



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



IN THIS ISSUE

Immigration Unto Death By Peter West And Richard Miller ..... 2

Just Couldn't Resist Re-Posting This - From David Pascoe's Facebook ..... 3

Not Berry Good - Poisoned By Economic Globalisation ..... 3

Economic Reality Facing Western World ..... 4

Why Chinese Intend To Buy Every Piece Of Food-Producing Land..... 5

'Google' The Fountain Of All Truth? Jonova Website:..... 5

The Failure Of British Multiculturalism By Peter West..... 5

Coal Seam Gas - Call To Establish A Royal Commission..... 6

The Right Of Exclusion Is A Basic Right: By Ian Wilson LL.B. .... 7

Joseph Stiglitz Stands Against Trade Agreements ..... 7

Letter To The Editor ..... 8

An Expensive Speech: Netanyahu's Address To Congress..... 8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

"In the end, more than freedom, they wanted security. They wanted a comfortable life, and they lost it all—security, comfort, and freedom. When the Athenians finally wanted not to give to society but for society to give to them, when the freedom they wished foremost was freedom from responsibility, then Athens ceased to be free and was never free again..."

-- Edward Gibbon, English historian and Parliamentarian, commenting on Ancient Athens in *The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, 1776 and 1788.*

"None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free."  
-- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

ALL GERMANS HAVE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION YOU SAY? I THINK NOT!

"The simple step of a courageous individual is not to take part in the lie. One word of truth outweighs the world."  
-- Alexander Solzhenitsyn

Remember the Charlie Hebdo stage-managed photoshot of the world's leaders in support of freedom of expression? Germany's Angela Merkel was there to show her firm support. **But you see, freedom of expression is not for all in Germany and did NOT include Sylvia Stolz!** (Refer to 'On Target Vol 51. Vol. 03. page 2.)

Former German Lawyer Sylvia Stolz has been jailed again, 25/2/2015



Sylvia Stolz, the former defence attorney for Ernst Zundel has been convicted today in a Munich court, once again under the tyrannical BRD laws concerning so-called "holocaust denial" and thereby "inciting racial hatred". She was sentenced to 20 months in prison with no possibility of parole.

The case stems from her presentation at the AZK in Switzerland in 2012 where she spoke about "Free Speech", and her previous conviction under §130, the court procedures, banned speech, banned evidence and banned legal defence. It mattered not to the court that the "offence" took place outside of the BRD. The host of the AZK (Anti-Zensur-Koalition) Ivo Sasek is also facing criminal charges stemming from this event and the presentation given by Sylvia Stolz, see below.

Lawyer Stolz was jailed for presenting evidence in the Zundel Trial  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PoJY5cBxmdw>

<http://justice4germans.com/2015/02/25/former-german-lawyer-sylvia-stolz-has-been-jailed-again/>

# IMMIGRATION UNTO DEATH : THIS IS HOW THE "AUSTRALIA" Experiment will End :

## Not with a Bang but a Whimper, by Peter West and Richard Miller

If good men continue to do nothing, then "Australia" will end, facing death by socio-ecological collapse. No amount of economic magic can save us if we ignore basic bio-physical realities. Thus the head of the government's commission of audit, Tony Shepherd has advised that Australia's already record level of immigration should not merely be maintained, but substantially increased to "more than 250,000 new migrants a year". The argument this time is not the mining boom, which got this migration madness going, but the need "to raise living standards and add \$1.6 trillion to the economy", and to deal with an ageing population. A study by the Migration Council of Australia wants an expansion of migration to tackle "long-term pressures on the nation".

Kelvin Thompson, the federal Member for Wills put out a media release attacking the "**Migration Council Nonsense**"  
**Friday, 6 March, 2015:**

"The Migration Council report, like the Intergenerational Report, represents a demand by the people who have been digging a hole for Australia to be given a bigger shovel. The call by the Migration Council to increase the migration intake to 250,000 every year is predictable self-interested rubbish from the big end of town. It is a recipe for higher unemployment, falling living standards and a declining environment. Countries with a faster rate of population growth than Australia invariably have poorer living standards and political instability. Countries with a larger population invariably have poorer environments and quality of living for their residents. Back in 2004 our net migration was 100,000. In the years following that it doubled to 200,000 and has stayed above 200,000 ever since. Is Australia better off as a consequence? No it is not. Unemployment is higher, and long-term unemployment and underemployment are much more serious than they were then. Young people are suffering from job insecurity, housing unaffordability, and student debt. This week a Gallup Poll found that many school students lack hope for the future and are not confident of getting a good job - only one in three believed they would get a good job. The quality of life in cities such as Melbourne, Sydney and Brisbane has declined, and voters have reacted to this decline by changing their State and Federal Governments in quick succession. Rather than increasing migration still higher to 250,000, Australia would be far better off returning our migration rate to the 2004 figure of 100,000. This is the only way we can do something about the 800,000 people who are out of work, and the only way we can provide more opportunities for young Australians, indigenous Australians and long-term

unemployed Australians.

The Intergenerational Report is an alibi for attacks on the public sector and the support given by government to its less fortunate citizens. The attempt to use population ageing as a battering ram against the welfare state, with scare campaigns about the cost of pensions and healthcare, has no validity.

While workforce participation is set to fall by 2055, according to Associate Professor Dr Katharine Betts labour force participation for the total population will still be higher in 2061 than it was in 1966. It is worth remembering that back in 1966 unemployment was less than 2 per cent, 92 per cent of jobs were full time, housing was much more affordable and only 7.7 per cent of Australians lived in poverty. In 1945 Australia's population was 7 million. Today it is over 23 million. There is nothing inevitable about rapid population growth. Back in 1945, Sweden's population was also seven million; today their population is nine million.

- <http://candobetter.net/node/4323#SwedenVsAustralia>  
Are we outperforming Sweden as a result? No. Do we have a better relationship with our environment and landscape? No. Does the evidence suggest we are better off as a society for this rapid population growth? No. Increasing Australia's population to 40 million by 2055, as projected by the Intergenerational Report, is the opposite of Intergenerational fairness. It is an utter betrayal of our responsibility to pass on to our children and grandchildren a country in as good a condition as the one our forebears left to us."

Article originally published on Kelvin Thomson's blog at <http://candobetter.net/node/4323>

### The Shape of Horrors to Come

The Fabian capitalist strategy is to make relatively "small" advances to a goal. This has been done with every policy undermining Traditional Australia. It was done with the White Australia Policy, leading to multiculturalism, then Asianisation and next open borders/post-Australia. Feminism began as women's rights and was then pushed onto anti-marriage and now "the end of men" as the title of one book depicts it. Legalised homosexuality has now led to the campaign for gay marriage. Do you see a pattern in this?

### Planet Earth Just a Resource to Exploit

The campaign by the big end of town for 250,000 migrants is just the beginning. The fine print says "more than 250,000 new migrants a year". There is no concern here with infrastructure costs, lifestyle costs and environmental sustainability. The figure of 250,000 is just a thin edge of an infinitely thick wedge. The Fabian strategy is for open borders. The globalists see "Australia" and indeed the planet, as just a resource to exploit. The "long-term" has no economic meaning for them. Short-term profits are the only thing which exists. "Australia" is not meant to last for say another 200 years, let alone 10,000. Nor is the planet. That is why many elites support space stations and the terra forming of other planets that can also be burnt out. The entire universe is just one disposable consumer good.

Christian social credit folk are generally not strong on these issues. We can be honest; we are among friends here, nobody else is listening. All will be lost, individualism, the Constitutional Monarchy, you name it, on the present road. By the 22nd century "Australia", or what it once was, will resemble a Mad Max movie, a burnt-out, radioactive wasteland. Don't let it end that way.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

## JUST COULDN'T RESIST RE-POSTING THIS - from David Pascoe's Facebook



Barnaby Joyce hits the headlines

Dr. Pascoe writes: Thank you Benjamin Wild. Genius and patriot

"This is the Deputy Leader of the National Party, Barnaby Joyce, whose members actually jumped up and ran out of the Chamber this week so they would not have to vote on Senator Larissa Waters's motion to protect the Liverpool Plains from mining. They can run - but they can't hide, because inch by inch - we will expose them all."

### NOT BERRY GOOD - POISONED BY ECONOMIC GLOBALISATION

By James Reed.

The great food poisoning debate has now died out. Inspired by numerous cases of 'hep A' infection from infected berries from China, grim facts about the dark, toxic side of economic globalisation and FTA (Free Trade Agreements) were cautiously revealed to those who are being poisoned.

First, though Australian exports are subject to rigorous quality control, Asian exports, primarily those from China are not. For example products "laced with asbestos" enter the country and Australian Customs and Border Protection admits that it cannot guarantee the safety of imported Chinese goods. ("Made in China" (with Asbestos)," *The Australian* February 23, 2015, p.1) Even though there may have been many outbreaks of hep A in Europe and America from imported Chinese berries, the Australian authorities have conducted no testing. (*The Australian* February 18, 2015 p.1)

Second, Free Trade Agreements will further limit any ability to prevent poisoned food entering the country from China. Chinese agriculture uses "night soil," human sewerage, which if properly treated and composted could be safe. But as detailed in the "Death by China" is not prepared properly. Massive amounts of insecticide and pesticides are applied to foods, and even more for exports to the West. Chinese consumers in China (yes, there are still some Chinese in China), if they have the money, pay up to double the price for imported fruit and vegetables because they don't trust the local Chinese products. (*The Australian* February 23, 2015, p.4) That says it all.

Food labelling in Australia is also biased against buying

Australian made and grown. Thus imported food can be labelled as "made in Australia" if at least half the production costs are acquired onshore; the food itself could be from China. "Australian owned" products could still have actual food from China. "Made in Australia from local and imported ingredients," doesn't say *what* is imported or from *where*. People should demand accurate depictions of the origins of food as Consumer Rights and not be subject to these deceptive and/or misleading representations.

Beyond all of this though, is a cast-iron argument against the madness of globalisation, where Australia, said by the Asia-crazy elites to be food bowl has become a food bowel, and where \$1.1 billion alone is spent on imported fruit. Free Trade only makes the Asian imperialist and global financial elites rich. *In the long term, we locals bear its toxic fruits.*



"Death by China": According to the 'blurb' at Amazon.com:

The world's most populous nation and soon-to-be largest economy is rapidly turning into the planet's most efficient assassin. Unscrupulous Chinese entrepreneurs are flooding world markets with lethal products. China's perverse form of capitalism combines illegal mercantilist and protectionist weapons to pick off American industries, job by job. China's emboldened military is racing towards head-on confrontation with the U.S. Meanwhile, America's executives, politicians, and even academics remain silent about the looming threat. Now, best-selling author and noted economist Peter Navarro meticulously exposes every form of "Death by China," drawing on the latest trends and events to show a relationship spiralling out of control.

"Death by China," reveals how thousands of Chinese cyber dissidents are being imprisoned in "Google Gulags"; how Chinese hackers are escalating co-ordinated cyber attacks on U.S. defence and America's key businesses; how China's undervalued currency is damaging the U.S., Europe, and the global recovery; why American companies are discovering that the risks of operating in China are even worse than they imagined; how China is promoting nuclear proliferation in its pursuit of oil; and how the media distorts the China story--including a "Hall of Shame" of America's worst China apologists. This book doesn't just catalogue China's abuses: It presents a call to action and a survival guide for a critical juncture in America's history--and the world's.

## ECONOMIC REALITY FACING WESTERN WORLD

*"He who marries the spirit of the age soon finds himself a widower."*

-- G.K. Chesterton

**It was in O.T. Vol.40 No.21: 4 June, 2004 that Jeremy Lee wrote these prophetic words:**

"... It was Australia, along with other industrialized nations that welcomed China into the world of free trade via the World Trade Organization. We are going to reap the whirlwind with a vengeance. The idea that small-capacity nations like Australia, with highly taxed, highly paid labour forces, will be able to compete with Chinese output that is rising at an astounding rate, quite capable of flooding the world market at prices no one can compete with, is an exercise in wishful thinking. Multinationals have poured \$22 billion into 600 car manufacturing ventures in China since the 1980s. Volkswagen has just committed a further \$A10 billion into further expansion over the next five years. China's over-capacity in producing cars will continue to grow. There will be no compunction in exporting the surplus at a price which finally displaces our own car industry. (It has...ed)

India's expansion is scarcely less dazzling. Its predominance in communications technology is surpassing the legendary Silicon Valley. Multinationals are pouring investments, which once went into western economies, into India. Some estimate that India may even outpace China within five years. India's largest car manufacturer, Marun Udyog, is now turning out 600,000 units annually...

### **Last death-rattles of 'full employment'**

If there is an advantage for Australia it is that we sit on top of some of the best iron ore and coking coal deposits in the world, and the infrastructure for exploiting these is in place. But we dissipate this advantage in giving away at rock-bottom prices huge quantities of these assets to nations now manufacturing consumer goods which threaten our own industries... What should a country like Australia do, faced with such a challenge? Firstly, we've got to re-think the "growth-and-exports" merry-go-round, which is, traced back to basics, an attempt to catch up with a debt-based financial system. Why don't we aim to produce fewer cars, of a much higher quality? Why don't we take a lead in developing pollution-free vehicles? The technology is available. Mexico City runs its buses and taxis on compressed air engines. The use of hydrogen stations running compressors, which give every motorist an interchangeable compressed air tank instead of gasoline, would shock the world. New, high-quality technology and innovation is what we're best at.

Why don't we open up possibilities for the thriving, largely self-sufficient towns and villages that once abounded in rural Australia? And work out a way in which young Australians can get into their own homes debt-free? Do we want young, happy and enthusiastic Australians who raise families in an environment of contentment? We could do it. Visions do not come on time-payment. Perhaps they

come when everyone has had enough of what Douglas Reed called 'Insanity Fair.'"

Isn't it time the pundits turned to the writings of C.H. Douglas, published eighty years ago, and looked at what he had to say about 'The Delusion of Super-Production' in "Economic Democracy" and "The Breakdown of the Employment System"? They might just catch a glimpse of the light at the end of the tunnel. They might just see how the real world could serve us - not us serving the world of financial figures.

### **In the over-production lie the seeds of a different kind of world... Jeremy continued:**

The Social Credit movement has been pointing to this state of affairs for many years. But conventional political movements from left to right have held the common view that full employment is a "given absolute" for every economy. Yet, in the tragic personal disasters of 'down-sized' workers across the world, staring at over-production everywhere, lie the seeds of a different kind of world -- where it is not necessary for all to work in order to produce everything that the world needs. "Well, how are people going to get money to live?" goes the conventional question. Dr. Jim Cairns (former Labor minister in Whitlam government ...ed) was agreeable to the creation of debt-free money for the government to devise ways of employing everyone. "Make-work schemes" would be an essential part of government policy, however useless and detrimental such work might be.

### **A National Dividend for All**

The Social Credit proposal, on the other hand, is to supplement earnings with a National Dividend, paid to every man, woman and child, as part of the inheritance that the technological era has given them. This would provide individuals with a whole range of choices currently unavailable. The mad rush of whole populations going through the rituals of morning and evening rush hours would become unnecessary. Families, communities and, above all, children, would become more important than jobs. Despair and death would retreat before Life more abundant. The situation was heralded in 1995 in the State-of-the-World Forum, held in San Francisco under the chairmanship of former Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev, in which it was agreed by the many world figures attending that all the world's needs in the coming century could be produced by no more than 20 per cent of the workforce. That situation is upon us. Unless there are changes to the way incomes are distributed, from an employment wage to a national dividend, the promise of a creative world will be dashed to pieces round our ears."

**Source: <http://alor.org/Volume40/Vol40No21.htm>**



# WHY CHINESE INTEND TO BUY EVERY PIECE OF FOOD-PRODUCING LAND They Can Get Their Hands On - in Australia.

"New Hope targets our greener pastures" Source: <http://www.theland.com.au/.../new-hope-targets-o.../2725806.aspx>

## China's land is seriously overloaded - too much fertiliser has degraded the land

China's growing agricultural production is not sustainable, says the head of its largest private food company, and it will increasingly look to Australia for red meat, milk and grain supplies.

The chairman of New Hope Group Liu Yonghao, who has pledged to invest \$500 million in Australian agriculture over the next three years, said China was vulnerable to drought, and its land and water sources were severely degraded. "We have used large amounts of pesticides and fertiliser and this pattern is not sustainable," he said on the sidelines of

the National People's Congress in Beijing. "China's land is seriously overloaded. Too much fertiliser has degraded the land." Mr Liu, who has an estimated fortune of \$US6.3 billion (\$8.2 billion), said China needed to find a "solution" to feed its increasingly affluent population and was looking to Australia. "Australia has a vast landmass, good grasslands and sunshine," he said.

In November, New Hope and the Perich family, who control the listed Freedom Foods, struck an agreement to jointly invest in Australian agriculture projects. They are negotiating a \$100 million deal to expand the Moxey Farms dairy outside Forbes in western NSW.

Mr Liu, a delegate to China's 'rubber-stamp' Parliament, said he preferred to buy Australian food processing assets rather than land: "We are going to build dairy farms ... and also process beef and mutton. It is my belief that this investment is beneficial to both sides and we will co-operate with the local companies."

New Hope made its first Australian investment in December 2013, when it bought a controlling stake in Queensland's Kilcoy abattoir. Mr Liu's comments suggest China will struggle to maintain its current agricultural output, even in key areas such as grain production.

## 'GOOGLE' THE FOUNTAIN OF ALL TRUTH? JoNova website:

Is it the Blue Pill for Google? Will it consign itself to oblivion with "truth" filters?

Google is considering the possibility of "filtering out" politically incorrect and controversial sites by adding a Truth TM ranking for their search results. It is hubris unbounded — oh hear ye, Google ranks truth for humanity! Fergoodnesssake, the narcissism of it.

It might be a "truth" ranking in Orwellian Newspeak but everyone knows that translates as being whatever government and biggest man in the room decides is true. Like every organization which silences debate and censors ideas, it will be superseded in a flash by those who don't.

Why would Google even toy with a move that makes it as much fun as a sterilized encyclopaedia? Too big for their boots — do they think They Are The Net?

By alienating the most active sector of the Net — the creative, high-risk thinkers — the buzz of the web will shift. It will become known that Google is the place to go for official boredom, and safe state propaganda. Moms and dads will send the kids to do school projects on Google, while all the adults go somewhere else. Google-fawn will become Google-yawn. The driving lifeblood of the Internet are the people debating and hunting for the forbidden — the controversial and unpopular theories. The most motivated searchers and most passionate writers want to share exactly the kind of information the mainstream already filters out. (Otherwise, why bother?) Consider how many Bloggies the climate skeptics won compared to the officially approved

competition — one unskeptical site had the entire official world behind them but lamely bailed even though the skeptic vote was divided four ways. So if Google takes the Blue Pill, it will be giving up the "edge", and standing smack in the boring safe middle of official nothingness. Ideas will always seek an outlet. On any computer, Google is only a click away from being replaced. Even the Soviet Union eventually fell, simply because too many people just stopped believing in it. Instead of letting humans figure out what is junk on the Internet, Google wonders if it can do it for them. The narcissism of believing they have the formula that beats three billion brains will be Google's undoing.

Source: <http://joannenova.com.au/> March 8 2015

## THE FAILURE OF BRITISH MULTICULTURALISM by Peter West

Following on from the "Raped in Rotherham" horror, how is good old multiculturalism doing in Britain? One indication is a BBC straw poll, recently conducted, which asked one question: "Is multicultural working?" There were 95 percent of respondents saying "No" and only 5 percent saying "Yes". The programme featured Left-wing journalist Owen Jones, a true believer in interracial mixing who pointed out that Britain has one of the highest levels of interracial relationships in the world and who said:

"Let's promote communities which are mixed and live together, and let's take on the obstacles and concerns that people have."

That, however, has been tried, and its logical conclusion was Rotherham.

James Delingpole, on the same BBC show said: "I think that the great thwacking majority in that poll says it all. The multicultural experiment in Britain has failed totally and people have finally realised how much it has failed.

Rotherham was just one example; we're seeing all around the country. It has been a disaster. I think that this is going to be the turning point."

Contrary to the multicultural/multiracial wonderland loved by interracial-promoting liberals, Britain even with high levels of racial intermixing, and because of it, is becoming a racially segregated society. "White Flight" has led to 620,000 whites leaving London between 2001 and 2011, making London majority non-British heritage.

# COAL SEAM GAS - CALL TO ESTABLISH A ROYAL COMMISSION



While the Australian League of Rights does not claim to understand the 'for' and 'against' arguments in this contentious issue, it is on the basis of the Rights of the Queensland people to private property and their right to determine what happens on their properties that we have followed this issue. (We are aware that the leader of the Palmer United Party has business interests in coal mining.) A Royal Commission would be a good way to start the ball rolling and get the facts about the issues – and determine the rights of the people.

**The good Senator writes:** My name is Glenn Lazarus. I am a Senator for Queensland and the Leader of the Palmer United Party in the Senate.

**(He has since resigned from the party... ed)**

I need your HELP to assist rural and regional communities, farmers and landowners across Australia being impacted by Coal Seam Gas (CSG) mining. I would like your support to call on the Abbott Government to establish a Royal Commission into the Human Impact of CSG mining.

<https://www.change.org/p/the-abbott-government-establish-a-royal-commission-into-the-human-impact-of-csg-mining>

Please sign this petition:- CSG mining involves drilling deep down into the earth to reach methane gas trapped in coal seams by natural water pressure. The gas is released by drawing out huge volumes of water from the underground water table. A toxic cocktail of chemicals is mixed with the water to facilitate the extraction of gas. Hydraulic fracturing (fracking) is undertaken which involves the use of highly toxic chemicals and the injection of water under extreme pressure deep down into the earth to crack open the bedrock and stimulate the extraction of gas. Drilling into the earth is extensive and involves horizontal drilling along large parts of underground areas.

In Queensland, people have few, if any, rights to say no to CSG mining being undertaken on their properties and as a result, many farmers and landowners now have CSG wells and infrastructure all over their properties. Landowners have been bullied, harassed and intimidated by CSG mining companies into signing agreements without any legal support. The value of their properties has plummeted. Parts of rural and regional Australia are littered with CSG wells and above ground infrastructure.

Farmers and landowners across Queensland have experienced significant issues associated with CSG mining. Bores and wells have gone dry, animals are dying, surface water has been contaminated with chemicals and heavy metals and people are becoming ill. Farmers, who once used their bores as a source for fresh water, can no longer access fresh water as the bores now have methane gas bubbling up from them. Communities across the world are

collectively saying 'NO' to CSG mining due to the health and environmental impacts. The industry has exploded before the scientific community has had time to properly assess and determine the real dangers.

CSG mining is considered to be highly harmful to humans:

- It involves vast amounts of contaminated water as extraction of gas draws water out of the coal seam that is highly saline and can contain toxic and radioactive compounds, endocrine disruptors and heavy metals;
- Fracking contaminates large quantities of fresh water with sand and toxic chemicals that are pumped under extreme pressure underground;
- The underground fresh water table is depleted leaving landowners, farmers and communities without water;
- Remaining water is contaminated;
- Wells, processing plants and pipelines leak;
- Toxic chemicals make their way into the land, soil, water and air;
- Direct and indirect health impacts include heart, lung, kidney and neurological problems and cancer;
- It is associated with increased seismic activity such as earthquakes and earth tremors;
- It causes ground subsidence and sinkholes; and
- It has a global warming impact that is as bad if not worse than coal, over a twenty year period.

Communities affected by CSG mining are experiencing a range of chronic health problems directly traceable to contamination of their air, of their water wells/bores or of surface water. CSG mining companies are supposed to

'make good' to replace affected water supplies with potable water or water purification kits. Experts are of the view that underground water will never return to many areas across the country and if it ever does, it will be re-contaminated because the chemicals used in the CSG extraction process take many years to break down, if ever. As a result, property owners can not sell their land.

There is mounting evidence that CSG mining poses substantial risks. Communities across the country are calling for: a freeze on the approval of any further CSG projects (in particular bans on CSG development in drinking water catchments); a ban on fracking; extensive independent scientific research to be undertaken; the establishment of a Resources Ombudsman to support Australians affected by mining, in particular CSG mining; and the conduct of a Royal Commission into the human impact of CSG mining.

The resource sector is an important industry for Australia, but as a country, we can not allow the health of our people to be compromised. All levels of Government have let the people of Australia down allowing the unfettered growth of an industry which is known to be harmful to the environment, human health, communities and ultimately our future.

We NEED to undertake a Royal Commission into the HUMAN impact of CSG mining. I am calling on the Abbott Government to do this. Support this petition if you agree.

Letter to - The Abbott Government. Establish a Royal Commission into the human impact of CSG mining.

# THE RIGHT OF EXCLUSION IS A BASIC RIGHT: IN SUPPORT OF 'DISCRIMINATION' by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Christopher Donovan published an insightful essay in the recent edition of "The Occidental Quarterly" (vol.14, no.2, Summer 2014), "Freedom of Association and the Right of Exclusion: The Rights Before All Others". The modern liberal state denies that such a right exists and favours inclusion and non-discrimination. Thus, there can be no discrimination on the grounds of race, ethnicity and religion in immigration or other civic matters. The military cannot lawfully exclude homosexuals, for example. Donovan argues that people, including whites, have a right of group association and hence a right to separate from others, even other whites. The argument is based upon the empirical observation that "Multiracial societies are failed societies" and that the "racially homogenous society is like a balanced ecosphere or healthy human body – natural in form, all parts working (more or less) together."

Homogenous societies are thus functionally harmonious and institutions such as democratic institutions work better. Racial conflict and victimisation, by definition, do not occur. Traditions and heritage are not subject to ethno-warfare and attack. Indeed, the US white suicide rate increased by 40 percent from 1999 to 2010, directly in line with white dispossession and displacement. (p.6) Further empirical research by sociologist Robert D. Putnam has shown that increasing ethnic diversity erodes social capital. Diversity then is not a strength, but a burden. In short, the multiracial, multicultural society itself erodes basic values such as free speech and freedom of thought. From a Christian perspective there is nothing in the Bible dictating racial suicide. Galatians 3:28 does say: "There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for

ye are all one in Christ Jesus." This passage is about spiritual salvation and no more rejects the racially homogenous society as it would, say, reject distinctions between males and females. The Old Testament is also far from multiracial as Deuteronomy 32: 8 well illustrates: "When the Most High gave the nations their inheritance, when He separated the sons of man, He set the boundaries of the people." Thus the right of exclusion is a basic right. Concluding Donovan observes: "No human group has an unending right to the material support provided by another group. Yet this is the only notion supporting the rickety, rotten infrastructure of the forced multiracial society." Exclusion and discrimination are not evils, but are rights, and basic ones at that because they are necessary for group survival.

## JOSEPH STIGLITZ STANDS AGAINST TRADE AGREEMENTS

February 26, 2015 - Pressenza New York

The United States is trying to impose a strong investment pact within two big, so-called "partnership" agreements that are now being negotiated, one bridging the Atlantic, the other the Pacific. But there is growing opposition.



Joseph Stiglitz, Nobel Prize-winning Economist, Columbia University professor, former chief economist of the World Bank, and author of many books, spoke on the TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership) in Jackson Heights, Queens

during a community event organized by the Communication Workers of America, the Working Families Party, and community leaders.

### The TPP is going to be about regulation.

Corporations on both sides of the Pacific have an interest at lowering regulatory standards that protect the environment, protect consumers, protect workers, and protect health. Corporations are pushing an agenda that will increase corporate profits at the cost of the well-being of people on both sides of the Pacific. One example concerns access to generic medicines. The huge disparity between the cost of production and what is being charged by pharmaceuticals used to be just for AIDS drugs but it is common for cancer drugs, among others. This agreement will make it more difficult to access those life-saving drugs.

### Investment Protection Agreements

Another example is regarding provisions that have nothing to do with trade. They are called investment protection, or investment agreements. They're sold under the guise of protecting property rights and making the economy more efficient. The US is trying to include the

same thing in an agreement with Europe but Europe's reaction is: "What are you talking about? We have as strong property rights as you do in the United States." In truth, it is not about property rights and the very fact that we're putting it in the European agreement demonstrates that. What it is really about is undermining regulatory protections. The Uruguayan president raised this issue in a meeting with Obama recently. Uruguay has been concerned about the impact of cigarettes on the health of their citizens. Cigarettes cause health problems, which take up a lot of resources, so the Uruguayan government has implemented a campaign to get people to stop smoking, an action praised by the WHO. Now Philip Morris is suing Uruguay under an investment agreement because the effort interferes with their "basic right" to sell products that kill people.

The U.S. trade representative is very reluctant to make the actual text of what is being negotiated public, even to Congress (and we're talking about a democracy). WikiLeaks released the draft document, and now we understand why they do not want it seen, because there are provisions that are so adverse to health and environment.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The Editor: Dear Sir,

It was easy to sense some relief when the Federal Government announced new criteria regarding foreign ownership of our farms. Foreigners would now have to be approved by the Foreign Investment Review Board (FIRB) if they planned to buy farmland worth more than \$15m. This figure was reduced from the previous trigger value of more than \$200m.

But what are the exceptions to the new rules?

The Free Trade Agreements (FTA's) which are sought after by so many, do not simply deal with the trade of commodities between countries, as I am sure most people thought. For some reason the terms of the FTA's embrace things much more extensive. They contain clauses about buying our farms!

The Real Estate Institute of Victoria has discovered that our FTA involvement with USA, New Zealand, Chile (and in the

future China) means those countries only need FIRB approval to buy farms worth more than \$1,094m! Investors from Singapore and Thailand need approval if their purchases exceed \$50m! Why bother to set a trigger of \$15m when there are so many exemptions?

The foreigners wish to buy our farms so they can produce food and fibre to send to their homeland. Our farmers prefer to grow the produce and export it to those same people, rather than sell their land. When will the Government get the message?

Why do FTA's involve such matters as the sale of properties? Do any of the MP's or their legal advisers read the clauses of deals and discover wider implications?

Will the next deal known as the Trans Pacific Partnership be explored and details revealed prior to signing?

Sincerely, Ken Grundy

Naracoorte South Australia

## AN EXPENSIVE SPEECH: NETANYAHU'S ADDRESS TO CONGRESS...



\*\*\*\*\*

3rd March 2015—Internet image

Uri Avnery (Israel): I don't think there was ever anything like it. The prime minister of a small vassal country, dependent on the US for practically everything, comes to the capital of the US to openly challenge its President, in effect branding him a cheat and a liar. His host is the opposition party... AIPAC and other Jewish organizations have worked for generations to secure the support of both American parties and practically all senators and congress (wo)men. For years now, no politician on Capitol Hill dared to criticize Israel. It was tantamount to political suicide. The few who tried were cast into the wilderness. And here comes Netanyahu and destroys all of this edifice for one election spectacle...

-- <http://zope.gush-shalom.org/home/en/channels/avnery/1425046294>

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, *'On Target'* and *'New Times Survey'* — Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

**'ALOR Journals'** .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

**'Heritage Bookshop Services'**

For donations to the League please make payments to **'Australian League of Rights'** or **'ALOR'**

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,  
Melbourne, 3000  
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,  
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.  
Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

**VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:** <http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,  
Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.