *On Target* each Saturday. Now it comes by email!

I have always found the information like a breath of fresh air to really learn what was going on behind the scenes of current events. It was through the teachings of the League that I was made aware of the spiritual reality of things and particularly the spiritual battle going on behind world events. This understanding ultimately led me to the Gospel and a born-again experience when I was 22 years of age in 1969. Within a short time, I felt a calling by God to the ministry and was ordained a minister in 1978. Since then over the many years, I have served my community to the best of my ability in business and in church ministry.

I haven't searched the archives to date, but it is good to know that such a resource is there should I ever need it.

I will be forever grateful to the League, its teachings and journals like *On Target* to whom I owe a great deal as to how my life has been shaped.

Kind regards, Kevin Hodges,  
South Australia.

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)

### THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

**'ALOR Journals'** .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

**'Heritage Bookshop Services'**

For donations to the League please make payments to '**Australian League of Rights'** or '**ALOR'**

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Phone: (03) 9650 9749;

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

**VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:** <http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

**Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,  
Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.**

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

---

Dear Editor,

I was interested in Peter West's comments in this week's *On Target*\*:

"The problem is that of evil: if death and entropy (even of physical matter via the second law of thermodynamics) predated the Fall, of both man and Lucifer, then free will cannot be a satisfactory response to the problem of evil. Even eating an apple produces

disorder/waste/entropy."

I would like to know what was in his mind when writing this.

Could he please expand on it?

Signed: A reader of "On Target" and a 'Bible fist-thumping, has-been-fundamentalist.'

\*Below is the article the writer referred to, repeated for easy reference in this edition of OT.

---

### DOCTOR GEOFFREY DOBBS ON "SPECIAL CREATION" by Peter West

---

Earlier this year I was taken to task for a book review which I did (published just before Easter) which mentioned archaeological evidence challenging the historicity of the Old Testament. I was criticised by many folk for such a review, as if the quest for seeking the Truth should be suppressed if our own beliefs (if they are our "own" own beliefs) are challenged. In, I think, unpublished replies, I argued that a strictly literalist/fundamentalist viewpoint from, say Genesis, ran into problems if evolution is true in some shape or form (not necessarily Darwinian selection of random genetic mutations). The problem is that of evil: if death and entropy (even of physical matter via the second law of thermodynamics) predated the Fall, of both man and Lucifer, then free will cannot be a satisfactory response to the problem of evil. Even eating an apple produces disorder/waste/entropy.

I have recently read Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs' paper "Religion". Dr. Dobbs is one of the respected intellectuals of this movement while I am but a minor contributory.

I note with interest on the point of our debate his words: "Meanwhile, the idea of Creation had become identified with a shaman-like process of instant verbal

magic, under the terms 'Special Creation', in which one recognises what has been called 'the technique of the essential adjective'. For differentiation is necessarily of the essence of creation, which can mean only that every creature must be 'special' - of distinctive character and marked off by distinguishing features - so that 'Special Creation' is a tautology meaning no more than 'Creation' if taken literally. But when this term is applied to a childish conception of the Creation based upon the literal interpretation of the words of Genesis, which is then superseded by a more mature conception involving time and continuity, it is not only the idea of conjuring into existence by verbal edict which is discredited, but to some extent also the idea of Creation and of a Creator altogether, in so far as the verbal situation is not consciously analysed.

Thus, what for some was the greatest enlargement and enrichment of the idea of the Creator for centuries, for others was the greatest retreat in history. For if 'Creation' is taken to mean that all species were brought into existence and fixed for ever by divine edict in 4004 B.C., which we can now see to be manifestly untrue, then 'Creation' ceases to be credible, and we must seek another religion. For many scientists this religion

has been achieved not only by substituting the fascinating and awe-inspiring impersonal process of evolution for the Creator, but further, since this process is held to culminate in Man, by substituting Man, or the Mystical Lump of Mankind, for God, as the Supreme Being of the Universe."

In other words, literalism, dogmatically held to, has contributed itself, Dobbs seems to be saying, to an intellectual discrediting of the Christian account of Creation, something which atheistic humanism and liberalism of all shapes and ugly forms has capitalised on. This weakness has also enabled the growth of evolution into a religion of its own, a form of atheistic-materialist dogma, Dr. Dobbs describes.

Equally of interest Dr. Dobbs is critical of the cult of mathematicising the world and society, attempting to capture reality by mathematical models. "The trouble is, of course, that mathematical processes need have no relation to reality". Perhaps climate science and economics best illustrate this, where so-called computer models spin out all sorts of nonsense, without empirical/experimental verification. Science too, may have gone the way of literalism.

Original <http://alor.org/Volume50/Vol50No45.htm#1a>

---

### THE SUPER-ULTIMATE PROBLEM OF EVIL by Peter West

---

Peter West's response: A reader has asked me to say something more on a remark that I made in an *On Target* article about the theological problem of evil and the second law of thermodynamics. The problem is that if the world was created with the existence of the entropy law before the Fall, then it seems that evil and disorder pre-existed the Fall. The entropy law, the most basic

law of physics says that closed systems (those without energy added) tend to disorder. Most systems have energy added to them, such as the Earth getting solar energy from the sun. But the system of Sun/Earth is therefore tending to greater disorder and ultimate disintegration. If the second law of thermodynamics did not operate before the Fall, then there is another problem. The

arrow of time, Time's direction is dependent upon it, as we don't conceive of burnt cigarettes suddenly reconstituting themselves.

Hence there is a natural science problem of evil that is seldom discussed by theologians. The idea that the Fall led to a radical change in the laws of physics seems to be the only reasonable response.

---

## RED GLOWS THE 1975 DAWN by Eric D. Butler

Read the complete article here... <http://alor.org/Volume11/Vol11No1.htm#1a>

1975 was a momentous year when the Whitlam Government was removed from office by the Australian people.

Those who saw the Queen deliver her 1974 Christmas Message must have been struck by Her Majesty's serious mood and her stress on the grave issues, which she called upon her subjects to meet and resolve through co-operation. The Queen's message was a reflection of the disintegrating state of the world as 1974 drew to its end. It was a call to face reality, however unpleasant it might be. The overall reality is that the mounting disasters of 1974 were but preliminaries to what is going to be the most critical year Western Civilisation has faced since the end of the Second World War. The dawn of 1975 is breaking against a background of deep red storm clouds. There is a deep uneasiness as people in every non-Communist country are buffeted by the cyclone-force pressures of growing inflation, escalating unemployment and economic and social disintegration. Consider the plight of the U.S.A. which in 1974 saw Richard Nixon forced to follow his Vice-President Spiro Agnew into political retirement, seriously impairing the status of the American Presidency, and leaving the U.S.A. under the direction of the pleasant but inconsequential Gerald Ford, but with policy making firmly under the control of Vice-President Nelson Rockefeller and his messenger boy Dr. Henry Kissinger. The Rockefellers' and other Wall Street groups' long-time support for economic aid to all Communist nations and the surrender policy called detente, backed by the liberal-international press like *The New York Times*, has been thoroughly documented in works like Gary Allen's *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. Typical of the subtle but effective censorship of the liberal-international press was the disgraceful treatment before Christmas of one of the most important works published during 1974. *Cardinal Mindszenty's Memoirs*, completed by Macmillan Company for release on November 15th, for the Christmas market. Judged by any standard, the famous Cardinal's Memoirs were big news, but *The New York Times*, which boasts that it publishes all the news that is fit to be published, did not even rate the Memoirs a mention. The reason? The Cardinal effectively exposes the dangerous myth of detente. The same type of censorship has been also exercised against the works of the British-born research fellow, Antony Sutton, who has documented how the Soviet slave Empire has been sustained from the beginning by economic blood transfusions from the West, financed by

groups like the Rockefellers. Sutton's *National Suicide*, one of the most important books of the last fifty years, has been studiously ignored by the liberal-international press everywhere. Even conventional anti-Communists dare not publicise a work, which exposes the reality behind International Communism. The erosion of America's national will in the face of growing international pressure by both the Soviet Union and Red China, this stemming to a large degree from the treacherous no-win policy in Vietnam, coincides with a marked intensification of American finance-economic problems. One of the first New Year reports from the U.S.A. reads: "The U.S. economy has suddenly started nose-diving. The unexpectedly rapid deterioration has only become evident in the past few weeks and it has been a wave of fear across America...in virtually every American community, public concern and anxiety over combined inflation and recession have suddenly turned to near-panic in the face of surging unemployment and the worst wave of price rises since 1947 ...One of Wall Street's - and America's - most respected economists, Mr. Henry Kaufman, agreed that the sudden deterioration in the U.S. economy was approaching disastrous proportions, with no immediate hope of preventing a collapse." (From Harlow Unger. New York in *The Age*, Melbourne, January 9th.) One of the greatest minds of this century, C. H. Douglas, a highly qualified consulting engineer with an international reputation, made the prophetic statement at the end of the First World War, that if persistent attempts were made to work the economic system in accordance with the axioms of "sound finance", then it was as inevitable as the sunrise that Civilisation would be plunged into one disaster after another, with the conservative elements in society being forced to progressively retreat in the face of the Socialist exploitations of these disasters. Douglas's predictions were endorsed by other eminent thinkers. And they have been tragically endorsed by the events now unfolding at an accelerating rate. There is much worse to come. The same type of sick "intellectuals", including many clerics, who worshipped the monster Stalin, today feel a glow of self-righteousness as they aid black murderers, armed with Communist weapons, to kill and maim their fellow Africans in the name of "liberation."

Behind all this diabolical activity is the drive towards the realisation of that old dream of the power maniacs down the Ages: the creation of the World State. But before the World State can be established the West, once proudly termed the "Christian West", must be completely broken and demoralised. Inflation is one of the major instruments of destruction. Unless the financial causes of inflation are removed, nothing that the Whitlam or any other Government does during 1975 can halt the deepening crisis. Sniffing political victory during this year. Mr. B. M. Snedden told Australians in a New Year message that "Now is the time to stop the drift and delay of the past two years and get back on the path of steady national progress." I presume he means the Keynesian path he and his colleagues were following with such disastrous results that the electors turned against them in 1972. Mr. Snedden promised that "Our efforts will be directed to setting the example of a positive, constructive and trustworthy alternative Government capable of restoring sound social and economic progress." But not a word about how Mr. Snedden and his colleagues propose to reverse inflation. It was left to Mr. Doug Anthony in his New Year statement to provide the chilling suggestion that "No serious illness is likely to be cured without strong, and sometimes, unpleasant, remedies." Inflation is not a "disease", but a deliberate policy of financial control of the individual. Unless another Liberal-Country Party Government is prepared to challenge that policy, it will merely preside over the same process of accelerating disintegration now taking place under the Whitlam Government, with revolution the end result. What the Federal Liberal and Countries parties should be following is the inspiring lead against inflation given by Queensland Premier J. Bjelke-Petersen who, amidst the gloom and wreckage of 1974 lit a lighthouse by which in 1975 the Australian ship of State could be safely guided through the inflationary and associated storms to the harbour of economic security and freedom for all Australians. As the red dawn of 1975 deepens the League of Rights is faced with its biggest challenge. My New Year message to all League supporters is simple: "Once more unto the breach, dear friends, once more."

From the "On Target" archives, 14th January 2005  
**OUR LINKS WITH THE PAST by Betty Luks**

It is not much good trying to convince people their liberties are now being seriously eroded if they have no clear understanding of what is liberty and why they should fight for it. It is not much good trying to convince people of the threats to their representative democracy if they have no concept of what a 'representative democracy' is, never mind its background historical roots.

Republicans will tell you they want to install a republic so that we can be a 'more democratic' nation. Not necessarily so.

Many republicans confuse the form of government with the type or substance of government. Ours is a Constitutional Monarchical form of government, but as for its type, inherent within its type is representative democracy.

Australians don't know that they are the rich inheritors of a parliamentary tradition with roots reaching back at least 750 years. When setting up the legal framework for the Commonwealth of Australia, the founding fathers just didn't, from scratch, sit down and write the Commonwealth

Constitution Act. They had access to the practical political wisdom and experience of their own British peoples to draw upon. The founding fathers brought with them the recorded parliamentary wisdom, knowledge, custom and experience of a people which stretched back over a thousand or more years. They placed the laws and customs in a local framework and modified and improved for a different age and time.

The Australian League of Rights has always been the vanguard of 'community understanding and defence of the Constitutional Monarchy'. This has been epitomised with the 'Social Dynamics' series of lectures available on DVD and written word here... <http://alor.org/Library/Social%20Dynamics.htm#1a>

Recommended reading is the 'Australian Constitution' available from your local MP and the debates of the 'Framers of the Constitution' found here...

<http://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/bitstream/2123/850/2/adt-NU20020917.11150502whole.pdf> ND

**And finally, from the "On Target" archives, 24th December 1965**  
**A SHIRE PRESIDENT'S REALISTIC CHRISTMAS MESSAGE**

The following is the text of the Christmas Message issued by Cr. W. G. Manifold, President of the Shire of Camperdown, Victoria, and Mrs. Manifold

\*\*\*\*\*

"At this Christmas time, Australia is once again at war. It is an odd war, to be sure, with many of the most vociferous in our midst agitating for us to quit, and with our own government openly trading with our enemies' allies. But it is none-the-less real for that. People are being killed in it, others maimed, not far away, are being widowed. Why? Are the agitators right? Is it true, as they suggest, that war never settles anything? Are we, by fighting, breaking faith with Christianity?

These questions are particularly disturbing at Christmas time, and I believe they must be faced up to. "In the first place, we are at war because we believe that war does settle some things that force, however unpleasant, is the only resort when reason has failed. We do not try to reason with a

burglar or a murderer; we stop him. His actions have indicated that he is beyond reason, so we do not waste time on words. So it is with war. When a Nation shows by its actions that it has no regard for the right of others, it is too late to use reason.

We would have a queer idea of Christianity indeed if we thought it meant standing aside watching a murder, on the grounds that we are told to love our enemies. A moment's thought reveals that true love means scrupulous fairness, humane treatment, respect; it is sheer perversion to argue that loving people means letting them get away with anything, or everything. On the contrary, true love requires us to raise people to the highest possible plane. To let them continue in fear an injustice, license and corruption, would

be the antithesis of love.

And let us make no mistake that these are the things against which our men are fighting in Vietnam. "Uncle" Ho, the intellectual disciple of "Chairman" Mao, subscribes implicitly to the doctrine that "All power proceeds from the muzzle of a gun"; the doctrine of naked and unashamed force, the denial of all individual rights, the pitting of class against class, children against parents. The doctrine that the end justifies the means; that there is nothing in creation but "matter in motion".

I repeat: these are the things our men are fighting on the physical plane. Are we, bemused by pictures of a babe in a manger, forgetting that Christ the Man fought these things too?

**Some more good news for our supporters.**

'50 Years of 'On Target' will be available in 2015 on CD-ROM.

It would make a wonderful gift for anybody... anybody at all!

Students of Australian political history will have a great collection at their fingertips.

Be ready to order your copy when it is released.