



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 46

1st December 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

Ivor Benson – Behind The News By Arnis Luks	1
Opinion Part 1 – “Built In” Legal Inequalities in Australia By Ian Wall	3

IVOR BENSON – BEHIND THE NEWS By Arnis Luks

Ivor Benson was born of Swedish parents in South Africa, grew up in South Africa and Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe), later also living in the United Kingdom and traveling widely. During WWII, he served with distinction as an officer under British command in tank and armoured car units in north Africa and Italy. Ivor has produced a variety of vitally important books readily available from our online PDF library for download, or in printed form from our online bookstore Veritas Books. He has been unrelenting in identifying the sources of illegitimate power and worldly troubles. He readily enters discussions not heard from the main media sources. Writing, perhaps 50 years ahead of his time, Ivor's analysis of world events is essential reading for the serious political student.

I spent the past two days pouring over his analysis of *The Zionist Factor* as a major contributor to world events. The other two major contributors being the nexus of super-capitalism and communism. A cord of three strands is not easily broken. [https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Benson_1-The_Zionist_Factor_\(1986\).pdf](https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Benson_1-The_Zionist_Factor_(1986).pdf)

Henry Kissinger personified this ‘concerted’ effort to rule the world by this trilogy of illegitimate power. Klaus Schwab reinforces their collective confidence, that even though they can be readily identified, there is little that can be done against them. I beg to differ.

Dumbing us down, through mind conditioning occurring through our educational institutions, our pulpits, and the mainstream media, is readily countered by smartening up. Ivor Benson’s analysis will greatly assist this venture. By making every effort to educate yourself from reliable sources from our rich cultural heritage, comes a gradual but definite awakening from slumber.

I'm not aware of any civilisation that has recovered from this imposition of tyranny so late in the game. However, God has been gracious by providing such riches of knowledge for everyone who dares to care for their own nation, and the current plight of the world. In every discipline I research, I find such a wealth of information that falls like ripe fruit into my hand. We were told to ‘seek the Truth’ and also ‘ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free’.

Last Sunday the Murdoch media outlet ‘*news.com.au*’ declared that ‘Australia is stuffed’, reinforcing the psychologically-depressing-perspective that we are to capitulate to worldly powers. By mid-week our Federal Treasurer announced that legislation is before the Parliament to hand over governmental-control-of-policy of the Reserve Bank of Australia. The abrogation of defined constituted powers by this and previous governments is breath-taking. Our online blog.alor.org is regularly reporting from the trenches of treachery of this progression.

The Lessons From Rhodesia

While in Tasmania, I was privileged to meet a former Rhodesian, now residing permanently in Australia. That small nation of Rhodesia held out against Chinese-trained guerrilla warfare from the north, Soviet-trained guerrilla warfare from the east, combined UK and USA guerrilla warfare in the form of economic sanctions from the west, and UN sanctions from the south. Providing their government remained loyal to the people and continued to uphold their rights and freedoms after their Unilateral Declaration of Independence, (interestingly announced in 1965 on 11th November - our own Remembrance Day, also known as Armistice Day), the Rhodesians were able to hold their ground.

I hold a photo from around this time, of Eric Butler and the Prime Minister of Rhodesia Ian Smith, standing in the Prime Minister's office next to a globe of the world, discussing global politics. In our actionist corner you will find the link to *The Elite - The Story of the Rhodesian Special Air Services*, a study of what is possible by a determined people providing their leadership remains loyal to them as a free and sovereign nation:

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Cole%20B%20-%20The_Elite.pdf

The friends of Rhodesia, of which ALOR supporters amongst many others, managed to donate a full petrol-tanker of fuel to that struggling nation, struggling against all odds to hold onto their freedoms. It was only when their leadership capitulated and betrayed them, that the nation of Rhodesia fell into the quagmire of totalitarianism

of today. Zimbabwe, like South Africa, is now a basket case as is all of Africa.

Without looking back into history and the course of events of treachery, we cannot make a mature assessment of the politics of today. Fortunately, Ivor Benson has faithfully recorded his assessment, which I believe is accurate and true, of the treachery occurring at that time. All his books that we hold, available in PDF format in our online library, are essential reading that can be sought out and analysed across this coming Christmas break.

THE KISSINGER BETRAYAL PLAN FOR RHODESIA By Eric.D. Butler ***THE NEW TIMES* NOVEMBER, 1976**

C.H. Douglas observed that International Finance has at its service the best brains which money can buy. John Maynard Keynes, the economist who so skilfully perverted the challenge of Social Credit with his deficit spending and “controlled inflation” programme, was not lacking in brains. While Dr. Henry Kissinger may not be quite the genius suggested by the public relations men who laud him, the Jewish refugee from Germany was seen by the Rockefellers and associates as a man with the capacity to serve them well. Dr. Kissinger’s betrayal plan for Rhodesia, the last of the betrayals of the West {South Africa followed in 1994}, has the warm approval of International Finance, which has consistently worked to create the much-published *One World*.

The question of whether Dr. Kissinger is, or was, a KGB agent is of academic interest only. Kissinger has, in a number of statements, made it clear that he believes that the One World can only come through international control of the raw materials of the world. International control must be extended also to food supplies. Such international control means increasing links with International Communism. A former American naval director Admiral Umwelt, reports that he was present at a briefing meeting at which Dr. Kissinger said: “The day of the United States is past and today is the day of the Soviet Union. My job as Secretary of State is to negotiate the most acceptable second-best position available.” In more robust days an American Secretary of State who expressed views like that would be, at the very least, hounded from office as a traitor. But after a long series of betrayals including the Helsinki Agreement which formally declared the Christian and civilized Captive Nations of Eastern Europe permanently under Soviet control, Dr. Kissinger will probably retire to obtain an estimated \$1 million for his memoirs and to continue his treacherous activities as an academic. A new political puppet, Mr. Jimmy Carter, is moved to the front of the world stage, advised by men working for the same masters for whom Dr. Kissinger rendered such noble service.

The superficial observers of the constant betrayal of the West muddy the situation by suggesting that the Kissingers and their backers are at worst confused and

This is a timely reminder of the poppy-fields of fallen who have gone before us pursuing their ancient freedoms and rights derived from God alone.

Lest We Forget.

This article below from our *New Times* archives is of vital import when we consider the present state of the world today and the passing of Henry Kissinger as a key player in our demise. The roots of tyranny and treason go deep and have been working away steadily for generations. ***

do not understand what they are doing. The question is asked, “But surely, the Rockefellers, the Rothschilds and their international financial groups will also lose if the whole of Southern Africa with its vast untapped minerals resources, is lost to International Communism?” The masters of International Finance have never believed that they lose by aiding and abetting Communism. They financed those two brilliant revolutionaries, Lenin and Trotsky, neither of them in the dull class, to seize control of Russia. They have financed the Soviet Union ever since. The biggest industrial complex in the whole world today, the Kalm River heavy truck enterprise is being built by Western industrial organizations and financed by the Rockeffellers and their associates. Mr. David Rockefeller has not established his Chase National Bank in the Soviet Union and China for nothing. If Mr. David Rockefeller can express the view that Communist China is engaged in the most “successful social experiment” in the history of mankind, then why should a man who thinks like that be concerned about the fate of the Europeans, or the Africans, in Rhodesia under a Communist take-over?

THE LONG VIEW

The international bankers believe that, in the long run, they can handle developments in Southern Africa as they have controlled them elsewhere. The retreat by the Vorster Government became obvious after the 1973 visit of Mr. David Rockefeller to South Africa. The threat of oil sanctions against the whole of Southern Africa, the sudden depression of the price of gold by the International Monetary Fund, and other financial pressures were all used to force Prime Minister Vorster and, through him, Prime Minister Ian Smith, to accept the Kissinger ultimatum for Rhodesia. Dr. Kissinger also threatened the loss of the U.S. vote at the U.N. But it must never be forgotten that not only the loss of South African support forced Rhodesians to start trying to negotiate the best surrender terms possible. A major factor was the cowardly action of Western Governments, including “anti-Communist” Governments in Canberra and Wellington, in subsidizing the Marxist Governments of Mozambique to close the border with Rhodesia, and to indirectly encourage increased terrorist attacks upon

the Rhodesians. Words are inadequate to describe one's feelings concerning the revolting behaviour of a "British" Socialist Government permitting a criminal terrorist, Robert Mugabe, to participate in a conference allegedly concerned with constitutional government in Rhodesia. And why did Dr. Kissinger feel that it was necessary for the Communist-backed Governments of Angola and Mozambique to be consulted about the best type of Government for Rhodesia? Can it be that Dr. Kissinger has sufficient influence with the Soviet to have it stop supporting its agents in Southern Africa? There is no evidence whatever that the Soviet is going to agree to any settlement in Rhodesia which does not completely eliminate all possibilities of any type of responsible Government. Just as Dr. Kissinger made it clear that he believed that the ultimate victory of Communism in Vietnam was "inevitable" he has adopted the same attitude towards Rhodesia and South Africa. As his policy has the support of International Financiers, it is clear that they are taking the long view of the situation and are satisfied that irrespective of what happens, they will be playing the decisive role in the exploitation of Southern Africa's vast mineral reserves.

CAN RHODESIA YET SURVIVE?

But it is one thing to plan for the creation of the "One World", it is another to make the plan operate. Rhodesia could still prove a major stumbling block to the grand design. I have never been numbered amongst those who have seen Prime Minister Ian Smith as a conscious traitor. I have studied him closely over many years, and while I am well aware of his limitations, a captive of his own liberalism and financial orthodoxy, he has certain attributes which make him a hard man to neatly categorize. Harold Wilson never really understood the man he was dealing with. I do not think that Ian Smith had much basic understanding of what he was taking on when he and his Cabinet declared complete independence from Great Britain on November 11, 1965. But Rhodesia has survived for eleven years primarily because of the resourcefulness of a highly intelligent European population, the flexibility which is possible only with a

small nation, and the type of leadership which Ian Smith provided. Ian Smith's surrender address to Rhodesia, and the world, on September 24, is not the type of address I would have given. But there was a typical doggedness about it, which in essence said, "I have been forced to go to Geneva by Dr. Kissinger, but having been forced to go, I am determined to insist that the Kissinger plan is adhered to."

Whatever one may feel about Mr. Ian Smith, it cannot be said his Minister for External Affairs Mr. P.K. Van Der Byl, the man who took over from Mr. Smith when he left Geneva to go home, does not have some understanding of the realities of the international power game. The African, "Nationalist leaders" have behaved at Geneva exactly as Mr. Smith and his colleagues must have anticipated. They are all impatient for power – now. So long as the Rhodesians insist that the Kissinger agreement must be adhered to, it is Dr. Kissinger and his backers who are in a situation from which it is difficult for them to extricate themselves. The recent second major counter-strike by the Rhodesians into Mozambique has demonstrated that the Rhodesians still have plenty of will to fight back. The Cubans in Angola are finding it difficult to subdue the Africans as witnessed by recent developments. Prime Minister Vorster has agreed that Prime Minister Ian Smith is right in insisting that the Kissinger plan must be adopted at Geneva. Mr. Vorster can hardly feel too comfortable with an increasingly restive electorate, which realizes that a collapse in Rhodesia opens the road to a direct assault on South Africa.

The Southern African situation is one in which every ounce of moral support should be given to the South Africans and Rhodesians to hold on. And at the same time every pressure should be applied to Western politicians to press for an easing of the pressure on Rhodesia and South Africa. It would be one of the truly ironic events in history if Dr. Kissinger, having carried all before him in serving the "One World" concept over so many years, was finally thwarted by little Rhodesia at the very moment it appeared to be completely defeated. ***

‘OPINION PART 1’ – “BUILT IN” LEGAL INEQUALITIES IN AUSTRALIA BY IAN WALL

Ian's 'Opinion' is now being offered as 'food for thought'.

Most Australians are generally unaware that there are two completely separate legal systems in this country. One, called Administrative Law applies to the government. The other, called Civil or Common Law, applies to the public - the civilian population.

The Administrative legal system is a series of written "Acts" that are meant to define and control how personnel in all levels of government - local, state and federal - should act and carry out their duties. The Civil

legal system is a series of written "Acts" that are meant to define and control how civilians, the general public, should act and interact with each other and the 'state'. Administrative Law is 'enforced' (if it is enforced at all) by a series of 'investigators', 'tribunals' and 'review boards' who are appointed and controlled by the politicians, administrators and bureaucrats who they are meant to be investigating. These same public servants also formulate the guidelines and scope of enquiry that control the investigators, the tribunals and the review boards.

Administrative law is a system of internal self-regulation that is, in nearly all aspects, firmly under the control of the people it is meant to regulate. Civil law is a system of externally enforced regulation that is, in nearly all aspects, outside of the control of the people it regulates.

Civil Law is enforced by a system of courts, judges and magistrates supported by police forces. Actions under this system of law are brought by one citizen against another in the case of some personal wrong or by police against a citizen in the case of an infringement against the state.

Civilians do not write the Acts that control their behaviour and have very little ability to control the processes that enforce them. The Civil law system can impose both financial penalties and incarcerate people. The Civil law system can and does deliver government sanctioned violence against individuals and groups.

The Administrative law system has no police force to monitor and enforce compliance with the Acts. That is worth repeating, the Administrative Law system has no police force. The Administrative system cannot charge people with criminal offences and has no powers to send 'offenders' to jail. Administrative law imposes what are usually insignificant penalties that are incapable of precipitating any change in personal behaviour or institutional culture. That is if it imposes any penalties at all.

By comparison with the Civil legal system that often imposes harsh penalties as demonstrations of justice, the Administrative law system often imposes no penalties and no 'justice' at all.

The two legal systems are administered totally independently of each other. Only in the rarest of circumstances is a case transferred from the Administrative law system to the Civil or Criminal law system.

Not one day goes by without a media report of some government impropriety. Yet, years-at-a-time go by without a media report on anyone in government being made accountable for their improprieties in any way that an ordinary citizen living under the onerous system of Civil law could morally relate to or would feel was fair and just.

The Administrative law system has been captured by the very people it is meant to regulate. Australian citizens have had taken from them one of the main tools of access to and control over their governments. Politicians, administrators and bureaucrats now work in what is becoming an increasingly secret and accountability free environment.

In other words, the capture and manipulation of the Administrative law system by successive groups of politicians, administrators and bureaucrats is a key enabler of the loss of Australia's representative democracy.

Further reading here:

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-Responsible_Government_in_a_Free_Society.pdf

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Keeton%20GW%20-%20Passing_Of_Parliament.pdf

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/HEWART%20Lord%20Of%20Bury-The_New_Despotism_1929.pdf ***

Douglas Social Credit Training

Douglas Social Credit is the disciplined science of social engineering measured in terms of human satisfaction.

DSC looks for the Truth which governs human associations.

DSC is found predominantly in the areas of philosophy, politics, constitutionalism, society, economics and finance.

DSC is concerned in any other discipline which considers the satisfaction of human beings an important factor.

DSC Training is available in four semester workpacks, steadily developing the individual's understanding of the **Science of the Social Credit** measured in terms of human satisfaction. Our online training initiatives include:

1. **Social Dynamics Videos and Booklets** available on our alor.org/ website front page.
2. **Introducing Social Credit by Betty Luks** is built around five modules, as well as Video and Podcast discussions around the Science of the Social Credit.
3. **Intermediate Social Credit by ED Butler** includes eight written assignments, Video and Podcast discussions around the Science of the Social Credit.
4. **Advanced Social Credit** is conducted with the assistance of international experts in this science, included are the historical '*Elements of Social Credit*' by Tudor Jones, (*originally produced by the Social Credit Secretariat*), two courses including texts, Video and Podcast discussions and other reading material understanding the Science of Social Credit measured in terms of human satisfaction.

Contact Head Office to Start your Training Today

BASIC FUND

The Basic Fund target is again set for \$60,000. Last year we fell a bit short of the target but hope this year it will fill. We receive large and small donations and I stress how much appreciated they are, irrespective of the amount. The running costs continually rise and the money is used sparingly. Donations are welcome at any time but you might consider adding it to your book order or journal subscription. Keep the League going!

Arnis Luks, National Director

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.