

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



IN THIS ISSUE

Up The Yellow Brick Road!!!	2
The Decline Of Anglo-Australia By Peter West.....	2
Top Google Executive Predicts End Of Internet.....	2
What If Obama Had Been Injected With Truth Serum?	3
'Reformers' Like Hollande (France) And Cameron (UK)	4
Just For The Record By Betty Luks	4
Confronting Social Decay By Mrs. Vera West.....	4
Christian Love – Not Sharia Law.....	5
Google's Eric Schmidt Greases Skids For Internet Brain Chip.....	5
A Citizen's Income According To The Green Party	6
M. Oliver Heydorn Responds To The Proposal.....	6
Green Policies Totally Ignore Macro-Economic Financial Factors	7
Tony Abbott And Recognition By Ian Wilson LL.B.	7
Time To Reflect On The Battle Between Athenes And Jerusalem	7
A Rare Sight Of 'Endangered Scientific Graph' In Newsprint.....	8
IT, Social Credit's Age Of Leisure – Or Social Chaos? By James Reed.....	8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK



The Duke of Edinburgh, 1992

Duke Is Deserving of Knighthood: There seems to be a lack of awareness in regard to the appointment of the Duke of Edinburgh as a Knight of the Order of Australia.

Firstly, Prince Philip can in no way be considered to be a 'foreigner'. He is the consort of Australia's sovereign head of state. When he was appointed a Companion of the Order of Australia by prime-minister Bob Hawke in 1988, he was appointed as an Australian - and not a foreign - recipient.

Secondly, the Duke of Edinburgh is Admiral of the Fleet in the Royal Australian Navy, Field Marshal in the Australian Army and Marshal of the Royal Australian Air Force. Positions that in

themselves meet the Prime Minister's stipulations for awarding knighthoods.

Thirdly, there are very few institutions which have influenced Australians as much as the Duke of Edinburgh's Award. The Award has supported the development of hundreds of thousands of young Australians in this country for more than fifty years. I understand that there are currently some 23,000 young Australians undertaking the Award.

His Royal Highness is patron of over 700 organisations, a number of which are Australian or have Australian affiliates. Therefore, whatever contention may surround this award, in no way can it be said to be undeserving or un-Australian.

-- Philip Benwell, National Chair, Australian Monarchist League

National Director comments: Dear Reader, It appears the 'collective voter' has short-term memory problems... Queenslanders have forgotten the disastrous Labor Governments and turfed the (LNP) 'Campbell Newman crowd' out... please note Labor was not voted in but **Newman was VOTED OUT** and the Premier suffered the same ignominy as former Prime Minister, John Howard, by losing his seat into the bargain. Changing politicians is not the solution to Australia's political problems. What is required is a **CHANGE OF POLICIES!** It appears this is not possible whilst **ALL PARTIES support**

destructive, socialist, financial policies. Be ready for a change of government at the national level and a return of 'bickering Labor' as 'socialist Australia' slides even further down the precipice towards the communist state... but miracles do happen! Around the world there is increasing interest and calls for a guaranteed 'basic income' for all. Here is an opening for Social Credit... the **ONLY** realistic solution away from the 'world slave state'. In this issue you will find links to M. Oliver Heydorn Social Credit lectures on YouTube... share these with your friends. We can make a difference!

UP THE YELLOW BRICK ROAD!!!



The "power-brokers" are becoming increasingly transparent in their aims as they wax evermore desperate about rising public awareness and opposition. Now that the "usual" single issue justification for censorship is waning in credibility, they are slyly resorting to the classic Marxist "amalgam" technique, by trying to garner support by embracing the alleged causes of a wider variety of claimed "interests" in an attempt to deceive people by making their own cause non-exclusive. Similar to

these nefarious "omnibus bills" where something undesirable can be "slipped in" to the mix without attracting too much attention. Yes, obviously it is not without reason that we were given prophetic and apparently prescient writers like Orwell, Huxley, etc. The absurd actually becomes reality based upon illusion. ***Up the Yellow Brick Road! Things may become worse before they get better but, as we saw in the story, the "Road" does come to an end where the illusion unravels.***

THE DECLINE OF ANGLO-AUSTRALIA by Peter West

Isn't it the "Christian" thing to do? To embrace universalism? I think not. This, after all is what people defined as our "enemies" in the past have recommended, and our kind, the British people, now face a threat to our very survival as a people. There is a clearly defined attempt to destroy the people who built modern civilisation, and it is not only by non-Anglo groups, but by self-hating, cosmopolitan, deracinated Anglos. Andrew Fraser's "The WASP Question" (2011) is an insightful study of this "pathological altruism" or racial suicide.

In Australia for the past thirty years, net overseas migration has been an average of 128,000 per year, but since 2006 the average has been 224,000 people per year, reaching a peak of 316,000 in 2008. The Australian Bureau of Statistics predicts a population increase of between 36.8 and 48.3 million by 2061, reaching between 42.4 and 70.1 million in 2101, with the upper figure based upon the assumption of continuous high migration. For the past 30 years the bulk of our immigration intake has been either Asian or non-Anglo: even those migrants from the UK have had a substantial Asian component. So the future is an Asian "Australia".

Consider: in Melbourne three in ten people speak a language at home other than English and 200,000 more Melbourne people speak a language other than English at home than a decade ago: "Melbourne Language Study Reveals a

Cacophony of Diversity" (*The Age* July 12, 2014). In Sydney, English speakers are outnumbered by non-English speakers in one in five suburbs: "Talk of the Town: You're All Talking My Language" (*The Sydney Morning Herald* July 12, 2014).

Now project that into the future.

Back in 1990 Tony Abbott, the "same" man who is now prime minister and has embraced multiculturalism and the Aboriginal recognition referendum wrote: "The Real Issue is the Changing Face of Our Society". Back in those days Abbott was concerned about public attitudes to Asian immigration which had risen from 10 to nearly 50 percent of the intake. That though, was the good-old-days. Asian and non-white immigration NOW constitutes nearly the total immigration intake. Australia has gone from the White Australia Policy to the Non-White Australia Policy. Tony Abbott no longer talks about the "real issue" of the "changing face of our society" and is doing as much as any prime minister, including John Howard, to transform Australia into an Asian nation.

That, after all, is our destiny.

According to the UK 2011 census, white ethnic Britons are no longer a majority in London. Mass immigration has resulted in the population of white British people in London falling from 60 percent in 2001 to 44.9 percent in 2011. And white Britons will be a minority in the UK by 2066 due to both mass migration and the high birth rates of migrant groups. At present one

fifth of all people in the UK are non-white or non-British and this proportion will rise to one quarter by 2025, a third by 2040 and 38 percent by 2050. The future is seen in another statistic released by the UK Department of Education, that 70 percent of all school children in Greater London are non-white. However, in the United States, whites will be a minority in the entire country, maybe before 2040, depending upon immigration and migrant fertility. For the US whites, deaths now exceed births, which is itself a road to extinction. US racial and ethnic minorities now make up about half of the under-5 age group.

That is the future.

Daniel Hannan in "Inventing Freedom: How the English-Speaking Peoples Made the Modern World" (2013), told us that among the values the world received from Britain was the spirit of liberty. Recent history shows that the multicult does not value liberty over group ethnocentric advancement. This was well illustrated by the "diverse" ethnic groups all uniting to defeat changes to section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act.

It is time for Anglos to wake up and shake off this crippling universalism that the elites constantly use in their programme to destroy us. Oh, and by the way, the RDA hasn't yet made it illegal for Anglos to squeal about their genocide, as long as you don't name names. That really would be, "offensive".

TOP GOOGLE EXECUTIVE PREDICTS END OF INTERNET

January 23, 2015: Eric Schmidt, Executive Chairman of Google, speaks during the session "The Future of the Digital Economy" in the Swiss mountain resort of Davos January 22, 2015 Reuters / Ruben Sprich.

At the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, Google guru Eric Schmidt gave an answer regarding the evolution of the web. "I will answer very simply that the internet will disappear,"

Schmidt said on Thursday. "There will be so many IP addresses ... so many devices, sensors, things that you are wearing, things that you are interacting with that you won't even sense it, it will be part of your presence all the time," he explained. "Imagine you walk into a room, and the room is dynamic. And with your permission and all of that, you are interacting with the things going on in the room." "A highly personalized, highly interactive and very, very interesting world emerges," Schmidt concluded.

WHAT IF OBAMA HAD BEEN INJECTED WITH TRUTH SERUM?

The following is an imagined 'State of the Nation' speech by America's president Barack Obama. It is the Western nations that are being weakened to fit them into the New World Order – and the Asian nations, such as China and India, that are being built up for the New Asian Century. Russia seems to be in trouble because its leaders are not towing the ideological line for their New World Order masters.

Satire can be a powerful weapon at

times: Obama's State of the Union Address (24 Jan 2015 Edited and Abridged) First draft. Not for release (but leaked through a bizarre email accident to Nick Griffin). Nick Griffin blogs at British Unity.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Vice President, Members of Congress, Suckers:

We are 15 years into this new century. Nearly fifteen years covering up the truth about how 9/11 gave your real rulers the excuse to drag a new generation of poor young kids and middle-class taxpayers into fighting two long and costly wars; six years of a vicious recession caused by my banking friends who have made ordinary people spread across our nation and the world pay for their greed and corruption. It has been a hard time for many – and it's going to get a lot worse yet. For tonight, we turn the page. Tonight, after another dismal year for America, the bankers are making money again. We're creating low-paid, insecure jobs at the fastest pace since 1999. (Applause.) So many people have totally given up on finding real work that our unemployment statistics are now lower than before the financial crisis. More of our kids are graduating with useless degrees and gigantic millstones of debt than ever before...

Tonight, for the first time since 9/11, our combat mission in Afghanistan is over, just in time to get stuck back into Iraq. (Applause.) Six years ago, nearly 180,000 American troops served in Iraq and Afghanistan. Thousands have come back in body bags or without limbs, so we salute the courage and sacrifice of every man and woman in this 9/11 Generation who has served to make mega-profits for the military industrial complex and to further the goals of Israel's foreign policy. (Applause.) We can't see any other reason for your service...

Will we accept a financialised economy where only a few of us do spectacularly well so that 95% of the gains since the

recession go to the top 1%? Absolutely! Or will we commit ourselves to an economy that generates rising incomes and chances for everyone who makes the effort? Aw, come on folks, get with the program! (Applause.) ... Will we allow ourselves to be sorted into factions and turned against one another? Right again, just look at how buddy George Soros has been funding #BlackLives Matter. Hell, by the time he's finished you'll be so busy hating each other that we'll be able to sell your kidneys and you won't even notice! ... But it's certainly important for us Democrats to make a show that we really want to help the White working class. After all they voted massively for the Republicans in the last election, so we have to make a show that we really care about them.

Seven years ago, Rebekah and Ben Erler of Minneapolis were newlyweds. (Laughter.) She waited tables. He worked construction. Their first child, Jack, was on the way. They were young and in love in America. And as naïve as most Americans about how the System screws them senseless. "If only we had known," Rebekah wrote to me last spring, "what was about to happen to the housing and construction market".

As the crisis worsened, Ben's business dried up, so he took what jobs he could find, even if they kept him on the road for long stretches of time. Rebekah took out student loans and enrolled in community college, and retrained for a new career. They sacrificed for each other. And slowly, they paid for the Banksters' crisis. And they managed to survive the terrible job market in the construction industry made much worse by the massive flood of legal and illegal immigrants that I have done all I can to encourage — even going around Congress to give amnesty to millions of illegal immigrants so they can compete with Ben and Rebekah in the job market. They bought their first home. They had a second son, Henry. Rebekah got a better job and then a raise. Ben is back in construction — and home for dinner every night.

"It is amazing," Rebekah wrote, "what you can bounce back from when you have to... we are a strong, tight-knit family who has made it through some very, very hard times. We are a strong, tight-knit family and our boys will soon be old enough to be drafted for the Endless War to make the Middle East safe for the big oil companies and illegal Israeli settlements

from the Nile to the Euphrates. We just hope there'll still be some space left in Arlington for little Jack and Henry when they are old enough to serve". America, Rebekah and Ben's story is your story. They represent the millions in the White working class who have worked hard and scrimped, sacrificed, paid their taxes and got toolled. You are the reason that I ran for this office. You are the people I was thinking of six years ago today, in the darkest months of the crisis, when I stood on the steps of this Capitol and wondered how the hell we were going to stop the whole thing sinking without clawing some big bucks out first. And it has been your resilience, your effort that has made it possible for us to do it. We have done nothing to reverse the tide of outsourcing by my corporate buddies, and we have done nothing to stem the flood of millions of immigrants to our shores to keep jobs scarce and your wages stagnant. In fact, my executive edict on immigration will encourage millions more to come. And I am happy to say that although lots of Republicans are complaining about my edict on the basis of high Constitutional principle, they won't do a thing about it because deep down they too want more immigration to please their corporate buddies. (Applause from both parties.) ...

We believed we could prepare our kids for a more competitive world. And today, our younger students' math and reading scores are way below that of most Asian countries thanks in part to our emphasis on importing as many low-IQ immigrants as possible — and I say "our emphasis" for good reason, because the policy of importing these low-IQ immigrants is nothing if not bipartisan. But the good news is that we've lowered standards so much that our high school graduation rate has hit an all-time high. More Americans finish college deep in debt than ever before. (Applause.)

That's what elite economics is — the fiction that this country does best when everyone gets their fair shot, everyone does their fair share, everyone plays by the same set of rules, while in reality it's all organised for the oligarchs who pull my strings and the strings of the Congress you see applauding me tonight. (Applause.) We don't want everyone to share in America's success, we want everyone to contribute to our success. (Applause.)... Continue reading ... Nick Griffin blogs at British Unity.

'REFORMERS' LIKE HOLLANDE (FRANCE) AND CAMERON (UK)

Israel Shamir, 27 January 2015 asks: **How's that "change" working out for the people?**

"Panos Kammenos: A protégé of Sarkozy and the Franco-Zionist establishment, I expect Kammenos to serve as the devil's advocate (for the bankers, in this case) in the new Syriza coalition government in Greece. Time will tell, but from my perspective this is beginning to look like Kabuki theatre. How quickly we forget the election of "reformers" like Hollande in France and Cameron in the U.K. How's that "change" working out for the people?"

Yanis Varoufakis (Wikipedia) Greek: born 24 March 1961 is the Greek finance minister, a political economist and author of dual Greek-Australian nationality. He is a participant in the current debates on the global and European crisis, the author of *The Global Minotaur*, a Professor of Economic Theory at the University of Athens and a private consultant for Valve Corporation. In 2015, he was elected to Greek parliament, representing SYRIZA. On January 27, 2015, he was appointed Finance Minister of Greece. (Wikipedia)

JUST FOR THE RECORD by Betty Luks

I was sent a copy of a cartoon that appeared in an edition of Charlie Hebdo. It was meant to portray the Holy Trinity in an act of sodomy. The correspondent commented:

"This is the type of obscenity which is apparently both tolerated and even celebrated as "freedom of speech" in a country which prosecutes and jails, allegedly for "promoting hatred", scores of writers, historians and academics who challenge, or even question, certain aspects of prescribed "history" in a civilized and academic manner. So much for "Charlie Hebdo." My thoughts went to the recent photo-op of the world 'leaders' in Paris, France and UK's PM David Cameron in particular. No Christian knight there. He, it appears, was not offended by the Charlie Hebdo obscenities although he does claim to be of the Christian faith.



A section of the photograph that appeared in On Target 23 January 2015

The Charlie Hebdo Affair: How to Demonize Islam and Destroy Christianity

Writes Lasha Darkmoon, January 17, 2015: Freedom to offend, we are told, is sacrosanct; freedom to kill the offender is not. Isn't it a shame this belief is not shared by everyone? The ultimate question here is *Cui bono?* Who gained most from the Paris massacre and who lost out? ... Commenting on the Charlie Hebdo recent edition, Lasha wrote: The "irreverent" 16-page magazine, which loves to combine militant atheism with Christ-bashing and Mohammed mockery, interspersed with pornographic drawings of naked young women, had excelled itself. No punches were pulled in an effort to shock and entertain the prurient, pop-eyed multitudes...

Read further...www.darkmoon.me/2015/how-to-demonize-islam-and-destroy-christianity/

CONFRONTING SOCIAL DECAY by Mrs. Vera West

One rule-of-thumb standard for judging the level of social decay and the breakdown of morals is to look at practices that were once regarded as immoral and sanctioned and see if such sanctions have broken down. This is especially evident in the area of sexuality, where there has been, allegedly, a liberalisation and thus greater enlightenment since the 1960s. Consequently one can find articles in the more conservative newspapers, such as *The Australian*, where women

freely discuss, if not celebrate, what would have been morally unacceptable conduct in my days, long ago when I was a young thing. Thus "Tinder and the 40-Something Woman" (*The Australian* December 1, 2014, p.16) tells the story of women, even those with children, "after years of boring sex with (their) ex", "living" and having casual sex with younger men found through the wizardry of the internet. Is this a good way for mothers, or anyone to behave? I know many Christians just adopt the attitude

"behind me Satan" and refuse to think about the problem of moral decay. But I do and I wonder where this will all end. Why would God bless a society that flourishes and celebrates this and a billion and one other evils? Regardless of any economic innovations, a society that has lost its soul, cannot hope to survive for long. I say "soul" and not "mind" because our liberal society lost its "mind" long ago.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

CHRISTIAN LOVE – NOT SHARIA LAW

A correspondent questioned whether the West would be better under Sharia law, to which Wallace Klinck of Canada replied:

One would assume that this piece was written tongue-in-cheek as a “wake up call” to startle the heretofore somnolent masses in the West who have been indoctrinated by endless mind-conditioning exercised by those who have captured the opinion-making agencies of society. I hardly think that submission to Sharia Law would be a wise solution or expedient by which to deal with our dilemma brought about by a relentless programmed policy of spiritual degradation. While Islam certainly does take a stand against some of these instruments of moral decline, it would be a mistake to imagine that Islamic nations are in fact models of integrity and ethical policies unaffected by intrigue or deception.

Christ’s teachings about the proper basis of relationships

If Christians, so-professed, were less selfishly obsessed with seeking their own personal “Salvation” and more concerned about implementing or incarnating policies beneficial to everyone, based upon Christ’s teachings about the proper basis of relationships, Christian belief and policies could take the world by storm, but our clergy seems to be entirely blinded, deaf and dumb to any such interpretation, much less implementation of Christian principles. Indeed, the clergy seems all too often to regard concern with more than “personal Salvation” to be some kind of attempt to thwart God’s plans. With rare exceptions, I believe that they are hopeless and barren of any constructive proposals for enhancement of the general social

weal (well being...ed).

The fatal weakness of both Islam and Judaism is, in my opinion, a misguided belief that evil can more effectively be dealt with by suppression through fear instilled by application of Law rather than by Love inspired by Faith which “casteth out fear”. I see no end to this process.

Love is a dynamic force

Love is infinitely more powerful as a motivating force and does not require a mushrooming, increasingly oppressive legal and administrative bureaucracy for its “implementation”. Unfortunately, a financial system, based upon rigid Puritanism, which has stopped up the Abundance of the Kingdom (and of the earth) has eroded Faith and so suppressed and inhibited the efflorescence of Love which otherwise would be natural in the absence of fear.

Douglas astutely observed that, in his opinion, the biggest issue with which we are faced is the Incarnation.

GOOGLE’S ERIC SCHMIDT GREASES SKIDS FOR INTERNET BRAIN CHIP

- Infowars - <http://www.infowars.com> - by Paul Joseph Watson, January 22, 2015

Google CEO Eric Schmidt greased the skids for an Internet brain chip during a speech at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland earlier today when he predicted the end of the World Wide Web as an external concept. Asked how he saw the Internet developing in future years, Schmidt responded. “I will answer very simply that the Internet will disappear.”

“There will be so many IP addresses... so many devices, sensors, things that you are wearing, things that you are interacting with that you won’t even sense it,” he added. “It will be part of your presence all the time. Imagine you walk into a room, and the room is dynamic. And with your permission and all of that, you are interacting with the things going on in the room.” Schmidt, who previously caused controversy amongst privacy advocates when he stated, “If you have something that you don’t want

anyone to know, maybe you shouldn’t be doing it in the first place,” concluded his Davos speech by envisaging “A highly personalized, highly interactive and very, very interesting world.”

When Schmidt speaks of sensors that will replace the Internet as a platform accessed only through an external device, he is talking about implantable brain chips.

As we previously reported, in December 2013, Google engineering director Scott Huffman predicted that within five years web users would have microphones attached to their ceilings and microchips embedded in their brains in order to perform quicker internet searches.

This ubiquitous World Wide Web would utilize microphones to instantly answer queries, control other technological devices and make travel plans.

When asked if such a system would be

more vulnerable to government surveillance, Huffman glibly responded that people should just trust Google (a company that allowed the NSA ‘Taps’ “at will”) NSA to mine data from its cloud network to safeguard their information.

“Google believes it can ultimately fulfil people’s data needs by sending results directly to microchips implanted into its user’s brains,” states the London Independent report which features the interview with Huffman.

So when Eric Schmidt talks of the Internet “disappearing,” he really means that it will disappear inside your head. While trans-humanists will see this as an exciting leap forward for the World Wide Web, others will be understandably alarmed at the concept of a giant transnational corporation with cosy NSA connections having microchips embedded inside its customers’ brains.

URL to article: <http://www.infowars.com/googles-eric-schmidt-greases-skids-for-internet-brain-chip/>

A CITIZEN'S INCOME ACCORDING TO THE GREEN PARTY

I'm getting weary of this eternal cry for more work. Let machines do the work – they do it better and more economically. And let people enjoy the fruit, as their cultural heritage.

Let them cultivate the mind, the soul, the character. Leisure is an honour- a blessing.

- - From "Money" - The Social Crediter Vol.5 No.3, August 28, 1936

According to a report in the UK Telegraph, the 'flagship policy' is an unconditional, non-withdrawable income of £71 a week for everyone living in Britain "as a right of citizenship", regardless of wealth or whether they are seeking work.

Benefits and the tax-free personal allowance will be abolished, and top-ups given for people with children or

disabilities, or to pay rent and mortgages. No-one will see a reduction in benefits, and most will see a substantial increase. Parents will be entitled to two years' paid leave from work.

The policy will enable people to "choose their own types and patterns of work", and will allow people to take up "personally satisfying and socially

useful work".

It will cost somewhere between £240-280 billion a year – more than double the current health budget, and ten times the defence budget. Those costs will be off-set by some reduction to the welfare bill, through the replacement of jobseekers' allowance.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/green-party/11356354/Drugs-brothels-al-Qaeda-and-the-Beyonce-tax-the-Green-Party-plan-for-Britain.html>

M. OLIVER HEYDORN RESPONDS TO THE PROPOSAL

You do not make an insufficient flow of consumer income larger by redistributing its allotment.

So it is a universal and unconditional benefit. And it is guaranteed. But, does it recognize that there is a chronic deficiency of consumer purchasing power in the economy? Is it funded through the creation of new money free from any debt or issued without creating any additional costs in order to help bridge that gap and to return the economy to a real, self-liquidating balance? Is it tied to a social policy of increasing leisure in place of full employment as a fixed objective?

Clearly, these sorts of basic income proposals are poor substitutes for Social Credit's National Dividend. They basically assume that there is, automatically, enough purchasing power to meet all prices; it is just badly distributed. But as I have said, you do not make an insufficient flow of consumer income larger by redistributing its allotment. If funded by taxation, such proposals are simply universal welfare, i.e., socialist, and will tend to level out incomes so that we can all be equal ... equally

disenfranchised and dependent on the government for our meal tickets ... now, isn't that attractive?

Of course, some animals are more equal than others. As Anthony Mighchels has warned, such proposals could be used to 'gut the middle classes' who would be forced through taxation to 'share the wealth' with the poor, while the very rich would not be affected much, for one reason or another, by the increase in taxation necessary to pay for the basic income.

Cf. <https://realcurrencies.wordpress.com/2014/12/05/the-basic-income/>.

We want to live in the Leisure State, not the Welfare State. We, as free individuals, want dividends which represent our rightful share in the profits of society as a productive enterprise, not rationed hand-outs from the government planners - you can believe that the 'benefit' will

remain unconditional (with no strings attached) for as long as you want. In an economy that is built on artificial scarcity, it is only a matter of time before that scarcity generates social tensions that have to be "managed" by controlling the conditions under which and the purposes for which such a

universal citizen's income will be granted. It is, after all, a social programme, a social 'benefit' graciously issued by Big Brother; it is not your inherent right as a shareholder in "Great Britain Limited".

M. Oliver Heydorn was a special guest at the 2014 Annual Seminar. The lectures were recorded and now on YouTube at... http://youtu.be/LJ_mEOq7Ujw

Oliver's second video is also on YouTube

<http://youtu.be/-ZLkMcbx5k> Please share with your friends.

All of the lectures are available on DVD from Heritage Book Services. See contact box in this issue.

GREEN POLICIES TOTALLY IGNORE MACRO-ECONOMIC FINANCIAL FACTORS

– Wallace Klinck, Canada

A reading of the Green policies reveals a nightmarish cocktail of provisions which essentially derive from a controlling, legalistic and moralizing philosophy which would dictate and control human lives on a basis which would make an Orwellian-Hobbsian existence look like a Sunday stroll in the park.

The Greens ascribe evil to consumption by ordinary people, thereby shifting blame away from the financial system and toward human nature, and claim without foundation other than ideological, that we live in a world of finite resources which are on the verge of depletion. Their policies totally ignore any macro-economic financial factors and if one looks at some of their leading advocates, this is not surprising. While they seem in some respects to be “Libertarian”, in others they seem quite authoritarian.

Some of you may (or may not) remember the defamatory and vitriolic

screed issued by Green leader Derek Wall in Great Britain which he titled “Social Credit: The Eco-socialism of Fools”. The Greens are obsessed with environmental issues and seem intent on using them as an emotional bludgeon to convince the world that their cause is beyond debate. Their policies would increase taxation, inhibit economic progress and contribute to inflation and their Citizen’s Income would become increasingly unsustainable from a financial standpoint as their policies contracted the economy.

Douglas’s policies would not only be sustainable, but would be the only real environmentally beneficial ones, because his financial measures would enormously reduce waste while enhancing the real standard of living. The Green policies appear to be quite Marxist and like the Frankfurt School, from its highest leadership may well be attempting surreptitiously to drive

society in that direction. At best, my impression is that the Greens are very confused. My impression is that they are, in fact, financially orthodox and have nothing fundamentally different to offer. They do not challenge the policy of full-employment and appear to be inclined toward “make work” projects. If they do not challenge financial orthodoxy, perhaps they serve it, and this may account for the extreme component or “streak” of Puritanism in their philosophy and policy--and for their visceral (official) hostility to Social Credit.

The basic source and driving force of that hostility would appear to be the high level of individual freedom which Social Credit policy is designed to confer upon the individual—a freedom which the authoritarian mind cannot trust and seeks to suppress. This appears to reveal much about the Green concept of human nature.

TONY ABBOTT AND RECOGNITION by Ian Wilson LL.B.

With his falling popularity, PM Abbott has gone into overdrive with the proposed “Recognise” change to the Constitution for Aboriginal recognition. In an article in *The Australian* December 1, 2014, p.14) he entitled it “Another Change We Have to Make”. He hopes to take conservatives with him; you know, some of the types writing for *The Australian*. His argument is that the Constitutional recognition is as important as the 1967 referendum.

Indeed, it has been pointed out that

the 1967 referendum gave us affirmative action, the land rights movement and most of the other politically correct ideologies that we have suffered under since that time. It did not give a vote to Aborigines since Aborigines already had a vote, and rightly so.

The Constitutional changes, if successful, will further racially divide Australia. Who knows what the lawyer class will make of them? But it is good that someone as unpopular as Tony Abbott is championing the

Constitutional change cause. After caving in to the ethnic lobby on section 18C revisions of the Racial Discrimination Act, has zero credibility, among genuine conservatives. After all, if he is not prepared to defend the fundamental liberal value of freedom of speech, why trust him on anything?

Identifying the Constitutional recognition referendum with Tony Abbott will be a sound oppositional strategy. So, give it your best Tony! Keep up the good work!

TIME TO REFLECT ON THE BATTLE BETWEEN ATHENES AND JERUSALEM

Do watch the video-discussion between Gilad Atzmon (author of *The Wandering Who?*) and the Frenchman Robert Faurisson. One commentator had this to say:

I can only respect Gilad Atzmon as an honest and kind individual, who, by some unexplained miracle, has been given an enquiring mind and natural intuition which has allowed him to free himself from the ideological ghetto into

which he was born. I think it has been a great struggle for him that has, nevertheless, resulted in a clear departure from that to which he can never return, having joined the rest of humanity.

Faurisson seems to be the epitome of intellectual integrity and a nice man. What a travesty the way he has been treated.

Robert faurisson and Gilad Atzmon - La Rencontre. CANELLEPLUS Gregadlib
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NHV0j8V1SYc>

A RARE SIGHT OF 'ENDANGERED SCIENTIFIC GRAPH' IN NEWSPRINT

Source: Joanne Nova's website, 23 January 2015:


We skeptics get excited about unusual things. *The Australian* published Michael Asten today in the Op-Ed pages, and took the extremely rare step of publishing a scientific graph (!) with a few error bars and everything. Newspapers publish economic graphs all the time, so it's nice to see the scientific debate getting a bit more sophisticated than just the usual "deniers are evil, government climate scientists speak the word of God" type of stuff. (In the Enlightenment, data was a greater source of authority than any human; how we pine for those days.) The only thing the story should have added was a note that reminds us that not only was the "hottest" record not beyond the error bars but that it did not occur in satellite measurements. I'm sure a lot of people mistakenly think that NASA might use satellites, but they prefer highly adjusted ground thermometers next to airport tarmac instead.

The headline on that graph could have been "Climate scientists don't know what caused most of the big moves on this graph". Some mystery effect caused the warming from 1910-1940. In ClimateScienceTM it is OK to call that "natural variability" and pretend to be 95% sure whatever it was has now stopped.

The Australian

'Angry summer' alarmists all choked up without reading fine print.

Blame me for the red scribble and arrows (which didn't appear in the paper), writes JoNova.



Michael Asten juxtaposes a quote or two. The silliness speaks for itself when placed next to this graph: John Connor, CEO of The Climate Institute, greeted the 2014 result with the comment "This data shows not only a series of alarming years but decades of warming to make an undisputable trend". What does "indisputable" mean anymore? We're not allowed to dispute it? Asten reminds us that back in 2007 when Bob Carter mentioned the earliest warnings that the warming trends were not matching the predictions, he was scolded:

Keep reading:

<http://joannenova.com.au/2015/01/a-rare-sighting-of-endangered-scientific-graph-in-newspprint/#more-40571>

IT, SOCIAL CREDIT'S AGE OF LEISURE – OR SOCIAL CHAOS? By James Reed

Ben MacIntyre, writing in *The Times* (reproduced *The W.E. Australian*, December 6-7, 2014, p.11) has said that a "Second Industrial Revolution" is gripping Britain, and the world. This is the revolution of robotics and IT, which will make 10 million British workers redundant by 2034. MacIntyre is optimistic that the future will not be chaos because technology is an unquestionable good that will produce more jobs than it destroys. The Luddites, after all, were wrong about the Industrial Revolution.

IT and robotics are different because this technology explicitly replaces people. At time Y technology might generate say X thousand jobs. But each of these jobs could in principle be replaced by a machine. There are even robotic journalists that write stories based on an algorithm. I wonder if the financial elite can be replaced by machines as well, evil, dark ones? After all, physicist Stephen Hawking has expressed concern that artificial intelligence could come to threaten mankind, presumably the style of the "Terminator" movies.

Perhaps all is not dark and gloomy. Maybe the "end of work" could be a time of social credit's "age of plenty" and leisure? With a social dividend people would no longer just live for the weekend, but could at least have meaningful lives. The alternative, of global mass unemployment, will surely be the way of war and of mega-death.

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to

'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749;

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: [http://](http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/)

www.veritasbooks.com.au/

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

**Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,
Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.**