A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 54 No. 11 **23rd March 2018**

IN THIS ISSUE

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: to *The Australian* Michael Sexton rightly notes ("This is how 'conventional wisdom' has come to smother our debates", 12/3) that there has developed in our nation a failure of public nerve and deterioration of civic integrity, so that conservative-minded people withdraw from debate. Fear of ridicule and loss of financial security trump courage and willingness to accept unpopularity by speaking unfashionable truths. Let us hope that Qantas staff buck this pattern by ignoring the linguistic bullying of the airline's people and culture executive as reported by Nick Cater ("Ditch spirit of ignorance and stick to flying planes", 13/3). A business is entitled to promote courtesy and consideration for others in its context of operations, but that does not mean turning the workplace into a bolshevized campaign office. *NJ*, Belgrave, Vic ***

CAN VICTORIA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2018 BILL BE CONSTITUTIONALLY CHALLENGED? *(or Bolshevising of our Councils-ed)*

By Ian Wilson LL.B

Here is the latest piece of tyranny: Victoria's Local Government Act 2018, Bill:

https://larryhannigan.com/government-state/13-victorian-local-government-act-2018-submissions/

What the Bill does is to transfer a massive amount of state power to local councils. Thus, councils become "authorities" in their own right (section 68), with the power to make local laws for any matter which the council has a function or power. These local laws may be enforced by the police: section 327. For example, in accordance with section 151, councils may compulsory acquire any land that may be required by the council. Is there some sort of knock down constitutional argument against this? This is the first weapon that actionists call on: However, the Australian Constitution is thin on rights, being only an enabling document to get the state together and get commerce flowing, and was not written by people who had been through a war for their independence. Sections 106, 107 and 108, essentially save the full powers of the colonial states, subject to the requirement of the consistency of federal and state laws (federal taking precedence): section 109. This gives the states considerable power, certainly enough power to create local councils, and maybe to kill all blue-eyed babies, as jurisprudential authorities such as A. V. Dicey and Sir Leslie Stephen (1882), hypothesised. Arguably, mass immigration, through demographic displacement is doing that now.

It is argued by actionists that the Australian Constitution does not permit a third tier of government beyond the federal and state systems. There is no mention of councils in the Constitution. But then again, the founding fathers did not consider that women would be voting either, and look at what we have now, joy that it is. Simply consider: The Victorian constitution, Constitution Act 1975, section 74 A (1)states: "(1) Local government is a distinct and essential tier of government consisting of democratically elected Councils having the functions and powers that the Parliament considers are necessary to ensure the peace, order and good government of each municipal district."

Now, consider section 74 B (1): "(1) Parliament may make any laws it considers necessary for or with respect to— (a) the constitution of Councils; and (b) the objectives, functions, powers, duties and responsibilities of Councils; and (c) entitlement to vote and enrolment for elections of Councils; and (d) the conduct of and voting at elections of Councils; and (e) the counting of votes at elections of Councils; and (f) the qualifications to be a Councillor; and (g) the disqualification of a person from being or continuing to be a Councillor; and S. 74B substituted by No. 55/1988 s. 3. s. 74B Part IIA—Local Government Constitution Act 1975 No. 8750 of 1975 89 (h) the powers, duties and responsibilities of Councillors and Council staff; and (i) any other act, matter or thing relating to local government administration."

(continued next page)

(continued from previous page) I know that our side has an unreasonable belief that "The Law" will deliver all that is true and just, but please look at who is in control. Then, feel the fear wash over one.

The argument to counter is that states have a power to delegate power to entities that they choose, and thus, this is not contrary to the Constitution. The absence of a mention does not imply that there is a constitutional ban to the thing not mentioned. Again, consider women voters, where the court just redefined the term 'man' to meet the politics of the time. The US Supreme court even found a right to homosexual marriage in their constitution, sleeping there for all those years. Clearly in law, what goes is what the elites want, and they will define words to suit what they want.

Our High Court, which has been centralist from the beginning will back this. I have argued this so many times at this site, that I need not do so today. Hence, it is unreasonable to put much faith in a High Court challenge, although it still should be done for the record. But, that is a last ditch stand, not the first.

What is needed now is for ordinary people to get off their butts and fight as if their properties depend upon it, because trust me, down the track this legislation will be used as a political weapon, just like native title. In other words, stop hoping that the magical constitution will solve everything and that the judges will go our way. They probably will not. The time to get a grass roots fight going is NOW!

If people do not fight to the end on this one, all is surely lost.

Take lessons from the Left, and take to the streets (lawfully) and protest to the full capacity of your legal rights.

Your freedom is disappearing by the second.

***

THERE GOES THE SUPER By Peter Ewer

Remember when Donald Trump was elected president of the USA and Mal Turnbull was told the news via his trusty mobile phone? His head sunk, in an "oh poo" moment. Now they are all buddies and Mal intends to use a fair chunk of Australia's $2.53 trillion superannuation to give to Trump so that the Donald can fix America's infrastructure, which is rated by engineers at the D or fail level:

https://www.smh.com.au/business/the-economy/trumps-infrastructure-plan-gets-25-trillion-nudge-from-australia-20180222-h0wghz.html

"Australian politicians have pointed to their own success in selling or leasing public assets to finance new construction without incurring new debt -- a concept known as asset recycling. Joe Hockey, now Australia's ambassador to the US, was a key champion of the initiative when he was federal treasurer and has been pivotal in promoting it in Washington. "There's no doubt when it comes to infrastructure and better rollout of infrastructure, Australia has some examples that may be of use to the United States," Hockey said on Wednesday. Fund managers in Turnbull's delegation of 22 business leaders will continue the push at the National Governors Association meeting this weekend. "The key blockage in the US, which is also common across the world, is the political risk due to community concern over private ownership of what people perceive should be public assets," said David Whiteley, chief executive of Industry Super Australia -- the representative body for not-for-profit funds that invest the super funds of 5 million Australians. It has more than $224 billion under management. "Engagement with the US government and state governors is incredibly important to building momentum," he said.

Assets in Australia's superannuation funds have increased nearly ten-fold in the past two decades. And they're not expected to peak for another 20 years, with estimates of the system's ultimate size ranging from $3.5 trillion to $5.1 trillion."

These people are deluded. What else can I say? Why would one risk people's retirement futures for a foreign nation? At a minimum, the super funds might consider investing in Australia's infrastructure, but there are good arguments for not doing that as well. What if the venture fails and all of the super goes down the drain: feel like working to the grave, if you can get a job?
This is the globalist mind in action, which has no loyalty to the local place, only international money. ***

CHINA AND AFRICAN COLONIALISM By James Reed

If there are still doubts by anyone about China's plans for world conquest, just consider what they are up to in Africa, with not a pip of concern made about this by the Left in the West, who have always been a fifth column for Chinese imperialism:

http://www.breitbart.com/national-security/2018/03/08/experts-africa-colonialism-reflects-xi-jinpings-belief-china-sovereign-state/

"China is employing "neo-colonialism" tactics in Africa in the form of predatory loans collateralized with natural resources and strategic assets, experts cautioned lawmakers on Wednesday. The loans allow Beijing to strengthen its military ties to the continent, enhance political leverage, export communist authoritarian ideology, and gain access to viable army positions that can threaten the American homeland. In written testimony prepared for a House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Africa hearing on Wednesday, author Gordon Chang, an expert on China, declared:

(continued next page)

(continued from previous page) "I conclude that [President] Xi Jinping, the Chinese ruler, believes his country should be the world's only sovereign state, which is the essence of colonialism, and that today his country's relations with Africa resemble a new form of colonialism. Moreover, I believe Xi's ambitions for Africa, however one characterizes them, threaten America.…

Africa gives China the ability to threaten the U.S. homeland. Beijing wants a [second overseas] military base at [Namibia's] Walvis Bay … [in] the Atlantic off the African coast. In the Azores, specifically the island of Terceira, Chinese aircraft could control the mouth of the Mediterranean and would be closer to New York than Pearl Harbor is to Los Angeles. … A belligerent China in Asia is bad enough. A dangerous China much closer to our shores is far worse."

Professor Chang has made it clear that in his opinion China wants nothing less than world domination:

"It's world domination," Chang stated. "Although that might sound ludicrous, Xi Jinping has been talking in that direction for about a decade, but he's become much more explicit about China's goals recently. This is not just [about] replacing the United States as the world's most powerful nation in the current international system. The Chinese have been talking about actually overthrowing the current system. … People have been discussing Xi Jinping's theory of international relations as replacing the Western theories of international relations for the last 300 years. Well, if you subtract 300 years from today, you get to the Treaty of Westphalia of 1648 that establishes the international order that we see today of sovereign states competing with one another. When China says that they want to replace that system, it means they want to go back to their imperial system of where they governed all under heaven. This is breathtaking. This is ludicrous, but, nonetheless, this is the way Chinese are talking these days, and we've got to understand the nature of the challenge – not just to the U.S., not just to our friends and allies, but to the whole concept as we see it today….China's foreign minister echoed Xi Jinping's expressed desire to overturn the Westphalian international order and unify the world under a new Chinese empire, said Chang."

http://www.breitbart.com/radio/2018/03/08/chang-chinas-endgame-world-domination/

There are indications that the Trump administration is vaguely aware of this existential threat to Western civilisation, but whether they act to do anything in time, is anyone's guess. ***

MORE ON THE FLORIDA NEAR-FALSE FLAG By John Steele

Although the Florida shooting has slipped from the headlines, the gun banners have got Trump to do their bidding, and put him on the slippery slope to gun control, and the loss of his presidency. However, disturbing facts continue to emerge about this political event regarding "stonewalling" by the head sheriff involved:

"One of the things we also know is that Broward County Sheriff's Deputy Scot Peterson, an armed school resource officer on duty inside the school the day of the shooting, reportedly did nothing to save his young charges...An editorial in the *Sun-Sentinel* newspaper is asking. "Since the Valentine's Day shooting" at the school "in Parkland, many government officials have walled off information needed to assess how well they did their jobs," the editorial states.

"At the head of the pack is Broward Sheriff Scott Israel, who suggests the school's resource officer acted cowardly during the mass shooting and two deputies mishandled earlier warnings. Aside from that, though, the sheriff wants you to take his word that he and his deputies did amazing work before, during and after the shooting," the paper continued.

Not good enough, the editors stated. The only way to hold government officials accountable to the people who appointed or elected them is complete transparency. That means that the people are going to have to see the surveillance video for themselves — no holds barred — otherwise it's a situation where local Floridians will have to "take the word" of a sheriff who still hasn't explained all he should have to explain. Like why, according to various reports, his deputies refused to enter the school as children were being killed — like the department's protocols state. Israel has said that yeah, that's what should have happened, but it didn't and people want to know why.

"The video is not the only public record sought by the *South Florida Sun-Sentinel* and other media organizations in the aftermath of the shooting and the epic government failure surrounding it," the editorial noted. "Among other things, we've also requested copies of the 911 calls, police radio calls and incident reports from the 39 times [Broward Sheriff's Office] deputies were called to the home of Nikolas Cruz, who's confessed to carrying out the rampage that killed 17 and injured 17"...

As I see it, all of this is highly suspicious. Why didn't the armed officer engage the shooter and save lives? Was there a conspiracy to increase the death toll so that the US could arrive at the present level of gun control, which is involving ignoring due process with Trump's blessing? And, what massacres will be occurring in the future to produce gun banning by a Fabian ratchet effect? ***

CURRY AND CANCER By Mrs Vera West

I am concerned about heart disease and cancer, and when I see articles that deal with these topics, that may be of help to you I am keen to summarise them:

https://www.naturalnews.com/2018-03-10-curcumin-in-combination-with-drugs-for-lung-cancer-reduces-drug-resistance.html

"A study published in the *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* offers hope for lung cancer patients and their loved ones. It showed how part of the answer lies in nature's medicine cabinet. Curcumin, the main active ingredient in the unassuming turmeric, combined with anti-cancer drugs, can kill the tumors that cause cancer. It can also prevent the growth of drug-resistant cells to ensure complete recovery.

Cells were treated with curcumin and the anti-cancer drug *gefitinib* alone. Others were treated with curcumin and the drug combined. Cell growth and death were examined closely. Statistical analysis checked for accuracy the findings.

The good news is that results showed that curcumin and gefitinib suppress the multiplication of cancer cells. The said combination can also lower resistance to the anti-cancer drug gefitinib, and win a big part of the battle against the Big C.

What is this little hero called curcumin like? Curcumin is a bright yellow substance found in some plants. It belongs to the ginger family.

Described as a "pharmacy unto itself," curcumin boasts anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor, and antioxidant qualities, with no side effects at all. It lowers the risk of the biggest killer the world over — heart disease — by improving the way the lining of the blood vessels work. Curcumin also helps the brain by increasing the levels of the growth hormone brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF). Brain disorders have been linked to low levels of this hormone."

I recently had a lower back X-ray to check on my arthritis, and they were struggling to find any back left at all! I have found that taking curcumin has helped with my pain too. None of this is medical advice of course, just thoughts from an old woman who suffers in pain. Perhaps you know where I am coming from on this one.

***

CHRISTIANS AND ABORTIONS By Mrs Vera West

Now here is an interesting one:

http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2018/03/10/christians-oppose-abortion-hate-sex/

"A recent article in the *Times-Standard* out of Humboldt County, California, took aim at pro-lifers, alleging that they oppose abortion because they think "human sexuality is something to be ashamed of." This bizarre opinion piece was written by Rev. Bryan Jessup of Humboldt Unitarian Universalist Fellowship Church in Bayside, California, and trotted out tired, pro-choice rhetoric that Christians wish to "impose" anti-abortion morality based on religion. "Regarding the 40- day protest in Eureka," the reverend wrote, "people need to know that not all religions believe that human sexuality is something to be ashamed of. They need to know that some ways of faith regard sexuality as a blessing to be carefully enjoyed between people — even if they aren't married or heterosexual." Jessup went on to assert that freedom of religion means that "people whose faith allows them more freedom around their sexuality are also free to do as they see fit" because the United States is a secular nation."

The claims are interesting because they are so deranged and illogical. Even if it was true that Christians were prudish about sex, maybe that is a good thing given the sexual excesses which we have had to put up with since the 1960s so-called sexual liberation movement? Surely it balances things up?

Further, claims about sexuality are irrelevant to the abortion debate because this debate is concerned with the end product of sexual intercourse – a new life, and its rights. You see, for the liberal, rights can be given out freely to all matter of outsiders, murderers, rapists and the like, but the innocent foetus, well that can be destroyed as part of a "woman's choice." It is a logically incoherent position, even "racist."

***

Climate Scare Stories

"The Oceans will Boil".
Said the man in the ivory tower
Unless you stop wasting your power
The oceans will boil
And you'll bake all the soil
And drought will wilt every flower.

https://carbon-sense.com/2013/04/13/hansen-claims-oceans-will-boil/

Viv Forbes, Washpool, Qld

THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target $45.00 p.a.
NewTimes Survey $30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed **by bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
BSB 105-044
A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions

"On Target" is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy.
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.
Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **email:** heritagebooks@alor.org
Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm