



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 54 No. 30

3rd August 2018

IN THIS ISSUE

Peaceful Multicultural Societies do Not Exist Says Minister, Leftoid Heads Explode By Bruce Bennett	1
Civil War 2.0 By Charles Taylor	2
Imagine a World Without Borders By James Reed	3
Doctor Can You Hear Me? By Mrs Vera West	4

*How happy in his low degree,
How rich in humble poverty is he
Who leads a quiet country life,
Discharged of business, void of strife,
And from the griping scrivener free.*

*Thus, ere the seeds of vice were sown
Lived men in better ages born
Who ploughed with oxen of their own
Their small paternal field of corn.*

– Imitation of Horace (1685) John Dryden

PEACEFUL MULTICULTURAL SOCIETIES DO NOT EXIST SAYS MINISTER, LEFTOID HEADS EXPLODE By Bruce Bennett

Following on from President Trump's revelation that he cannot think of a society improved by mass immigration, we have more revelations from enlightened Europe:

<https://www.rt.com/news/433645-dutch-fm-multicultural-societies/>

'Peaceful multicultural societies don't exist and the EU won't be able to force "equal" migrant distribution on Eastern Europe, where people of color are beaten to a pulp, the Dutch FM said according to a leaked video. The explosive comments were delivered by Dutch Foreign Minister Stef Blok during a private meeting attended by some 80 people working for the Netherlands' international organizations. Footage of Blok speaking to the audience via video link was leaked to the current affairs program *Zembla* on Wednesday, and the inflammatory remarks promptly spread through the Dutch media.

"I have asked my ministry this and I will pose the question here as well," Blok can be heard saying in the video. "Give me an example of a multi-ethnic or a multi-cultural society, in which the original population still lives, and where there is a peaceful cohabitation. I don't know one."

The minister went further and said it might be all fun and games to go to a "Turkish bakery on Sunday" if you live in a well-off part of a city, but a "number of side effects" promptly become tangible if one lives in a migrant-packed neighborhood. "You very quickly reach the limits of what a society can take," Blok stated. One of the event goers gave Suriname as an example of a peaceful multicultural society. Bok, however, brushed off the claim, branding the former Dutch colony "a failed state."

"And that is largely to do with the ethnic divisions," Blok said. Singapore was then given as an example of such a society. Blok agreed with that to a certain degree, stating, however, that the tiny South Asian country is actually very careful in its migration policies. "Singapore is indeed a mini-country, extremely selective in its migration," Blok stated. "They do not allow poor migrants. Yes, eventually, possibly for cleaning."

The minister also casted doubts on whether an agreement between the EU countries on "equal" distribution of migrants can actually be reached. Extreme levels of xenophobia, which allegedly persist in Eastern Europe would not allow the EU to "force through" such a deal, Blok claimed.

"Walk along any street in Warsaw or Prague. There are no colored people. These people are gone within a week, they get beaten to a pulp. They have no life there," Blok stated. "I don't think we are going to manage to force through an agreement at a central European level that every country will take the same number of refugees. Eastern Europeans will never agree".'

This would have been common sense in the pre-World War II period, but such is the relentless irrationality of the suicide of the West.

Ed - Recommended reading *The War of the Running Dogs* by Noel Barber; How Communists were defeated in the Malaysian War c.1950s. ***

CIVIL WAR 2.0 By Charles Taylor

We have been writing about the possibility of a second civil war in America for some time now, and probably were one of the first sites in Australia to explore this issue. Now the topic is gaining expression and here is the latest from an Alt Right perspective:

“So today, one hundred and fifty-seven years after the first secession, we talk again about secession, and we grind our teeth and seethe in silence at the thought of another day in this capitalist crapper that we live in while we cosily recline in front of our TVs believing that President Trump is going to build “the wall,” and somehow will save our lazy asses... . And yet, at the same moment, tens of thousands, of whining tards hit the streets on cue from George Soros, the Democrat Party, and wealthy Republicans to violently protest the merest baby step that ICE or Trump takes of safeguarding our borders from Mexico’s and Guatemala’s outpourings into our schools, our jobs, and our neighbourhoods.

So, in this coast-to-coast milieu of perversion ... can we envision a somewhat peaceful breaking apart as did the USSR in 1991, or as in the Velvet Divorce of Slovakia and the Czech Republic in 1993, or as did Sweden and Norway a century ago, or as did the Jews

in 1948? Can we not look to these actual historical events, not as curious factoids, but as exemplars, as blueprints perhaps, to stave off our own dissolution —of our people—White Europeans who once carved a civilization out of the forests and the deserts and the coastlands of North America and then put out a “welcome” mat—can’t we have a land of our own? No, we cannot. There is a difference, you see, between our desired peaceful ethnic secession and these named examples of countries falling apart by peaceable design or by political persuasion (as in the case of the creation of Israel). That difference is the same circumstance that prevailed in the U.S. 1861. ... That is what caused the first American Civil War. That will be what causes the second.”

<https://www.theoccidentalobserver.net>

President Trump is important in all of this, not because he has actually done anything positive, but because he is a reluctant symbol of traditional white Americans - the “deplorables,” who are under attack by the power elite. The assault on Trump is thus seen as a war upon traditional America, which in turn is fanning the flames of revolution. Resentment is growing. The Left have not seen anything, yet. ***

THE GHOST GUNNER By John Steele

The gun control lobby want to ban all guns in private hands so that only the oppressive state apparatus, as one social theorist once described it, have them, so that if you set out of line, then splat! Ok, but will it work if someone is really determined to get a gun? The gun banners need to be thinking about how the high tech revolution may be making them, like most of us, obsolete:

“Learning to make a so-called ghost gun — an untraceable, unregistered firearm without a serial number — could soon become much easier. The United States last month agreed to allow a Texas man to distribute online instruction manuals for a pistol that could be made by anyone with access to a 3-D printer. The man, Cody Wilson, sued the State Department after he was forced to take down the instructions because they violated export laws. Mr. Wilson, who is well known in anarchist and gun-rights communities, complained that his right to free speech was being stifled and that he was sharing computer code, not actual guns.

The case was settled on June 29, and Mr. Wilson gave *The New York Times* a copy of the agreement this week. The settlement states that 3-D printing tutorials are approved “for public release (i.e. unlimited distribution) in any form.” The government also agreed to pay nearly \$40,000 of Mr. Wilson’s legal fees. The willingness to resolve the case — after the

government had won some lower court judgments — has raised alarms among gun-control advocates, who said it would make it easier for felons and others to get firearms. Some critics said it suggested close ties between the Trump administration and gun-ownership advocates, this week filing requests for documents that might explain why the government agreed to settle. The administration “capitulated in a case it had won at every step of the way,” said J. Adam Skaggs, the chief counsel for the Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence. “This isn’t a case where the underlying facts of the law changed. The only thing that changed was the administration.”

Mr. Wilson’s organization, Defence Distributed, will repost its online guides on Aug. 1, when “the age of the downloadable gun formally begins,” according to its website. The files will include plans to make a variety of firearms using 3-D printers, including for AR-15-style rifles, which have been used in several mass shootings.” gellerreport.com/2018/07/lott-guns-fool.html/

Now this is all quite ILLEGAL in Australia, and no law abiding citizen should even go to the website, let alone download plans or build such monstrosities. The disclaimer cannot be clearer. But, my point is that even if criminals do not get illegal guns, they will simply make them, real easy by 3D printing. They are after all, criminals who defy the law. ***

CONSERVATIVES, LIBERALS AND THE MEANING OF LIFE By Chris Knight

In this world of hyper-consumerism, we do not hear too much about the meaning of life today. Life is about getting enough money to survive, or if you are rich, doing your best to make sure others do not. But, as incredible as it seems some people still think about what was once called the “big questions”:

‘Meaning is personal to each of us. However, a new study published June 15 in the journal *Social Psychological and Personality Science* suggests that some people might be better at finding that meaning than others — and the difference may come down to politics. According to the study, which compiled survey results from more than 50,000 participants in 16 countries, people who identified as political conservatives were more likely to find meaning and

satisfaction in their lives than liberals were.

“Political conservatives tend to be happier than liberals, a finding that has been labeled the ‘happiness gap’ in media reporting,” a team of psychologists from the University of Southern California (USC) wrote in the new paper. “One conservative commentator even described it as “niftily self-reinforcing; it depresses liberals”.’

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1948550618768241>

Could it be that conservatives, even living in a war zone crated by decadent progressives, are still in tune with fundamental human nature, rather than the socially constructed mess that comprises modern liberalism and the progressive, really degenerate Left?

IMAGINE A WORLD WITHOUT BORDERS By James Reed

Here is a great article attacking the ideology of the open borders world of the global corporate elite, but also that held by the antifa and progressive Left, who, when they grow up, if ever, will probably abandon the social Justice Warrior life, and take jobs supporting a system that in their youth they denounced as racist and oppressive.

‘Driving the growing populist outrage in Europe and North America is the ongoing elite push for a borderless world. Among elites, borderlessness has taken its place among the politically correct positions of our age—and, as with other such ideas, it has shaped the language we use. The descriptive term “illegal alien” has given way to the nebulous “unlawful immigrant.” This, in turn, has given way to “undocumented immigrant,” “immigrant,” or the entirely neutral “migrant”—a noun that obscures whether the individual in question is entering or leaving. Such linguistic gymnastics are unfortunately necessary. Since an enforceable southern border no longer exists, there can be no immigration law to break in the first place.

Today’s open-borders agenda has its roots not only in economic factors—the need for low-wage workers who will do the work that native-born Americans or Europeans supposedly will not—but also in several decades of intellectual ferment, in which Western academics have created a trendy field of “borders discourse.” What we might call “post-borderism” argues that boundaries even between distinct nations are mere artificial constructs, methods of marginalization designed by those in power, mostly to stigmatize and oppress the “other”—usually the poorer and less Western—who arbitrarily ended up on the wrong side of the divide. “Where borders are drawn, power is exercised,” as one European scholar put it.

This view assumes that where borders are not drawn, power is not exercised—as if a million Middle Eastern immigrants pouring into Germany do not wield considerable power by their sheer numbers and adroit manipulation of Western notions of victimization and grievance politics. Indeed, Western leftists seek political empowerment by encouraging the arrival of millions of impoverished migrants.

The end of borders, and the accompanying uncontrolled immigration, will never become a natural condition—any more than sanctuary cities, unless forced by the federal government, will voluntarily allow out-of-state agencies to enter their city limits to deport illegal aliens, or Mexico will institutionalize free entry into its country from similarly Spanish-speaking Central American countries.

Borders are to distinct countries what fences are to neighbors: means of demarcating that something on one side is different from what lies on the other side, a reflection of the singularity of one entity in comparison with another. Borders amplify the innate human desire to own and protect property and physical space, which is impossible to do unless it is seen—and can be so understood—as distinct and separate. Clearly delineated borders and their enforcement, either by walls and fences or by security patrols, won’t go away because they go to the heart of the human condition—what jurists from Rome to the Scottish Enlightenment called *meum et tuum*, mine and yours. Between friends, unfenced borders enhance friendship; among the unfriendly, when fortified, they help keep the peace.’

They are about to find that one day, they too will seek their own walls. They may not find them, and they too, will become, “borderless.”

DOCTOR CAN YOU HEAR ME? By Mrs Vera West

Do doctors Care? Do they even listen? Apparently, not. ‘A team of researchers from the University of Florida, Gainesville, recently carried out a study that investigated the clinical encounters between physicians and patients. They assessed consultations between 112 patients and their doctors at appointments that took place between 2008 and 2015. The visits were videotaped in different clinics throughout the country. The researchers were looking out for whether doctors gave patients a chance to set the agenda for the visit – for example, by asking questions like, “What can I do for you?” or “Tell me what brings you in today.” They also noted that when patients were interrupted while speaking. Doctors let just a little over a third (36 percent) of patients set the agenda. Unfortunately, two thirds of these patients were interrupted pretty quickly – just 11 seconds after they started speaking,

on average. Those who weren’t interrupted, however, finished speaking after around six seconds, so it’s reasonable to expect that they may have been interrupted as well had they continued speaking for much longer.’ <https://www.naturalnews.com/2018-07-23-study-finds-doctors-stop-listening-to-patients-after-just-11-seconds.html>

Call me an old medical cynic, but I think the days of patient-first medicine have long gone. Today it is about making money, and it is always easier to prescribe drugs that prescribe lifestyle changes. Diet, nutrition and exercise advice are generally beyond the average GP.

Thus, I encourage people to become health literate and begin to develop knowledge and monitoring of their health, in coordination with a health professional, of course. Treat it just as one would your tax; you take care of the day-to-day stuff, then get expert help when you need it. ***

ATTACKING OUR CHRISTIAN TRADITION & HOW TO TAKE ACTION

Concerning the removal of ‘The Lord’s Prayer’ from senate sittings referred to in the ‘Action Target’ below, here are some points you may wish to include in your submission (in your own words) are:

- Australia was founded upon Christian principals and virtues and opening the parliament with a prayer has been a tradition since Federation.
- Australia recognises and proudly acknowledges its Christian heritage and traditions by continuing to use The Lord’s Prayer at the beginning of each sitting day of Parliament. This should continue to occur.
- Removing the parliamentary prayer with the insipid secular alternative that is proposed by the Greens will not

unite Australians or make Australia more inclusive but instead sow discord, and show that acknowledging our Christian roots is unwelcome in the public sphere.

- Our Parliament is derived from the Westminster System of Government where Christian religion was part of the foundation of this system of government. It is important to continue to recognise our history.
- It is an historical reality that Australia was founded by a Christian nation (Britain) and settled as a Christian nation. These underpinnings of Christian tolerance mean we welcome equally people of all faiths or no faith at all. Denying this historical reality will do away with our Christian heritage to our peril. ***

ACTION TARGET

STOP PARLIAMENT DENYING OUR HERITAGE

The *Australian Family Association* has alerted people to a new move to abolish “The Lord’s Prayer” in Parliament. This initiative (from the Greens) denies our rich Christian Heritage and needs to be firmly resisted from the outset. Mercy, pity, patience, comfort, conscience and salvation are words and concepts introduced into our culture from these same Christian roots. We do not have to join the gadarene swine over the cliff into the totalitarian secular abyss. Stop this attack by sending your personal submission directly to the Senate enquiry mentioned below. Address your remarks to:

The Senate Standing Committee on Procedure.

Post it to:

Mr Richard Pye, Clerk of the Senate, PO Box 6100, Parliament House, Canberra. ACT 2600.

Email will be quicker: clerk.sen@aph.gov.au

Your letter/email must be received by the committee no later than this Friday August 3rd, so send it immediately.

-ND



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

“On Target” is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy.

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574

email: heritagebooks@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm