



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 52 No. 13

1st April 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

Hungary PM Victor Orban Says Its Time for Resistance	1
If Only It Was That Simple by Betty Luks	2
Hillary Clinton, America and Israel	4
Safe Schools and the Revolt of the Primitive by Uncle Len	5
The Ideology of Hate Speech by Ian Wilson LL.B.	6
Of Course Trump is a “Plant” by Chris Knight	7

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: A joke - surely? Or a little Joker for April 1st?

DiCaprio: Communist China Becoming ‘Hero of Climate Change and sustainability’

The Daily Caller reported: Leonardo di Caprio said China, one of the most polluted countries in the world and ruled by a communist government, has an opportunity to become the “hero of the environmental movement” for its concerted efforts to curb carbon emission output.

“DiCaprio, coming off his big Oscar win, heaped praise on China while promoting his new movie “The Revenant” in Beijing... As we all know, the United States and China are the two biggest contributors, and I think that China has made radical movements forward as far as alternative energy and ways to be sustainable,” he said at a press conference. China has the opportunity “to change the world and I have all the confidence in the world that that is their intention,” DiCaprio added.

Source: <http://dailycaller.com/2016/03/21/dicaprio-communist-china-is-becoming-a-hero-on-climate-change/>

Paul Homewood on “**Not a Lot of People Know That**” posed the question:

“One is tempted to ask how the little twit made his way to Beijing in the first place – by bicycle maybe?”

HUNGARY’S PM VICTOR ORBAN SAYS – IT’S TIME FOR RESISTANCE

He said: “The destiny of Hungary has become part of the European Union and has grown to be much a part of the union, that today not a single people – including the Hungarian people – can be free if Europe is not free. And today Europe is as fragile, weak and sickly as “a flower being eaten away by a hidden worm”. Today, 168 years after the great wars of Independence of the European peoples, Europe, our common home is not free!

Freedom begins with speaking the truth. Today in Europe it is forbidden to speak the truth. Even if it is made of silk, a muzzle is a muzzle. It is forbidden to say that those arriving are not refugees, but that Europe is threatened by migration.

It is forbidden to say tens of millions are ready to set out in our direction. It is forbidden to say that immigration brings crime and terror to our countries. It is forbidden to point out that the masses arriving from other civilisations endanger our way of life, our culture, our customs and our Christian traditions.

It is forbidden to point out that those who arrived earlier have already built up their own new, separate world for themselves with its own laws and ideals, which is forcing apart the thousand-year-old structure across Europe.

It is forbidden to point out that this is not an accidental and unintentional chain of consequences, but a pre-planned and orchestrated operation; a mass of people directed towards us. It is forbidden to say that in Brussels they are concocting schemes to transport foreigners here as quickly as possible and to settle them here among us.

It is forbidden to point out that the purpose of settling people here is to reshape the religious and cultural landscape of Europe, and to reengineer its ethnic foundations – thereby eliminating the last barrier to internationalism: the nation states.

It is forbidden to say that Brussels is now stealthily devouring more slices of our national sovereignty, and that in Brussels many are now making a plan for a United States of Europe – for which no one has ever given authorisation.

Today’s enemies of freedom are cut from a different cloth than the royal and imperial rulers of old, or those who ran the Soviet system; they use a different set of tools to force us into submission. They do not imprison us, they do not transport us to concentration camps, and they do not send in tanks to occupy countries loyal to freedom.

Today the international media’s artillery bombardments, denunciations, threats and blackmail are enough – or rather, have been enough so far.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

The peoples of Europe are slowly awakening, they are regrouping, and will soon regain ground. The peoples of Europe may have finally understood that their future is at stake... At last, the peoples of Europe, who have been slumbering in abundance and prosperity, have understood that the principles of life that Europe has been built on are in mortal danger. Europe is the community of Christian, free and independent nations; equality of men and women; fair competition and solidarity; justice and mercy..."

** Listen further here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EbINrdyAXIE>

IF ONLY IT WAS THIS SIMPLE by Betty Luks

Liberal Senator Cory Bernardi sent out his newsletter and it was on the bombing in Belgium.

He wrote:

Back in 2009 I travelled to Europe to see the impact of their immigration program and how it had affected the cultural and social fabric of a number of countries. I was horrified by what I saw because the inevitable consequences were obvious to anyone prepared to confront reality. At the time there were very few prepared to do so. One was Dutch politician Geert Wilders, whom I met in Amsterdam.

Wilders lives under 24-hour security because he has dared criticise the impact of migration, specifically Muslim migration, on the Netherlands. I am still amazed that he is considered an extremist whilst those that want to kill him for his views are rarely condemned. For the record, I found Wilders a charming and personable man, vastly different from the media's portrayal of him.

Whilst my meeting with him created national headlines here - and the inevitable calls for me to be sacked by Liberal and Labor colleagues, the media and an assortment of appeasers and apologists - the meeting wasn't the most memorable part of my trip.

That took place in Belgium immediately after alighting from the Brussels train station. I hopped in a cab from the rank on the street and asked the driver to take me to my hotel.

After some pleasantries, he asked me the purpose of my trip to Brussels. I told him I was an Australian politician interested in seeing the impact of migration on European nations.

Well, that started him off! He told me story after story about his personal experiences and drove me around for over an hour showing me things that I am sure the Brussels tourist bureau would prefer no visitor see.

He also issued a very clear warning about the danger that faced Belgium and the possible consequences unless changes were made.

Unfortunately his premonition was realised this week. Bomb blasts in Brussels killed at least 34 people and injured many more.

As of writing, the SMH reported that "a witness said he

ON TARGET

heard shouts in Arabic and shots shortly before two blasts struck a packed airport departure lounge at Brussels airport. The federal prosecutor said one of the explosions was probably triggered by a suicide bomber." Responsibility for the blasts has been claimed by Islamic State.

This is a tragedy, just like so many other diabolical acts by extremists justifying their actions in the name of Islam. I imagine it will only be a matter of time before the first mealy-mouthed, cultural-relativist politician will say religion has nothing to do with these most recent attacks.

They are wrong, but it is the inconvenient truth that too few are brave enough to confront.

The conditioning of these suicide bombers is done in the name of their religion. Their actions are justified using their religious texts. The fruits of martyrdom in the afterlife are drawn from their religion. **I could go on, but the point is that this has everything to do with religion.**

Even the name 'Islamic State' should provide the most clueless of apologists with some idea as to what underlies the greatest terror threat we face today.

Now here's the disclaimer for all those who are leaping out of their skin to claim 'racist bigot Bernardi is picking on the Muslims again': I am not picking on anyone – most Muslims live peaceably – but I am merely stating the bleeding obvious for anyone who cares to see the truth.

We have a growing global problem and its origins lie within the Islamic community.

Maajid Nawaz – a former Hizb ut-Tahrir radical, now a counter-extremist commentator – has said:

"...the Islamists, those who want to impose a version of Islam over society, and the jihadists, **those who use force to bring about Islamism, have a plausible reading of scripture.**

"It's incorrect for we, as Muslims and generally, actually, I'd say, those on the left of centre in this debate, to insist that Islamists and jihadists have nothing to do with Islam. That's actually an exercise in dishonesty. Of course they have something to do with Islam.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

I would argue it's equally incorrect to say that they are Islam *per se* because of course I'm a Muslim and I'm not ISIS, I'm not an Islamist. **And so I think the answer is somewhere in the middle and that is that they have something to do with Islam.**" (emphasis added...ed)

Yes Senator Bernardi, we would both agree that religion has something to do with what the Muslims believe and how they act - but you don't seem to place much emphasis on your own western religion, and what you believe and act upon.

Eric D. Butler summed up this matter of philosophy in his 'Social Dynamics' lectures and quoted Sir David Kelly, a former diplomat.

Social Dynamic Lectures: <http://thecross-roads.org/sct/>

THE CRISIS IS ESSENTIALLY RELIGIOUS, SPIRITUAL

Sir David Kelly, in his book "*The Hungry Sheep*" warned the western world where it was heading if it did not change direction well over fifty years ago:

"Western tradition has represented integration of best elements in Greek-Roman culture, Christian doctrine and the vitality of the Northern Barbarians. Its abandonment is at the heart of our crisis which is essentially spiritual, and not primarily political, economic, or biological. Though vitality and will to power have been factors in European expansion, the Western tradition in its full sense is not identical with Europe; it is in harmony with the true nature of man and is shared by all members of the human race who accept - and in the measure that they really assimilate - the full Christian tradition in which the best Greek-Roman elements are incorporated. Defence of the tradition against Professor Toynbee and Bertrand Russell, who would identify it with technology or power politics..."

'Equality' and 'Unlimited Majority Rule' Leading to Materialism

"19th century Liberalism had given the active minority (apart from those who had the moral courage to oppose it) a clear fighting creed of which the backbone was the passion for personal liberty. Unfortunately it adopted as well the principles of equality and unlimited majority rule, which when fully developed in association with a completely materialist standard of values seldom "coexist peacefully" with personal freedom.

In Europe it also took over from the French Revolution, as a main tenet, a fanatical secularism, and worked for the exclusion from all influence of its opponents, though not often so indiscreetly as in the "Affaire des Fiches".* It used all the resources of the State, especially through education, to undermine belief in the unique value and dignity of each human soul, although in the last resort

this belief is the only rational justification of personal freedom. Liberalism was a useful corrective but a bad master; it could not control the forces it had released and it perished in the shock of the First World War, leaving the way clear for its opposites - Nazism, Fascism, and Communism."

***Wikipedia:** Sir David Victor Kelly GCMG MC 1891-1959, was a British diplomat who was Minister to Switzerland and Ambassador to Argentina, Turkey and the Soviet Union.

A little history needed here....

* *Affaire Des Fiches* from Wikipedia
"La dégoulinaade des Fiches", anti-masonic caricature by Fertom (1904).

L'Affaire des Fiches de délation ("affair of the cards of denunciation") was a political scandal in France in 1904–1905 in which it was discovered that the militantly anticlerical War Minister under Emile Combes, General Louis André, was determining promotions based on religious behaviour. Using members of the Freemasons to watch officers, André assembled a huge card index on public officials, detailing which were Catholic and who attended Mass, with a view to preventing their promotions.[1][a]

Both Combes and André were Freemasons,[2][3] and much of the information had been collected by the Masonic Grand Orient de France.[4] According to Piers Paul Read, "The information, as it came in, was entered on cards or fiches. These would be marked either Corinth or Carthage: the Corinthians being the sheep who should be promoted and the Carthaginians, the goats who should be held back. An officer reported to be 'perfect in all respects; excellent opinions,' would be marked as a Corinthian: another who, 'though a good officer, well reported on, takes no part in politics,' would nonetheless be designated a Carthaginian because he, 'went to Mass with his family,' and sent his six children to Catholic schools. A bachelor officer who went to Mass was by definition of a reactionary disposition. Officers loyal to the republican ideals were encouraged to report the opinions voiced by their colleagues in the mess." [5]

In 1904, Jean Bidegain, the Assistant Secretary of Grand Orient de France, secretly sold a selection of the Fiches to Gabriel Syveton (fr) of the Ligue de la Patrie Française for 40,000 francs. The resulting scandal led directly to the resignation of French Prime Minister Emile Combes. [6]

Read further: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Affaire_Des_Fiches

http://alor.org/Library/Webster_NH_World_Revolution.pdf
http://alor.org/Library/Webster_NH_The_French_Revolution.pdf

HILLARY CLINTON, AMERICA AND ISRAEL

From Carson News:

Hillary Digs Hard at Trump's 'Neutral' Statements in AIPAC Speech

Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, as part of a lengthy speech to the American Israel Public Affairs Committee conference in Washington Monday morning, lambasted all three of the remaining Republican candidates and their visions for Israel, including Donald Trump's promise that he would remain "neutral" on Israel and the Palestinians.

"Tonight, you will hear from candidates with very different visions of American leadership in the region and around the world," Clinton said of Trump, John Kasich, and Ted Cruz, without mentioning any of them by name. "You'll get a glimpse of a potential U.S. Foreign policy that would insult our allies, not engage them, and embolden our adversaries, not defeat them.

TO WHICH MESSAGE WALLACE KLINCK RESPONDED

Dear Dr. Carson,

Thanks for your message.

I wonder how many of those patients in Israeli hospitals with which Hillary held hands were injured ISIS mercenaries supported by the U.S. and Israel to overthrow the properly elected government of Syria.

I wonder how many hands she has been holding in Libya after approving and facilitating that slaughter and the appalling conditions into which she helped cast that nation, previously regarded as the most literate, secular and prosperous in the region. Hillary like most U.S. politicians knows full well who she has to serve in order to succeed and remain in political office.

Israel is largely the very reason that the U.S. has been more or less constantly at war, for the security of a so-called nation in which Christianity is unwelcome and disparaged - a nation which officially is blatantly and unrepentantly exclusive and tribalistic (ask ex-Israelis such as Gilad Atzmon who escaped from its restrictive and oppressive ethos), and which with unbounded hypocrisy and Chutzpah tells Europe and the rest of the world that they must be multi-cultural, even if this means the destruction of a thousand years and more of successful culture building.

Dr. Alfred Lilienthal, most prolific author on the Middle East at the time, U.S. State Department representative, and a stridently anti-Zionist Jew himself, warned about the disaster the State of Israel would be for that geographical area, the United States and the world in general. (See among his other works, "*The Zionist Connection: IP*" - **available from Heritage Books**)

It contributed to the ruination of the 20th century and seems resolutely determined to ruin the 21st. What precisely does the West owe this Pharisaic entity founded upon the most preposterous "religious" claims and, as British soldiers declared, upon the most brutal and ruthless terrorism that they had ever encountered? It has engendered hatred for the U.S. and its "allies" around the world. Of course constant war is great for bankers who finance all of the satanic military activity - which directly and indirectly I understand accounts for approximately fifty per cent of the annual American economy.

A "Christian" nation?

I'd like to hear Hillary, and a few other obsequious U.S. politicians, actually provide a disciplined academic, ethical or theological justification for the U.S. having any relationship with that band of conquerors and occupiers whose founders brutally murdered whole Palestinian villages (e.g., Deir Yassin) and garrotted British soldiers - and even declared war on the British, blowing up the King David Hotel and sending a mission to bomb the British House of Commons(!), fortunately discovered and thwarted by French Security Forces.

The Stage was set for a Thousand Years of Hatred

When the Americans attacked Iraq I said on local radio that we had really done it now - we have set the stage for a thousand years of hatred. These are our friends? Trump earlier told AIPAC from the podium that he was independently wealthy, did not need and did not want their money. The applause was muted to say the least. People who love control are not pleased when they sense that they may be losing it. It's about time that Americans learned to run their own affairs for the general good of their nation - and the rest of humanity. If Trump is veering in this direction, congratulations to him!

By the way, don't you think that justice would be advanced if AIPAC were registered as an agent of a (possibly hostile) Foreign State?.... ***

Betty Luks wrote: Senator Bernardi, you may like to respond to Wallace Klinck's letter on Pharisaism now that you have voiced your opinion on Islam.

I hope these previous articles, all binding back to different philosophies and the actions of individuals as a result of their philosophy, will help DB Wang. Reporting and disseminating the news only goes so far. A deeper understanding of our own Western Christian Philosophy and the outworking of that understanding is critical to show how we are to move on from this. It is the challenge for our generation. - Arnis Luks

EVERY SINGLE CELL REMAINS CODED FROM BIOLOGICAL BIRTH

“Camille Paglia can’t believe there are suddenly so many transgender people:

Best-selling feminist author, social critic and self-described “transgender being” Camille Paglia said in an interview last month that the rise of transgenderism in the West is a symptom of decadence and cultural collapse.

“Nothing... better defines the decadence of the West to the jihadists than our toleration of open homosexuality and this transgender mania now,” Paglia said during an October 22 interview on the Brazilian television program *Roda Viva*.

“Paglia also said during the interview that “transgender propagandists” are overstating their case. “I think that the transgender propagandists make wildly inflated claims about the multiplicity of gender,” she said.

“Sex reassignment surgery, even today with all of its advances, cannot in fact change anyone’s sex, okay. You can define yourself as a trans man, or a trans woman, as one of these new gradations along the scale. But ultimately, every single cell in the human body, the DNA in that cell, remains coded from your biological birth.”

- Pushing the transgender fashion - Andrew Bolt’s Blog ***

SAFE SCHOOLS AND THE REVOLT OF THE PRIMITIVE by Uncle Len, Eye Witness and Witless

I was there. Well, at least at a safe distance: a “Safe Schools” distance!

I saw, but I could not believe my eyes. Young Marxist students, who have been grown each year at our universities like mushrooms - no, toadstools - stormed in and trashed Senator Cory Bernardi’s office at Kent Town, Adelaide.

They overturned tables and chairs, destroyed documents and threatened staff. Slogans were written on walls. Bernardi opposes the “Safe Schools” programme, which is essentially a promotion of LGBTIQ, whatever that all stands for (all sexual orientations other than heterosexuality?), and he also opposes same-sex marriage. So, he must be doing something right!

I noticed some of the young savages had posters “Muslims are welcome, racists are not”. I wonder what traditional Moslems would say to them on the alternative sexuality question, given that in some Muslim countries homosexuality is a crime? The Marxist don’t address these sorts of contradictions because they just go from cause to cause with no consistency, hoping to build up a base for their religious event “The Revolution”.

There are no fanatics like young fanatics.

There did not appear to be any arrests, even though surely the whole lot of them should have been.

A culture of tolerance for the violence of the Left seems to be widely accepted. This must change and an example should be made of these Marxists.

The great irony of this is that Safe Schools is, on the face of it, about stopping bullying, but I have never seen such a bunch of thugs as these angry young commos are.

So let’s fight back. Give Cory Bernardi’s office a call, regardless of whether you like him politically or not. Motivate him to press charges.

Also, since most of these thugs came from the two universities, Flinders University and the University of Adelaide, ring the Vice Chancellor’s office or email it, and say that the violent students should be expelled. After all, this event was planned on campus.

The universities have an obligation not to be a breeding ground and support base for violent revolutionaries. These are taxpayer funded institutions. If the students had exercised their right to peaceful protest then it would not have come to this.

The Left however cannot allow ‘alternative thought’ and ‘conservatives’ to even exist. They always resort to violence when the chips are down - as they did in Chicago to close Trump down, whatever you think about him.

This is not about Bernardi, but the principle of the matter. It is time to shut the pinkos down. And when do we want it? Now! ***

**“Who Called the Cook a Bastard”
by Brig. C. Stanton Hicks - a personal account of a
one man campaign to improve the feeding of the
soldier - \$13.00 posted to anywhere in Australia
from PO Box 27 Happy Valley SA 5159**

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

THE IDEOLOGY OF HATE SPEECH LAWS by Ian Wilson LL.B.

With race hate and “hate speech” restriction laws in the press almost every week, it is natural to wonder where such laws came from and whose interests do they service. One answer was supplied back in 2011 by Jacob Mchangama, “The Sordid Origin of Hate-Speech Laws“, Policy Review, Hoover.org (December 1, 2011).

This pointed out that all member states of the EU adopted in 2008 a framework “Combating Racism and Xenophobia” that has led to laws criminalising “hate speech”. Even in America the Supreme Court, the same court which delivered same-sex marriage as a constitutional right, has worked to limit freedom of speech in this area.

Mchangama traces the origins of hate speech to the Soviet Union and its restrictions. The Soviet Union during 1948 debates in the framing of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, continually proposed amendments directed towards outlawing any expression of “intolerance”, what by today’s language would translate as “hate”.

While other states such as the US wanted a robust conception of the right of “freedom of opinion and expression”, the UK also showed its budding New World Order colours by rejecting the wide US proposal.

Although the Soviet proposal was defeated, in 1965 the UN General Assembly adopted the International Convention for the Elimination of All Racial Discrimination, which was heavily influenced by the Soviets.

While many countries opposed punishing ideas, the communist countries championed the prohibition against discrimination with criminal sanctions.

THE JURISPRUDENCE OF SECTION 51 (xxiii A) OF THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION by Ian Wilson LL.B.

The latest news regarding “no job no pay”, is that thousands of people are at risk of unfairly having their welfare payments cut because of inaccuracies in the immunisation register (*The Age*, March 14, 2016).

Naturally enough many activists would like to know if there is a way of constitutionally attacking the “no job no pay” legislation. One suggestion is that the legislation is contrary to section 51 (xxiii A) of the Constitution.

Section 51 (xxiii A) is the head of power relating to: “the provision of maternity allowances, widows pensions, child endowment, unemployment, pharmaceutical, sickness and hospital benefits, medical and dental services (but not so as to authorise any form of civil conscription), benefits to students and family allowances”.

As Mchangama says:

“The idea that deliberate state action - even at the expense of individual liberty - in the principal vehicle for social change and human progress is a hallmark of socialism, fascism, communism and, and in some cases forms of progressivism”.

Thus, the communists could not be persuaded to drop their position, and the anti-discrimination treaty was signed.

Ironically, Yugoslavia fanatically supported the race-hate restrictions, used as a political weapon to silence enemies of the state, but such laws did nothing to prevent genocide when a rotten and corrupt state eventually split open into civil war and ethnic cleansing.

While on the international arena the communist states parodied anti-racism, at home they ruthlessly suppressed ethnic, national and religious minorities in the name of Communist universalism.

The West has now adopted the very same restrictions on free speech used by the Communist regimes. Indeed, the West has even given its capitalist structure adopted at the cultural level the collectivist ideology of communists.

Even economically, corporate capitalism is very similar metaphysically to communism, with mega-corporations being collectivist rather than individualist entities.

We are a very long way away from the rich world of Adam Smith’s *The Wealth of Nations*. Our “capitalism” is dark, sinister, repressive and - communistic.

This section was added in a referendum held in 1946.

Prior to this the only social services provision was section 51 (xxiii) that gave power to legislate for invalid and old age pensions. The age of the welfare state, for better or for worse, was here.

Activists pin hopes upon the phrase “several conscription”. In case law since *British Medical Association v the Commonwealth* (1949) 79 CLR 201 and most recently *Wong v Commonwealth* (2009) 236 CLR 573, the meaning of the phrase has been discussed, this being a form of legal or practical compulsion or coercion to carry out work or provide services for the Commonwealth, by medical practitioners.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Although there is no prohibition preventing federal laws regulating the way in which medical services are provided, doctors are not required to compulsorily provide service for the Commonwealth. Indeed, there is no compulsion for a medical practitioner to provide professional service to any person.

Thus, section 51 (xxiii A) would be useful if there were mandatory compulsory vaccinations; if medical practitioners were required to do this. The government could get around this by having their own agents vaccinate people, I imagine.

And as well none of this is relevant to the legality and

OF COURSE TRUMP IS A “PLANT” BUT STILL... by Chris Knight

Yes, I am aware of the influential line of thought that Donald Trump is just a plant, a means of getting Hillary Clinton to power - but is this true, and if it is, so what?

Remember the fight that John McCain didn't put up against Obama - he was hoping in his heart that America would have a black president. So I ask: how much better would any of the other Republican candidates do? Wouldn't they merely repeat the performance of the last US presidential election? If Trump is a plant, then they are the whole plantation!

The American chattering class are beginning to realise that Trump represents an important moment in US history. Whites are being dispossessed. The middle class is being crushed out of existence.

David Goldman, writing at the *Asia Times.com* (March 1, 2016) recognises that Middle Americans are lamenting that the “American dream” of getting on, and getting rich, may no longer be possible. Downward mobility is the new reality. Trump sees globalisation is causing this and he opposes global treaties such as the TPP which will accelerate these trends.

HILLARY CLINTON IS SET TO BURY AMERICA by Chris Knight

The election of Hillary Clinton as president of the United States will set the country on the path to civil war II.

Not only will globalists economic policies continue, but cultural policies capable alone of tearing America apart will be hammered into place.

Guns are just one issue.

Australians being over-urbanised people who never had to fight a civil war, do not appreciate the symbolic role that the guns play in the part of the lives of most people. Guns represent independence and freedom.

This is well illustrated by the article by Greg White

ON TARGET

constitutional validity of the “no jab no pay” legislation. The section discussed here only relates to the rights of doctors.

Nevertheless, I have written in this publication before, speculating that the Social Security power itself is not “appropriate and adaptive” for the “no jab no pay” legislation as a head of power concerned with giving benefits being used to enforce a health policy.

The anti-vax movement should have challenged this at the High Court, but it has failed to get moving. Consequently the injustices noted at the beginning of this article are now occurring, and probably will continue.

Trump, unlike other candidates, as Lawrence Davidson (Consortium News.com) notes, has refused to pander to Israel and is “neutral” on the Israeli-Palestinian problem, as Chemi Shalev has also said in the Israel newspaper *Haaretz*.

Despite Trump's neutrality, US Evangelical voters are still voting for him.

Trump may represent a new trend that sees Israel as “not a priority”.

The article goes on to say that Trump may find that the Israel-Palestine problem cannot be solved because of “Israeli stonewalling” and he “might start to publicly question why the US should be wasting vast amounts of treasure on such an unthankful nation as Israel”.

That Trump has broken the convention, as Chemi Shalev at Haartz (March 3, 2016) puts it, of “total and unconditional support for Israel as a prerequisite for any aspiring GOP candidate wishing to run for president”, is historically significant. Apart from that, if Trump makes it to President - and I have argued that he probably will not - he could end many problems such as the TPP. ***

“Hillary Wants to Sue Gun Industry into Oblivion, But Give Vaccine Manufacturers “Absolute Immunity””, *News Target.com*, March, 2016.

Clinton supports vaccine manufacturers having total legal immunity from any of the ill-effects of vaccine, but has said that she will make gun manufacturers financially liable for gun deaths.

In 2015 Clinton made a tweet that compared people wanting evidence for the safety of vaccines to those who deny that the earth is round or the sky is blue.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

The article quotes Health Ranger Mike Adams who said:

“Hillary’s statement shows her incredible ignorance about the current state of legal immunity for vaccine manufacturers. While the gun manufacturers do not intentionally design their products to cause harm, vaccine manufacturers deliberately formulate their products with known neurotoxic chemicals and heavy metals. If any industry should be held responsible for the injury and the death of countless victims, it is the vaccine industry”.

Don’t suppose that Hillary for a second will follow through on her “logic” and make, say, car manufacturers legally responsible for road accidents.

The agenda is to get rid of guns to create a helpless population of subjects at the mercy of a heavily armed state.

Never have Obama and Clinton, or our local rulers, gone without armed guards. None of this, though, fools red, white and blue American patriots. ***

RESULTS FROM ACTION

Safe Schools

Coalition Senator Fawcett from SA explained how the enquiry into the Safe Schools Agenda was so limited that it did not even consider the links to third party material in the programme. No wonder its findings were barely critical (ed). Fortunately the senator encouraged enough others to petition the PM to take a deeper look which resulted in a better outcome. The content will now be restricted to secondary schools and parent involvement will be necessary. Also references to third party links will only apply to government funded organisations. SA Family First Senator Bob Day strongly opposed the Safe Schools programme as well.

The TPP

Green Senator Sarah Hanson-Young says the TPP is dangerous and more about profit for multi-national corporations than it is about trade. She is very concerned over the Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) clause which permits foreign corporations to sue our government for legislation affecting their profit. The Greens say the TPP can be stopped if the Greens, the ALP and the cross-bench senators vote accordingly. Of course at this stage there may be no more sittings due to the Double Dissolution.

Basic Fund:

The fund is growing slowly. As at March 17th it stood at \$9668.51. If you have not yet managed a contribution, please consider helping it along.

ACTION TARGETS

Whether we have a July or spring election there will be enough time to write to your sitting member or other candidates and ask them specific questions about what you seek in the next Parliament.

We are working on a ready-made questionnaire which can be used in any electorate. All you will need to do is enter the candidates name, sign your own name with return address and post it. Watch this space!

In the meantime keep up pressure on the Safe Schools programme. Write to Federal and State MPs on this one as they are both involved. Some have come out to support sound values in the face of much media criticism. Support these MPs.

Keep reporting your results - other readers like to know.

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSES

We have recently expanded the Training opportunities for Social Crediters. Go to <http://thecross-roads.org/sct/> and register for more information. *Nat Dir*

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — <http://alor.org/>

When ordering journals, ‘On Target’ and ‘New Times Survey’ – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – ‘ALOR Journals’

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to -- ‘Heritage Bookshop Services’

For donations to the League please make payments to-- ‘Australian League of Rights’ or ‘ALOR’

Books are available at meetings or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:

Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia:

Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA
Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <http://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Note: The views expressed in these articles are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policy of the Australian League of Rights.