

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 60 No. 22

14th June 2024

IN THIS ISSUE

Reaching Out By Neville Archibald	31
Beware of a Cyclops Bearing Ice-cream By Neville Archibald	33
The 'Party System' By Arnis Luks	36

Thought for the Week: Franky Schaeffer concludes his book *“Bad News for Modern Man”* with this question, which I leave with you. “We have, then two scenarios before us, and we can adopt one of two attitudes. The first, a capitulating, quiet acquiescence to the perversity of this world, and a gentle drift toward total secularism that in the end will obliterate Christianity in this nation. The second, a robust and vigilant faith ever ready to do battle for the cause of Christ and a stand that does not bend before the winds of fashion. Which will it be? Unfortunately for all of us, these are not theoretical questions, for the very hinge of fate and the destiny of not only ourselves but also our children depend upon which course we choose.”

DISCRIMINATION “. . . egalitarianism is literally a doctrine of death, and so long as life stirs, it is denied. That is why rivers of blood and hatred have been poured out in the name of e-quality, which is the denial of the quality of others. But salvation lies in discrimination, that is, in perceiving and conceding to all men their special qualities, and refusing to sit in ultimate judgment, which involves realising that our understanding is limited.” — *Dr. Geoffrey Dobbs*

Reaching Out By Neville Archibald

As a youngster, heavily into music, I had favourite bands and songwriters. Many of whom I realised at the time were “counterculture”. I never “got” the worship of Dylan, few of his songs impressed me. I liked Pink Floyd, Jefferson Airplane and others whose abilities impressed more than the lyrics.

As songwriters go, I guess I was an idealist. If I listed a few more, you’d be excused for thinking I was in the counterculture camp. I saw the corrupting influence clearly – what I considered wrong were the things I didn’t clearly perceive as Marxist or anti-Christian, they were just not good for us as a whole. Drugs, promiscuous sex and anger were never going to lead us into a better world.

So too, I recognised the counter, counterculture. Often set up by Christian forces to provide an alternative influence on the young. Not the outreach programs or the ‘*come to Jesus*’ style meetings as they attempted to influence and provide a better view, but the bands and singer/songwriters attempting to join in the mainstream music culture. Called “*Jesus freaks*”, it was noted by some that this movement had the potential to be a second reformation.

Starting off with Billy Graham, (in Australia in 1959), in the Protestant churches, I remember Mum had some Billy Graham songbooks (which I never really looked at). I saw him as American Evangelical and basically wrote him off as crass Americanism. It was out of this that the “*Jesus freaks*” movement in the 60s and later Christian rock, arose. That was in the late 70s – 80s, well past his influence.

Looking back in later years his quote, “*when wealth is lost, nothing is lost; when health is lost, something is lost; when character is lost, all is lost.*” I thought I saw something of what he was trying to say. Indeed, if we consider the financial trickery we live under, wealth in terms of money is a fraud, health is important, but most of all character or individuality that enables you to respond to threats is the most important of the three; for if you do not have character enough to reject the wrong in this world, we will fail to keep this world. Someone else will take it from us.

The likes of Cliff Richard and later Amy Grant, breaking into the mainstream was not enough to turn the tide. Money spoke and unless your product was the right flavour, a largely corrupted “media” for want of a better term, ensured the rise of selected individuals. To this day the entertainment industry is still much the same. Aka the Harvey Weinstein management style.

All of these influences are only successful if they are not questioned, if you are approached and say NO, there will be no influence. To stand up and not allow yourself to be used, becomes easier every time you do it. Drawing that line in the sand also lets others see it is possible.

From a simple work perspective, would you do something unsafe if you were told too? Endangering others because someone above you said so? I don’t think so! Community safety is also represented by the morals of our culture, the sins we used to recognise as bad for our continued existence as a functioning society.

My experience growing up was one of questioning things, my friends, less so than me. To actually ask questions you need to know there is one first. I gravitated towards enquiring minds, so I found ample material. Looking back, if there were not questions raised, I probably would not have thought to investigate. It is the old adage.

“I know what I know, I know what I don’t know, but I don’t know what I don’t know!”

But I digress, this article was to be about reaching out to others, especially how we go about reaching the youth, our own children. We should have ample time to show them, by example, is still the best influence I believe. Lectures and strict rules not so much as family discussions and just general conversations with your children. Getting to know them and they you, spending time, just to talk.

How to reach others becomes the question. So many outside influences have a greater part of their time and attention than you could ever hope for. Most of these influences are not the friends of our society or culture. Most have no positive effect on their development. It is this you must help to overcome. The fact that they are so prevalent in our everyday lives is also actually a help to us. Radio in the workplace, TVs in waiting rooms, all blaring out regular untruths or manipulations. Plenty of scope for conversation starters or even just a snowball of truth, allowing those already on the slope of disbelief to grow.

Speaking out when you hear something that is wrong is a huge part of the influence you can make. Whenever something false or misrepresented is said aloud (radio, TV or other people) the truth must always follow - be said aloud. I am always trying to do this in front of my children, my co-workers and friends.

To let it just wash over you without a response is to give in. Evil must be repelled by truth. You'd be surprised how many times people agree with you, but were too afraid to say. Again, not a lecture, just a factual retort, a pointing out of your belief, as a teaser, a correction.

To do this you do not need to be "*Encyclopedia Brown*", just practise your ability to recognise lies or half-truths. Reading and remembering exact facts is less important than the actual raising of the question. A simple, "I find that hard to believe" is often enough.

One of the basic methods used in brainwashing, is continuous exposure to lies. Said enough times, you get so used to it that you no longer actively distinguish the truth from fiction. It truly is as simple as the "Emperor's New Clothes", we all need to be more like that little boy.

Beware of a Cyclops Bearing Ice-cream By Neville Archibald

Children can supply you with an endless list of questions. You would do well at times to think and question things anew as they would prompt you. This morning's question for me was, "does a cyclops have tear ducts?"

On the premise that our bodies are externally symmetrical: we have tear ducts on the inside corner of each eye. Merge both to one in the centre and symmetry gives you four options. None, as both eyes merging removes the inside corners, thus no tear ducts. A left or right handed approach could mean one, but on which side? Then of course there is the option of two, where you have one on each side, because the eye is probably bigger. This topic became one of nature, chromosomes, left or right handedness and endless pondering of the natural world.


Then of course the question of defeating it or making friends. Like the lion in Aesop's fables, if we give the big fellow eye drops for his ductless eyes, would he be less grumpy and less likely to whack us with his club. Looking it up on google we found a reference to a Cyclops having two.

This set my mind to similarities to government systems, friend or foe, symmetry and how to fight a cyclops. Just the thing for a morning ponder.

To me the Cyclops represents the middle ground of the two-party system, Labor on the left, Liberal on the right. But is the Cyclops friend or foe? Which side of the centre has he got his feet. Does his eye see all? How big is his club?

We have a system we are supposed to believe is an, either/or form of selection with Liberal and Labor. In fact, like us, this is the choice which has permeated the entire western world. The USA has Republican or Democrat, The UK has Tory (right) or Labour (left), Canada has Liberal (left) and Conservative (right) and Germany has the Social Democratic Party (left) and the Christian Democratic Union (right) to name a few.

These representations are supposedly capital investment vs the working class, Change vs Stasis, left leaning and right leaning. We are meant to believe that this Ying and Yang of politics is to balance out somewhere in the middle as our best possible representation of us. That illusive middle line! One eye, “one ring to rule them all”! When it comes to government, this balance should not be symmetrical at all, for both sides should be affecting different policy leading in a different direction if you are to believe the verbiage floating in the air around our capital. It is usually depicted like this:



Each party obtains office only to accuse the previous other of causing problems that have to be fixed before being able to enact the solution they see as right. Each then proceeds on with a similar end game set of policies to deliver us into the


same mess as before. By the time their run has ended the other team picks up the baton and while condemning the running style and shoes of the other, continues the race to a centralised finishing point - Centralised Government, and now handing over more and more control to the World Government agencies, The WHO (pandemic legislation) The UN (human rights legislation) the WEF (build back better programs) and the IMF (International Monetary Fund – read digital currency the ultimate aim)

The Finale of Centralisation

If we look at this reality on a graph, similar to the previous, with the mythical centre line of “perfect rule” – neither Labor nor Liberal is anywhere in that middle ground. If I were to debate the claim that both parties are either side of perfect, I need only look at the heading, the direction they are both on. This relocation on the line would be better illuminated by asking the question of whether the Cyclops on that Lib/Lab centre line was friend or foe. Can we make friends with it? Can we offer to fix the ailment that

has us shedding tears, not them. For if the cyclops is there to fight (insist on removing our freedoms) then fight we must. All the eye drops in the world won't help us win him over. In fact, by letting him see clearly where and who we are, we are helping him defeat us.

We must realise that the myth of a centre point between the two parties, is just that, a myth. A means of control. We think we have freedom of choice, chocolate ice-cream or vanilla. In fact, both in quantity make your teeth ache and ruin your health. I say we have grown fat and lazy on this diet of ice-cream politics. It is time for us to man up and eat our meat and veg. We may have to chew some gristle and eat some greens. There will be some unpalatable dishes served, but the ice-cream bearing cyclops is a truer image of what is happening to our nations. Our aim should be for limited government, one that cannot step outside the boundaries we've set.



When our Nations were founded, these boundaries were put in place. Called constitutions, they had not just written rules but carried a spirit of intention, “the Vibe”.

We have so lost our way that very few of us understand what these documents represent. How important it is that we force our governments to obey them.

Knowledge is a powerful thing, probably the reason these documents are denigrated in today's climate is lack of this necessary knowledge. The slanderous attacks we see taking the place of true debate has been in the classroom for years.

“Privileged Old white Men documents”, are presented as history that is flawed, biased and out of date. Without giving students the true background knowledge required to even attempt to frame something better. Better to rip it all apart and let our emotionally crippled apologists for the past, create a new one.

Our saving grace will be knowledge and the passing on of that knowledge to others.

The Constitution is not a difficult read nor a long one. To ponder the reasons for each inclusion will quickly show you why it was included.

A book framed to carry our Nation forward into a better place, it still contains all we need to know about our government and its workings. Where our current system differs from it, is where most of our problems lie. Forced to adhere to it, along with recognition of the true centre line, and our insistence it is adhered to, will see a rise in our civilisation as it should be.

The 'Party System' By Arnis Luks

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Belloc_Chesterton-The_Party_System.pdf

The Party System, is the title & theme of authors Hillair Belloc and Cecil Chesterton, (younger brother of GK). Printed in 1911, its contents are as current as if it was written just for today. Copies are available from Amazon for around \$40. I am fortunate to have an autographed copy in my possession printed in 1917. A PDF version is also readily available for download from the Internet Archives.

In my course of reading and analysis of the contents, I also read an on-line review here: https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1945043.pdf?ab_segments=

This review, while coming from an apologist's perspective, more or less says: *'so what!... the political-parties work together. Yes, I know they do'*.

Providing an open discussion of the many levels of collusion and cooperation between the political parties is what sets this particular book apart, their incestuous relationship being at the heart of what occurs politically. The authors' analysis exposes, firstly the pre-determined subversion of the processes of Parliament as the peoples' legitimate debating house, and then the suppression of voicing the peoples' will within the parliament. (*'parlen' to talk or speak*)

The understandings going on between the parties, the frontbenchers, the public service - especially the Treasury, and the ever so centralised mainstream media present the modern phenomena of subverted representation into the reader's consciousness.

Hillair Belloc served as a member of the British House of Commons from 1906 to 1910.

Put 'extremist' Greens last, Howard Urges

Former PM John Howard calls for Peter Dutton and Anthony Albanese to 'adopt a common purpose' and agree for their parties to preference the Greens last in voting. <https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/politics/put-real-extremists-greens-last-john-howard-declares/news-story/0aa2158f98fdf8898de9fcb64c13f0cd>

Anthony Albanese calls for Peter Dutton to (adopt a common purpose-ed) agree for both their parties to endorse climate action here: <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-06-10/coalition-suggests-australia-breach-paris-agreement-either-party/103958232>

Former PM John Howard was recently calling for a cross-party commitment to vote against the Greens, by them being placed last on all ballot papers. It appears that in the current period of middle-east violence, displacing of the remaining one million Palestinians from their ancient homeland, placing them into the Nuseirat refugee-holding-camp in Gaza, bombing them, and the perpetrators being criticised for the genocide, is a step too far from the establishment's point of view by the Greens.

The issue under consideration, apart from the brutal slaughter of Palestinians, is the tactic, of calling for open collusion across the political spectrum.

Pauline Hanson blames John Howard, Tony Abbott ‘witch hunt’ for her time in prison
<https://au.news.yahoo.com/hanson-recounts-jail-stint-documentary-112642129.html>

John Howard is no orphan calling out political pariahs to be placed last on the voting slip, (enforcing the best interests of his money masters).

The Liberals under Menzies and Howard have mutated from traditional, conservative, independence, and self-reliance, to monopoly of centralised power under the neo-liberal/libertarian model. This *Sydney Morning Herald* article, even though dated 2003 more than 20 years ago and coming from a left-orientation, does re-direct the historical record a little closer to the truth of monopoly as policy.

Howard's Roads to Absolute Power

<https://www.smh.com.au/opinion/howards-roads-to-absolute-power-20030630-gdh0q7.html>

Former PM John Howard was, and still is a tyrant, consolidating the centralisation of political, industrial, philosophical, and cultural powers across his Prime Ministership, as did Fraser. As has Labor under Whitlam, Hawke, Keating and others. Monopoly Industry-and-Banking under neo-Liberal. Monopoly Government under Labor. Definitely UN-Monopoly under both. The road back for a people desirous of their ancient rights and freedoms must be Devolution - de-centralisation of political-power. Always take into account, the monopolist hardly pays for the infrastructure utilised, being integral to their insatiable-exploitation, only the little taxpayer does.

While Chesterton and Belloc's *'The Party System'* analyses the false premise of any dialectic between the political parties, an appreciation of this collusion must include consideration into how we are to turn back the political tide. Their *modus operandi* is that 'the parliamentary debates' must be carefully controlled, limited and stifled, whereby the parliamentary processes are subverted, and the public never achieve effective representation. The last breath of fresh-political-air remaining is question time. Any political pariah, (a representative who attempts to place the will of their electorate into the public record), will be tag teamed from all parties into political oblivion regardless of the roots of their political persuasions. Protecting the 'party system' is superior to all other policies. The upper echelons of party will work closely together to protect the 'party system'. Any who do not pursue this task of 'party-system'-protection with all the necessary zeal, will also find themselves cast out into political oblivion.

Antic is in, while Rennick is Out

<https://www.smh.com.au/politics/federal/liberal-fury-at-the-antics-of-alex-the-anti-woke-senator-20240318-p5fd8g.html>

<https://www.news.com.au/national/queensland/courts-law/np-senator-gerard-rennick-sues-his-own-party-after-losing-senate-preselection/news-story/245dbcefaeadc31d440bb11a33ca0707>

Amanda Stoker is another interesting former politician who was unnecessarily cut from the party. How dare any party-politician consider representing the interests of the electorate in preference to the interests of the political party. What a nerve!!

Turning Back The Political Tide

This collaboration is also to ensure the general public is kept in a constant state of niggling. The voting public seeing those other voters as some type of enemy. In truth, the public-will is the legitimate enemy of the political parties. Nearly every political party's purpose is to control-the-public at the behest of their money masters. Thinking otherwise, is at best romantic, but at worst delusional. They exist, the political parties, to draw your vote inwards across each election cycle. They do not exist to represent your will in the Parliament. They exist to represent the will of their money masters.

Recognising this newfound understanding of the political party's discrete, but collaborative approach, and accepting that a significant number of the voting public are rusted on with their allegiance (like competing football or cricket teams), those loyal many will not show any interest in changing what they already do, before, during, and after each election. This article's purpose is not for them. It is for those other individuals, who, once becoming aware of the subversion-by-collaboration of most political flavours, must ask themselves how to undo the 'party system's' dominance.

Bringing About Representation

The will of the people, if it can readily be found, may be present in as many voices as there are voters. To consolidate that will of the people into a realistic movement-for-action to bring about representation, must firstly identify what are the most-pressing issues, and then to assist, to serve, in providing a voice for those most-pressing issues.

Across the COVID era, there were several issues of import. Rejection of the mandate-to-jab was just one of them. The extended lockdown to flatten the curve another. Signing a treaty between the nation of Australia and a vested interest to mandate jabs, is another that challenges the very core of our limiting Constitution: providing protection against any form of medical conscription.

While we were being outmaneuvered at almost every turn, we were also losing sight of the ancient rights and freedoms that have also been under this direct assault. However, the deconstruction of the political-party's false-narrative-of-antagonism 'is' occurring. Monopoly is also being openly discussed, while the tyrants are finding it increasingly difficult to suppress consideration of their ongoing tactics.

Who is it that you are not allowed to criticise?... becomes, Who are those who have control of our political processes and institutions? The answer is the same for both. Once appreciated, it is straightforward to acknowledge that we won't find effective and long-lasting results coming from the political class. Long-lasting results must be achieved by our own civic actions - incarnating our faith and hope to achieve results.

Eric Butler has written an excellent booklet titled '*Releasing Reality*', revealing the necessary thinking to work through our dilemma. Find the truth, the canon, the natural law in relation to our circumstances, and then abide/adhere/uphold to that Natural law, that Canon, that Truth. *The Truth shall set you free...*

The acknowledgment of the unconstitutional behaviour of our representatives is the first necessity to ensure that we can achieve effective representation (rather than the vested interests of their money masters). We have correctly stated the problem. Bashing John Howard or Alex Antic won't do us any good. We've got to bypass the party processes, to enter as we can into the legitimate debate within or without every political party and every forum, to uncover those mechanisms to bring about political change. A mechanism can be legitimate-civic-militancy, of lawful action based on a sound understanding of our civic duties and responsibilities – our ability to respond with that which works best. Remember, true-power above parliament is the will-of-the-people. The referendum demonstrated this Truth 'by the people having to be consulted'.

Douglas Social Credit in the New Era

Each week I recommend further reading; which I find essential to think, to speak publicly, and to write. The mind must be in a place of regular exercising of thoughts, to place down onto paper or in the public forum of all sorts. This personal training is vital. Regularly reading a variety of differing writers can complement existing thinking, speaking, and writing, to keep alert and intellectually engaged in this conversation we call civilisation. Not everyone can afford to read books at a rate that keeps a mind growing. Local Book Clubs within your active, community environment, may be just the impetus and forum needed. This also shares the financial burden around. A point to note is the increment of a suitable association, of like-minded people coming together to learn, converse, debate to clarify, and then participate in this adventure called life.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-Social_Credit_in_the_New_Era.pdf

Geoffrey Dobbs gave an excellent paper in 1985 Calgary, Canada, acknowledging the policy CH Douglas espoused during his 1934/5 world tour some 50 years previous, being celebrated at that time. In his analysis, Dobbs said two interesting things worth note. Firstly, that some of Douglas' papers took a year to digest, a full year to understand the deeper ramifications of what he was saying. I honestly felt a sense of relief as I also find Douglas' writing concentrated and requiring significant intellectual effort to bring that thinking into my own hold, or grasp. Coming from Dobbs, an accomplished scientist of note I found startling, but also encouraging. I was not alone in my struggle. The second, was being an activator rather than an actionist. A catalyst to cause change, change being brought about by others and yourself. I found this perspective quite profound. Not only to lead and serve. Not to be the only one doing something. But to energise others to perform their own civic duty.

From this I deduced the first and most important person to work on, to develop and train into a position of knowledge and effectiveness, is myself. Douglas Social Credit is not any easy science to comprehend and espouse to others. It takes effort, re-search, study and significant contemplation just to bring the correct line of thinking into your own mind, your own hold and grasp. Little wonder we were all called to:

“Seek ye therefore first the kingdom of God, and his justice, and all these things shall be added unto you.” Matt 6:33

National Director's West Australian Tour

It is encouraging at this time of uncertainty around many facets of our political environment in both our State and Federal Governments, that there is renewed interest in finding solutions to the many issues confronting us day to day.

We are pleased to let you know that Arnis Luks will be visiting Western Australia towards the end of July, and during his time there, a public meeting has been arranged at Topolinis Caffè, 639 Beach Road, Warwick, WA on the 27th July. M 0414 804 431

The format planned is for those interested in attending, to arrive from 1.30pm on for welcome, with speakers and workshop including questions from 2pm until 5pm. Tea or coffee, and light meals will be available for purchase across the afternoon.

Anyone wishing to stay on for the *New Times Dinner* and further collaboration will be welcome to do so, and meals can then be ordered from the Menu from 5.30pm.

Afternoon and *New Times Dinner* speakers will include Arnis Luks, National Director of the ALoR and Daniel Criddle who has recently taken on the challenge of researching the history of Douglas Social Credit in Western Australia. There will also be ample books and subscriptions to the *On Target* journal available for immediate purchase.

RSVP before the 24th July : by contacting

Daniel Criddle Mob: 0422 641 686 Email: dlc86@fastmail.com

Arnis Luks Mob: 0415 527 121 Email: heritagebooks@alor.org

This is an opportunity to regenerate interest in Douglas Social Credit or perhaps to be introduced to this vital subject for the first time. If you know someone who may also be interested, please extend this invitation to them also.

Consider holding a Kitchen Table Meeting, a one-day Workshop, or even a two-day Social Dynamics - Freedom Potential Seminar. Each are able to be accommodated.

Contact Daniel of Arnis as the guide to follow the WA touring schedule. ***

Adelaide, SA - C.H. Douglas Seminar Sat. 13th July & Sun. 14th July

RSVP no later than Friday 5th July

Registration email: bookings@publicschoolsclub.com.au

Phone: 0475 188 856 • Website: socred.org

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the *On Target* and the *NewTimes Survey* journals - **printed and posted monthly.**

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by **Direct Bank Transfer to:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Essential Reading:

The Party System

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Belloc_Chesterton-The_Party_System.pdf

Releasing Reality

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler%20ED%20-%20Releasing%20Reality.pdf>

Social Credit in the New Era

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-Social_Credit_in_the_New_Era.pdf