



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 52 No. 34

26th August 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

Cory Bernardi's Petition: Managed Dissent or a Step in the Right Direction? by Ian Wilson LL.B.	1
Globalism: A Failed Experiment by Ken Grundy	2
The Great Same-Sex Plebiscite ruse by Mrs. Vera West	2
A Wish List for a Royal Commission into the Financial Services Industry by Ken Grundy	3
The Left and the Right and the Truth by Betty Luks	3
Pride: The Cause of Many a Fall by Mrs Vera West	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: The Return of the Pharisee: The zealots will never desist. Only their opinions matter and their oppressive decrees are not only to be accepted but enforced as absolute. They have usurped the authority of God. How different from the 1960's when I listened to the most outrageous and diverse tirades and expositions, often delightfully challenging and humorously insulting, in London at **Speakers' Corner** in Hyde Park. How wonderful it was to be able to listen to and evaluate these messages for myself. Some of the speakers knew themselves that many or all of the ideas they were uttering were nonsensical and they were just honing their literary and elocutionary skills.

Humour with its potential for provoking serious thought was still alive. There is no humour and no life in the law of the tyrant which destroys the spirit of man. *"The Law Killeth; the Spirit Maketh Alive"*.

The New Tyrants care nothing for Life borne of the Spirit which because of their envy they wish only to destroy. Naked power, "legitimized" and exercised behind the mask and hypocrisy of unjust law is the only Truth which they recognize. - *Wallace Klinck, Canada*

CORY BERNARDI'S PETITION:

MANAGED DISSENT OR A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION? by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Most of us are aware that Senator Cory Bernardi has an on-line petition, "Free Speech Petition" at http://www.corybernardi.com/18c_petition. The petition seeks for parliament to remove the most troubling words from section 18 C of the Racial Discrimination Act 1975, the words being "offend" and "insult". This would still leave the words "humiliate" and "intimidate" in the section, which would operate as before.

Is this a step forward, or this this managed dissent?

The answer is: both. It is a step forward, as eliminating these words would knock down almost all of the problematic cases from the Bolt case to the University student ones. It is thus worth supporting for that reason. However, there is weighty opinion that has been made repeatedly in *The Australian* by legal commentators, that the entire section needs to go. A good book arguing for more comprehensive reforms, especially to defences, is *"No Offence Intended: Why Section 18 C is Wrong"* (2016) - Forrester, Finlay, Zimmermann.

I think the presently "offended" ethnics will only now claim that they are "humiliated." There is only a thin line between being offended and being humiliated, and today, all those offended will say that they are also humiliated, and naturally intimidated, because the bar is very low in the politically correct landscape.

Also, there is a low threshold about feeling "intimidated" as well - recall that uber-liberal/Democrat students in the United States have cried about being "intimidated" by old Donny Trump, and mere chalk marks on the pavement have sent them flying to their "safe spaces."

Probably without completely junking the section, the main concerns will be replicated using an alternative strategy. Then we will have to go through all of this nonsense again, and again, and again. Like Groundhog Day (1993).

Time for a spring cleaning of all the politically correct rubbish

What we should be pushing for is an amendment to the Constitution to enshrine a right of free speech, as, or more powerful than the American First Amendment:

PARLIAMENT SHALL MAKE NO LAW PROHIBITING THE FREE EXERCISE THEREOF; OR ABRIDGING THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH, OR OF THE PRESS; OR THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE PEACEABLY TO ASSEMBLE, AND TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.

How about that one Pauline? This point needs to be made to Bernardi, who is most likely trying to give a compromise so Liberals are not confronted with facing their worst nightmare: the ultra-powerful ethnic/multicult lobby. ***

GLOBALISM: A FAILED EXPERIMENT by Ken Grundy

James Reed has described globalism as a “failed experiment,” and I could not concur more. I draw the reader’s attention to an article which lets the cat out of the bag, and throws away the bag.

Alex Tabarrok, “The Case for Getting Rid of Borders – Completely,” *The Atlantic*, October 10, 2015, is an article well worth reading just to see how the globalist mind ticks over.

Tabarrok says that the benefits of the poor from the third world by immigrating to the West are obvious. Closed borders prevent the wealth of the West from being shared, and he thinks that morality requires it. As well, the economists, no references given, think that open borders immigration would double world GDP.

Third world immigrants “possess skills different from those of their hosts, and these differences enable workers in both groups to better exploit their special talents and leverage their comparative advantages. The effect is to improve the welfare of newcomers and natives alike. The immigrant who mows the lawn of the nuclear physicist indirectly helps to unlock the secrets of the universe.”

This is nonsense, completely inconsistent with a wide range of economic data indicating that if a migration of the bulk of poor humanity did occur to the West, these people would be under-skilled, unemployed, and certainly would not have skills that locals do not have. It may keep the nuclear physicist alive a bit longer if he mowed his own lawn.

There is a very good general reply to this sort of globalist propaganda, Christie Davies, “London Letter: Immigration is theft,” *Quadrant*, August 10, 2016. Here are some counter-balancing comments on the costs of the quasi-open borders immigration policy of Tony Blair:

THE GREAT SAME-SEX PLEBISCITE RUSE by Mrs. Vera West

We know that the high court of Australia, has ruled that the definition of “marriage” in the Constitution is such that it embraces same-sex unions. This was done through using a “living” method of constitutional interpretation, saying that words should not be defined as the Founders used them, but as, well, modern progressives use them. This is a handy dandy way of making the Constitution mean anything that progressives want.

Perhaps you hope that the same sex marriage agenda may be defeated in the plebiscite, and will then go away?

“The result of this mass immigration has been a marked but deliberately concealed fall in the standard of living of the indigenous population and a large rise in inequality. The lying politicians, notably Tony Blair, have boasted about the rise in total national income due to the extra labour the migrants have provided, but most of this increase has gone in wages and welfare payments and services to the migrants themselves.

Very little of it has ended up in the pockets of the indigenous population and that little has been completely offset by a rapid and substantial rise in house prices, as more people are crammed into a fixed space. Rents and mortgage payments have rocketed and these are of course a very large part of the expenditure of British citizens and particularly the poorer ones. Not only can the building industry not provide new dwellings fast enough, but as it tries to do so it gobbles up our unspoilt countryside. Britain is being concreted over and is ceasing to be a green and pleasant land. Travel has become impossible, with clogged roads and crowded trains. Our land has been stolen from us. Immigration is theft.”

“The Left-liberal proponents of an open-door policy, the ones who have imposed this hell on an unwilling people, are the same ideologues who whine about rising inequality. Yet immigration is a key cause of rising inequality. If there is an influx of relatively unskilled migrants, it is those among the indigenous population without skills or capital who are bound to lose out.”

The Left, Davies concludes, see migration as a way of shattering national identity, for their socialist agenda. The big business Right, sees migrants as useful tools to keep an abundant supply of cheap labour. Ironically the Left should have opposed this form of economic exploitation and championed people staying in their own countries and developing them. Instead, it joins with the globalist in attempting to bring down Western civilization. ***

Think again!

As pointed out in *The Weekend Australian*, August 13-14, 2016, p. 22, politicians from both the for and against camps have declared that they will not be bound by the result of the plebiscite and intend to vote with their conscience. Gasp! Vote with their conscience!

The elites say that surveys indicate that the majority of people support same-sex marriages. A plebiscite will put that to the test, and having a “NO” case prepared may be instructive for some. ***

A WISH LIST FOR A ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE FINANCIAL SERVICES INDUSTRY by Ken Grundy

The Labor Party, Greens, and independents Nick Xenophon team and Pauline Hanson, are supportive of a Royal Commission into the Financial Services Industry, especially the banks. There has been significant community concern over numerous scandals and consumer rip off such as Comminsure, the Commonwealth Bank's life insurance arm.

See: <http://www.smh.com.au/business/banking-and-finance/comminsure-scandal-to-hit-cba-brand-again-20160308-gndj4y.html>

The Coalition have opposed this Commission, but there are some Coalition members who are supportive. It is highly likely, that with the community pushing the politicians who support the Commission, it will get going. Even the Greens want a wide frame of reference, not merely dealing with scandals and rip offs.

The League and social credit supporters have a good opportunity to make a substantial inroad here. If there is one outcome which we could strive for in the list of things which a Royal Commission should examine, it must surely be to establish for all time, banking procedure and the method in which credit is created every time a bank loan is made.

It is well known to scholars that the process of fractional reserve banking is the real basis of unending debt, and a

mechanism which has progressively controlled nations. This was pin-pointed by Major CH Douglas many years ago and others before him. Nevertheless, this fact is still denied in the mass media, and social creditors are regularly vilified for pointing it out. Consequently, the key thing which we now need to pursue is the term of reference of the Royal Commission to address the issue of credit creation and the ultimate origin of national debt. It is time to identify the facts about finance.

I urge League folk to contact the independents and the Greens, and make their views known on the need to examine credit creation and national debt.

You must ask the questions again and again -
“How can the whole world be in debt to itself?”

This will expose the fraud of modern fractional reserve banking.

And also -

“What must be changed to banking/financial practices, so that a community can purchase all that it produces?”

Ensure your representative is given *any available copy* of
“**The Story of The Commonwealth Bank**”
and also the **Bank of England Working Paper 529**.

See: Bank of England Working Paper 529 here:
<http://alor.org/Library/Bank%20of%20England%20working%20paper%20529.pdf> ***

THE LEFT AND THE RIGHT AND THE TRUTH by Betty Luks

Ref: <http://www.onlineopinion.com.au/view.asp?article=18449>

Mr. Aitkin's OnLine Opinion.com.au article (15 August 2016) which prompted his “*Left and Right in Australian Politics*” discussion reminded me of Geoffrey Dobbs' article titled, “*The Left and the Right and the Truth*” written many years ago.

- <http://alor.org/Library/Dobbs%20G%20-%20What%20is%20Social%20Credit.html>

He wrote of the confusion many people felt from their own experiences with one or the other of such groups as those of the Left and/or the Right.

He saw it as necessary to go deeper than the surface of politics as seen in the Party contest and to realise that the Left-Right confrontation is itself an essential part of a policy of division and conflict – the policy of revolution.

The terms Left and Right in the political sense had a revolutionary origin. Though Mr. Aitkin has recalled its origin stemming from the French Revolution,

he forgot to add that while the more enthusiastic vanguard of the revolution were seated on the left, the more cautious and rear-guard were on the right – but all were revolutionaries in that Assembly.

No one was opposed to the revolution – even if left at large – could take any part in the Government, any more than the Tsarists could in the USSR, or a pro-Shah party in Iran or a Conservative/Free Enterprise sympathiser could in Communist China.

That is what revolutions are about, the total elimination of the Ancient Regime and its traditions, making an impassable gulf with the past.

Welcome to the 21st century World Revolution Mr. Aitkin. You can take your pick from among the many, many conflicts now going on around the world, including here within Australia.

Further reading: “*World Revolution*” \$10.00 posted and “*The French Revolution*” by Nesta Webster

both also available from our online ALOR Library

PRIDE: THE CAUSE OF MANY A FALL by Mrs Vera West

Pride is said to come before a fall. But in actual fact pride is often the cause of a fall, what is called hubris. Research published in the journal *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, studied the brain activity of 308 people using MRI scanning. The subjects evaluated their own performance in a cognitive test.

People who were confident about their performance in the test showed higher levels of brain activity in those areas of the brain normally associated with reward processing.

That would be all well and good, except for one fact; those over-confident people actually got poorer test results than more modest subjects. Over-confidence led to an inflation of these people's abilities, and a delusion about their capacity to succeed.

Over-confidence is the real Achilles' heel of the global elites, who truly believe that they are gods and invincible. I hope that very soon, they will learn a lesson of humility. The bigger they are the harder they fall.

Source: *The Australian*, August 11, 2016, p. 5

AFTER THE HACKING – NOW WHAT? by Tom North

With my distrust of computers and all things IT and AI, I of course did not go on-line to fill out my census form. Why, it was invasive enough without Skynet looking over my shoulder. I predicted, the inevitable, that hackers would sneak into the site and drain away all vital information, described by one defense/IT expert as a "honey pot."

What I have seen on the net, if that can be trusted, is that the hack occurred from "overseas." I am not sure why a hack would bring a site down, for wouldn't true professionals go in and out without a trace, not stomp around cybernetically like a proverbial elephant in a china shop?

Yes, china shop, indeed. Some sources say that the Australian Bureau of Statistics shut the site down after the hacking. But what good would that do, as the horse has already bolted.? Anyway, writing about this, a bit after the event, notice how there is now nothing in the media about the hacking. Who did it? Was it China? The Mafia? Russia? Guess.

So we have the most invasive census in history, with penalties for not completing it, and then all of the information gets hacked. Go figure. There is something mighty fishy about the whole affair.

Oh, excuse me, a black helicopter is circling overhead, probably wanting to collect my census form. ***

ON TARGET

THE ALOR NATIONAL WEEKEND

ADELAIDE 22-23 OCTOBER 2016

Mark the Date in your Diary

BASIC FUND

With the developing political situation in Australia we have taken several new initiatives. These need to be funded. Those, who so loyally provide the Basic Fund, make it possible for us to expand our activities with confidence, this in turn generating new membership and extra financial support so vital for our work. The fund now stands at \$18,078.51 - *Nat Dir*



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org
blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

On Target Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey Subscription \$30.00 p.a.

Subscriptions for 'On Target' and 'NewTimes Survey' and **Donations** can be performed by **direct**

bank transfer: Bank Transfer Account details are:

BSB 083-004
A/c No. 51-511-5296
A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

contact details emailed to **hub@alor.org**

or by cheques directed to:

'ALOR Journals' or 'ALOR'
c/o Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000 Victoria
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001 Victoria)
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

or on the Veritas Books website.

URL: veritasbooks.com.au/subscriptions

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9,
Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by
The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677