



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 52 No. 47

25th November 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

Calling Out 'The Australian' on the US Election Coverage by Charles Taylor and Chris Knight	1
Professor Kevin MacDonald on the Significance of 'THE' Victory by Peter West	3

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: US Election Special

Calling Out 'The Australian' on the US Election Coverage by Charles Taylor and Chris Knight

The coverage of the US election by “our” national newspaper, *The Australian*, was little short of appalling. Journalists made little attempt to offer balance and instead engaged in outpourings of hatred against Trump, primarily because he broke the sacred convention of attacking immigration, the golden calf of the elites.

Sure, Trump said that he liked sex with women, while there was little coverage of the Bill Clinton rape saga. It was mentioned, but one would have thought that rape allegations by live subjects were more important than a ten-year-old tape of a private conversation about wanting to have sex with some woman. The imbalance and bias here is so blatant that one becomes numb by just observing it.

The Australian did not learn any lessons from Brexit, and almost moronically adopted an uncritical belief in the opinion polls, all of which were defective in various ways – proved by their utter failure to predict the election results once again. The “silent majority” of voters just don’t reply to opinion polls, or give interviewers what they want, then vote against the elites.

The chattering class did not see it, and it has hit them like a punch out of the blue.

Only after the Trump election do we find articles in *The Australian* (e.g. November 12-13, 2016, p. 22), acknowledging the gulf between the global elites, including the media, and the white working class, the victims of their economics and political correctness e. g. “Forgotten People Betrayed by Elites, who Remained Blind to the Bitter End”; “A Condescending Media Misread Middle America,” and so on.

A notable quote: “You can’t win over the mainstream by telling them they are dumb, racist and sexist.” But the media and other chattering elites do this all of the time.

And apart from the presidency, *The Australian*, November 9, 2016, p. 9, had a headline, “Dems Poised for Senate Majority,” which has also proven to be utterly wrong. The correct thing that should have been said is that this is so close, it can’t be called. But that is old school journalism, not entertainment, and keeping the spirit of the globalist troops up. There are so many examples of this that one could write a book, but history is already marching on, so let us note these before we run on to catch up with father-time.

The Wikileaks, DCLeaks and other revelations were mentioned, but never dealt with in the necessary detail. There was more space given to Trump’s “locker room” comments than the likelihood that Hillary Clinton had committed crimes. Indeed, for some female journalists all that seemed to matter is that Hillary was a woman, and it would be “historical” to have a woman voted in. Thus, on the eve of the election (*The Australian*, November 9, 2016, p. 1), Caroline Overington, wrote that the polls (the oracles of the media elites), were predicting that the historical event would happen of the first US woman president: “For 240 years, that has been the unspoken promise of America.”

What she did not say, was that Clinton presented globalist ideology, the same ideology as Rupert Murdoch, in a female package.

If a woman such as conservative Ann Coulter was running, I imagine Overington’s tune would have been different. Interestingly enough, *The Australian* on November 10, 2016, had Overington saying “It’s Melania not Hillary who made history.” Oh well, I guess the women line had to be worked in somehow.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

There was though, surprisingly enough (*The Australian*, November 11, 2016, p. 7),

a story about how 53 percent of white women voted for Trump, while women of colour largely opposed him.

L.V. Anderson, “White Women Sold Out the Sisterhood and the World by Voting for Trump,” November 9, 2016, at http://www.slate.com/blogs/xx_factor/2016/11/09/white_women_sold_out_the_sisterhood_and_the_world_by_voting_for_trump.html, says that it was white women clinging to ‘white privilege’ and ‘racism’ defending their position as white people. Well, why not in a multicult of divided ethnicities and cultural relativism?

Why shouldn’t they defend their ethnic interests? It is time to call out these liberal-left relativists because their own philosophical position undermines their position.

Greg Sheridan, who has championed Asianisation from a “conservative” position, relentlessly attacked Trump throughout the election. He said on the front page of *The Australian*, November 8, 2016, p. 1: “You can take it to the bank; the American election is effectively all over, Clinton has won. Trump cannot pull off victory from here.” This is a very good illustration of the sort of arrogance from the chattering class that led to Pauline Hanson and Donald Trump getting elected.

Sheridan said this, even though the polls indicated that the election would be close and that Clinton would win, just. It was thus foolish to give such an extreme expression of confidence, not supported by the evidence.

And in *The Australian*, November 10, 2016, p1, Sheridan confesses “Boy, did I get that wrong.” He believed the polls, having learnt nothing from Brexit, and he just could not believe that people would vote for Trump. But now, to his credit, he understands “the overwhelming frustration of Americans with the direction their country was moving in.” Sheridan went on to pen a good article, noting the great divide between the ordinary white Americans, whom Clinton called a “basket of deplorables,” and the elite that Clinton represents. It would have been good to have been aware of this during the election coverage.

All of this contrasts with the sort of journalism produced by an utter minority of US journalists, but it is still there if one looks. Patrick Caddell, writing at Murdoch’s own Fox News.com (<http://www.foxnews.com/opinion/2016/11/07/patrick-caddell-real-election-surprise-uprising-american-people.html>), rightly observes that the US election represented an “uprising of the American people,” the new paradigm of mainstream America versus an “arrogant” political class “who usurp democracy.” He puts it thus:

“For more than two years the American people, in a great majority, from left to right, have been in revolt against the political class and the financial elites in America.

It is a revolt with historic parallels, most closely resembling the Jacksonian revolution of the 1820s. It is an uprising. It is a peaceful uprising of a people who see a country in decline and see nothing but failure in the performance of their leadership institutions. And they have signaled their intent to take back their country and to reclaim their sovereignty.

Unfortunately, the analysts, the pollsters and most importantly the commentariat of the political class have never understood, and in fact are psychologically incapable of understanding what is happening. And for the entire cycle of this presidential campaign they have failed to grasp what was happening before their eyes – for it runs counter to everything they believe about themselves.

In truth, they are suffering from cognitive dissonance believing in their righteous superiority and are not capable of realizing that it is they who have become the adversary of the American people. And therefore they have been wrong, in this entire election cycle, every step of the way.

For them, American politics only began yesterday. They know little history and have no appreciation of the collective consciousness of the American people. Whether it is the campaign of Bernie Sanders, who came within a hair’s breadth of knocking out the coronated nominee of the Democratic establishment or on the other side, the emergence of the total outsider Donald Trump, the most improbable candidate of all. In truth, Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump, sucked from the same trough even if it was from opposite ends. But the critical point that is missed, by almost everyone, was that neither Sanders nor Trump created this uprising. They were chosen vehicles – they did not create these movements, these movements created them.”

That article was written on Monday November 7, 2016, in the US on the eve of the election, and thus shows insight lacking from mainstream journalists in Australia. In short, the chattering class don’t understand because they don’t want to understand, because they are indeed locked into their own ideological prisons. Have a brief look at YouTube, “Butt-Hurt Crying Hillary Voters Compilation,” and Gavin McInnes, “Celebrity Meltdowns” Taki Mag November 10, 2016, to get a sense of the delusional nature of the globalist shock troops.

The local university socialist group has left a leaflet on this community centre desk, urging all to attend an urgent meeting to discuss how Trump got elected, and what a bunch of pathetic losers in Australia can do about it.

Perhaps we will go to it, wearing our “Make Australia Great Again” caps!

PROFESSOR KEVIN MACDONALD ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ‘THE’ VICTORY by Peter West

In his article, “An Historic, Quite Possibly Revolutionary Victory!” Kevin MacDonald at <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2016/11/an-amazing-victory/#more-81356>, gives an excellent overview of the philosophical significance of the Trump victory, which he sees as “a victory of White Americans over the oligarchic, hostile elites what have run this country for decades. Trump accomplished a hostile takeover of the Republican Party and won without the support or with only lukewarm and vacillating support from much of the GOP elite.”

He quotes from a previous article which he published in Radix Journal, on the political meaning of Trump, which illustrates how, even if Trump did not set out initially to do so, has changed politics.

- “Trump has made statements on immigration that have been banned from polite society for 50 years — deport illegals, seal the border, end birthright citizenship, place a moratorium on Muslim immigration, and make immigration serve actual labor needs rather than a moral imperative (ideally with guest workers not given citizenship). He has deplored Angela Merkel’s policies in Germany and has made statements indicating he opposes the transformation of Western societies via immigration and multiculturalism (“Paris isn’t Paris anymore.”)

- Trump’s victory will encourage and energize the right in Europe. It is Brexit on steroids — a scream by voters to stop the way things are going. To stop the destruction of their traditional ways of life. If nothing else, it is throwing a monkey wrench into the system. Tear it down! We can’t keep going on like this! Voters want an end to meaningless wars, an end to importing people who hate us and will never assimilate to our way of life.

- Trump has unmasked the neocons. The neocons have dominated the intellectual and foreign policy establishment of the Republican Party since the 1980s. From the beginning of Trump’s candidacy, neocons have been leading the #NeverTrump movement, despite the catastrophic effects of a Hillary Clinton presidency on the GOP. A Clinton presidency would have ensured a liberal/left voting majority into the foreseeable future given that she would amnesty millions of illegals and dramatically raise total numbers of immigrants and refugees. Clinton Supreme Court appointments would likely have gutted the First Amendment by enabling “hate speech” laws and they would have gutted the Second Amendment as well. No one on the right, from traditional “limited government” conservatives to the Alt Right, would want this... The treason of the neocons will be long remembered in GOP circles and will compromise their influence in the future. I notice on Twitter that Bill Kristol says that the

#NeverTrumpers should be magnanimous in losing, but I would be shocked if neocons were given any role in the GOP. This is Trump’s party now. It is incredibly heartening that he wants a good relationship with Russia at a time when neocons and NATO have been clamoring for confrontation and aggression. It is incredibly heartening that he supports the legitimate Assad government in Syria. I have no doubt that he will act in concert with Russia to end the rebellion and bring peace and stability to the region.

- Trump has highlighted the chasm between the overwhelmingly White Republican voting base and the GOP donor class intent on globalist policies of mass immigration, free trade, and a ... anti-Russian foreign policy. The pre-Trump GOP was dominated by a neocon foreign policy establishment and a pro-Chamber of Commerce, pro-big business economic policy. This party did not represent the interests of GOP voters and can’t be resurrected. Even if Trump had lost, his energized supporters would be a new and important force within the GOP. His victory will ensure that the GOP will be a populist party for the foreseeable future.

- Trump has unmasked the media. The media have always been liberal, but this time around, even much of the usual pro-Republican media has been hostile to Trump, and a survey by the Media Research Center found an astounding 91% of media coverage hostile to his candidacy. Who can forget the hostility from mainstream conservative media like *National Review*, *The Weekly Standard*, and other neocon outlets? This feeds into the narrative that there has been a unified establishment from the far left to the neoconservative right that has opposed Trump’s populist policies favoring the middle class and the traditional White majority.

- Trump has put the Alt Right on the map. There have been numerous articles and commentary on the Alt Right because of Trump’s candidacy. The Alt Right has been the only identifiable intellectual perspective supporting Trump, although we understand that he is not one of us and would not attempt to do much that we would like to see in our ideal world. We are the only intellectual perspective that takes race seriously and accepts the social science research not only on race but on the disastrous costs of imposed multiculturalism for White majorities and the horrifying future awaiting Whites if indeed they do become hated, despised minorities. Traditional conservative intellectuals simply cannot explain what is happening with their usual intellectual toolkit. They can’t explain the anger and the very legitimate fears of the White majority. They can’t understand the racialization of politics. We understand it and are able to analyze it in very sophisticated ways that are entirely within the scientific mainstream.”

(continued from previous page) In summary, Professor MacDonald has exactly pinned down what the US election was about. Regardless of Trump, it was a symbolic vote about whether Western white civilisation survives or not. And the people were aware of this. A Reuters/Ipsos National Election Day poll of over 10,000 people who had already cast their ballots in the US presidential election found the following:

- 75 percent agree that “America needs a strong leader to take the country back from the rich and powerful.”
- 72 percent agree “the American economy is rigged to advantage the rich and powerful.”
- 68 percent agree that “traditional parties and politicians don’t care about people like me.”
- 76 percent believe “the mainstream media is more interested in making money than telling the truth.”
- 57 percent feel that “more and more, I don’t identify with what America has become.”
- 54 percent feel “it is increasingly hard for someone like me to get ahead in America.”

Source: <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-election-poll-mood-idUSKBN1332NC>

There is a point of view that needs to be kept in the back of our minds that Trump really is a plant and the Deep State’s real choice and will prove to be deceptive of the ordinary American’s interests.

This position is taken by Linh Dinh, “The Trump Ploy,” November 11, 2016, at <http://www.unz.com/ldin/the-trump-plot/> who argues that the Deep State is so powerful that they would not permit a renegade reporter, let alone a renegade president, and by extension, a renegade movement such as Brexit, or perhaps social credit as well. It is possible that Trump will be simply neutralised, but this line of thought leads to absolute defeat, with the Deep State being seen as invincible and all-controlling. The Saker, also at <http://www.unz.com/tsaker/trump-elected-as-president-risks-and-opportunities/> accepts that Trump is one of the 1 percenters, but says that elites do turn on each other, which seems to have happened here.

Even if Trump is a disappointment, he has intentionally or not, done all of the things listed above, which puts us in a better position than if Hillary Clinton was dictator. And then there is the scenario given by Paul Craig Roberts, a respected member of Reagan’s administration on the Richie Allen Show:

Paul Craig Roberts: “The good thing about the election is it showed the American people could defeat the establishment; it could defeat the oligarchs; it could defeat their candidate. So that is a good thing; it shows that the people finally woke up. They understood that a lot was at risk. They were not being served by the government – and the fact that they realized this. They are not as insouciant as they have been. They are more aware. They were determined; they were not influenced by the propaganda against Trump. And so that’s what’s good, and this will stay. I think this will be permanent.

Now if Trump pulls and Obama and sells them out, the question is will they get demoralized and give up, or do they get more angry and the next time we see a bloody revolution. You know, sometimes, when you had so much corruption – in the United States, every institution is totally corrupt, everyone. The oligarchy have corrupted everything. When you get so much corruption, sometimes you can’t have a change without bloodshed. People have to die.

Richie Allen: “And you’re a pacifist.”

Roberts: “Our founding fathers were aware of this. Thomas Jefferson was explicit about it. So what we may see if Trump is blocked or he gives up or nothing can happen, people they could just give up or they could just get angry.”

Source: http://www.shtfplan.com/headline-news/violent-revolution-if-trump-lets-them-down-people-remain-poised-for-angry-revolt-roberts_11102016.

Professor MacDonald says that the fight is now just beginning. The scene at the end of the Tolken movie, *The Two Towers* comes to mind, and to paraphrase Gandalf: “*the globalists’ wrath will be terrible, their retribution swift. The battle of the US election is over. The battle for Middle Earth is about to begin. All our hopes now lie with those who will fight for freedom, coming in from the wilderness.*” ***

THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

On Target Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey Subscription \$30.00 p.a.

Subscriptions for ‘On Target’ and ‘NewTimes Survey’ and **Donations** can be performed by **direct bank**

transfer: Bank Transfer Account details are:

BSB 083-004
A/c No. 51-511-5296
A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

contact details emailed to **hub@alor.org**

or by cheques directed to:

‘ALOR Journals’ or ‘ALOR’
c/o Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000 Victoria
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001 Victoria)
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

or on the Veritas Books website.

URL: veritasbooks.com.au/subscriptions

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

“ON TARGET” is printed and published by
The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677