



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 22

9th June 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

Fighting the Uluru Statement by Ian Wilson LL.B	1
Out of Europe, Not Out of Africa by Brian Simpson	2
Islam, Immigration, Refugees and Terrorism by Bruce Bennett	2
The Hyper-Cucked West by John Steele	3
The Asian Nuclear Arms Race – and Australia Will Remain Unarmed and Take in Even More Migrants by Paul Walker	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Australians who wish to maintain the constitutional integrity and political unity of this nation must exert themselves to rise to the current challenge posed by the euphemistically named Uluru Statement from the Heart. Its argument, invoking the untrustworthy UNO, that ‘Aboriginal sovereignty’ has always co-existed with our present sovereignty, needs to be firmly rejected. Conservatives should not be fooled by expressions of support for the Statement from various ‘conservative’ celebrities, some of whom have always supported ‘constitutional recognition’. – *Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic*

FIGHTING THE ULURU STATEMENT by Ian Wilson LL.B

I disagree with the whole spirit of the Uluru Statement, especially its victimology. The high incarceration rates and domestic violence seem to be blamed on the Whites not including Aboriginals in civil society, when these problems are not caused by Whites: <http://www.news.com.au/national/violence-against-aboriginal-women-80-times-worse/news-story/1185e7c359058b4f1af4dc8c30836e5c>. How exactly are Whites making the Domestic Violence rate so high? It assumes a thesis of mechanical determinism of absurd proportions, where Aboriginal actors have no agency. If Whites said this, they would be shouted down as racist: <https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/bennelong-papers/2013/05/the-long-bloody-history-of-aboriginal-violence/>; <https://quadrant.org.au/magazine/2008/11/the-cultural-roots-of-aboriginal-violence/>.

The problems with Aboriginal society cannot be cured by constitutional change.

How would enshrining a first nation’s voice, a Makarrata treaty commission and a truth and reconciliation process aid in any way dealing with these social problems? What it will create though, as the deputy Prime Minister correctly noted, will be a “third chamber” of parliament, where every law becomes subject to the scrutiny of politically correct Aboriginalist elites: <http://www.sbs.com.au/comedy/article/2017/05/30/barnaby-joyce-claims-he-misheard-indigenous-plea-be-heard>, although he seems to have backed away from that correct position now.

Don’t think that New Zealand with its treaty offers an example for Australia to follow. As a critic points out in the comments section of *The Australian*:

“Be warned. Some advocates are trying to cite the New Zealand experience as a reason for accepting a Treaty. Now the New Zealand politicians and academics may paint a rosy picture but some of the consequences have been less than attractive to the ordinary citizens who are not consulted.

1. There are race based seats in Parliament just for Maori. (This was meant to be a temporary arrangement when voting depended on property owned.)
2. Activist groups are continually demanding special treatment and more money even though billions of dollars have been given to Maori to settle real or imagined grievances.
3. The same activists talk about a “Partnership” between Maori and the other citizens even though this was not part of the original Treaty.
4. “Maori perspectives and values” have been inserted into the school curriculum and especially into the Teacher Training organizations. If you want to teach you had better pretend to go along with it.
5. There is a push to make the teaching of the Maori language compulsory in schools.
6. Formal ceremonies are expected to have a Maori component. The spiritual values of Maori are to be respected but the same cannot be said of traditional Christian values. Both main New Zealand parties have pushed or tolerated this agenda but the parties of the left are certainly more enthusiastic.”

So be warned. Allow any racial preference at all and you will find that the activist groups will come back demanding more. “One law for all and all equal under the law” is surely the principle to hold fast to. ***

OUT OF EUROPE, NOT OUT OF AFRICA by Brian Simpson

There has been a healthy debate at this site about the anthropology of Northern Europeans, with yours truly defending our kind from the charge that Northern Europe was a backwater, and Northern Europeans savages who ate each other.

There is considerable evidence of advanced cultures existing, and collapsing in Europe, with architectural remains documenting this. You can use the wonder of the Google search engine operating at this site to read my articles over the years. In the old days the articles may have ended up as a book, but sadly people don't read books now preferring the fast cut and thrust of the internet article, banged out, week after week, after week...

Now comes the news that Europe, not Africa may be the birthplace of mankind:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/2017/05/22/>

ISLAM, IMMIGRATION, REFUGEES AND TERRORISM by Bruce Bennett

The ASIO director-general has said that there is “absolutely no evidence” of a link between Australia's refugee intake and terrorism: *The Weekend Australian*, May 27-28, 2017, p.1. This was a bit hard to swallow, and even *The Australian* said that the remarks were made “despite multiple Islamic terrorist acts in the past three years involving individuals on humanitarian visas, or their children.”

The debate exploded with the Attorney General defending the ASIO boss's comments: *The Australian*, May 31, 2017, p. 1, saying that Middle East refugees are not the source of the terrorism problem. There is, he observed, many aspects to the Islamic terrorism problem, including the radicalisation of young people by terrorist organisations. True, but trivially true. This is really a superficial response to the issue, as other experts admitted that even if the actual refugees were not a terrorist risk, the sons and daughters of refugees were at danger of radicalisation: *The Australian*, June 1, 2017, p.1. Thus, it is true that merely being a refugee from the Middle East did not automatically make one a terrorist, but who has said that? No Australian authority has said that the children of refugees have radicalised solely because their parents were refugees, for clearly other cultural factors must be at work.

Nevertheless, there are an enormous number of articles about Europe, which indicates that even this highly qualified statement may well be false. ISIS has used the so-called refugee crisis to send operatives into Europe: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/king-of-jordan-abdullah-says-turkey-isis-terrorists-and-unleashing-them-europe-erdogan-a6954841.html>.

There are many mainstream news articles on this issue, and it is easy to produce many pages of links.

europe-birthplace-mankind-not-africa-scientists-find/.

The find, which is challenging the “Out-of-Africa” politically correct status quo, is of two fossils of a 7.2 million year old creature, *Graecopithecus freybergi*, found in Bulgaria and Greece. The so-called “missing link” between humans and chimpanzees, would thus be placed in the Mediterranean, rather than Africa: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0177127>.

This then would make Europeans older than the standard African Eve orthodoxy, and give time for the emergence, and fall of higher cultures. I see such evidence as supplying one more plank in the restoration of the Nordic thesis, something a deracinated and cucked people dearly need.

<https://archive.org/details/TheAryansAStudyOfIndo-europeanOrigins>

<http://www.brill.com/uniqueness-western-civilization>.

There is no reason to suppose that Australia has been exempt. Thus, there is a refugee/terrorism link, but it is not one of necessity, but more of probability. As well, surveys of UK Muslims indicate that 43 percent support the introduction of aspects of sharia law into Britain: *The Australian*, May 31, 2017, p. 14.

Court rulings in Australia are already being influenced by sharia law: <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/legal-affairs/sharia-law-affecting-court-rulings/news-story/5158234ef2f296c922f02f93726534a7>.

There is thus broad support for many aspects of sharia law by Australian Muslims. As their numbers increase by immigration, regardless of the terrorism issue, whether they are refugees or not, so will demands for a change to liberal democratic institutions. Those who cannot see this are dumb, blind, or perhaps born-again multiculturalists funded by some taxpayer grant or job in some ivory towered university.

There clearly is something fundamentally wrong with our elites if their opinions are so distant from overseas authoritative views. Political correctness about immigration is a mad cult in this country and it needs to be radically deconstructed.

In this respect, it is interesting to consider the fate of a man of the Left, Gilles Kepel, a French Islam expert, who is now on an ISIS hit list for daring to quote from the Koran, as *The Australian* May 30, 2017, p. 9, put it. Actually Kepel has made a far more penetrating critique than that, arguing that Europe cannot effectively deal with the Islamic terror threat, and that nations will fall into civil war over the issue: <https://counterjihad.com/french-scholar-islam-gilles-kepel-prepare-war>.

This sort of debate is not possible in Australia, where all that can be said are childish cliques, such as:

“diversity is our strength??”

THE HYPER-CUCKED WEST by John Steele

As pointed out in a confronting article at <https://www.gatestoneinstitute.org/10430/terrorism-candles-teddy-bears>, Europe, and arguably the rest of the West, has not woken up to the realities of the cold winds of war which are blowing through societies at an alarming pace.

The death toll from Islamic terrorism so far is: Madrid: 191. London: 58. Amsterdam: 1. Paris: 148. Brussels: 36. Copenhagen: 2. Nice: 86. Stockholm: 4. Berlin: 12. Manchester: 22. There are, as well, hundreds of Europeans murdered in Bali, Sousse, Dakka, Jerusalem, Sharm el Sheikh and Istanbul.

There have been terrorist attacks in Europe on an average of one every nine days. But, as the Gatestone article cited above notes, Europe is fighting back with teddy bears, candles, flowers, vigils, Twitter hashtags and cartoons.”

We have often commented on how this is likely to end, but recently Roosh v, who himself is non-White, has given a frank statement on where open borders immigration leads. Are you ready for it? The May 15, 2017 article is entitled “The Barbarians Will Solve Your Sterile Existence”:

“Nature does not care about education, “high quality” offspring, college degrees, equal rights, cleanliness, sustainability, philosophy, or the environment. It cares about fertility and might, and the species or race that is most fertile and most powerful will be rewarded with the bounty that the Earth provides. The people who are able to take over the planet in sheer numbers, regardless of their intelligence or manners, will come to rule the world, just like how homo sapiens came to overrun the more intelligent Neanderthals by breeding at a far superior rate. The most intelligent race on the planet can use their smarts to fortify themselves in caves as the more numerous barbarians take over the planet, even if they’re nothing more than mindless zombies.

Rational thinking from the Enlightenment era, when taken to its logical end in proving that families, divinity, and traditional standards aren’t necessary, along with the notion that the individual is God, leads to the suicide of society. Rationale, in it’s beautifully cherished civilized form, leads to the end of any race who participates in it, while the stupid barbarians with IQs of 95 or lower, who follow a 1400-year-old book that implores them to conquer and chop off heads, continue to multiply and take over more lands.

We are the mistakes of nature. We are the grotesque. We have been condemned for replacement, forsaken

by God for enabling over one billion abortions in just a few decades while we attempt to change the rules of nature, to declare man woman and woman man. Our goal is not one of spiritual enlightenment but of achieving the most vile feats of degeneracy.

Because of our cultural and biological sterility, I believe we have been fated for destruction. Even though this end stares us right in the face, the best we can muster is a few rants on internet web sites, while the barbarians are raping, conquering, and breeding. I promise you they will win. History shows that the barbarians always win. They are the solution to a broken people. They faithfully worship their gods while we worship our Facebook likes and celebrities. We are so hopelessly sterile, so anti-life, that nature will celebrate when we are replaced by those who can barely read. But they will treasure the life of their kind, and that’s enough.”

That sums it all up. The “barbarians” as he calls them are the whirlwinds reaped from winds that have been sown: *Hosea 8-7*. Multitudes of moral failures, the most notable being turning away from God and embracing secular humanist religions such as feminism, and the philosophy of liberal abortion, has been matched by open immigration and an assault on traditional values, culture and race, all in the race to the bottom of the wheelie bin.

Roosh is right; this is not going to be a pleasant fight. In particular, the barbarian invaders this time, will when all of this is over, inherit smouldering ruins and wastelands, so their survival is insecure too.

They, although stronger than the usual cucked white that one encounters, have also been weakened by the decadence of over-civilization.

Affluence and this age of plenty have a downside, because once one becomes dependent on these things, when they are taken away by circumstances, including our own hubris, the blowback is like the punch which one never saw coming. And, that great fist of history is heading towards each of our jaws now, with a fury greater than a young Mike Tyson. ***

ELECTRONIC VERSION OF ON TARGET

Subscribers to *On Target* are also now able to receive an electronic version via email.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

email: hub@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Monday and Tuesday 09.30am - 3.00pm

PODCAST

On Target is also available in Podcast (audio)
on our website <http://alor.org>

THE ASIAN NUCLEAR ARMS RACE – and Australia Will Remain Unarmed and Take in Even More Migrants by Paul Walker

It has been reported that Asia is about to embark on an inevitable nuclear arms race, fuelled by fears of North Korea, but no doubt reinforced by long-standing fears of China's increasing power and aggression: *The Australian*, May 26, 2017, p. 1. This will almost certainly lead to a nuclear exchange occurring somewhere; recall that India and Pakistan, both nuclear-armed sit eternally on a knife-edge: <http://nationalinterest.org/blog/the-buzz/forget-north-korea-nuclear-war-between-india-pakistan-19901>.

Australia, from the time of “Mr Populate or Perish”, Fabian socialist Arthur Calwell (1896-1973), the “father of multiculturalism” and Asianisation: <http://www.theage.com.au/victoria/the-speech-that-changed-australia-20141204-1208h3.html>, has pursued a policy of dismantling its ethno-racial Anglo-Saxon heritage and culture. If you are 50 years old or more, you would have seen first-hand how this was done, and it is now celebrated for what it truly is, but it was not openly proclaimed as this at the time by the elites: <http://www.theage.com.au/victoria/the-speech-that-changed-australia-20141204-1208h3.html>.

Facing a nuclear-armed Asia, Australia will take the path of surrender. It could have been a nuclear power, like Britain, but instead was led down the road to national suicide by the elites. The future Australian flags will be solid white, one for each of us, unless we—the remaining traditionalists—can pull this one out of the fire before it is too badly charred. ***

GOOD NEWS! GOOD NEWS! FOR THE COMING NUCLEAR HOLOCAUST by Paul Walker

One needs to always look on the bright side, as my dear old mum used to say. If life hands you a lemon, make lemonade. Well, there has been much published at this site about the likelihood of a coming nuclear war. That, I know, is bad, because radiation is bad for humans.

However, there is now hope that a new drug developed at Stanford University, a drug belonging in the class of PHP inhibitors, may be able to protect the gastrointestinal tracts of humans from radiation. This area of the body is the most susceptible to damage from radiation: <http://www.foxnews.com/health/2014/05/14/new-oral-drug-may-prevent-death-from-radiation-poisoning.html>; <http://www.sciencemag.org/news/2014/05/drug-could-protect-against-radiation-exposure>.

The original mention of the drug was in 2014, but there has not been much published since, so we don't know if and when the drug will be available. If they don't hurry up though, it won't matter too much! ***

SO, THEY THINK PROPRANOLOL DAMPENS NEGATIVE RACIAL BIAS? THINK AGAIN! by Brian Simpson

According to S. Terbeck (et al.), “Propranolol Reduces Implicit Negative Racial Bias,” *Psychopharmacology*, February 28, 2012, the use of the drug propranolol, used primarily to treat a variety of medical conditions such as high blood pressure and irregular heart rate, can also “abolish implicit racial bias.” This was tested in 36 volunteers.

Be that as it may, I know almost that number of people, myself included, who receive this drug to control hypertension. All of these people, except for myself, I being a loving kind of guy, are strong racists, by the system's standards. I asked everyone in my smaller sample if they had become more racist after taking the drug, and they said that the drug did not effect their attitudes, which were a product of current political events, such as mass immigration. Although it would bring a tear to my eye, I suppose the socialists would put me into that camp to reform as well.

But, where goes the Terbeck (et al.) hypothesis? Surely it is wrong, for a complex social behaviour could not be regulated just by the administration of β -adrenoceptors. It is biological determinism and reductionism gone mad. ***



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org
blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.
NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**
Account details are:

A/c Title Victorian League of Rights
BSB 083-004
A/c No. 51-511-5296

or by cheques directed to:

‘Victorian League of Rights’

or on the **Veritasbooks.com.au** website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

“On Target” is published by Australian League of Rights
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677 **email:** hub@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Monday and Tuesday 09.30am - 3.00pm

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8,
118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

South Australia: Heritage Book-mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Ph:08 7123 7131 **email:** heritagebooks@adam.com.au