



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

IN THIS ISSUE

US Commits to Accelerated War Without Asking First	1
Russia's Entry into Syrian Conflict From Another Viewpoint	2
Do You Realise What You Have Done? Asks Putin	3
Lots of Unelected People Agreeing With <i>Appointed</i> PM	4
Refugee Invasion: Now on the More Serious Side	4
Sole Voice of Sanity in the EU Tells Truth on Immigration	6
Senate Voting Changes an Attack On Small Parties Warns Bob Katter	7

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Then comes the issue of the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement** - which is being sold by various trade ministers within the group of 12 negotiating delegations as reaching the final stages for the September 30 meeting in Atlanta.

Fundamentally, the TPP is an American dominated program, which, paradoxically, hollows out the partners it seeks to bring along, even as it hopes to retain ascendancy. It is a form of plunder by stealth, featuring an imposition of controls, be it internet access or the use of patents and generic drugs. Free it may well be, but that will be primarily a matter for US corporate elites.

Nor can there be an equality of negotiating power when countries like Japan and the United States happily conclude their own side agreements on specific industries without consulting other partners. Leverage is still allowed outside the remit of the TPP for the powers to conduct their own affairs, leaving the smaller states in the lurch.

Harper (Canada) has found himself wanting in that department? but has made concluding the TPP prior to the October 19 election a matter of priority. This is “*economism*” in its purest form: a vast ideologically self-justified aversion to the consequences of such arrangements in favour of unflinching dogma. Naturally, he keeps insisting on the virtues of magical consumption, reducing Canadian citizens to purchasing units in data sets. The TPP was never a creation of citizenry for citizenry and resembles the deadened language of boardroom economics and number crunching. Never mind that these numbers themselves do not show a desirable picture.

- - Binoy Kampmark, RMIT Australia. Global Research, September 29, 2015

**U.S. COMMITS US TO ACCELERATED WAR WITHOUT ASKING FIRST**

The world has changed dramatically since Eric D. Butler as a young man in the 1930's rode his pushbike to conduct public meetings. He had set them up having read prolifically what sources of information was then available to him. In the world of 2015 there are so many sources of information and misinformation one continually battles to discriminate between fact and propaganda. But it is now there, at most people's fingertips.

Andrew Bolt on his Blog 1 October 2015, informed his readers the “US committed us to an accelerated war without asking Malcolm Turnbull first”. He continued: “US Secretary of State John Kerry just makes it up as he goes along, carelessly committing Australia to a stepped-up war and possible confrontation with Russia:

“Pursuant to these procedures in Syria over the past year, the coalition has now conducted nearly 3,000 airstrikes against ISIL targets, and we are now in position with France, Australia, Canada, Turkey, and other coalition partners joining the campaign, to dramatically accelerate our efforts. This is what we will do.”

Andrew continued: I guarantee Kerry has not got any Australian commitment to “dramatically accelerate” our efforts in Syria. We only have six fighters in the region, for heaven's sake. We're in no position to accelerate anything.

Our new defence minister barely knows what to say in response to this astonishing claim: Asked whether Australia intended to accelerate its efforts, Senator Payne told Fairfax Media: “There has been no change to our involvement, however we continue to monitor the situation closely.”

Naturally, you'd expect our Foreign Minister to stay in New York, where Kerry is, to figure out exactly what he's trying to commit us to and whether he's got the slightest idea of how to handle Russia's entry into the Syrian conflict. But, no, Bishop is on her way back to Australia. An AFL grand final in Melbourne to catch. But she's on the phone, don't you worry”.

## RUSSIA'S ENTRY INTO SYRIAN CONFLICT FROM ANOTHER VIEWPOINT

An article by a Professor Arthur Noble, an Ulsterman and Professor Emeritus of German at the Universities of Metz and Nancy (I believe) had the following observations of Vladimir Putin's recent UN speech and the Syrian conflict:

He (Putin) stressed that the fundamental solution to the current mass 'refugee' crisis that is swamping Europe is rooted in "restoring statehood where it has been destroyed, strengthening government institutions where they are weak, and providing comprehensive assistance to the peoples' countries of origin".

### UN an obstacle to US hegemony

In a further denunciation of Washington's attempts at world hegemony President Putin said that "a single centre of domination" had emerged in the world after the Cold War era ended, and that those who were at the "top of this pyramid" were tempted to think that "if they were so strong and exceptional, they knew what to do better than others". For this reason, he said, they do not have to reckon with the UN, which, instead of automatically authorising [and] legitimising the necessary decisions often creates obstacles or in other words "stands in the way".

His Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov reiterated this criticism in an interview of 29 September 2015: "Whenever people act bypassing the United Nations, whenever they try to use force, not asking the Security Council to consider a special situation and to issue a necessary mandate, people undermine the UN authority – and this is something which happened in Iraq, it happened in Libya, it happened in Yugoslavia before, and in all these cases, these countries did not benefit. Yugoslavia collapsed, Iraq is in crisis, and there is a danger that Iraq might also split."<sup>3</sup>

### U-Turn?

While Obama continues to wonder what to do about the mess which Washington has unleashed in Syria and elsewhere, Putin has not faltered but has demonstrated unwavering consistency, which "has Washington fuming".<sup>4</sup>

His mature statesmanship stands in stark contrast to the confusion, incompetence and bungling of Obama, who had earlier warned that Russia's strategy of continued support for Assad was "doomed to fail" and a "big mistake" and arrogantly said that Putin was "going to have to start getting a little smarter".<sup>5</sup>

On the contrary: it is Washington's policies that have failed – in every conflict which it has caused or in which it has intervened. Even the American press appears to be coming to its senses.

"Following Putin's UN speech, *The Wall Street Journal* had to revise its previous assessment of the two Presidents:

"Vladimir Putin doesn't seem to share President Obama's definition of 'smarter'. Ten days ago Mr Obama declared that the Russian President's military deployments in Syria were 'doomed to fail' and the Kremlin was 'going to have to start getting a little smarter'.

Mr Putin then began sending fighter jets, and now it looks like Mr Obama is the one who has been taken to school."<sup>6</sup> Washington has subsequently declared that it will not rule out cooperating with Russia on Syria.<sup>7</sup>

### Irony

"Do not expect an accurate and truthful account of events from Washington or the Western press as a result of these developments. Their lies, doubletalk and propaganda will continue in an attempt to save face in political defeat.

Already they are falsely accusing Russia, without a shred of evidence, of targeting the opposition in Syria instead of hitting ISIS.

The supreme irony, however, is that Vladimir Putin, the man whom they maligned, demonized, and likened to Hitler, has exposed their pretence and sheer hypocrisy, turned the tables on them, and is at last seen by world leaders in his true colours.

Putin has reduced Obama's empty thunder to a more befitting pathetic squeak.

### Notes

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.rt.com/politics/official-word/316860-lavrov-un-authority-isis/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.rt.com/op-edge/315966-putin-syria-us-assad/>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.wsj.com/articles/putins-syria-tour-de-force-1442790081>

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*; emphasis mine

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/white-house-wont-rule-out-cooperating-with-russia-in-syria/article/2573128>

**Youtube: 'Do you realise what you've done?'** Putin addresses United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) 2015  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q13yZl6k6w0>

**Another well worth watching:** Putin's eye-opening speech about US Foreign Policy and McCain made four years ago  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=932K6tZ5Ea4>

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,  
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street, Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

## "DO YOU REALISE WHAT YOU HAVE DONE?" ASKS PUTIN

from Patrick Buchanan's website, 2 October, 2015.  
So Vladimir Putin in his U.N. address summarized his indictment of a U.S. foreign policy that has produced a series of disasters in the Middle East that we did not need the Russian leader to describe for us. Fourteen years after we invaded Afghanistan, Afghan troops are once again fighting Taliban forces for control of Kunduz. Only 10,000 U.S. troops still in that ravaged country prevent the Taliban's triumphal return to power.

A dozen years after George W. Bush invaded Iraq, ISIS occupies its second city, Mosul, controls its largest province, Anbar, and holds Anbar's capital, Ramadi, as Baghdad turns away from us — to Tehran. The cost to Iraqis of their "liberation"? A hundred thousand dead, half a million widows and fatherless children, millions gone from the country and, still, unending war.

How has Libya fared since we "liberated" that land? A failed state, it is torn apart by a civil war between an Islamist "Libya Dawn" in Tripoli and a Tobruk regime backed by Egypt's dictator.

Then there is Yemen. Since March, when Houthi rebels chased a Saudi sock puppet from power, Riyadh, backed by U.S. ordinance and intel, has been bombing that poorest of nations in the Arab world. Five thousand are dead and 25,000 wounded since March. And as the 25 million Yemeni depend on imports for food, which have been largely cut off, what is happening is described by one U.N. official as a "humanitarian catastrophe."

"Yemen after five months looks like Syria after five years," said the international head of the Red Cross on his return. On Monday, the wedding party of a Houthi fighter was struck by air-launched missiles with 130 guests dead. Did we help to produce that?

### **What does Putin see as the ideological root of these disasters?**

"After the end of the Cold War, a single centre of domination emerged in the world, and then those who found themselves at the top of the pyramid were tempted to think they were strong and exceptional, they knew better."

Then, adopting policies "based on self-conceit and belief in one's exceptionality and impunity," this "single centre of domination," the United States, began to export "so-called democratic" revolutions.

### **How did it all turn out? Says Putin:**

"An aggressive foreign interference has resulted in a brazen destruction of national institutions. ... Instead of the triumph of democracy and progress, we got violence, poverty and social disaster. Nobody cares a bit about human rights, including the right to life."

Is Putin wrong in his depiction of what happened to the Middle East after we plunged in? Or does his summary of what American interventions have wrought echo the warnings made against them for years by American dissenters?

Putin concept of "state sovereignty" is this: "We are all different, and we should respect that. No one has to conform to a single development model that someone has once and for all recognized as the right one." The Soviet Union tried that way, said Putin, and failed. Now the Americans are trying the same thing, and they will reach the same end.

Unlike most U.N. speeches, Putin's merits study. For he not only identifies the U.S. mindset that helped to produce the new world disorder, he identifies a primary cause of the emerging second Cold War. To Putin, the West's exploitation of its Cold War victory to move NATO onto Russia's doorstep caused the visceral Russian recoil. The U.S.-backed coup in Ukraine that overthrew the elected pro-Russian government led straight to the violent reaction in the pro-Russian Donbas.

### **What Putin seems to be saying to us is this:**

If America's elites continue to assert their right to intervene in the internal affairs of nations, to make them conform to a U.S. ideal of what is a good society and legitimate government, then we are headed for endless conflict. And, one day, this will inevitably result in war, as more and more nations resist America's moral imperialism. Nations have a right to be themselves, Putin is saying.

They have the right to reflect in their institutions their own histories, beliefs, values and traditions, even if that results in what Americans regard as illiberal democracies or authoritarian capitalism or even Muslim theocracies. There was a time, not so long ago, when Americans had no problem with this, when Americans accepted a diversity of regimes abroad. Indeed, a belief in non-intervention abroad was once the very cornerstone of American foreign policy.

Wednesday and Thursday, Putin's forces in Syria bombed the camps of U.S.-backed rebels seeking to overthrow Assad. Putin is sending a signal: Russia is willing to ride the escalator up to a collision with the United States to prevent us and our Sunni Arab and Turkish allies from dumping over Assad, which could bring ISIS to power in Damascus.

Perhaps it is time to climb down off our ideological high horse and start respecting the vital interests of other sovereign nations, even as we protect and defend our own.

**Read more:** <http://buchanan.org/blog/the-mind-of-mr-putin-124130>

## LOTS OF *UNELECTED* PEOPLE AGREEING WITH *APPOINTED* PM

Somehow I don't think Andrew Bolt is overly impressed with Malcolm Turnbull and his recent actions. While Andrew was usually praising or defending Tony Abbott in his columns I haven't noticed the same enthusiasm for Malcolm Turnbull.

### **Yes, but what will they actually do?**

Andrew Bolt Blog, 1 October 2015.

How thrilling. Lots of unelected people agreeing with the appointed PM on what some of the problems are.

But one problem was not identified - the lack of any agreement on how to fix stuff. Try to spot a government policy in all this talk:

Mr Turnbull met business, union and community leaders for three hours today as a follow-up to a privately-sponsored reform gathering in August. "We have got a very clear commitment from the prime minister to take today's agenda forward," [Business Council of Australia chief executive] Jennifer Westacott told reporters, describing the meeting as "outstanding".

Discussion centred on how to lift productivity to create more jobs through innovation, a new skills agenda and rethinking working practices in the face of technological changes.

"We need to focus on infrastructure, we need to focus on deregulating the economy, particularly the performance of the states who control much of the regulation that often stymies that innovation," Ms Westacott said.

ACTU secretary Dave Oliver said there were many areas of commonality at the meeting. "We all have one thing in common and that is it is all about growth," he said.

Australian Industry Group chief Innes Willox described the meeting as "one step in a process"... The government came away with a better understanding of what is happening in the workplace and the economy more broadly, and the suite of options for it to pursue."

Yes, but what do the people want in all of this?

## SHARING 'CULTURAL DIVERSITY' IN MALCOLM'S ELECTORATE

Just had to share this one, it was sent with the message: "How good is this! Love it!!!!" For our overseas readers you would need to understand Aussie humour to 'get it all'.

It begins with: "Putting the question to the ultra-rich of Sydney to embrace 12,000 Muslim so-called refugees!"

"On Sunday 27th September, a group of 'Party for Freedom' activists travelled to Malcolm Turnbull's residence in Point Piper asking him to sign a petition to re-settle 12,000 Muslim refugees in Sydney's eastern suburbs. Recently the federal government announced a commitment to resettle 12,000 Syrian Muslim refugees in Australia under the UNHCR humanitarian programme. We believe the majority of Australians object to this disastrous decision to import more culturally incompatible people who are seeking eternal welfare especially considering that 7,000 of these Muslim refugees will be unloaded in the economically depressed suburbs of Western Sydney. Refugees of the Islamic faith have proven to be incompatible with our way of life and values, and a complete burden on the Australian taxpayer.

We journeyed to the sheltered and exclusive boroughs of Point Piper and Double Bay to ask local residents to sign our petition but we were met with resistance. We believe it is only fair to share the cultural diversity that has plagued the former working class suburbs of Sydney and other large Australian cities.

We dressed in traditional Islamic attire to celebrate the vibrancy and excitement of Islamic cultural diversity. On our way to PM Malcolm Turnbull's place, we asked many Point Piper residents to sign our petition but our requests were rudely denied. Once we reached Malcolm's plush residence in 'diversity free' Point Piper, we were confronted by Police who instructed us not to approach his house so we were not able to personally ask Malcolm to sign our petition but we will be mailing a petition to him requesting all the 12,000 Syrian Muslim refugees find permanent sanctuary in his elitist electorate...

**Continue reading this article on...** <https://www.partyforfreedom.org.au>

### **'REFUGEE' INVASION: Now on the more serious side**

Andrew Bolt shares with his readers just what it is like in parts of Europe because of the 'refugee' invasion. Australians would do well to seriously consider just where the policies of our so-called 'leaders' are leading this country and its people.

Andrew in " 'Refugees' invade Europe in first class", Andrew Bolt Blog September 30 2015 (7:22am) presented a realistic picture of what is happening right now on that continent.

But I disagree with his defence of the Coalition who he sees as still 'conservative'. We were told that 'by their fruits you will know them' and over the last few decades the Liberals and Nationals have time and again sold out their people as the ALoR has continually tried to show. But then, so has the Labor Party.

Whilst he is writing for a British readership I thought Peter Hitchens on his *Daily Mail Blog*, summed up what had happened in politics over the last two or more decades.

*(continued on next page)*

(continued from previous page)

Peter Hitchens writes: "Why Owen Jones Has Got it all Backwards" *Daily Mail*, 11 September 2015

"For 15 years now, I've been trying to explain to left-wingers what 'left-wing' means. It is strange that, while many of these people have a clear grasp of modern technology, can 'get' references to TV programmes, films and rock bands of which I have never heard, and are, how shall I say, more fashionably dressed than I, they still approach politics as if it hadn't altered since 1945.

They still seem to think that nationalisation of industry is a major aim of the left. They still approach Marxism, if they approach it at all, as if Soviet Communism was the end point of Marxist thought, and nothing has happened since. Many imagine that Marxism was defeated utterly in 1989-91, when the Soviet empire fell. Likewise they still view the USA as the arsenal of reaction, a highly-conservative country at home and abroad.

No wonder that, stuck in these categories, they are clueless about the real nature of New Labour and of the Tory Party which has embraced New Labour's policies (without understanding their aims and origins)... "Roy Jenkins's 1959 book 'The Labour Case' and Anthony Crosland's 'Future of Socialism' correctly identified the left with moral and cultural revolution, and with dogmatic social egalitarianism. The lasting achievements (like them or not) of the 1964-70 Labour government were not economic or in the field of state ownership. They were: comprehensive schooling, an egalitarian political project of huge power, adopted (despite its utter educational failure) by the Tory Party as well.

This issue is the true litmus test of modern politics, and is not merely Labour's real Clause Four, but has become David Cameron's Clause Four as well; the array of legal changes summed up (by a resentful Jim Callaghan) as 'the permissive society' - simple, swift unilateral divorce, the de facto decriminalisation of cannabis (actually enacted, using a Labour template, by the Tories in 1971), the removal of the principle of punishment from the criminal justice system, the keystone of this being the abolition of capital punishment for heinous murder; the introduction of what rapidly became abortion on demand.

This last would be followed by the prescription of contraceptive pills first to the unmarried and then to those under the legal age of sexual consent without the knowledge of their parents.

These vast changes, described and explained in my books 'The Abolition of Britain' (1999), 'A Brief History of Crime' (2003) and 'The War We Never

Fought' (2014) utterly transformed private life and the nature of British society, and have been continued and reinforced, never reversed or moderated, by subsequent governments of all parties.

One major result has been the transformation of the police force from a locally run, conservative consensual enforcer of the 'public will' into a highly-politicised (and nationalised) exercise in social engineering, with a hilariously slight interest in actual crime or disorder.

They were accompanied by an increasing willingness to permit large-scale immigration, and a decreasing willingness to insist on the integration of the new arrivals. This aided the process of diluting and replacing the former conservative, Christian culture of the country, which came to be seen as ill-mannered towards the new citizens. Thence came multiculturalism, and the insistence on 'Diversity' and 'Equality' eventually enshrined in the Equality Act put through Parliament by Harriet Harman with the qualified but definite assistance of Theresa May...

#### **And so the list goes on... Hitchens continues:**

"More credit should be given to my late brother, Christopher, for correctly identifying the modern USA as the most revolutionary power on the planet, opposed to crabby conservative concepts such as national sovereignty, sweeping away the tedious restraints of migration controls and protective tariffs. It's this economic liberalism - allied with the personal liberalism of 'Nobody can tell me what to do with my own body', which has somehow become identified with the British Conservative Party and the American Republicans, even though it's not in the least bit conservative.

This is why Owen Jones's reference to Alan Milburn's private enterprise activities in his article <http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/sep/09/peter-hitchens-tory-trotskyite-left-right#comment-59164209> misses the point so totally. The left no longer has the slightest difficulty with business or wealth. It's utterly relaxed.

Peter Mandelson and Deng Xiaoping, both educated in Marxism, both concluded that to get rich is glorious. Did they cease to be revolutionaries? I don't think so. Hasn't New Labour revolutionised Britain? Isn't China revolutionising the world, far more than it did before Deng let rip with the capitalism? Did Mr Milburn?"

#### **Wake up Australia!**

**Ref:**<http://hitchensblog.mailonsunday.co.uk/2015/09/why-owen-jones-has-got-it-all-backwards.html>

## AT LONG LAST, FEMINISM HAS FOUND A WISE VOICE

Singer Chrissie Hynde made headlines this week. Feminism has many faces. Compare and contrast Charlotte Proudman, the censorious Thought Policewoman who publicly denounced an internet bore when she could have slapped him down in private, and the singer Chrissie Hynde. Ms Hynde lived the entire dream and nightmare of 1960s liberation, and is impressively honest about the bitter depths to which this took her, and the sadness this caused her parents. Last week she was questioned on this by the high priestess of po-faced British feminism, Jenni Murray. The exchange didn't go quite as Ms Murray expected.

Chrissie Hynde's thoughts about feminism and knicker-displaying rock stars have been much publicised. But this was the bit that really impressed me: *'I don't think it's liberating at all to think that you can behave like a man... When this false commodity of sexual liberation came along, which made us think that we could act like them – actually women don't act like men and they also respond emotionally very differently to men. It took me a long time to find out, and I don't think it was any kind of liberation. I think it was more enslavement.'*

Jenni Murray immediately changed the subject.

- - Peter Hitchens Blog, Daily Mail 27 September 2015-09-27

## SOLE VOICE OF SANITY IN THE EU TELLS TRUTH ON IMMIGRATION

*"Everything which is now taking place before our eyes threatens to have explosive consequences for the whole of Europe. Europe's response is madness. We must acknowledge that the European Union's misguided immigration policy is responsible for this situation."*

"Hungary's Viktor Orban, Sole Voice Of Sanity In The EU, Tells The Truth On Immigration," by Gerald Warner, 8 Sep 2015.

The voice of truth and common sense has been so long stilled that to hear it given utterance by anyone in government in Europe is startling. Those words of Viktor Orban, Prime Minister of Hungary, are the first truthful and accurate analysis we have heard from any EU politician of the immigration catastrophe now engulfing our continent. Nor did he confine himself to those remarks.

"Those arriving have been raised in another religion and represent a radically different culture. Most of them are not Christians, but Muslims," he added.

"This is an important question because Europe and European identity is rooted in Christianity. Is it not worrying in itself that European Christianity is now barely able to keep Europe Christian? There is no alternative, and we have no option but to defend our borders."

To defend Christianity today is to violate the ultimate taboo of the Brussels multiculturalists and the forces of political correctness that promote mass immigration for precisely the objective of eradicating Europe's Christian identity. Orban and his Fidesz party had long been a target for demonisation by the cultural Marxist left, ever since it gave Hungary an admirable new constitution. When Hillary Clinton, the New York Times, the United Nations and the Brussels kleptocracy all denounce a nation, it is a clear sign that it is doing something good.

Hungary has already been invaded – no other term is accurate – by 160,000 migrants this year alone. Now its responsible approach to the problem has triggered

abuse from EU politicians who are subjecting their own countries to demographic inundation and cultural extinction.

### Effrontery of Francois Hollande

Francois Hollande had the effrontery to ask if Hungary had forgotten how it was taken in by Europe at the end of the Cold War and welcomed into the EU.

More to the point, has it forgotten how it was abandoned by Europe in 1945 and handed over to the Soviet Union, at a time when the West had the atomic bomb and Stalin did not? Has it forgotten how its bid for freedom was ignored in 1956 while France and Britain engaged in an adventure in (where else?) the Middle East?

Above all, has it forgotten how, during the First World War, the French premier Clemenceau torpedoed the honourable peace plan proposed by King Charles IV of Hungary (Emperor of Austria), at a cost of a million more lives, and how the French led the dismemberment of Hungary at the Treaty of Trianon in 1920? By that act of banditry and demographic rapine Hungary lost two-thirds of its territory, all of its seaports, nearly 90 per cent of its natural resources and one-third of all ethnic Hungarians in its population. Clearly, Hungary has a lot to be grateful for to Europe, and France in particular.

Orban is right, too, in claiming this is a German problem. Seventy years ago Germany devastated Europe. Now, in a grandstanding gesture to show how utterly it has repudiated "racism", Germany is destroying Europe again.

The media have plunged new depths of cynicism and mendacity. The corpse of a three-year-old child has been exploited to facilitate an invasion of economic migrants, not "desperate refugees" but aggressive, backpacking young males on the make. Why would people be "desperate" to leave Hungary? Desperate people would not throw food and water off trains at Hungarian police. Some of these migrants are richer than poor Hungarians.

*(continued on next page)*

(continued from previous page)

Where are the genuine refugees, the Iraqi and Syrian Christians at risk of murder by ISIL? When did we last see a Christian refugee family featured in the media? Or do Syrian Christian women wear the hijab? If we had not imported 8 million unnecessary immigrants already, we would have plenty of room for terrorised Christians. That would be the truly humanitarian response: rigorously screen the migrants, accept only the Christians (the policy adopted by Cyprus) and send the Muslims to the rich Islamic states such as Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates and Qatar, who are not known to have taken in a single refugee. If Shiite Muslims are in danger from ISIL, let Iran admit its co-religionists.

Beyond that, we need a 10-year moratorium on all immigration.

### **EU Ruled by Blancmanges? (white meat or fish in a cream sauce)**

Since the Dublin Convention – now unilaterally suspended by Germany – declares migrants have refugee status only in the first EU country they arrive in, hardly anyone entering Britain has a legitimate claim to that status. The terrifying reality is that Europe is the target of a vast, seismic migratory movement unparalleled in human history. In total, 60 million people are travelling towards us, 15 million from Africa alone.

What are our leaders doing about it? The EU is not ruled by men but by blancmanges. Our own Dave, (Cameron...ed) who dishonestly pledged to cut migration to “tens of thousands” and chalked up a record 636,000 new arrivals in the year to March, has now invited an additional 20,000 to cross the welcome mat into Liberty Hall. Do Hungarians know how fortunate they are to have their security and culture defended by a man like Viktor Orban? If prime ministers could be transferred like football managers, Britain would surely bid for Orban.

**Read also:** <https://www.partyforfreedom.org.au/2015/09/28/sharing-cultural-diversity-in-malcolms-electorate/>

### **SENATE VOTING CHANGES AN ATTACK ON SMALL PARTIES WARNS BOB KATTER**

*by cairnsnews, 23 September 2015:*

Federal Member for Kennedy Bob Katter today joined Federal crossbenchers in slamming the Government’s reported proposal to change the voting system in the Senate, which he said is a move designed to advantage the major parties and wipe out small parties.

“Clive Palmer’s dead right on this occasion, when he says this is an attempt by the major parties to rig the system and emasculate the ‘other’ point of view,” Mr Katter said. I think people like Dio Wang, John Madigan, Nick Xenophon, Ricky Muir, Bob Day and even the Jacqui Lambies are outstanding examples of independents and small parties who make a very valuable contribution.

They vote, in my opinion, infinitely more intelligently than those in the mainstream major parties, who have destroyed our economy with their fanatical free-marketism. “They represent the people, the rest represent the ALP and LNP corporations,” Mr Katter said. Mr Katter attacked the two-party system, which he said, was not appealing to the majority of voters.

“We are the only country on earth that has the two-party system, except America which has constituency voting, but which makes them much more sensitive to their electorates than even a multi-party system,” Mr Katter said. “So maybe the rest of the world’s wrong and we’re the only country on Earth that’s right. But that’s clearly not what the people think when they vote now, as over 20% of them will not vote for the mainstream parties.”

Mr Katter added that even without the balance of power, independents and small parties could achieve extraordinary results. “The re-opening of the live cattle trade to Indonesia is one example,” Mr Katter said. “The reversal of the decision to sell the Snowy Mountains is another. The Snowy arguably facilitates through irrigation half of Australia’s agricultural production and nearly a tenth of the nation’s vital peak load power. Without independents in the Parliament that decision would not have been reversed.

The Australian people were responsible for the decision, but it could not have been achieved without the cross-benches”.

### **CHANGING THE DEFINITION OF HUMAN ORIGINS by Mrs Vera West**

Genetically modified humans now exist but apparently they are "not for eating". In the United States there are 30 babies born containing genes from three "parents". Women who had problems conceiving had genes from a female donor inserted into their eggs before fertilisation. This has resulted in the genes being incorporated into the germline.

An article on this was published in *The Australian*, September 28, 2015, p.3, reporting that lobbying is occurring in Australia for approval of "three parent" babies as in Britain. As to be expected there are people eager for in-vitro techniques such as this to deal with their mitochondrial disease. Isn't there always. If there was a technique perfected that used genes from swine, say, which then became incorporated into the "germline" there would also be support for that. People want science to deliver magic bullets. Who can blame them?

However John Smeaton, national director for the Society for the Protection of Unborn Children, has said: "One has tremendous sympathy for couples who suffer infertility problems. But this seems to be a further illustration of the fact, that the whole process of in-vitro fertilisation as a means of conceiving babies leads to babies being regarded as objects on a production line". Indeed it is further evidence that science and technology are out of control.

## CATHOLIC CONGRESSMAN TAKES POPE TO TASK - James Delingpole

Delingpole writes: The Pope should stop talking and acting “like a leftist politician” on climate change and instead concern himself with things that really matter, such as the murder of Christians by ISIS and the “intentionally planned genocide of unborn children by Planned Parenthood.”

So says Rep. Paul Gosar, who is planning to boycott the Pope’s forthcoming visit to the House of Representatives in protest. Gosa, “a proud Catholic” says he was initially excited about the Pope’s visit.

Mr. Gosar said: Many believed, like I did, that this was an opportunity for the Pope to be one of the world’s great religious advocates and address the current intolerance of religious freedom. An opportunity to urgently challenge governments to properly address the persecution and execution of Christians and religious minorities; to address the heinous and senseless murders committed by ISIS and other terrorist organizations.

An opportunity to address the enslavement, belittlement, rape and desecration of Christian women and children; to address the condoned, subsidized, intentionally planned genocide of unborn children by Planned Parenthood and society; and finally, an opportunity for His Holiness to refocus our priorities on right from wrong.

But Gosar changed his mind when he realized that the Pope’s main focus would be “climate change”: More troubling is the fact that this climate change talk has adopted all of the socialist talking points, wrapped false science and ideology into “climate justice” and is being presented to guilt people into leftist policies.

Gosar is by no means the first to make this observation. Since publishing his controversial encyclical on the environment *Laudato Si’* earlier this year, the Pope has been accused of putting far too much faith in the doomsday predictions of his green activist advisors - such as the fanatical German warmist Hans Joachim Schellnhuber - and rather too little trust in the hard scientific evidence, which shows that the whole “global warming” scare has been monstrously overblown.

But few have put it quite so forthrightly. In a piece entitled “Why I Am Boycotting Pope Francis’ Address to Congress,” Gosar says:

The earth’s climate has been changing since God created it, with or without man. On that, we should all agree...If the Pope wants to devote his life to fighting climate change then he can do so in his personal time. But to promote questionable science as Catholic dogma is ridiculous.

**Ref:** <http://www.breitbart.com/author/james-delingpole/>

### AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS NATIONAL WEEKEND

Friday 30<sup>th</sup> – Saturday 31<sup>st</sup> OCTOBER 2015  
IN ADELAIDE  
Public Schools Club  
207 East Terrace,  
Adelaide, SA 5000  
Phone: 08 8223 3213

**ACCOMMODATION :** The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance.

Chifley, 226 South Tce. Ph. 8223 4355

Country Comfort, 215 South Tce. Ph. 8223 2800

Rydges, 1, South Tce. Ph. 8212 1277

### 800 YEARS OF MAGNA CARTA

#### SEMINAR SPEAKERS

Mr. Philip Benwell OAM  
Mr. Robert Balzola  
Mr Bernard Gaynor

#### BOOKINGS FOR THE SEMINAR DIRECT TO DOUG & JEAN HOLMES

Hancock Mews  
10/308 Hancock Rd.,  
Surrey Downs, SA 5126  
Phone 08 8289 0049  
M0421 925 557

#### THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — <http://alor.org/>

When ordering journals, ‘On Target’ and ‘New Times Survey’ – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to --  
‘ALOR Journals’

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to --  
Heritage Bookshop Services’

For donations to the League please make payments to--  
‘Australian League of Rights’ or ‘ALOR’

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:  
Heritage Bookshop,  
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queens Street,  
Melbourne, 3000  
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).  
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia  
Heritage Book Mailing Service,  
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.  
Phone: (08) 71237131;

All Other States:  
To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:  
<http://veritasbooks.com.au/>