



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 33

25th August 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

The Same Sex Apocalypse By Mrs Vera West	1
Section 44 - Biting with a Vengeance By Philip Benwell, National Chair, Australian Monarchist League	2
All the Referendum News By Bruce Bennett	3
Myxo and Vaccination Miracles By Mrs Vera West	3
The World of Cancer By Mrs Vera West	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: SAME-SEX MARRIAGE IDEOLOGY - Andrew Bolt on his Blog writes:

“The most astonishing comment you will read in a long time, from an Age journalist beaten senseless by identity politics:

“The commentator also suggests children are better off being raised by a mother and father, **which is incorrect.**”

So for thousands of years of human civilisation we have raised children wrong, by forcing them to live with mum and dad. How could reporter Broede Carmody say something so plainly false, and plainly inspired not by evidence but politics...”

Read further here... <http://www.heraldsun.com.au/blogs/andrew-bolt/the-strangest-sentence-you-will-read-today/news-story/450f59b68cbd0ae0b701898d4ede1bf8>

ED - I once asked the question of a priest as to how long the English-speaking people had practised ‘monogamous marriage’. He replied: “It is the great norm.” *

* an accepted standard or a way of behaving or doing things that most people agree with

THE SAME SEX APOCALYPSE By Mrs Vera West

Are conservatives and Christian – I know that there are still some out there – taking the same sex marriage vote seriously, seeing it as the existential threat, which it is? By that I mean exactly what Paul Kelly has said (*The Weekend Australian*, August 12-13, 2017, p. 15), namely that a “Yes” vote is likely to be returned, and religious freedom “will have a second and far more important consequence — an assault on religious freedoms made possible by inadequate laws that will see a major shift in Australian society.” These avenues include: “intimidation against individuals, schools, charities, businesses, adoption agencies and civic organisations. This includes consumer boycotts promoted by social media and even commercial boycotts against other commercial entities.” Those supporting traditional marriage will be treated just like immigration critics are now.

Today’s *The Australian* (August 14, 2017, p.1), carries the headline, “Same-Sex Coercion to Hit Schools,” and has this warning: “The Catholic Archbishop of Sydney has launched an attack on the push to legalise same-sex marriage, warning that a failure to protect religious freedoms will expose many Australians and faith-based institutions to the risk of “harassment and coercion”. Archbishop Anthony Fisher has warned that religious schools, hospitals, charities and welfare agencies could be jeopardised by a Yes vote for same-sex marriage in the government’s postal ballot. Firing an opening shot in the church’s campaign, the archbishop has laid down battlelines for the No case by linking the redefinition of marriage to broader community concerns about issues such as the contentious “Safe Schools program.”

This is spot-on. The very point of this issue is to attack traditional institutions such as the family and the church, and this is a matter of definition. Same sex couples can live together, but what they want is to change the definition of “marriage.” This cannot be other than a critique and deconstruction of traditional marriage, and the literature on this by the Left makes this clear.

That the Liberals have pursued this issue indicates that they are a hopeless party and no conservative or Christian should support them or vote for them. Likewise the Labor Party. Find someone else to vote for, and tell others. Oppose those in your church supporting all of this political correctness. Take a stand, you are supposed to be following in Christ’s footsteps, not the global supermarket chain!

If you do not take a stand, expect this to be the beginning of the end of Christianity, in the social institution sense. What do you think will be the next big thing the elites go for after this, because they never rest, and will never give up until we are destroyed, and our culture with it.

SECTION 44 - BITING WITH A VENGEANCE

Philip Benwell, National Chair, Australian Monarchist League

It seems that the problems being faced by members of Parliament over dual nationality are not going to go away. The recent case of Barnaby Joyce, who may be found to be a New Zealand citizen by virtue of his father's nationality, is a result of Australia being built up on the back of immigration.

It is not a fault of section 44 which seeks to protect the interests of the nation but is a consequence of a multicultural community.

Of course, when section 44 was drafted, a quarter of the globe were subjects of the then Queen and not 'foreign' nationals. Since then each country of the former British Empire has created its own citizenship with its own rules and regulations and it is that which has led to the current dilemma facing our politicians.

It was our own High Court which declared in the 1999 case of *Sue v Hill* that the United Kingdom was a foreign power. Mind you, for many years people have been raising the issue of 'foreign' dual nationality of our politicians who in turn have treated this with a

laissez-faire attitude and the matter has now come back to bite them.

Of course, section 44 was never meant to encompass persons who never knew they were subject to another citizenship. Its purpose was to prevent foreign nationals from sitting in the federal parliament and participating in legislation affecting defence and foreign affairs.

It seems that the only remedy is for the parliament to establish a commission to investigate the birth and potential citizenship of each member of Parliament – without exception - including whether a citizenship has been unknowingly transferred by a parent.

Rather than amending section 44 as has been proposed by some, it doesn't take much for each future candidate standing for election to investigate and take steps to renounce a citizenship whether actual or implied prior to formalising his or her candidature. If they don't want to do that and don't want to owe allegiance only to Australia, then they shouldn't stand for the Australian parliament. ***

ALL "OUR" POLITICIANS HAVE DIVIDED LOYALTIES By Bruce Bennett

It seems that Barnaby is a New Zealander, and that a whole host of other MPs may not have done enough to denounce their foreign citizenship, in accordance with section 44 of the constitution.

The Australian of August 15, 2017, p.1, mentions that "South Australian senator Nick Xenophon yesterday conceded he never heard back from Greek and Cypriot authorities when he attempted to renounce any possible foreign citizenship, raising fresh questions about his election."

Well, he should have done more, as this was his duty. This is one MP who needs to go, given his blockage of reforms to section 18 C.

And what an irony if an old Australia clause takes out modern multiculturalism and political correctness.

There are a host of Labor MPs that could be hit as well. But, in reality, with a few exceptions such as Pauline Hanson, what is called "our" politicians are nothing of the sort, but are the puppets of the globalists, and they dance to the cosmopolitan tunes they play.

All are happy to see Australia as an Asian Republic with same sex marriage, run by an Aboriginal review council, with no freedom of speech.

In my opinion, they all have alliances to foreign powers, and thus are far from loyal. ***

PAULINE HANSON, MUSLIM OF THE MOMENT? By Paul Walker

Oh, how much Attorney-General George Brandis is like the US Senator John McCain, and they even vaguely look alike. Both are liberals and progressives rather than conservatives, but all those terms don't mean much anymore in our vibrant diverse world.

Brandis condemned Pauline Hanson's burqa stunt in the Senate, seeing it as undermining the cooperation of "Australia's half-million Muslims – the 'vast majority of [whom] are good, law-abiding Australians' – are vital to intelligence and law enforcement agencies."

Wow, are they really that fragile, that they cannot tolerate a political comment? Oh, I forgot, we don't have freedom of speech in the Soviet Multicultural Fascist Republic of Australasia. And, only Anglos are expected to be tolerant while they are demographically displaced.

Brandis "was so angry his voice was actually wobbling with emotion," the SMH says. "Wobbling" ... the image that brings up ...

<http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/pauline-hanson-burqa-stunt-george-brandis-pulls-off-his-finest-speech-by-calling-out-a-dangerous-fool-20170817-gxyf7f.html>.

Why does all of this self righteousness remind me of Bobby Hawke? No mention by Brandis of the spark of "Divinity" within each individual that is the bulwark of our own culture and should be encouraged and allowed to find full, personal expression. Not as, in my opinion, covered up as merely the appearance of a chattel.


Ok, could it possibly be that Pauline was exploring religious diversity for just a moment, and now she has been pushed away? Just a thought... ***

PULLING THE PLUG By Peter Bennett

US social critic Ann Coulter has released a new book “*Demonic: How the Liberal Mob is Endangering America*”, August 7, 2017.

<http://www.vdare.com/articles/ann-coulter-on-charlottesville-when-liberals-club-people-its-with-love-in-their-hearts?content=him%20a>

The book is already out of date in the light of the aftermath of Charlottesville, which has given the Establishment the motivation to close down numerous websites and Facebook pages, along with the cancellation of PayPal accounts even for sites not associated



with the Unite the Right march: <https://www.counter-currents.com/2017/08/counter-currents-under-attack-2/#more-74189>

The above link gives a summary of what is happening in the US as a globalist payback for daring to challenge the genocidal system now in place. My guess is that things will accelerate now and eventually we will see all sites opposing globalism shut down. It is easy to start with the radicals and work down the list, just as they did in banning guns.

Welcome back to the days before the internet folks! Perhaps President Putin could help? Maybe it's time to set up a new ethnostate in the Siberian region and just let the rest of the West rot? ***

ALL THE REFERENDUM NEWS By Bruce Bennett

The “indigenous recognition campaign ditched” <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/indigenous/indigenous-recognise-campaign-ditched/news-story/b444305a94a3acccc26f1cb96a1223000>, headline, refers to the abandonment of the Recognise Campaign, which gobbled up tens of millions of our taxpayer's dollars over the last five years. This is a specific campaign, distinct from the entire referendum issue.

Therefore, do not mistake this piece of news for any end of the Aboriginal constitutional recognition threat. Aboriginalists and the politically correct establishment have abandoned any “minimalist” proposal, and have showed their true colours, by now advocating substantial constitutional reform, with a referendum probably being held in May 2018.

The main issue will be to add an indigenous voice to parliament, which I see as being to implant a new Aboriginal overlord committee to rule over Australia. As every law impacts on Aboriginals, there will be an influence over all Australian laws, and the culture of subservience set up. The sovereignty of parliament will be lost, and that is the clear agenda.

This one needs to be fought tooth and nails. And, sitting right alongside of it is the same sex marriage postal vote issue, which is already resulting in unexpected controversy:

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/jewish-group-slams-kirby-for-holocaust-comparison-in-gay-marriage-issue/news-story/d9a88c7058794815d17cb364ff45f206>.

Anyone disagreeing with the “Yes” side, as with anything, will be tarred and feathered as being intolerant, as one opinion put it on the front page of *The Weekend Australian*, August 12-13, 2017, p.1.

So be it. If your Christian faith or morality requires this, then, that is that. The chattering class like to say that the Australian people overwhelmingly support same sex marriage, just like with the indigenous recognition issue. Well, let's prove them wrong, as was done back in the Republic vote, which is fast coming too.

Hopefully, the tidal waves of history, coming from the fault lines of civilisation in the United States, will wash over this ideologically dry, slow, sleepy land, and shake the flimsy foundations of the edifice that the local globalists have constructed. Let us hope that history wipes away all trace of the present pc regime. ***

MYXO AND VACCINATION MIRACLES By Mrs Vera West

A paper in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, P. Kerr (et al.), “Next Step in the Ongoing Arms Race Between Myxoma Virus and wild Rabbits in Australia is a Novel Disease Phenotype,” July 14, 2017, has raised the issue that pathogens may evolve to cause complete immune collapse of the host, by suppressing their entire immune system.

The paper states:

“The possibility that pathogens can become highly immunosuppressive in response to increases in host

resistance needs to be considered where genetic and immunologic manipulations are used to enhance host resistance, as, for instance, in agriculture.”

It is stated in *The Australian*, August 15, 2017, p. 3, that this evolutionary arms race will imperil particularly unvaccinated people. Yet, if the micro-organisms suppress the entire immune system, this claim is illogical because vaccination allegedly works via the immune system, which *ex hypothesi*, is suppressed.

Unless, of course, vaccines work via magic and miracles. ***

THE WORLD OF CANCER

By Mrs Vera West

A study has confirmed that about 41 percent of cancers are caused by the environment and lifestyles:
<http://cmajopen.ca/content/5/3/E540.full>

But you don't need to swim through the technicalities of the paper, as there is a neat summary provided by the authors;

Background: Estimates of the proportion of cancer cases that can be attributed to modifiable risk factors are not available for Canada and, more specifically, Alberta. The purpose of this study was to estimate the total proportion of cancer cases in Alberta in 2012 that could be attributed to a set of 24 modifiable lifestyle and environmental risk factors.

Methods: We estimated summary population attributable risk estimates for 24 risk factors (smoking [both passive and active], overweight and obesity, inadequate physical activity, diet [inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption, inadequate fibre intake, excess red and processed meat consumption, salt consumption, inadequate calcium and vitamin D intake], alcohol, hormones [oral contraceptives and hormone therapy], infections [Epstein-Barr virus, hepatitis B and C viruses, human papillomavirus, Helicobacter pylori], air pollution, natural and artificial ultraviolet radiation, radon and water disinfection by-products) by combining population attributable risk estimates for each of the 24 factors that had been previously estimated.

To account for the possibility that individual cancer cases were the result of a combination of multiple risk factors, we subtracted the population attributable risk for the first factor from 100% and then applied the population attributable risk for the second factor to

the remaining proportion that was not attributable to the first factor. We repeated this process in sequential order for all relevant exposures.

Results: Overall, an estimated 40.8% of cancer cases in Alberta in 2012 were attributable to modifiable lifestyle and environmental risk factors.

The largest proportion of cancers were estimated to be attributable to tobacco smoking, physical inactivity and excess body weight. The summary population attributable risk estimate was slightly higher among women (42.4%) than among men (38.7%).

Interpretation: About 41% of cancer cases in Alberta may be attributable to known modifiable lifestyle and environmental risk factors. Reducing the prevalence of these factors in the Alberta population has the potential to substantially reduce the provincial cancer burden."

The take-home lesson is to avoid excess red meat, especially processed meat, reduce salt intake, avoid highly processed foods, reduce sugar intake, and to exercise. Doing this can not only reduce cancer risk, but will improve cardiovascular health, and thus reduce the risks of heart attack and stroke. It's easy. ***

ELECTRONIC VERSION OF ON TARGET

Subscribers to *On Target* are also now able to receive an electronic version via email.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **email:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm

AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS NATIONAL WEEKEND

14 & 15 OCTOBER 2017

Public Schools Club
207 East Terrace,
Adelaide, SA 5000
Ph: (08) 8223 3213

ACCOMMODATION : The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance.

Chifley, 226 South Tce. Ph. 8223 4355

Country Comfort, 215 South Tce. Ph. 8223 2800

Rydges, 1 South Tce. Ph. 08 8216 0300

BOOKINGS FOR THE SEMINAR DIRECT TO

Head Office: Ph 08 8387 6574 - M 0415 527 121

heritagebooks@alor.org

MARK THE DATES IN YOUR DIARY



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

or on the **Veritasbooks.com.au** website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

"On Target" is published by the Australian League of Rights

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574

email: heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm