



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 58 No. 20

27th May 2022

IN THIS ISSUE

Steps Towards The Monopoly State - An Examination of the Socialist Conspiracy By Eric D. Butler	1
This Age of the Puritan Oligarch By Arnis Luks	2
John Howard's Climate Change Speech - One Religion Is Enough	3
Another Lockstep / Lockdown Coming	4

Thought for the week: "No method of procedure has ever been devised by which liberty could be divorced from self-government. No plan of centralisation has ever been adopted which did not result in bureaucracy, tyranny, inflexibility, reaction and decline....Unless bureaucracy is constantly resisted it breaks down representative government, and overwhelms democracy. It is the one element in our institutions that sets up the pretence of having authority over everybody and being responsible to nobody." *U.S.A. President Calvin Coolidge, 1926*

STEPS TOWARDS THE MONOPOLY STATE

An Examination of the Socialist Conspiracy By Eric D. Butler

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler_ED-Steps_Towards_the_Monopoly_State.pdf

The Menace of Omnipotent Government

Melbourne Argus, Oct 25, 1947- Written prior to the Victorian State Elections, 1947

...After visiting Stalin in 1946, Professor Harold Laski, of the Fabian Socialist London School of Economics, made the statement that Russian Communism and British Socialism were merely two distinct roads to the same objective. A similar statement could be made about the British and Australian Governments. Both have the same totalitarian objective, but different techniques are required to reach it.

The power and effectiveness of the House of Lords having been destroyed, and the sovereignty of Parliament and the Common Law undermined by the bureaucratic lawlessness warned about by Lord Hewart* as far back as 1929, there has been little check to the totalitarian drive in Great Britain.

The written Federal Constitution and the High Court have compelled different tactics in Australia.

The maintenance of a Constitution of any description depends upon the state of public opinion.

** https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/HEWART%20Lord%20Of%20Bury-The_New_Despotism_1929.pdf

Constitutional Safeguards

Public opinion has been so confused and perverted by subtle totalitarian propaganda that there are a great number of people who accept without question the idea that, once a Government has been elected to office, it should be free to do as it likes until the next elections. Many people ask why should a Federal Government elected by a majority of the electors have its powers limited by a Federal Constitution framed nearly 50 (120 now-ed) years ago. We have violent attacks made upon the State Legislative Councils which are declared to be "anti-democratic," while increasing suggestions are being made that even the Constitutional powers of the Crown should be drastically reduced.

Laski has written: *"There is no reason to doubt that the prerogative of the King seems to men of eminence and experience in politics above all the means of delaying the coming of Socialism."*

This is a particularly significant statement.

Laski said his fellow-totalitarians in all parts of the British Empire realise that the Monopoly State cannot be created while the powers of Parliament are limited by Constitutional safeguards. As these safeguards are the result of political experience gained over hundreds of years, we would be extremely foolish to allow them to be destroyed without first trying to discover why they were evolved and how they function - or could function, if the people made use of them.

Anyone who has carefully read *Magna Carta* must admit that our forefathers had far more political wisdom than most people realise. They were concerned with the same basic problem confronting us today; the necessity of ensuring that no man or group of men had too much power over the lives of other men.

The system of Common Law, evolved to protect the individual against arbitrary acts by Governments, Kings or officials, sprang direct from the climate of opinion created by the Christian Church.

It Conceived of the Individual Having Certain Rights With Which No One Should Tamper

The menace of the Omnipotent Government, which now threatens the people of this country, is that the Government, having gone through the formality of getting a majority of votes, can then “legally” do as it likes to the individual.

Anyone who doubts the value of the trinitarian conception of our State Constitutions, a House of Assembly, a Legislative Council as a house of review and a brake on snap legislation, and the Crown, should recall the fact that the 1944 Referendum, at which the electors of Australia overwhelmingly rejected Dr. Evatt's demands for sweeping powers for Canberra, was mainly the result of the Tasmanian Legislative Council's refusal to be a party to the House of Assembly's proposal to grant the powers without reference to the Tasmanian electors.

Use of the Upper House

The Tasmanian Legislative Council's action was condemned as reactionary, “thwarting the policies of the democratically elected House of Assembly,” and all the other terrible things now being charged against the Victorian Legislative Council. But when the 1944 Referendum did take place, an overwhelming majority of the Tasmanian electors voted to retain the powers their “democratic” House of Assembly proposed to give away. The action of the Legislative Council saved their rights.

THIS AGE OF THE PURITAN OLIGARCH By Arnis Luks

With a change of federal government, the policy of legislating into existence a world government continues. Australia has gone from the frying pan into the fire. Both of the majors' leaders had already agreed to sign onto the WHO 'Pandemic Treaty', handing our personal medical sovereignty over to the WHO on a platter. The public are left defenceless with no true leader presenting the real value of our cultural history of civics and personal responsibility. It has been a field day for billionaires.

In spite of the election result, the most significant consequence of the campaign has been the news-websites from both PHON and UAP reporting of the ramifications of the major's policy statements. As an alternative analysis of policy, both sources provided a refreshing and welcome perspective. Unfortunately, neither are prepared to tackle the mechanism by which all this political power has been centralised.

Centralising Policy

Bill Gates is presented as the epitome of evil by some and yet even he is simply furthering a centralising policy well set in place before he was even born. 1600 Netherlands established a central bank and a stock market. VOC - the Dutch East-Indies company, as the first trans-national corporation, was permitted through stock options to raise military forces, mint their own coin and pursue policy for the benefit of the investors above nation states. This 400+ year old centralising policy into merchants' hands is now UN driven world government.

ON TARGET

While there may be reasons for deploring the manner in which the Victorian Legislative Council forced the coming State elections, no liberty-loving individual should be tricked into supporting the abolition of a check on the policies of the House of Assembly. Surely no Victorian elector wants a repetition of what happened in Queensland, where, having abolished the Legislative Council, the Labour Party so rearranged electoral boundaries that nothing short of an electoral landslide can remove them from office.

The principle of Upper Houses should, in the absence of any other check on the House of Assembly, be maintained. The more restrictions placed on the idea of Governments passing a never-ending stream of legislation, much of it designed to control the individual, the better.

And, if State Governments should have their powers restricted, how much more essential is it to preserve and strengthen the Federal Constitution in order to restrict the powers of the Federal Government, thus preventing any repetition of a Government elected to office by a bare majority of the electors ruthlessly advancing legislation designed to interfere with the liberties of all the people.

It is time to challenge the menace of the omnipotent Government.

The Victorian election affords the opportunity...end

BlackRock, Vanguard and State Street are weaponized entities to pursue policy above national interests. Climate, genderism, Covid, the War on Terror, Y2K and other matters of ‘international urgency’ are simply propaganda-generated-creations to centralise power whilst confusing the public. Even the Chinese use of the term ‘social credit’ to attribute to its ‘surveillance state’; a system of rewards and punishment, is an inversion of the meaning of those words, to manage power over all. Compounding upon the decimation of our manufacturing, (North-South dialogue eulogised by PM Malcolm Fraser) are the almost-doubling of fuel prices, the same almost-doubling of electrical energy prices, and now rising interest rates. These financial policies are to bring about a collapse of the once-independent and self-reliant middle class. How are they meant to survive the next lockstep / lockdown except by subsidy from the government? The equity held in property is up for grabs and will soon erode with falling values. Labor is indicating a 40% stake will be available on your property. Is this as part of support during this next lockstep / lockdown?

You will own nothing and be happy!

World-government policy implementation continues unabated even with a change of federal government.

Questions About The Election Results

With only 4% nationally voting UAP, and a possible result of one upper house seat in Victoria, what was the real purpose for that \$100M spend - playing spoiler?

Simon Holmes à Court and the teals fared much better. The clean energy investor set up Climate 200 to raise huge sums for pro-climate candidates into the federal parliament - to oust Liberal MPs, several electorates in wealthy, inner-city seats. What a clever opportunity to hold the balance of power, lucrative return on investment, and guilts if you happen to disagree with climate policy.

John Howard's Climate Change Speech -

One Religion Is Enough 05th November 2013

https://australianpolitics.com/downloads/howard/2013/13-11-05_howard-speech-to-global-warming-policy-foundation.pdf

... I chose the lecture's title largely in reaction to the sanctimonious tone employed by so many of those who advocate quite substantial, and costly, responses to what they see as irrefutable evidence that the world's climate faces catastrophe, against people who do share their view. To them the cause has become a substitute religion.

Increasingly offensive language is used. The most egregious example has been the term "denier".

We are all aware of the particular meaning that word has acquired in contemporary parlance. It has been employed in this debate with some malice aforethought.

An overriding feature of the debate is the constant attempt to intimidate policy makers, in some cases successfully, with the mantras of "follow the science" and "the science is truly settled". The purpose is to create the impression that there is really no room for argument; this is not really a public policy issue; it is one on which the experts have spoken, and we would all be quite daft to do other than follow the prescriptions, it is asserted, which flow automatically from the scientific findings.

Writing recently in *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons*, Dr Richard S. Lindzen, Emeritus Professor of Atmospheric Sciences at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, said of those with political agendas who found it useful to employ science, ***"This immediately involves a distortion of science at a very basic level: namely science becomes a source of authority rather than a mode of inquiry. The real utility of science stems from the latter; the political utility stems from the former."***

It is a proven technique. It is behind the expression I am sure you have heard that something is "above politics" or "too important to be left to the politicians", with politicians themselves sometimes being the worst culprits of all in advocating that decisions they should make are in fact determined by others. Politicians who bemoan the loss of respect for their calling should remember that every time they allow themselves to be browbeaten by the alleged views of experts they contribute further to that loss of respect...

...The flood of emails coming from the University of East Anglia, the admitted errors regarding the

Himalayan Glaciers, as well as the nakedly political agendas of some of those allegedly giving impartial scientific advice have degraded the image of the IPCC as the unchallengeable body of scientific experts on global warming. For example, Otto Edenhofer, Co-Chairman of the IPCC Working Group III, and a lead author of the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report, released in 2007, demonstrated his commitment to impartial scientific enquiry with his remarkable statement,

"One has to free oneself from the illusion that international climate policy is environmental policy. This has almost nothing to do with environmental policy anymore."

Revealing his real agenda he has stated: ***"One must say clearly that we redistribute de facto the world's wealth by climate policy"***.⁽¹⁾

And the most recent IPCC Report has produced a grudging admission that the warming process has been at a standstill for the past 15 years (*now 25 years-ed*).

But we are assured that is only temporary...**end**

1. Otto Edenhofer interview with German news outlet NZZ Online, 14 November 2010. Published in English by the GWPI: Neue Burcher Beitung; Energy and Poverty – What is Really at Stake in Cancun

"You know, comrades," says Stalin, "that I think in regard to this: I consider it completely unimportant who in the party will vote, or how; but what is extraordinarily important is this — who will count the votes, and how." *Memoirs of Stalin's Former Secretary*

By Boris Bazhanov <https://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/acref/9780191843730.001.0001/q-oro-ed5-00010383>

VETO

AJL...The recent promotion of "putting the sitting member last" on the ballot paper was a Veto mechanism by another name. South Australia's new government almost immediately introduced even greater tyranny with the imposition of increased fines \$20k and 2 years gaol, whilst, one month at a time, extending the state of perpetual-covid-emergency. <https://www.covid-19.sa.gov.au/emergency-declarations/emergency-declaration>

Prior to and during the lockstep / lockdown the morbidity across Australia had been reasonably constant, until the introduction of the jabs. Now all bets are off with even the young being seriously injured. Reports indicate the jab as the cause of increased serious-injury and morbidity, with suppliers having full public indemnity. What an opportunity for another lucrative return on investment, and the guilts if you happen to disagree?

What is the truth? Russell L. Blaylock

Retired Neurosurgeon, Theoretical Neuroscience Research, LLC, Ridgeland, Mississippi, United States. E-mail: *Russell L. Blaylock - Blay6307@gmail.com <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9062939/pdf/SNI-13-167.pdf>

...The COVID-19 pandemic is one of the most manipulated infectious disease events in history, characterized by official lies in an unending stream lead by government bureaucracies, medical

associations, medical boards, the media, and international agencies. We have witnessed a long list of unprecedented intrusions into medical practice, including attacks on medical experts, destruction of medical careers among doctors refusing to participate in killing their patients and a massive regimentation of health care, led by non-qualified individuals with enormous wealth, power and influence.

For the first time in American history a president, governors, mayors, hospital administrators and federal bureaucrats are determining medical treatments based not on accurate scientifically based or even experience based information, but rather to force the acceptance of special forms of care and “prevention”—including remdesivir, use of respirators and ultimately a series of essentially untested messenger RNA vaccines. For the first time in history medical treatment, protocols are not being formulated based on the experience of the physicians treating the largest number of patients successfully, but rather individuals and bureaucracies that have never treated a single patient—including Anthony Fauci, Bill Gates, EcoHealth Alliance, the CDC, WHO, state public health officers and hospital administrators...end

Another Lockstep / Lockdown Coming

There are increasing reports of an animal-to-human (zoonotic transmission) labelled ‘monkeypox’. The World Health Organisation is holding emergency meetings to discuss the outbreak, a viral infection more common to west and central Africa, after over 100 cases were confirmed or suspected in Europe. In what Germany described as the largest outbreak in Europe ever, cases have been reported in at least nine countries – Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom - as well as the United States, Canada and Australia.

The next extended lockstep / lockdown may soon occur, whether it is based on monkey-pox or climate, both urgencies being conveniently and oh so surprisingly, following the federal election, amidst growing momentum against the covid subterfuge and justification. This is a similar methodology to that used against the Canadian trucker’s momentum – take the public eyes off the ball with another ‘*pressing emergency*’ distraction.

The Teal phenomena has demonstrated that a sophisticated and unified grass roots campaign can cause significant disruptions to the majors. Can we learn from their gains? Have past movements been able to change the course of political events? Yes - *Operation Bankwatch* demonstrated how a small grassroots movement can exert significant political pressure here: <https://alor.org/Storage/Bankwatch/index.html>

Eric Butler also answers this question to the affirmative here: <https://www.bitcute.com/video/UcrGmzy0Xgre/>.

Utilising The Tools We have Been Given

The Australian Federal Constitution allows the
ON TARGET

Governor General to withhold or withdraw assent to legislation within a period of one year from having given assent. The Federal Constitution Section 59 states:

“The Queen may disallow any law within one year from the Governor General’s assent, and such disallowance on being made known by the Governor-General by speech or message to each of the Houses of the Parliament, or by Proclamation, shall annul the law from the day when the disallowance is so made known.”

Can we muster sufficient political momentum to encourage our Governor General or Governor/s to intervene? An excellent compilation of when this occurred within the Commonwealth, a Governor General or such like exercising reserved powers to call to order a parliament is titled: *“The King and His Dominion Governors”* written by former High Court Judge and Labor Attorney General HV Evatt available here: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Evatt_HV-The_King_and_His_Dominion_Governors.pdf

“Treason doth never prosper, what’s the reason? For if it prosper, none dare call it Treason.” - John Harington

Our federal government, in collusion with state governments were performing a dodgy, whereby the Constitution specifically forbids them to have authority to impose ‘medical conscription’. The state governments, under financial duress from the Commonwealth, have legislated to cause ‘medical conscription’ in the form of mandates (legislated by the states to satisfy WHO guidance). The Australian people have never been asked to de-construct their limiting Constitution – as to how we shall be governed. The newly elected federal and all state governments hold no mandate to hand our sovereignty over to an unelected, unrepresentative, foreign power controlled for the direct benefit of mega-wealthy individuals. Any altering of the Constitution requires a referendum carried across a majority of people from a majority of states. The Governor/s and the Governor General may not act to withdraw assent without clear and significant support provided from the public. As to how is up to each person using the lawfully available means and methods at their disposal to restore our ancient freedoms.

In any fight keep going - you never know how near the other fellow is to defeat. ***

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: ‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.