



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 58 No. 41

21st October 2022

IN THIS ISSUE

Looking For Answers By Arnis Luks	1
The Causes of War: Is Our Financial System To Blame? by C.H. Douglas	2
Banks Lend Money by Creating the Means of Payment Out of Nothing	3
Maintenance of Soil Fertility Levels is The Answer By Arnis Luks	4

Thought For The Week: “I took steps to have my own oxen and to ascertain from first-hand experience, the reaction of well-chosen and well-fed animals to diseases like rinderpest, Johne’s disease, septicaemia, foot and mouth disease and so forth which are common in India. My animals duly came in contact with other oxen suffering from, among other things, foot and mouth disease. I have myself seen my oxen rubbing noses with foot and mouth cases. Nothing happened. The healthy, well-fed animal reacted towards this disease exactly as improved and properly cultivated crops did to insects and fungi - no infection occurred. These ideas were put to the test during the next twenty-one years at three centres in India, at all of which I had to manage large areas of land and look after numerous oxen... In the course of this work it was soon discovered that the thing that matters most in soil management is a regular supply of freshly made humus, prepared from animal and vegetable wastes, and that the maintenance of soil fertility is the real basis of health...” Sir Albert Howard in “*Agricultural Testament*”, 1939

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Organic_Farming/Howard_A-An_Agricultural_Testament.pdf

Other books by Albert Howard available here: <https://alor.org/Storage/navigation/Library3.htm>

LOOKING FOR ANSWERS By Arnis Luks

The Executive Government - section 61 of the Australian Federal Constitution

Reading section 61 through 70 of our Constitution I gained the impression that the ‘national cabinet’ established under Morrison’s prime ministership is a dubious and possibly an unlawful structure. My take on what is written within the Constitution is that the Governor General must be in attendance for the Executive to be present to function. National Cabinet is comprised of public servants and politicians. This glaring discrepancy requires deeper consideration. My lay view is only that, but a way to Truth must still be sought out.

We have a binding agreement over government and the bureaucracy called the Australian Federal Constitution. It is an agreement that the people themselves voted in favour of. The Preamble establishes that this document is of the people, beginning with the words “We the people”. Our governments of all levels are ignoring this agreement between them and the people. Some opinions have been offered to allude to this as lawfulness, however I am unaware of a High Court finding to degrade the Constitution to this degree. A way to Truth must be sought out.

In 1215 the Barons faced down the tyrant. While there are potential for others also, the modern-day Barons could be the King’s Councils and Barristers who hold an esteemed position in society. I understand that they are controlled by licenses and certificates. This is unfortunate but not insurmountable. Pray they also take up their cross and perform their civic responsibility. This age is not for the faint hearted, but those brave souls called upon.

This week a Pfizer Director admitted their mRNA jab was never tested on preventing transmission. “Get vaccinated for others” was a propaganda-generated unsubstantiated-falsity.

The only purpose of the Covid passport was to force people into compliance. It was never established that the jab would prevent transmission. Those people who have lost their employment, have been injured or died appear to have done so for no benefit whatsoever other than profit for the multinational. Businesses that have gone broke have suffered needlessly. The world’s economies were shutdown based on a ruse - a fabricated assumption immersed within propaganda. National governments have indemnified the culprits against litigation. Resolution of this issue appears superior to national law in the realm of natural law across all time, all places and all people. Pray those legal Barons take up their civic and cultural cross and perform their Baronial-duty.

The situation within the Russian and Ukraine area is escalating. What once would never have been militarily understood is becoming public knowledge. Strategic targets were analysed to great depth in order to inflict maximum damage. Military think-tanks and training exercises were conducted prior to the attacks on the Nord Stream pipelines and the Crimean Bridge. The planning for these events goes back many years.

This does not mean all sides don't do this, just that it is done with precision-accuracy with sophisticated weaponry from remote distances.

<https://alethonews.com/2022/10/11/nato-once-lost-underwater-drone-under-nord-stream-gazprom-reminds/>

<https://www.rt.com/news/564495-uk-plotted-crimea-bridge-attack/>

The West is aggravating and intensifying what was a 'limited-theatre' issue of the murder of 14,000 Russian speaking people in the Donbass region across eight years by militants aided by the Ukrainian Administration. Peace is given little chance in preference to the pursuit of profits of war. Douglas analysed below the causes of war.

Douglas's "*The Causes of War*" in written, audio and video formats (on our front webpage) is as valid today as was when spoken in 1934. Douglas's message is timeless

THE CAUSES OF WAR: IS OUR FINANCIAL SYSTEM TO BLAME? by C.H. Douglas

Text of a BBC broadcast delivered November 1934, published in "The Listener" 5 December 1934 and reprinted in the 1937 edition of "The Monopoly of Credit" (originally published 1931).

[Video here:](#)

<https://rumble.com/v1o09k8-the-causes-of-war-is-our-financial-system-to-blame-by-c.h.-douglas.html>

[Audio Podcast here: https://alor.org/Storage/MP3/PodCasts/2022/October_2022/Douglas_CH-The_Causes_of_War.mp3](https://alor.org/Storage/MP3/PodCasts/2022/October_2022/Douglas_CH-The_Causes_of_War.mp3)

Perhaps the first necessity, if we wish to arrive at the truth of this matter, is to be clear on what we mean by "war". The technical definition of war is "any action taken to impose your will upon an enemy or to prevent him from imposing his will upon you."

It will be recognised at once that this definition of war makes the motive rather than the method the important matter to consider. I am much afraid that more energy is devoted at the present time to the endeavour to modify the methods of war than to removing the motive for war. If we recognise this, we shall be in a better position to realise that we are never at peace – that only the form of war changes.

Military wars are waged by nations, a statement which is the basis for the somewhat naïve and I think certainly erroneous idea that you would abolish war if you abolished nations. This is much like saying you would abolish rate-paying if you abolished Urban District Councils. You do not dispose of a problem by enlarging its boundaries, and, if I am not mistaken, the seeds of war are in every village.

I think that we can get a glimpse of the main causes of war if we consider the problems of statesmen, who are expected to guide the destinies of nations. I suppose most statesmen at the present time would agree that their primary problem is to increase employment, and to induce trade prosperity for their own nationals, and there are few of them who would not add that the shortest way to achieve this would be to capture foreign markets. Once this, the common theory of international trade

as we are being driven towards the potential of a World War III. Reading the text whilst listening to the audio or video assisted my comprehension in a time when there is much distraction and concentration levels can be at a low. I look for that quiet space to mull over each stanza to piece together the whole. Some chapters must be reread several times to finally hit home, being my approach to under-stand / stand-under his knowledge.

My attention has also been drawn to a booklet written by William Stones titled "*Social Credit Text Book*". This Australian-written Primer unpacks Douglas in a fashion that readily clarifies his main thesis explaining the financial issue and answer. Douglas is not for the faint hearted as his approach and writing style is concentrated, condensed and optimised. https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Stones_W-Social_Credit_Text_Book.pdf ***

is assumed, we have I believe set our feet upon a road whose only end is war.

The use of the word "capture" indicates the desire to take away from the inhabitants of some other country, something with which they, being unable also under present conditions to be prosperous without general employment, do not desire to part. That is endeavouring to impose your will upon an adversary and is economic war, and economic war has always ultimately resulted in military war, and probably always will.

The so-called psychological causes of war, are, I feel confident, the response of human nature to irritations or fears which can be traced to this cause either directly or indirectly. To say that all men will fight if sufficiently irritated seems to me to be an argument against irritating them, rather than against human nature. It is not the irritation which causes the economic war, it is the economic war which causes the irritation.

Military war is an intensification of economic war, and differs only in method and not in principle. The armaments industry, for instance, provides employment and high wages to at least the same extent that it provides profit to employers, and I cannot see any difference between the culpability of the employee and that of the employer. I have no interest, direct or indirect, in the armaments industry, but I am fairly familiar with Big Business, and I do not believe that the bribery and corruption, of which we have heard so much in connection with armaments, is any worse in that trade than in many others.

Now so long as we are prepared to agree, firstly, that the removal of industrial unemployment is the primary object of statesmanship, and secondly, that the capture of foreign markets is the shortest path to the attainment of this objective, we have the primary economic irritant to military war always with us, and, moreover, we have it in an accelerating rate of growth, because production is expanding through the use of power machinery, and

undeveloped markets, to which surplus can be poured are contracting. Any village which has two grocer shops, each competing for an insufficient and decreasing amount of business, while continually enlarging its premises, is a working demonstration of the economic causes of war – is, in fact, itself at war by economic methods.

I do not believe that it is sensible to lecture the public of any or all of the nations on either the wickedness or the horrors of war, or to ask for goodwill to abolish military war or the trade in armaments, so long as it remains true that, if one of the village grocers captures the whole of the other grocer's business, the second grocer and his employees will suffer. Or if it remains true that if one nation captures the whole of another nation's trade the population of the second nation will be unemployed, and being unemployed they will suffer also.

It is poverty and economic insecurity which submits human nature to the greatest strain, a statement which is easily provable by comparing suicide statistics with bankruptcy statistics and business depression. A curve showing the relationships between these matters will be published in next week's "Listener" - also here: <https://ator.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Monopoly%20of%20Credit.pdf>

Suicides are less in numbers during wars, not because people like wars, but because there is more money about. Suicides are also less in number during trade booms for the same reason. To know, therefore, whether war is inevitable, we have to know whether, firstly, there is enough real wealth, not money, but goods and services available to keep the whole population in comfort without the whole of the population being employed, and, secondly, if this is so, what it is that prevents this wealth from being distributed.

In regard to the first question, I believe there can be no doubt as to the answer. We are all beginning to be familiar with the phrase "poverty amidst plenty", and it is generally admitted that the crisis of the past four or five years has been a crisis of glut and not a crisis of scarcity. Yet during that crisis, poverty has been widely extended, because unemployment has been widely extended. So that we have experimental evidence that full employment is not necessary to produce the wealth that we require – it is only necessary to the end we may be able to distribute wages – quite a different matter.

In regard to the second question, therefore, we know that it is lack of money in the hands of individuals to enable them to buy the wealth which is available, and not the lack of available goods, which makes men poor.

As our arrangements are at the present time, money is primarily distributed in respect of employment, which, as the glut has shown, is in many cases not necessary or even desirable. So that it is not too much to say that the causes of war and the causes of poverty amidst plenty are the same, and they may be found in the monetary and wage system, and that broadly speaking the cure for poverty and the beginnings of the cure for war can be

found in a simple rectification of the money system. This rectification must, I think, take the form of a National Dividend, either in a simple or more complex form, so that while there is real wealth to be distributed, nobody shall lack for want of money with which to buy the real wealth.

Perhaps I need hardly to tell you that money is actually made by the banking system, and not by agriculture or industry. The "*Encyclopaedia Britannica*" states the matter very clearly in its article on banking in the words:

"Banks lend money by creating the means of payment out of nothing".

I hope you understand this. Banks make money in the sense that a brick maker makes bricks, not by getting it from someone else. 90% of our money is made by banks, claimed as their own, and lent to the public at interest.

It seems difficult to make it clear that the proposal for a National Dividend, which would enable the products of our industrial system to be bought by our own population, has nothing to do with Socialism, as that is commonly understood. The main idea of Socialism appears to be the nationalisation of productive undertakings and their administration by Government departments. Whatever merits such a proposal may have or may not have, it does not touch the difficulty we have been considering. The provision of a National Dividend is merely to place in the hand of each one of the population, in the form of dividend-paying shares a share of what is now known as the National Debt, without, however, confiscating that which is already in private hands, since the National Credit, is in fact immensely greater than the portion of the National Debt which now provides incomes to individuals.

The practical effect of a National Dividend would be firstly, to provide a secure source of income to individuals which, though it might be desirable to augment it by work when obtainable, would nevertheless, provide all the necessary purchasing power to maintain self-respect and health. By providing a steady demand upon our producing system, it would go a long way towards stabilising business conditions, and would assure producers of a constant home market for their goods.

We already have the beginnings of such a system in our various pension schemes and unemployment insurance, but the defect for the moment of these, is that they are put forward in conjunction with schemes of taxation which go a long way towards neutralising their beneficial effect. While this is inevitable under our present monetary system, it is far from being inevitable when the essentially public nature of the monetary system receives the recognition which is its due, but is not yet granted to it by our bankers.

It may be asked, with reason, why the provision of a National Dividend, even if effective in removing the prime motive for aggressive war on the part of Great Britain, would so affect the motives of other nations as

to prevent them from making war upon us. I think the answer to this is twofold. In the first place, I believe it to be, while the present financial system persists, merely sentimental to suppose that a weak nation, particularly if it be also a rich nation, is a factor making for peace. Quite the contrary. It is as sensible to say that bank would never be robbed if it has paper walls.

International bankers are, almost to a man, strong advocates of national disarmament, but their bank clerks, alone among civilian employees in this country, are armed with revolvers, and the strength of bank premises compares with that of modern fortresses. Strength, unaccompanied by a motive for aggression, is a factor making for peace.

A radical modification of the existing financial system will make it possible to build up a strong and united nation free from economic dissension, which would by its strength, offer a powerful deterrent to aggressive

war. And, secondly, the spectacle of a contented and prosperous Britain, willing to trade, but not forced by unemployment to fight for trade, would provide an irresistible object-lesson in genuine progress and would be imitated everywhere.

Why should these modifications not be made? For an answer to that question I must refer you to the Bank of England, which is all-powerful in these matters. Mr. Montague Norman, the Governor of the Bank of England, which is a private company, described the relations of the Bank of England and the Treasury as those of Tweedledum and Tweedledee.

It is not suggested that bankers have a wish to precipitate war. Far from it. Bankers dislike war only less than they dislike any change in the financial system with which, almost alone amongst other sections of the community, they appear to be completely satisfied.

MAINTENANCE OF SOIL FERTILITY LEVELS IS THE ANSWER By Arnis Luks

Sir Albert Howard - *An Agricultural Testament*, 1940 is the practical response to the adverse publicity coming from the Indonesian archipelago of foot-and-mouth outbreak. Albert Howard had pioneered the Indore Composting Method 80 years ago by recycling all agricultural waste and manures through composting methods back onto the soil of his three experimental properties in India. His cattle were able to freely mingle with disease-affected-cattle across the fence line touching and licking each other's noses. Howard's cattle remained unaffected - no adverse events occurred. The transmission of foot and mouth stopped at the fence-line of his property's.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Organic_Farming/Howard_A-An_Agricultural_Testament.pdf

The maintenance of soil fertility is the basis of health; for fungi, insects, animals and humans. Culling of herds is a political and economic decision, not a bio-security issue based on demonstrable science. Australia has the potential to move away from this global tyranny and possible mass famine event. The knowledge base is there and ignored at our peril.

As regard to volcanic rock dust, Harry Cunningham bases his knowledge on the demonstrable truths revealed in the paddock with his livestock. Across his 80+ years he has trialled many alternative approaches, but found the volcanic rock dust a readily accessible, affordable and sustainably-reliable approach towards humus development, soil vitality and animal health.

Commission of ALOR

I spent a week with Jeremy and Nancy Lee at their Crow's Nest property some years ago and received my commission to spread the social credit message. This mission-statement-of-potential is worth repeating for all people and for all time interested in providing answers to the dilemma of today in which we find ourselves in:

'Our aim is to put before all those interested the fact that there is a clear, viable alternative to the present economic and social disaster facing industrial nations and the Third World alike; that the technological means are available to provide the people on Planet Earth with sufficient material resources to lead free, creative and fulfilling lives; and that this can be done without the regulation and compulsion of governments or any other body.

We believe we are the custodians of principles which, if applied, can release all people into an environment of the truth which makes for freedom.

We believe this material offers people choices they have never had before.'

BASIC FUND

The Basic Fund closes at the time of the National Weekend for the League which was on October 9th this year. I am making a call to those who planned to make a donation but maybe have over-looked doing so.

As always, we appreciate the contributions, no matter how large or small. Each donation is really a vote of thanks for the work of the League and a tribute to the dedicated work of those in the 'engine room'.

The appropriate address and/or banking details are normally listed in the Subscriptions Box in OT & NTS.

BEQUESTS

Apart from the Basic Fund, the League is a recipient of bequests from supporters who remember us in their Will. These extra dollars help a lot and while we are grateful, it is unfortunate that on those occasions we are unable to personally express our thanks. Best details for establishing a bequest are available from HO. - ND

**On Target is printed and authorised by A J Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**