A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- **COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS**



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 53 No. 26	7 th July 201
IN THIS ISSUE	
Mass Immigration: Benign Anglo Saxon Genocide By Peter West	1
John Howard on Free speech? A Little Bit Too Late, John by Ian Wilson LL.B	2
There is Already Blood By James Reed	2
Pussycats by John Steele	3
For Your Records: WE BELIEVE: A Statement of Liberal Party Beliefs - First Printed circa 1949	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: to THE AGE - Tosca Lloyd indulges in wishful thinking in his promotion of secularism ('As Australia grows, religion becomes less relevant', 28/6). In advocating that our schools and society 'should be free from religious bias', he is actually seeking the substitution of an irreligious bias. As for his soft soap claim that secularism does not mean 'imposing the views of the non-religious on to the religious', he ignores the horrifying militancy of atheists in France after 1789, Russia after 1917 and China after 1949.

- Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic

MASS IMMIGRATION: BENIGN ANGLO SAXON GENOCIDE By Peter West

The elites have been doing cartwheels in joy about the news fresh from the Australian Census, with 49.3% of Australians being migrants, or having at least one parent who was a migrant: "Migrant Nation Born as Christian Heritage Fades': http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/census-2016-migrant-nation-born-as-christian-heritage-fades/news-story/267dcb72396970966d7510a24abe8d22.

The elites have moved far on from demographically displacing Anglo-Saxons, constituting 97 percent of the population at Federation (see Alan James, New Britannia: The Rise and Decline of Anglo-Australia, Renewal Publications, 2013), having achieved their goal of setting in motion the dispossession of Anglo-Saxons, and are now in mop-up operations, working on eliminating all aspects of our historical culture, such as religion, the traditional family and law.

The fading of our historical roots shows that only 52 percent of Australians identified as Christian, but the proportion of atheists, Hindus and Muslims is rapidly rising. At this rate next Census, Christianity will be a minority. Here is Bernard Salt from *The Australian*, celebrating this demographic change, produced by a decade $of\ Big\ Australia: \ \ {\it http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/opinion/bernard-salt-demographer/census-2016-blazing-a-new-trail-and-breaking-with-history/news-story/f96fb089c343118e41bee74cd6711a78:$

"Australia is like a ship casting aside its moorings and heading into uncharted waters. Not in a foreboding way, but more in a break-with-the-past kind of way. The nation is bigger courtesy of a Big Australia policy initiated last decade that doubled the immigration intake and set us all on a different course. As a consequence, our biggest cities are growing at breakneck speed, especially Melbourne. And partly, I think, because in comparison to Sydney, Melbourne delivers the Australian dream of access to employment options and home ownership, at least on the city's edge.

Even the ethnic base to the nation has been made over in the last decade. British and New Zealand migrants still dominate, but new influences arriving from China and India are changing the national complexion, especially in our biggest cities. In fact it could be argued that Sydney and Melbourne are separating themselves from the greater mass of the Australian people.

These two leviathan cities are bigger, more Asian-Indian-Arabic, are fundamentally richer and are more aligned to apartmentia, as opposed to suburbia, as a way of life than pretty much any other part of Australia."

Anglo-Saxons are, I believe, about 25 percent of the Australian population now. My guess is that Whites, broadly defined are about 60 percent and rapidly falling, given that immigration now is based on a "Yellow Australia policy," to create an Asian client state, to be ruled over by China. It is simply insane to suppose that a country can change its ethnic profile without geo-political consequences. Already Chinese business people with links to the Communist Party, are impacting on the political process, and they have not even warmed up yet. A critical mass of Asians, probably to be reached in five years, will lead to new political leaders, sympathetic to mother China. Immigration is now the largest - proportional to population - of any Western nation.

It will explode, making Australia a Chinese colony within 20 years.

JOHN HOWARD ON FREE SPEECH? A Little Bit Too Late, John by Ian Wilson LL.B

Former PM John Howard wrote in *The Weekend Australian*, June 24-25, 2017, p. 20 about: "Threats Anew to Freedom of Religion, Speech."

Oh, the irony of someone, claiming to be a conservative, who did nothing to roll back section 18C, and who advanced the agenda of mass Asian immigration, to such an extent that he lost his own seat: Peter Wilkinson, *The Howard Legacy: Displacement of Traditional Australia from the Professional and Managerial Classes*, (2007). But, that is water under the bridge now, as the once good ship Oz, slowly sinks, from taking on too much water. The pumps have failed long ago.

Howard's article deals with examples of what he calls "minority fundamentalism," seen in the same sex marriage debate, which he discusses, along with the Islamisation issue. He cites a book by Peter Kurti, *The Tyranny of Tolerance* (2017), which Howard wrote a preface to: http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index. php?main_page=product_info&products_id=419#.WU87-o595aQ. I have not read the book.

I think we have heard much of this before. Longgiven freedoms have gone, or are fast going, and the Left and globalists are only just getting warmed up: the full frontal assault has not even happened yet. The Labor Party will push anti-discrimination law into directions undreamt of even in the politically correct daydreams of today's law students: http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/we-must-watch-our-step-on-alps-18c-plan-nick-xenophon/news-story/eca45350753c7305d10ad31089256119.

Surprisingly, the mainstream press is now talking about how cultural Marxism has infiltrated and dominate the core institutions of the West, such as the churches, schools and universities, with some articles even citing our old favourites such as W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Communist*: http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/masks-slip-to-reveal-the-ugly-face-of-the-future/news-story/2620a5023a6ae253109beded9df1f6c8.

This article by Maurice Newman, gives a concise over-view of the extent of the march of cultural Marxism. He concludes, lest anyone here think that dystopian thought is reserved for our writers, that we "can expect financial and economic crises to intensify, living standards to fall, confidence in our democratic system to sink further and, like Greece, Venezuela and the rest, the economy to finally collapse."

Now where have I read such thoughts before?

Germany is already far down the track, controlling any speech which the Deep State does not like: https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/20/world/europe/germany-36-accused-of-hateful-postings-over-social-media.html?mcubz=2.

All of this is done in the name of "tolerance." Whenever I hear the word "tolerance" I reach for my intolerance pills.

THERE IS ALREADY BLOOD By James Reed

Intellectuals such as Peter Brimelow, have said that the present cultural war will lead to blood, and in fact, it already has: https://www.vdare.com/articles/it-will-come-to-blood-reflections-on-the-lefts-anti-trump-inauguration-tantrum?redirect=http://www.vdare.com/articles/

it-will-come-to-blood-reflections-on-the-lefts-anti-trump-inauguration-tantrum.

Greg Sheridan, "Patriotism and Resentment Could have Us Seeing Red," *The Australian*, June 22, 2017, p. 12, http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/greg-sheridan/patriotism-and-resentment-could-have-us-seeing-red/news-story/fe3f35e28ac4440436690ad3f708e183, says that the "will be blood thesis" is a bit early, but the bulk of his article shows that it is already happening. Here are some extracts from an insightful article.

Note that the point of this is to show that some of the things said here are, after some delay, also now being said in the mainstream media, not because we are influencing them, or that they care a jot what we say, but because external circumstances make such matters impossible not to notice. I think the League writers have been the first in Australia to predict the "there will be blood" theme, while the mainstream media, and some freedom types were still thinking about economics and growth, and strategies and theories time-locked in an age that has now passed.

Greg Sheridan goes on to say:

"Take the cases one by one. A little over a week ago a guy with a rifle opened fire at Republican congressmen training for a charity baseball match. The gunman hated Donald Trump and idolised Bernie Sanders. There is plenty of violence and hatred from both far left and far right in US politics. *The Righteous Mind*, by Jonathan Haidt, a 2013 book, charted the long-term trend to the various warring tribes in America seeing each other as inherently evil, along with a measurable decline in people who described themselves as centrists.

An earlier book, *Coming Apart*, by Charles A. Murray, uncovered the quite astonishing way that Americans were sorting themselves out residentially into groups of the like-minded. This was not only by voting pattern but also religious affiliation, income group and leisure activity..."

"Irresponsible, crazy anger on the left is also on the rise, as the shooting of the Republican congressman indicates. The highly exaggerated Black Lives Matter led to the indiscriminate murder of several police, which was itself a critical factor in Trump's election.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

All over the US, left-wing students, often influenced by outside activists, are engaging in fascist streetfighting tactics to silence professors and fellow students who do not genuflect before every crazy piety of identity politics.

What about Britain? The latest terror attack on the innocent worshippers at the mosque in Finsbury Park is in its way potentially a very dangerous development, beyond even the murderous danger of the attack itself. It means that the methods of Islamic State terrorism are spreading beyond those inspired by Islamic State's ideology.

What has been notable about the vox pop TV interviews out of Britain in the past couple of weeks is the seething, furious anger that everyone seems to feel."

Sheridan feels that it is likely that Donald Trump will be removed from office early, which will produce more resentment, to put it mildly. As I see it, civil wars, have been started on less combustible fuel.

Sheridan concludes, "Certainly, there might be blood," but the blood has already begun to flow.

The question is; how much? It seems that right across the West, the collapse of society is in full swing and white liberals, who are not yet being attacked themselves, perched on the higher moral ground, welcome this.

Peter Craven, "We Surely Live in Dystopian Times," *The Weekend Australian*, June 24-25, 2017, p. 21, compares the state of Britain, complete with terrorist attacks and the Grenfell Tower fire, which would not have taken place if better cladding was used, to zombie apocalypse movies such as Danny Boyle's *28 Days Later* (2002). A world of horror is unfolding.

In this context, how much attention should be given to refuting reports, such as the recent one from the Australia Institute, which argues that One Nation is, shock, horror, "anti-Asian, anti-Chinese" http://www.tai.org.au/sites/defualt/files/P349%20Is%20PHON%20still%20anti-Asian%20FINAL.pdf?

Gasp, the ultimate, or nearly the ultimate, thought crime in Australia. Well, so what if PHON is? Why should we care? Even within the framework of conventional Enlightenment morality, which is fast evaporating, it seems a pretty minor thing today, like left-over bourgeoisie table manners.

PUSSYCATS by John Steele

Military theorist Martin van Creveld, has published a piece with the simple title, "Pussycats": http://www.martin-van-creveld.com/pussycats/. His basic thesis is that high technology has made the modern soldier into a "pussycat,' someone soft compared to the fighters of yesterday. Technology has taken some of the risk out of fighting, where the hope is to blast one's enemy at a safe distance with missiles and drones. Armies do not want to take causalities. Further, Western countries have fought "luxury' wars rather than existential struggles since the end of World War II, with the threat of nuclear attack, holding enemies at bay, until recently, when the enemy was allowed inside the gates. In fact, it is enemy ideologies that now dominate the military of the West, as he says, but not in those words exactly, but better words:

Martin van Creveld:

"An important role in all this is played by military women and feminism generally. In every known human society (even, as far as we are able to judge, in some animal societies) since the world began, whatever treatment was considered suitable for males has been seen as too harsh for females.

Conversely, to be treated like women was perceived as the most humiliating thing men could undergo. By insisting on gender equality the way they have—even putting in place "equal employment opportunity officers" charged with hounding any man who dares "offend" a woman—Western armed forces have dragged their men's pride through the mire.

The more so because, as the distribution of casualties shows, it is the men who do practically all the fighting. At the same time they have often confronted women with demands that were too much for them. The proof of this particular pudding is in the eating. Proportionally speaking, far more female than male soldiers are said to suffer from PTSD.

Had the system been deliberately designed to sap the fighting power of Western armies, it could hardly have been improved on. This might well make us ask: *cui bono*? Who profits? ...

I agree entirely with this assessment of the decline of masculinity and manhood in the military. It is almost as if we are being set-up for defeat. This situation is in sharp contrast to the Chinese and Russian military, where the ideals of masculine toughness are encouraged and regarded as a virtue. One only needs to look at YouTube (e.g. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hlarYpBb0lc), to see how tough the average Chinese and Russian soldiers are. In my opinion, they are far tougher than we were back in the day. That is not to even start on their special forces.

Thus, when it comes to ultimately fighting rifle to rifle, and hand to hand, the West will be in big trouble. As documented at this site each week, police in the West are unable to deal with home-grown terrorists, with no go zones across European cities rapidly expanding.

WE BELIEVE

A Statement of Liberal Party Beliefs

Twelfth Reprint 1982. (First Printed circa 1949) 1. WE BELIEVE IN THE CROWN as the enduring embodiment of our national unity and as the symbol of that other unity which exists between all the nations of the British Commonwealth.

- 2. WE BELIEVE IN AUSTRALIA, her courage, her capacity, her future and her national sovereignty, exercised through Parliaments deriving their authority from the people by free and open elections.
- 3. WE BELIEVE IN THE INDIVIDUAL. We stand positively for the freeman, his initiative, individuality and acceptance of responsibility.
- 4. WE BELIEVE IN THE RULE OF LAW. Under it, there is freedom for the nation and for all men and women. Democracy depends upon self-discipline, obedience to the law, the honest administration of the law.
- 5. WE BELIEVE IN THE SPIRIT OF THE VOLUNTEER. This does not mean that we reject compulsion in the matters in which a uniform obedience is needed by the community. But it does mean that the greatest community efforts can be made only when voluntary cooperation and self sacrifice come in aid of and lend character to the performance of legal duties. 6. WE BELIEVE THAT RIGHTS CONNOTE DUTIES, and that sectional and selfish policies are destructive of good citizenship.
- 7. WE BELIEVE THAT IT IS THE SUPREME FUNCTION OF GOVERNMENT TO ASSIST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PERSONALITY; that today's dogma may turn out to be tomorrow's error; and that, in consequence, the interests of all legitimate minorities must be protected.
- 8. WE BELIEVE IN LIBERTY not anarchy, but an individual and social liberty based upon and limited by a civilised conception of social justice.
- 9. WE BELIEVE THAT THE "CLASS WAR" IS A FALSE WAR. The real conflict of our time is not between "classes" in the old sense but between the iron discipline of autocracy - whether Communist or Fascist and the self imposed discipline of the freeman. The spirit of man must prevail.
- 10. WE BELIEVE THAT LIBERALISM MEANS FLEXIBILITY AND PROGRESS. Its principles and its spiritual and intellectual approach enable it to meet and deal with new and changing social and economic circumstances. By elevating the individual it meets and defeats the terrible doctrine of the all-powerful State; a doctrine at once destructive, reactionary and negative. 11. WE BELIEVE THAT IMPROVED LIVING STANDARDS DEPEND UPON HIGH

PRODUCTIVITY AND EFFICIENT SERVICE; and that these vital elements can be achieved only by free and competitive enterprise.

12. WE BELIEVE THAT NATIONAL FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC POWER AND POLICY ARE NOT TO BE DESIGNED TO CONTROL MEN'S LIVES, but to create a climate in which men may be enabled to work out their own salvation in their own way. 13. WE BELIEVE IN THE GREAT HUMAN FREEDOMS; to worship; to think; to speak; to choose; to be ambitious; to be independent to be industrious; to

- 14. WE BELIEVE IN SOCIAL JUSTICE; in encouraging the strong and protecting the weak; in widening opportunities for education; in the preservation of family life; in good homes owned by those who live in them.
- 15. WE BELIEVE IN RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL TOLERANCE AMONG OUR CITIZENS.

acquire skill; to seek and earn reward.

- 16. WE BELIEVE THAT ALL FORMS OF INDUSTRY, PRIMARY OR SECONDARY OR OTHERWISE, DEPEND ONE UPON THE OTHER, and that their community of interest will be the guarantee of the nation's growth.
- 17. WE BELIEVE that, under the blessing of Divine Providence, and given good will, mutual tolerance and understanding, energy, and an individual sense of purpose, there is no task which Australia cannot perform, and no difficulty which she cannot overcome.

"WE BELIEVE" Authorised by: N. R. Hughes, for The Liberal Party of Australia (Victorian Division) 104 Exhibition Street, Melbourne. Printed by Detail Printing. 275 Lt Lonsdale St., Melbourne. 6634771.



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

thecross-roads.org blog.alor.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a. NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and Donations can be performed by bank transfer:

Account details are:

A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

BSB 083-004 A/c No. 51-511-5296

or by cheques directed to:

'Victorian League of Rights' or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions

"On Target" is published by Australian League of Rights Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. **Telephone:** (03) 9600 0677 email: hub@alor.org Head Office Hours - Monday and Tuesday 09.30am - 3.00pm

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

email: heritagebooks@adam.com.au

South Australia: Heritage Book-mailing Service, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Ph:08 7123 7131