



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 34

8th September 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

An Inconvenient Proof - the Used and Proven Answer to Inflation - Australia, September 1943 By Chas Pinwill	1
There Is Only One Policy - The Money Policy By Arnis Luks	2
“Last Calls for Action to Defeat the Voice Referendum” By Ken Grundy	4

AN INCONVENIENT PROOF - THE USED AND PROVEN ANSWER TO INFLATION - AUSTRALIA, SEPTEMBER 1943 By Chas Pinwill

The menfolk were away at war. Their wives, mothers and sisters joined the workforce in droves and unemployment was at an all-time low. Welfare benefits to deserted wives, war widows, mothers, the indigenous, and the elderly were greatly increased. Australians had more money in their pockets than ever before. Money... to spend on what? Spending on the war effort was at its height, and could not be curtailed. A large part of the population was not producing consumer products at all, and products imported from occupied nations were in short supply. We had money to spend. But precious little to spend it on. When you're flush, and all you want is half a pound of tea, and tea is rare, it's expensive. Like gold.

Scarcity puts upward pressure on price levels. Price controls attempted during the first years of the war had failed. Prices became uncontrollable. Inflation was in danger of rocketing out of sight.

Australia's Prime Minister in those years was John Curtin. He was familiar with CH Douglas' suggestion to end inflation. He applied it. He used some of the increase in the necessary money supply to discount prices of basic household goods and services; potatoes, electricity, clothing. It worked. In the years 1944 and 1945 there was no inflation in Australia.

During those war years, inflation was measured with a “C Index Series.” At the war's beginning in September 1939, as reported by the *Commonwealth Yearbook No 37* (1946-47) pages 458-464, published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics, the measure of prices was 1000 and by September 1943 it had risen to 1237. Then it fell to 1233 in 1944, and fell again to 1229 in 1945. John Curtin died in 1945 and this method of stopping inflation was discontinued. Several years later, the measure of prices had risen again, from 1229 to 1301. And it hasn't stopped since.

The current “control” on inflation is to increase interest rates which raises all costs and mortgage payments substantially. This doesn't end it of course, as we have noticed. Although history has given us a proven method of stopping inflation it has never been used again. What can this mean? Is inflation a deliberate policy?

Commonwealth Year Book No 37 (1946-47) published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics

<https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/1301.01946-47>

Price Stabilization Subsidy *pages 460 & 461.*

“Treatment of Costs and Subsidies - Although the Price Stabilization Plan provided for certain increases in prices, price rises up to the end of 1946 were the exception rather than the rule. When increased costs could not be absorbed within the process of production or distribution, they were met generally at the source by payment of subsidies and thus were prevented from disturbing the whole price structure.

Any trader who was in need of relief by reason of increased costs would submit his case to the Prices Branch. If, on investigation, production was regarded as essential, and relief necessary to avoid piercing the ceiling, the case would be referred to the Price Stabilization Committee. This Committee was composed of the Prices Commissioner, the Secretary Department of the Treasury, and the Director-General Department of War Organization of Industry (later Department of Post-war Reconstruction). The Committee's primary concern was to determine whether all or part of the increased costs could be offset by increased economies in production or absorbed by the trader. When the Committee was satisfied that relief was required and that a price increase would be inconsistent with the Government's policy of price stabilization, it recommended to the Minister for Trade and Customs that a subsidy should be paid. This recommendation, if approved by the Minister, was subject to final confirmation by the Treasurer.

Imports Price Stabilisation

The payment of subsidies in respect of imports was an important feature of the plan. Importers received full protection against increasing overseas prices over which the Prices Commissioner had no control. Importers

could ascertain before placing orders abroad whether their proposed imports would be regarded as essential. Subsidies were paid in full immediately on production

of documents showing that the goods had arrived and that the landed costs were higher than the costs which were the basis of existing ceilings.” ***

THERE IS ONLY ONE POLICY - THE MONEY POLICY By Arnis Luks

The Price Stabilization Subsidy of the later war years held inflation in abeyance while the subsidy was in effect. In fact, the subsidy remained in effect, although in other forms, until 1947 at which time inflation began marching on and has never ceased since.

Inflation is demonstrably a financial policy. Increasing interest rates do not reduce inflation, but in fact compound the effect by eroding the available spending power of every person's hand who holds a loan or any line of credit. All industry, homeowners and private persons who have some type of loan, are directly affected by this man-created policy of inflation. The compounding aftereffects are further felt by everyone at the checkout.

The financial system is a man-made system, and the varying phenomena experienced on the ground are a consequence of specific policy.

All cycles of production operate on credit advances

If the Bank-sters wish to bring on a round of inflation, they turn the tap ON to essentials like energy, utilities, rates and taxes bringing increased costs to the producer (as the triggering mechanism - a reverse policy of the Consumer Price Discount), then all prices experience upward pressure - erosion of the value of the monetary denomination - inflation by Bankster design and purpose.

Explain The Two Differing Systems of Price Subsidy: Price Subsidy

The administration for the World War II years of PM Curtin, met the pressure for price-rises by issuing borrowed money for the producer to offset 'price' pressure, holding inflationary pressures at bay, but, also increasing governmental debt.

Consumer Price Discount

CH Douglas's proposal of a Consumer Price Discount meets price-pressure at the point of sale with a discount of debt-free money to the producer, to ensure prices do not rise, but in fact continue to fall as efficiencies are introduced into the production processes.

Ultimately, the Consumer Price Discount returns the then expired funds to the National Credit Authority in order that 'prices' are reconciled against costs. The economy remains financially and economically balanced - in equilibrium. We can buy all that we make.

There is ample opportunity to study all the works of CH Douglas in our online Douglas 'Social Credit' library <https://alor.org/Storage/navigation/Library1.htm> by numerous writers including Douglas himself. There are multiple training courses in the mediums of Literature, Video and Podcast formats, of all levels from even the most basic to the advanced 'Elements of Social Credit' by Tudor Jones, with ample facilitators to assist in your

efforts, including travelling speakers. There has never been such an opportunity made available to 'renew your mind' to the possibilities of releasing reality.

Kitchen Table Tour Report

The kitchen table meetings conducted around Australia this past year have proven a solid method of opening people's minds to the potential of our age. The Australian League of Rights ALOR is a service movement like no other, with touring speakers, weekly Broadcasts and Podcasts, the weekly OnTarget journal, and the monthly in-depth New Times Survey journal to support your personal development. The League does not teach you what to think, but how to think.

Civics

A sound knowledge of civics is the foundation from which to understand the relationship between the individual and those public institutions that have been built up over millennia. Without a firm grasp of this knowledge, the individual is but flotsam in the hands of a manipulating education, media and the political elite. It is from this civic-foundation, developed around a course of study, that the individual can confidently move in society as a responsible and knowledgeable participating member. This is the current *locus standi* of which ALOR is concentrating.

Brief History

At first, the League of Rights was formed in South Australia in 1946. It developed from the Vote NO campaign conducted against ALP Dr. Evatt's continuing bid to change the Constitution in order to centralise more power in Canberra. Evatt tried to do so, and failed, at the wartime 1944 referendum.

The League is a Christian-based service movement, that unreservedly accepts the Christian Law of Love. It does not seek political power, but is a type of political watchdog, equipped to warn the individual about threats to rights and freedoms, irrespective of the label of the government of the day.

The Australian League of Rights was established in 1960 when the separate Leagues in the States agreed to form one national movement. The establishment of The League of Rights in Canada, the United Kingdom and New Zealand resulted in an association called the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights in 1975.

For eight years the Crown Commonwealth League of Rights was an international chapter of the World Anti-Communist League, participating in a number of international conferences in different parts of the world prior to the collapse of the Soviet Union.

The League is not motivated exclusively by threats

to individual freedom, It constantly upholds the vision of a world of expanding freedom and security for all, in which every individual can participate freely in association with his fellow man to help build the finest civilisation yet created.

The League's Track Record

“By their fruits ye shall know them.” The track record of The League of Rights is clear for all to see. When the League was formed at the end of World War II, there was widespread optimism about the future. The League stressed that the real winner of the war was international communism, that the future of the once-great British Empire was at risk, and that the drive towards the World State through the United Nations would prove a trap for the free world.

For over 70 years International Communism exerted influence on every continent, and approximately one-third of the world's population lived under Communist governments. Although the League warned that Communism required capitalist financial support, and could not feed its captive peoples, the West was caught by surprise when the Berlin Wall crumbled. Now the United Nations is emerging as the foundational structure for a proposed World State, complete with global “peace-keeping” forces.

Such Warnings Proved To Be Prophetic

The League has constantly warned of the erosion of the Constitutional Monarchy as a barrier to centralised power. In the 1980's, the League again warned of the use of UN Treaties, and now transnational trade agreements to undermine the Constitution and strip away State powers. It also directed attention to the long-term Fabian socialist programme of amalgamating local government into regions before abolishing the States and the Senate. This programme is now well advanced.

The League's Predictions Were Correct

From its inception the League warned that high progressive taxation, and consequent social controls were inevitable under financial policies which generated increasing debt. It predicted that irrespective of the label of government, no constructive solution to high taxes and inflation was available under debt finance. The social consequences of the debt system include the depopulation of rural Australia, as farmers and small businesses are eliminated.

In 1975 the League warned that the establishment of a New International Economic Order would have a dramatic impact on Australia's industries. Following the deregulation of the banking industry, the drive toward the “global market” has meant the “restructuring” out of existence of many Australian industries, companies and employment opportunities.

Recent Events Have Proved The League To Be Right

After the dismissal of Whitlam in 1975, the League predicted that the Fraser Government had no answer to

Labor's Fabian socialist revolution, because ALP policies were not being reversed.

Apart from the League, few other groups dared to warn that an open-door immigration policy would fragment a homogeneous population, jeopardising a common culture and heritage. Unfortunately, where adopted, multiculturalism has usually resulted in increased racial and cultural friction.

Again, The League's Warnings Were Justified

The League has provided accurate forward intelligence because of its vast bank of information, extensive network of international contacts and an understanding of the application of policy. You are invited to make use of its services.

The League's Freedom Campaign

No political movement can exist in a moral vacuum, and Australians have traditionally accepted that it is the Christian Faith that generated our heritage of representative government. While the League maintains a small full-time staff primarily motivated by Christian service, it is the extensive network of volunteers from all walks of life who form the backbone of the Movement.

The League of Rights seeks to help create a body of dedicated men and women who serve, not for their own material gain, but as custodians of those truths and values which must form the basis of all successful efforts to defeat the enemies of human dignity and freedom.

The League encourages and equips individuals to independently exercise their own initiative in the service of freedom.

This Week's Essential Reading

The Voice and its Three Fatal Flaws By Peter O'Brien

<https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/the-voice/2023/08/the-voice-and-its-three-fatal-flaws/>

...Conclusion

Constitutional amendments should be focussed on one issue only and should be as explicit as possible. And if they are intended to introduce a major change – as this one is – as opposed to simply correcting some anomaly, they should be carefully considered by a constitutional convention. Not rammed through following a six-week media blitz funded by woke corporations and institutions, which have no business appropriating the views of their employees, customers and members.

In past (*Quadrant*-ed) articles I have described this proposed Constitutional amendment as a classic example of sloppy legal drafting. I now no longer subscribe to that view. I think the drafting reflects exactly what is intended by the architects of the Statement and acceded to by the most left-wing government in Australia's history. It is not sloppy drafting. It is disingenuous drafting.

This referendum question is a lie....

“LAST CALLS FOR ACTION TO DEFEAT THE VOICE REFERENDUM” By Ken Grundy

The best kept secret reckons voting day will be October 14, only 6 weeks away.

It is imperative that all efforts are directed to smashing the plan to change our Constitution. A narrow defeat will invite further attempts to sabotage our “Book of Rules”. [Peter Dutton’s latest announcement of holding further referendum (should this one fail) is not helping at all. -ed]

The book *Red over Black* has told us of the total plan to follow the Voice with a Treaty, Truth Telling and finally, parts of Australia set aside as a Black State. As much as the proponents of the Voice either deny or blur this aspect, it is there for those who care to look.

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/politics/red-over-black-behind-the-aboriginal-land-rights-g-mcdonald>

We all have a Voice - it is through our elected MP. Any special additional Voice for any group of Australians will cause outright division.

Make a special effort to defeat the Voice by **copying** the accompanying leaflet and spreading wherever possible. Social media, shop counters, markets, car windscreens, letterboxes etc.

With 20% of voters undecided, we could see a wave of them supporting Yes which would give it victory. Expect strong advertising for Yes as voting day nears. Some “push-back” here might trigger greater moves against the current tide against traditional values. Be part of the success.

From the Australian Monarchist League Newsletter

The date for the referendum on the Voice to the Parliament has been set for 14 October, less than 6 weeks away.

Whilst we have always looked on the Voice as a precursor, or trial run, for the Albanese government’s main objective of a successful republic referendum, it will nevertheless be a permanent fixture within the Australian Constitution: one which is potentially alien to our Westminster system of governance.

Whilst most would have no objections whatsoever to those whose ancestors were in Australia before the British settlement and the large influx of people migrating to this land thereafter having a Voice to lobby for an end to the horrific conditions currently existing in indigenous communities, the way to do this is surely for the increasing number of indigenous people representing various political parties in the federal and state parliaments coming together to form an inter-Parliamentary, inter-government, alliance to set about resolving these problems once and for all.

The problem about the problem is that many problems that exist cannot be resolved without strict legislation being both enacted and enforced to ensure that all those in troubled communities give up their ways and comply with the rule of law. However, this is something, in this

age of ultra-political correctness that governments of whatever political colouring shy away from, leading, of course, to greater problems.

In regard to the upcoming referendum, we remain concerned about the various comments made by members of the Prime Minister’s Indigenous Advisory Council on the fact that the Voice will empower the Indigenous people over and above everyone else.

The Prime Minister and members of his cabinet have specifically stated that their intention is to implement the Uluru statement in full.

This would include a treaty and reparations additional to the \$33 billion or so already allocated for indigenous programmes (both specific and general) and separate sovereignties. Some say these sovereignties would co-exist with the Crown, but others say they have never recognised either the Crown or the Australian Constitution.



Cartoon by Johannes Leak

Anthony Albanese has been specific in not being specific, so no one going to the polls can possibly know what constitutional powers and authority they, or later, the High Court, will be providing the Voice with, if they vote Yes. This has never happened before with such a major constitutional amendment. Furthermore, it is an insult to the intelligence of the Australian people to refer to any change to their Constitution as being minor, particularly one of this magnitude.

We have a neutral information site on the Voice which you can see here: The Voice to the Parliament. This also includes an article published yesterday written by AML’s Alexander Voltz, in his capacity as a contributor for Sky News.

https://monarchist.org.au/the_indigenous_voice_to_the_parliament
Philip Benwell - National Chair Australian Monarchist League <https://www.monarchist.org.au/> ***

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement “Archives” : <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.