A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATIONCOMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



14th April 2023

2

3

4

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 59 No. 13

IN THIS ISSUE

Communism from the Inside By Douglas A Hyde Is Communism Against Religion? Is it immoral? Morality

ON TARGET

- *A personal note* This pamphlet is written on the basis of my own personal experience. For twenty years, from the time I was seventeen, I was a member of the Communist Party, holding positions at all levels of the organization. I held responsible positions in a large number of its 'satellite' bodies, spoke for it, wrote for it, lived for it.

For eight years I was as an executive on the Communist paper, *The Daily Worker* as chief sub-editor, then chief reporter, and finally for the last five years as news editor.

My reasons for resigning and seeking to become a Catholic have been told elsewhere. But it should be understood that I, too, believed, preached and spread the ideas which are here explained and exposed. I know from experience the hold which they can have on men's minds, the effect they have on men's lives and their power for untold evil. And, having found the only possible alternative to Communism in the Catholic Church, I know the need for combating Communism and all its works. It is my hope that this pamphlet will provide some much-needed ammunition for the fight. *Douglas A. Hyde June 1948*

[*References to the works of Marx, Engels and Lenin are to the English translations published by Lawrence and Wishart Ltd, except The Condition of the Working Class, which is published by Allen and Unwin Ltd.*]

COMMUNISM FROM THE INSIDE By Douglas A Hyde [published 1949]

Many Communists are likeable, intelligent and sincere people. They became Communists because they genuinely desired a better world, they are fired with enthusiasm for their cause and with a great hatred of injustice. Yet the Catholic Church says that Communism and Christianity are totally incompatible, that Communism is evil, and that, in the last analysis, the struggle of our time is between the force represented by the Catholic Church on the one hand and Communism on the other.

Is this due to bigotry on the part of the Church? Is it simply that she sees a rival and is determined to smash it? During the course of the recent war, millions of men and women in Britain passed through our factories and millions more served in one or other of the Services. There they not infrequently met members of the Communist Party for the first time. They found them to be very different from what they had expected. They were not morons or monsters, but individuals devoted to a cause and prepared to work and - if need be - sacrifice for it. But the trouble is that the cause they serve is utterly evil.

The Catholic Church has for a long time said that where you have Communism you cannot have Christianity; that when a state or individual 'goes Communist' Christianity is driven out. But many people are today bemused by the 'red haze' of pro-Soviet sentiment which swept this country after Hitler's bombers turned East in 1941; they are bemused by Communist propaganda, too, which is widespread and percolates through to all sections of the community, and such people not unnaturally feel that perhaps the Church overstates her case.

All over Europe there were people who felt like that after the war. There were Catholics who thought that they could safely co-operate with the Communists on some of their just demands. And the Communists knew how to work on such people, for they understand the modern art of propaganda and often use it to perfection.

Now, too late in some cases, those who associated with them are discovering that unless the Communists are unmasked in time, unless the influence of Communism is broken, those who assisted it to power are quickly put aside and persecuted once the Communists are actually in power.

It happened in Romania, in Hungary and in Czecho-Slovakia, and those Catholics who thought that they could 'use' the Communists or co-operate with them discovered that the process is rather like going for a ride on a tiger - and the consequences are identical.

And just as it happened in Eastern Europe, so it could happen here.

The way to counteract the spread of Communism is to be well-informed about it. It is not sufficient simply to

say that Communism is atheistic, immoral, brutal and evil if you have no evidence to back it up. Because almost certainly someone will turn round and say that he or she has worked with Communists and found them to be likeable, intelligent and sincere. It is necessary to know why such people can nonetheless be working for an utterly evil cause and to understand something of the theory and practice of that evil cause itself. If we know *why* Communism destroys the soul and does not even make for material human happiness, we shall all the more effectively be able successfully to combat it.

But first let us remember that there is nothing to be gained by dishonest anti-Communist propaganda, founded on lies and distortions. The most effective case against Communism is the true one - and the case against Communism is damning enough to require no flights of the imagination to make it appear even worse. Let our weapons in the fight against it be truth, understanding and Christian action.

It is the purpose of this pamphlet to provide the background for the first two in particular, along with evidence which shows how correct are the Church's allegations against it. Let us take some of these allegations and see how far they are justified.

Is Communism Against Religion?

First, the one which has been made over and over again : Communism is atheistic and seeks to destroy all belief in God. There are some who may feel that this is somewhat far-fetched; that the first part of the above allegation may be true but that the latter assertion does not necessarily follow. A man may, it might be argued, himself be an atheist without therefore wishing to work by every means at his disposal for the destruction of all belief in God on the part of others. But that is one of the things which distinguishes the Communist's materialism from the earlier 'freethinker's' variety. For Communism doesn't simply say, 'There is no God', it goes on to say, 'Men everywhere must be made to cease believing in Him.

At the conclusion of almost every Communist meeting and demonstration, members of the crowd take off their hats and sing the 'Internationale', and when they sing the words, 'No saviour from on high deliver, no trust have we in prince or peer', they are not just singing words which they know to be empty and meaningless. On the contrary, they sum up the Marxist materialist philosophy and the Communist sings them with the utmost conviction. For the whole Marxist case is built upon the foundation of the most militant atheism of all time, dialectical or historical materialism as it was called by its originators, Karl Marx and Frederick Engels. That materialism is not just one odd, unimportant part of the Communist doctrine, it is its foundation upon which the entire superstructure of Communist theory and practice is built up.

It declares that everything in the universe is material, in content and in cause, from the tiniest primitive cell to the human brain itself. The mind, the will, are but material functions of that purely material grey-matter. There is, of course, no place for soul or spirit or God in such a theory.

Progress and development, says the Marxist, are the result of a never-ending conflict between opposites - the dialectic from which dialectical materialism takes its name. But let us choose, more or less at random, what the Marxist theorists themselves have to say on the question. In his book *Anti- Duhring* (p. 346), Engles says: 'All religion, however, is nothing but the fantastic reflection in men's minds of those external forces which control their daily life.' On page 32 of the same book he says :

'The economic structure of society always forms the real basis from which, in the last analysis, is to be explained the whole superstructure of legal and political institutions as well as the religious, philosophical and other conceptions of each historical period.

Karl Marx himself summed up their view on religion when he declared that 'religion is the opium of the people'.

Lenin, who applied the teachings of Marx and Engels to the conditions of our own time - and incidentally applied them in practice too, by leading the Russian revolution - developed Marx's phrase and declared :

'Religion is a kind of spiritual intoxicant, in which slaves of capital drown their humanity and blunt their desire for a decent human existence'.

After the October revolution in Russia, Lenin had Marx's phrase engraved on the walls of the former City Hall in Moscow, right opposite the famous shrine of the Iberian Virgin Mother. Later the shrine was removed but the slogan remained.

But, it may be argued, this does not prove that Communists consciously use their dialectical materialism in their political campaigns and in their everyday lives. Here is what Engels said about dialectical materialism :

'It is our best working tool and sharpest weapon'-Ludwig Feuerbach (p. 54).

And that is what the Communist Party everywhere believes today.

Large numbers of people join the Communist Party here in Britain every year. Usually they are induced to become members after they have been drawn into one of the Party's campaigns on humanitarian, industrial or quite limited political issues. They may join as a result of an emotional appeal to do so made by a Communist speaker at a great demonstration. They will almost certainly know little about Marxism and nothing about dialectical materialism.

But they will quickly learn. First, they are asked to attend a beginners' course of classes where the barest elements of Marxism are served up in highly sugarcoated form. This will be followed by a course entitled

coated form. This will be followed by a course entitled ge 2 14th April 2023 'Scientific Socialism', which is, in fact, the elements of historical materialism - or dialectical materialism applied to history.

Soon the beginner will be 'conditioned' to a point where he himself wants to know more about dialectics. And the information will, of course, be given him, probably in the shape of Stalin's classic work on the subject and in more study classes. In the process he will lose whatever religious beliefs he possessed (or go out of the Party in time) and gain an entirely new approach to all moral and ethical questions.

So the dialectical materialism of Marx is not something dead or inconsequential. It is very much alive. It is being continuously injected into people's minds here in Britain and is, of course, the official 'religion' as taught in all schools and universities throughout onesixth of the world, namely the U.S.S.R.

The ultimate aim of the Communists is to destroy the last vestige of belief in God from the face of the earth. Any compromise or apparent easing of their anti-God campaign is no more than a purely temporary tactic designed to achieve more quickly and thoroughly that final goal.

Is it immoral?

With militant materialism as its basis it is not surprising that Communism is dishonest in all its methods, rejecting all Christian ethics and resulting in Communists themselves quickly becoming utterly unprincipled in their personal and social relationships. But it is not simply that these are the results of the absence of good in its theories. Communist teachings on questions of ethics and principles are actively evil. Communists have a code of behaviour which determines their actions and gives them clear guidance on all major and minor questions of ethics. It is the exact opposite of that of Christianity. In Ludwig Feuerbach (p. 55), Engels says that when one accepts dialectical materialism : '... the demand for final solutions and eternal truths ceases once and for all. On the other hand, one no longer permits oneself to be imposed upon by the antithesis ... between true and false, good and bad ... one knows that these antitheses have only relative validity.'

Marxist theory tells the Communists that the goal of socialism will be achieved only by revolution, that all 'progress' proceeds from class struggle. For the Communist that struggle is a war - and in war, he argues, 'any course of action is permissible. One must use correct strategy and tactics if the war is to be won. In war one employs guile and subterfuge; one seeks to penetrate the enemy's camp by stealth, outwit him, deceive him and, if necessary, ultimately destroy him.

Before deciding on any course of action, therefore, either personal or political, the Communist has only to ask himself, 'Does it serve the class struggle ?' If it does, then it is justified and no other moral or ethical considerations matter at all. If it does not, it is wrong. *ON TARGET* Thus, for example, the British Communist Party may for a period woo the support of Liberals, Labour Party members or some other political grouping. Or, may be, it will seek the support of religious denominations or certain religious leaders. Or, again, some particular section of the community such as the small traders, professional classes or intelligentsia. It will flatter them, support some of their demands in return for a measure of agreement on some point on which the Party is for the moment concentrating.

But no informed Communist will have any illusions about such an alliance. The art of winning allies and making use of them is expounded at length in the writings of Lenin and Stalin. When the end has been served they will quickly throw their allies overboard and, in the event of the Communist Party coming to power, will not hesitate to 'liquidate' them. Even whilst they use them they despise them.

Christian organizations are not infrequently used in this way. During the Spanish Civil War, for example, many Protestant clergy appeared on platforms with Communist speakers during what were, in fact, Communist campaigns. The Party used the support and standing of the clergy whilst having no illusions about what would happen to them and their religion should Communism ever come to Britain.

In Hungary in 1947 the Communists, wishing to break the resistance to the spread of their doctrine on the part of the Catholic peasantry, raised teams of volunteers to go out into the countryside each weekend repairing war-damaged Catholic churches. Communist leaders appeared in the churches when the job was done and were often garlanded by grateful congregations. Having thus won the Catholics' support and admiration they were free to seize power - which they did, and within a few months the persecution of the Church began.

Such practices are normal to Communism. Deceit is, in fact, made an art, and is studied with care by those who use it. Thus Lenin, instructing Communist journalists on their methods of work, drew their attention to the deceit and lies of sections of the 'bourgeois' press and even urged them not only to emulate them but to do the job better, studying such methods and improving upon them. And those instructions by Lenin are printed and circulated for the use of *The Daily Worker* staff here in Britain for their guidance too. So in their personal relations Communists will stoop to anything provided that it 'serves the class 'struggle', everything is subordinate to that.

And of personal behaviour under Marxian socialism Engels says, in his *Origin of the Family* (p. 90) : When these people are in the world, they will care precious little what anybody today thinks they ought to do; they will make their own practice and their corresponding public opinion about the practice of each individual - and that will be the end of it. But, we are sometimes told, there is a new Communist morality which is higher than any 'bourgeois' morality. If we examine it we shall discover that Communism is essentially immoral. Its aim is ultimately to destroy marriage and the family as we know them today. In practice even now its influence leads to the spread of immorality and the corruption of Christian youth.

First, let us see what the Marxist theorists have said on the question, since their works are studied and applied with such devotion by the Communists themselves. **The Family** : In the *Origin of the Family* (p. 60) Engels describes the family as 'that compound of sentimentality and domestic strife', and throughout their writings Marx and Engels advanced the view that bourgeois marriage is no more than 'legalized prostitution'.

Divorce : On page 75 of the *Origin of the Family* Engels declares : 'Probably the only reason why the Catholic Church abolished divorce was because it had convinced itself that there is no more a cure for adultery than there is for death.' Reviewing the consequences of women working in industry, he notes with approval (p. 77) that : 'The wife has, in fact, regained the right to dissolve marriage, and if two people cannot get on with one another, they prefer to separate.' As to divorce under Marxian socialism, he says (p. 89) : 'If affection definitely comes to an end or is supplanted by a new passionate love, separation is a benefit for both partners as well as for society - only people will then be spared having to wade through the useless mire of a divorce case.'

The Home : Looking forward to the Marxist state Engels (p. 89) describes the 'home' as it would exist. He says : 'Private housekeeping is transformed into a social industry. The care of education of the children becomes a public affair; society looks after all children alike whether they are legitimate or not. This removes all the anxiety about the "consequences", which today is the most essential social and moral as well as economic factor that prevents a girl from giving herself completely to the man she loves. Will not that suffice to bring about the gradual growth of unconstrained sexual intercourse and with it a more tolerant public opinion in regard to a maiden's honor and a woman's shame ?'

Woman in the Home : 'The modern individual family', he says (p. 79), 'is founded on the open or concealed domestic slavery of the wife.'

Morality : Morality, the Marxist theorists are agreed, must be subjected only to the same test as other aspects of human behavior. Says Lenin in his book on *Religion* (p. 56) : 'For us morality is subordinated to the interests of the proletarian class struggle.' And again, on the same page: 'We say that our morality is wholly subordinated to the interests of the class struggle.'

This is reflected in practical form in the policies of the Communist Party itself and is the key to many of its campaigns. Thus, for example, the Communist Party *ON TARGET* everywhere leads the demand for more crèches and nurseries for small children, and for women to go into industry.

The woman 'comrade' who gives up her work in the office or factory to spend her time in her home and caring for her children is viewed with considerable disapproval in Party circles. The raising of the family and the moulding of children's lives upon which both the material and spiritual future of our race depends are seen as 'servitude' and a task which should be left to the State. The consequence is that the children of Communist Party members often barely know their parents and are almost totally deprived of influence and 'atmosphere' of the home.

But the hundreds of thousands of married women who went into the factories during the war learned the appalling difficulties which face a woman with a family under such circumstances. They found, in practice, that they did not lose the 'servitude of the kitchen' but merely added to it the 'servitude' of the factory as well - for the work at home had still to be done. And today many young mothers and their infants are learning for the first time the pride and joy of parenthood and the home.

Yet the Communists must continue to campaign for the continuation of that war-time expediency since this is a fundamental of their faith.

Marxist teaching on marriage and the home was put into practical operation in Russia immediately after the revolution and the establishment of the socialist State. Women were induced by propaganda and necessity to go into the factories and to put their children into nurseries. Abortion and divorce were made as easy as possible.

On these two last points policy has more recently been modified, but not because of any fundamental change in doctrine - that remains as before. The changes were made in the expectation of war and the need for unlimited 'cannon fodder'. For this reason large numbers of children (who are accommodated in the creches and nurseries) were, and still are, encouraged. But this is but further proof of the utter cynicism of the Communists, for they have every intention of returning to the fundamental teachings on the question once the size of the population has been sufficiently increased. It is a degrading manifestation of the Communist practice of making millions of people order their lives like so many machines responding to the leaders at the top. ***

Continued next issue.....

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159. Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement "Archives" :: https://alor.org/

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks 13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.