



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 58 No. 45

18th November 2022

IN THIS ISSUE

The False Dialectic vs Practical Christianity By Arnis Luks	1
The Misfit and The Poor	2
The Rampant Individual	3
The Real Narrative - Balancing of Competing Forces	4

Thought For The Week: ...The culture wars that are going on in the world are... (*simplistically put-ed*) ...Collectivism versus Individualism. The globalist cabal are desperately trying to convince countries to adopt a collectivist philosophy, which they refer to as 'prosociality', and move away from respect for the individual and individual rights. Nowhere is this currently more apparent than in the medical field and public health policy. <https://media.mercola.com/ImageServer/Public/2022/November/PDF/13th-vaccine-awareness-week-pdf.pdf>

THE FALSE DIALECTIC vs PRACTICAL CHRISTIANITY By Arnis Luks

In my 30s I was called to jury duty several times. These were interesting experiences that to my mind highlighted the pressure of crowds. There was a young bloke who was charged with something, and I listened to the evidence and was not satisfied that the charge was proven beyond reasonable doubt. At times I felt that I was the only person who held this point of view. What transpired was that differing psychological approaches were exerted to change my mind. I was looking to the proven facts of the trial, while others were quite openly admitting they just didn't like the bloke. Had I capitulated, the result would have been an unreliable, at least to my mind, verdict. I chose to hold my ground and so did nearly half the other jurors and so we had a hung jury. The prosecution needed to reconsider whether another jury trial would find the accused guilty or not.

The crowd (jury) behaviour is the important point in this illustration. Facts were biased by emotions. Some said they didn't like the bloke. Should I ever be on the wrong side of a jury trial, this demonstration to my mind illustrates that I must be particularly likeable in order to protect my innocence. This is a fickle predicament.

In another trial of which I only heard about but did not witness, the accused was not proven guilty by the prosecution. However, I am taking a little license here, the defence deliberately chose to place the accused on the witness stand. Thereafter, the jurors, even though not proven by facts, became convinced of his unreliability by the cross examination and his inconsistent answers to the line of questioning. Such is the nature of the human psyche – not proven but still found guilty.

The USA election results appear to be inconclusive, the public being polarised along major party lines. Policies were significantly aligned across both majors as the USA mid-term election day approached, similar to our own last federal election and again the current Victorian State election. This polarising technique was being used to manipulate society for their own ends – same policy outcome, different political party.

I mentioned last week of the two politicians inviting members of the public to join their political party. This situation is worthy of deeper consideration in light of the upcoming Victorian election. They, those politicians, have given up part of their own individuality to become part of a larger group, or the crowd if you like. While gifted in oratory, they offer no resolution, just as Donald Trump offers no resolution, to the tyranny of world government - the largest crowd of all being controlled by a small cabal of the elite. They, the politicians, actively promote warring camps. They exist in their current form as party members based on the polarised crowd.

Gustave le Bon, in *'The Crowd'* writes of individuals: by becoming part of the crowd have degraded their thinking level and reason. It is difficult to remotely comprehend why doctors have so readily accepted the false efficacy-narrative of mRNA jabs, whereas there are many scientific journal-papers and reports of adverse events, physical damage and even death. How is this resolved from a humanitarian and ethical perspective? Doesn't the Nuremberg Code and Nuremberg Charter disallow experimentation without consent and obedience of orders from above as a legitimate defence? Gustave le Bon (*The Crowd*) writes of this phenomenon where it is easier to go along with the prescribed narrative rather than choose to buck the system – the crowd 'feels' rather than 'thinks'.

René Girard, in speaking of St. Peter denying knowing the Christ three times, delves into this phenomenon of crowd acceptability and mimicry - doing as the crowd does. Humanity is not as resilient as one may expect.

Even Lawyers, as individuals who need to be combative and fearless as well as having a thorough knowledge of the law, yet are sitting mutely by while political tyranny of this age rages all around them.

Taken to the extreme, 'individualism' loosens the ties even with community. The individual exists only as part of a greater community, harvesting, along with others, the vast legacy built upon by previous generations. This inheritance is there for all. There is a mutual obligation for both, communities to protect individuals, and, individuals to be considerate towards communities. Individual excellence only exists outside of the crowd.

Only do unto him as you would have he do unto you

Survival of the fittest, or Darwinism if you like, is presented as the dialectic-opposite of Collectivism – the crowd. Both surrounded within the philosophy of materialism, man knows no bounds. Whatever end being pursued is justified, provided the risk and rewards are sufficient. The whole nation suffers for the benefit of an individual. The potato famine in Ireland demonstrated this phenomenon while the other crops did not fail – profit for the merchants while the entire nation suffered deprivation and starvation. This is where Rampant Individualism takes us if followed through to conclusion. Swallowing up the minnows, Rampant Individualism reinforces the importance of morality in what is done. Tennyson's words (***red in tooth and claw***) illustrate this point of devouring others for personal gain. The Canon, the true law is where the individual is responsible towards society, just as society is responsible towards the individual. A reciprocation, a relationship of mutual love and cooperation/obligation between differing forces.

The Misfit and The Poor

With the demise of our educational institutions, large numbers of graduates are increasingly incapable of finding meaningful expression within the ever-contracting work environment. Not being sufficiently self-reliant and independent of thought, there emerges a growing psychological frustration of the existing societal mechanisms. Unless finding relief, this frustration can develop into antisocial behaviour. Cultural Marxism attempts to harness for their own purposes the fruit (of a perverted education system as the seed bed of training, and a limiting economic system) into revolutionary activities to de-construct our society completely.

Our children are finding it increasingly difficult to compete in the world arena of workers

Our founding belief system, the Christian faith, has been superseded by the humanistic philosophy of Dialectical-Materialism. Dialectics, being the conflict of opposites, and materialism denoting man as the highest being, with Darwinism, survival of the fittest. With this change in emphasis of the belief system comes the transformation of elements of our society. The state is everything, the individual nothing. (The state is still comprised of individuals who become increasingly

unaccountable and remote from their actions).

The practical expression of this is the recent medical imposition directed towards the death cult end.

Collectivism diminishes the value of the individual, the individual being subordinate to the group. The real truth is that society (the group) and the individual are not antagonistic to each other, but rather exist in a balancing / reciprocal bond of mutual love and cooperation / obligation. Both competing forces, Rampant Individualism and Collectivism can by themselves be culturally destructive, but if the competing forces are balanced in equilibrium under the discipline of love and cooperation / obligation a new energy is released.

Seek ye therefore first the kingdom of God, and his justice, and all these things shall be added unto you.
Standing Out From The Crowd

Politicians are equally subject to crowd behaviour and influence along party (group) lines. They are also unable or unwilling to extricate themselves from party discipline. The leadership of the party or group, whether it be political, bureaucratic, religious, or intellectual are impersonal (detached), irresponsible to the public, and hold office almost in perpetuity. Even the chosen people fallacy is being run through to conclusion, into a place of disbelief and nonsense. While an individual may glean some solace in this false hope, I believe it is fraught with error. There are so many formations of the chosen people – denomination, religion, culture, organisation, and race.

Expressions of socialism/collectivism oppose the institutions of our culture, and yet are indifferent to personal excesses of those who support its downfall. George Soros, Bill Gates and Rupert Murdoch are all immensely wealthy and powerful individuals, each aligned and supportive of collectivism. Their personal dispositions hardly influence the left, nor the right, and yet their corporate policies further totalitarianism as the end objective with the deconstruction of our culture. This is not so easy to appreciate but is the key to disseminating our civilisational narrative. Our culture is to be deconstructed. Their, (the billionaires), personal excesses may be dealt with after the revolution but is of little import now.

Crowds cannot think, nor reason, but are influenced by slogans, chants and at times intense emotions. Those individuals within the crowd have (unknowingly) given up the right 'to atrophy a function if they don't agree with it'.

They are still bound by the rules and the hierarchy to endorse and promote certain policy. The Liberal, Andrew Hastie comes to mind with his advocacy of all things anti-Putin. Why must we be the American lapdog rather than forging our own self-reliant path? Why not build our own submarines, ships and aircraft from our own Australian industry? The Prime Minister promotes the same message, except whatever other adversity the public are experiencing is also Putin's fault. The policy

justifications (of blaming Putin) are just taken a little further. Who is standing in defence of those 14,000 murdered souls that were living in the Donbass since 2014? I am not defending the man Putin nor the Russian administration, but rather calling out the hubris of our own political class. Do we really want to follow USA, NATO and Britain into another world war, this time against the nuclear-powered Russia? Must we really be just another part of this pro-war crowd, or can we set our own independent course on a self-reliant direction?

The most striking observation of forming into crowds, or polarised political parties, is that 'reason' no longer exists. Regardless of any facts on the ground, the polarising narrative remains in place. Rational thought and reason have taken a holiday. Individuals, while within the crowd, cannot be dissuaded with facts.

Secret Politicians Business

Discussion, debate and counterpoint are all dealt with secretly within the party room rather than the Parliament. No record is available for later public scrutiny. The public interest can be disregarded in favour of party or private allegiances. My main objection to the formation of the National Cabinet was the non-recording for further scrutiny of deliberations and debates over medical justifications. What historical and/or political allegiance was held with those most likely to gain from the deals done behind those closed doors? None were held up for later (re)view nor (re)consideration and yet every single person in the nation was affected by the outcome of the policy-decreed, rather than enacted. This is the indifference and unaccountability mentioned previously of the entrenched dignitaries.

Hansard was established by William Cobbett to hold the politicians to account within the Parliament. He did it off his own back and, as I recall, without any payment for 16 years before it became untenable, and then sold the business to 'Hansard'. Those evolving political work practices came into existence for a reason; to hold the politicians to account. We discard them at our peril.

Politicians are just as subject to crowd behaviour along party lines as any other mob. Until they are willing and able to extricate themselves from party discipline, put simply, regardless of demonstrable facts on the ground they adhere to party discipline and the whip, they cannot or will not represent the electorate. Just because their words are eloquently spoken doesn't mean they think beyond the next endorsement and (re)election. Party discipline demands giving up of personal independence and self-reliance in favour of security of tenure.

They do not embody a similar brave soul as Imran Khan, who risked all for the betterment of 'all' his people.

Dialectic of Collectivism vs Rampant Individualism

Tho nature, red in tooth and claw - Tennison

At the international level, USA Banking interests are deliberately winding down industrialised Europe through prohibitively expensive energy charges. This

will allow USA manufacturing an opportunity to resurge. This Rampant Individualism of USA Banking Interests orientates political energy to achieve a predetermined policy outcome. USA (the nation) needs to resolve its own debt levels through expanding exports, especially as the US dollar is falling of the preferred Reserve Currency list. By winding down Europe and expanding further into a war-front in Ukraine if necessary, USA can clear its debts at the expense of Europe. Britain has been dominated since Lend-Lease 1941, as has Germany. Swallowing up other minnows (Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Spain 2008/9) occurs at differing levels as the same policy continues. USA, as the principle power across the world, has demonstrated this repeatedly including many African and South American countries.

The Rampant Individual

The USA Federal Reserve System is a centrally controlled, privately owned entity for US government policy. Those merchant bankers hold the capacity to create debt-money out of nothing (with every new loan), and then charge interest for the service, holding the power of the entire community's real credit, which is their ability to produce. The Melbourne community has produced vast motorways of which a toll is charged against all who wish to cross. It was the community that produced the motorway. The merchant banker simply created the new loan with the stroke of a pen or a keyboard entry. The slate of hand is within the fraud of creating new loans as debt out of nothing. The new loans could just as readily be created as credit (debt-free). The raw materials and machinery, mostly sourced locally, were converted into roads and tunnels and bridges by the Victorian people. These motorway assets are held in private hands for which a toll is paid. Why do the very real assets of these motorways created by a Victorian community-activity not become the property of that same community for them to enjoy as an asset without the toll?

The person who claims ownership of the motorways may be the holder of the mortgage, but it is the merchant banker that created the new loan as debt 'out of nothing' who is the principal beneficiary of the toll tax. The government craftily redirected the financial pain the public feels, of paying the toll tax onto another, rather than directly through government to the merchant banks.

In the early 1900s the Melbourne Board of Works was financed by debt-free loans as a credit by the Commonwealth Bank of Australia established under the Governorship of Dennison Miller. The scale of a similar sized project to these new motorways had already been worked through to conclusion debt-free. Why not now?

The Holy Trinity neither confounding the Persons (persona), nor dividing the Essence (substantia).

The Real Narrative - Balancing of Competing Forces

CH Douglas, in his brilliance, neutralises this false antagonism across culture by finding resolution, responding with the National Dividend (as debt free

money) as a reflection of the genuine claim against the material plenty of the world, which is a legitimate expression of the vast cultural inheritance we are each entitled to receive – an act of mutual love and cooperation/obligation.

Without taking any material assets from anyone, the National Dividend responds to the legitimate social-claim from every individual in a form of material security to ensure sustenance.

Building up from the individual, in this case even the poor, society is strengthened with individuals being offered the opportunity to find meaningful expression through endeavours other than ‘work just for works sake’; an age of leisure perhaps. Let the machines do the manufacturing, providing everyone is supplied sufficient sustenance to survive.

The abstract puritan-work-ethic shall be shown to be a fallacy in this age of material plenty. The moral question of ‘what the individual is to do with all their spare time’, becomes something the individual alone will need to resolve for themselves.

Douglas emphasised the need for the individual to extricate themselves from the influence of the crowd (chosen people). Within their own unique creative expression comes the opportunity of incarnation - the word becoming flesh in their life. This cannot occur within the crowd.

The calling for this age, a renewed belief system if you like, is oriented towards freedom with responsibility in a moral environment.

I have come that ‘they’ may have life and have it more abundantly.

Christ's statement is to the plural, not the singular. ‘They’ denotes someone other than self. Our brother perhaps.

Metes and Bounds (legal term)

In the signing of Magna Carta, the institution of Monarchy was upheld, but the tyranny of the individual monarch was challenged and brought to a standstill. From this approach of upholding cultural traditions, we need to look for the correct (true) balancing of competing interests within each situation. Covid is the most salient issue to re-consider in retrospect. The competing forces need to be re-considered; of the group (health) and the individuals Bill Gates / Antony Fauci standing to make inordinate profits of a product not ever proven effective, and post-event found to be harmful. Our Constitution gives a guide (***but not so as to authorize any form of civil conscription***). While Gates and Fauci were given indemnity against any harm done, the mandating of the jab (that was experimental and did prove to be harmful) was beyond the limit of the individual’s responsibility towards the community - they were compelled to give up their freedom to choose or refuse one thing at a time. Jabs are being shown more and more to cause adverse events and also are inferior to the body's own natural immunity. The recent phenomenon of

auto-immune-response is endemic with no identified cause. The issue of free choice (jab or no-jab) is shown to be politically biased rather than scientifically substantiated, let alone effective. The ‘no jab-no job’ lockstep/lockdown policy, built upon the ‘no jab-no play, no jab-no pay’ Liberal Abbott/Morrison policy, which was wrong on many fronts. Medical conscription is a tyranny of the worst kind, giving up control of your own body. Nothing is more private and personal than the body.

Another that readily comes to mind is the issue of trans-gender and gender identity studies which are being foisted onto our children. Queensland private schools are objecting, but fail to reject the leverage they succumb to by accepting conditional government (taxpayer) subsidy. Should they choose to forgo the bribe (separation from the crowd - ***Be Ye Separate***) they would be free enough to teach to a standard rather than an indoctrinating pagan curriculum imposed upon their children that deconstructs our ancient Christian Culture with many and various traditional and wholesome family values.

Victorian Election

What does all this mean for the Victorian Election? Assessing candidates based on a group affiliation should diminish their suitability to effectively represent the electorate. Do they live locally and are they involved in community activity from a position of service or governance? This again may separate some more wheat from the chaff. If they are suggesting less government as a policy objective - what do they mean by this? (Monopolists promote minimal governance to foster Rampant Individualism). Should the state become more or less involved with issues; or should the individual be left alone to decide for themselves? So far few party policies are being placed onto the table for consideration. This questioning process is to identify if the candidate is suitable as representative; or not. Will they represent the wishes of the party above the electorate; or neither?

The optional preferential system in the 8 Upper House Regions with 5 vacancies per Region draws another perspective after having gone through the group voting tickets for each Region here: <https://www.vec.vic.gov.au/candidates-and-parties/become-a-state-election-candidate/groups-and-voting-tickets> No easy choices for me, and I live in SA.

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: ‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

Telephone: **08 8322 8923** **eMail:** **heritagebooks@alor.org**

Online Bookstore : **<https://veritasbooks.com.au/>**

Our main website of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement “Archives” :: **<https://alor.org/>**

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.