

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 60 No. 39

11th October 2024

IN THIS ISSUE

Travel Report By Arnis Luks	41
I Thought Discrimination Was a Dirty Word By Neville Archibald	44
An Enormous Apparatus of Persuasion By Will Waite	47

Thought For The Week: A majority of councillors in Port Hedland, in WA's north-west, have voted in favour of a motion calling for an "immediate suspension" of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines... The motion cited unverified claims that Pfizer and Moderna vaccines damage people's DNA.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-10-14/wa-council-port-hedland-approves-anti-covid-vaccine-motion/104471064>

Travel Report By Arnis Luks

Having completed the east coast tour of more than five weeks duration, and having traveled nearly 10,000 km, I make the following report.

Many have asked "what to do next?"

Port Headland Council have voted to expose DNA Contamination in mRNA vaccines...https://oxgmcxo.substack.com/p/breaking-news-port-hedland-council?publication_id=1993254&post_id=150158677&isFreemail=true&r=wmnxm&triedRedirect=true

In the United States of America, a Californian court finds that there is an unreasonable risk of injury from the current levels of fluoride injected into the public water supply, allowing US citizens to petition the Environmental Protection Agency to go back to the beginning from which the neurotoxin 'fluoride' was originally introduced into the water supply...

<https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.cand.310380/gov.uscourts.cand.310380.445.0.pdf>

Both of these vitally important issues are ample justification for a militant citizenry to bring the bureaucracy and the government into account. However, if another Canberra demonstration was held, and if over 1 million people attended from around Australia, that in itself will not cause any change of government policy. Nor if we vote this government out of office at the next election, in itself will not cause a change of government policy. The French 'Yellow Vests' have been demonstrating in their streets for over six years with no change in

their imposed bureaucratic policy. Demonstrations and voting of themselves are insufficient mechanisms. We must find other mechanisms to achieve relief from this bureaucratic initiated tyranny.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-10-14/wa-council-port-hedland-approves-anti-covid-vaccine-motion/104471064>

The mainstream media is making 'light' of these issues, as did the West Australian Premier's - 'stick to knitting' comment to that local government council over the jab and increased and sudden morbidities. We must find other mechanisms.

The impertinence of the bureaucracy and our elected representatives towards these issues is quite telling. The gloves now appear to be off, and it is time to play hardball against any expressions of 'the will of the people'. This phenomenon is an opportunity to gauge those who are 'managed dissent', OR, 'the controlled opposition' to readily separate the 'real wheat' from the 'pretending chaff'.

This battle is of a spiritual nature - influencing public perception of what is, in fact and deed, our ancient rights and freedoms to control our own bodily autonomy. Should the Premier of Western Australia have the authority to impose a medical procedure on the entire population or not? He seems to think in no uncertain terms, he should, at the behest mind you, of big Pharma - being selected rather than elected.

No progress For Aboriginals Since The Voice Vote

<https://www.skynews.com.au/australia-news/politics/move-over-senator-jacinta-price-scolds-labor-counterpart-for-making-no-progress-one-year-after-failed-voice-referendum/news-story/6d8b980cfa7a306f5d8c4bae1725d156>

This report is another point of departure from the correct 'rules of association', of the devolution of illegitimate power currently being vested in the remote bureaucrat rather than placed at the feet of the individual where it rightfully belongs. Even with the likes of that honourable woman Sen Jacinta Price administering Aboriginal policy from Canberra, the policy outcome will equally be just another disaster.

The well-intentioned bureaucrat administering these 'centralised-policies' is the major contributing factor towards disaster. Whether it be medical intervention of fluoride into the water supply, or the jab mandated for all, or some other perceived anomaly like Aboriginal issues within the local communities, the natural law of devolution overrules human thought, even well-intentioned thought.

This natural law is that the individual is given a free will, and while assistance from the local official may be required, whether it be a doctor or a social worker working with those individuals in community, both will come to a far superior answer-locally and being better suited for each individual case. The rules of association transcend human thinking and can be defined just as readily as the rules of bridge-building; and departure from each of these natural laws equally disastrous.

Devolution is the statutory delegation of powers from the central government to govern at a subnational level, such as a regional or local level.

It is a form of administrative decentralization.

The 1967 Referenda to place Aboriginal policy into the hands of our Federal Government located in Canberra have failed those practicing traditional-Aboriginal-custom, and will never achieve any real improvement even under Sen Jacinta Price's nurturing hand. The Natural Law of Devolution cannot change, even with

the very best of intentions from the Utopianist - the natural law of 'devolution', to be placed at the lowest-levels-possible - must be upheld to avoid a political tyranny while evading or avoiding personal accountability for both, the individual and the administering bureaucrat. Aboriginal affairs, just as with personal health, are equally-reflected within the same natural law of devolution.

Nationalised Medicine and the NDIS National Disability Insurance Scheme

I originally took out medical insurance some 48 years ago, which lasted for only one year. In that time a child was born, and a minor operation was performed. I then did the maths and found that the insurance premiums paid-over broke even with the medical bills incurred. From that point onwards I have never taken out medical insurance. Nor have I paid hospital insurance, even to my financial detriment. The principal of national health, to my mind, is and always has been wrong.

I know there are arguments about not being able to afford significant medical expenses should that ever occur, but my counter to this approach is that a cavalier attitude to incurring medical expenses, coupled with the imposition of mandated jobs and neurotoxins injected into the water supply are the end positions of this policy. As the legitimate alternative to a neurotoxin being injected into our water supply, my household collects rainwater and has sunk a bore, rather than inflict the neurotoxin.

We make it our personal business to eat healthily, to seek out our own medical information from a variety of sources, and to practice (just like a doctor practices), a personal and tailored approach to our own health and well-being. This does not eliminate medical issues but does maintain a vitally important personal perspective. In summary, we hold ourselves personally responsible for our own health.

Free, Moral, and Responsible

It is the overzealous bureaucratic intrusion into our personal well-being that is at issue, not the other way around. Had the Premier minded his own (health) business instead of defending the product (without any personal recommendation towards a Royal Commission into Adverse Events and Morbidity), the Council of Port Hedland would have been given a legitimate 'platform for redress' against untimely deaths. The MSM protects its own source of revenue, just as the bureaucracy does as well - unaccountably. Would that they be held to account for policy decisions, then perhaps there may have been a little more prudence in their zealotry.

Many Have Asked 'what to do next?'

Utilising the correct 'Rules of Association', support every candidate, aspiring or otherwise - to voice this principal, that if anything can be done locally (to the benefit of the individual against bureaucratic tyranny), then this is a suitable policy-pursuit and needs to be followed. Premiers and bureaucrats alike, are all subject as we also are, to all natural law, with divergence from any natural law just as catastrophic.

Should there be a pod-caster willing, or a pamphleteer, or a writer, or a convener of public meetings willing and able to discuss these and other issues, use every available opportunity to bring into the public's consciousness this natural law, that: what should be effectively dealt with at a lower level, must be done so. ***

I Thought Discrimination Was a Dirty Word By Neville Archibald

The politically correct among us would have you believing that discrimination is a dirty word. In the next breath they are telling you that the information you are allowed access to is going to be selected for you. They then do the discriminating, making the distinctions for us of what is right and what is wrong. They will throw out the inappropriate material. We know they already do in many cases, just by not including it. If they have something to hide, what could be better than restricting it. We have seen what damage the Freedom of Information Act has done to corrupt actions, are they frightened and looking for another way to hide stuff? Why?

Not many people know of Otto and Gregor Strasser, even fewer know anything close to the real story. Their story is a unique one, part of the German government at the time of Hitler's rise to power, they opposed him, to the point that Gregor was murdered and Otto had to flee. It is an important story in itself, as it shows that there were people in power in the western world (supposedly against the rise of Hitler) who played a different part than you would expect, and they would rather you didn't know it. The memory hole, into which much of this type of information disappears, swallows what would otherwise reveal that their preferred theory of history, the accidental, is really a planned theory of history. In other words, that everything happens for a reason.

I had a play around with Chat GP, which is an AI (Artificial Intelligence) to whom you give basic instructions. It then writes an article for you. I must say it does write something, admirable attempts even, and for listing dot points or a how-to manual, it would make a good start. I asked Chat GP to write something about the Strassers, and got a cobbled version that was at odds with what I have read and found out about them between the wars and after the rise of Hitler. The repository of knowledge that it takes it's facts from is obviously limited by what is in it's library. I must say, like all computers, it has the same limitations. Garbage in equals Garbage out.

Like a computer our society is no different. If the information fed to it is wrong or faulty, then the outcome will be influenced at best, wrong, and faulty at worst. The arbiters of what is the "truth" and what is potential "misinformation", would be happy to select that which agrees with their intentions. This is the danger we are now facing.

This garbage in, garbage out, theory is also influenced by what garbage is allowed. Which information is considered mis or dis or mal. The memory hole of history, into which much that is "undesirable" is dumped, is akin to Hitler's book burning. Ray Bradbury, wrote about book burning and it's consequences in his Novel, *Fahrenheit 451*. He said, 'You don't have to burn books to destroy a culture. Just get people to stop reading them.' In the same way, if we do not have them to read, we cannot use them. Like glasses they help us to see the truth of what has gone before or might come again. This focus on controlling our ability to access information is being

done, by both people with the intention to rule over us and by those who just follow their orders. It is important to realize this and to identify if you are inadvertently one of them, or you know someone who is. When we allow others in our community to implement these restrictions and we do not point out to them the damage they are inflicting on us, we are enabling them. We are helping them to get away with it, helping them to justify their behaviour. Allowing our culture to be destroyed. This of course has been done before.

Otto, served in the first world war, witnessed and was subject to some of it's atrocities. On the German side, he fought with vigour for his vision of Germany (an interesting one to read compared to what you may believe). He encountered something that we too suffered from. Non-Commissioned officers! At the time a difference that showed itself in the ill treatment of those under them, who were expendable.)

“Strasser was passionately a soldier at heart, but regards the non-commissioned-officers of that day as the most repulsive beings he has known. Among the 300 men in his unit were some 180 students, and the non-commissioned-officers vented their especial spleen on these in ways which left him with an ineradicable loathing of a class of man now best represented among the senior Brown Army commanders.” Douglas Reed, *Nemesis* page 34-35

(We saw this with the senseless “over the top or be shot” style of leadership that so many Aussie diggers rebelled against. This is what led to the making of a “larrikin” spirit and a defying of pompous authority that Diggers became famous for.) Towards the end of the first war there was a large distinction in Germany, between the types of officers, those who rose up through and were accepted by the ranks, and those whose treatment of soldiers under them became the template for the SS and Hitler's brown shirts. This latter group were despised by the true leaders of the time and many of these true leaders would be identified and neutralised by Hitler during his coming to power. By winnowing his followers into that category, Hitler's will was maintained and furthered. They could get away with murder, as they did!

Otto And Gregor both found this out. Gregor was murdered by Hitler's minions and Otto fled but continued to fight, against what he saw as the worst of Germany as it rose. For this, his story has been effectively dropped down the memory hole. Imprisoned for a time in Ottawa, Canada, when seeking help to overthrow Hitler, the ruling powers in the west at that time did little to help and more to hinder him. More importantly, the lessons of what he saw, went with him but for Reed's books.

Douglas Reed, was often condemned for writing about the things he saw, and was largely ignored by politicians leading up to the second world war even though he was a foreign correspondent in Europe. Having fought in the first war and then made a career in journalism between the wars, he had his finger on the pulse of what was going on. His interviews with many important leaders, Royalty and some of the major players in greater Europe meant he could anticipate what was coming. He interviewed Otto and Gregor, the Chancellor before Hitler and others.

His warnings went unheeded and the result was what we all know as the second world war.

In his third book, in the very first chapters, he equates and compares Otto's experiences with those he saw happening in England as the second war was beginning. We must read this and ask ourselves is this happening again now? Instead of the rise of Nazism or fascism or any other regime we are familiar with, replace it with globalisation, the WEF (World Economic Forum) and their young leaders programs. Think carefully, don't accept my premise.

The callous and immoral who became the SS and Brown shirts in Hitler's day, I see as similar to those among us now, willingly enacting and enforcing the laws that violate our freedoms and the moral fabric of our society. If you think this is too harsh, consider carefully the end result we will see if it continues the way it is going.

“Many writers have shown that the events leading to this war, and the war itself, could be exactly foretold: it was their trade, and they were as well able to do this as a doctor is able, from specific symptoms, to foretell the course of some diseases; and Lord Halifax, though he expressed in this phrase the average state of mind of many Britishers, only clothed a fallacy in words that sounded convincing when he once said 'We distrust people who forecast precisely the course of coming events'. This is a useful phrase to justify procrastination and non-exertion, nothing more.”

Douglas Reed, *Nemesis*. Page 9

“I could not understand the way the country, on the one hand, passively allowed itself to drift towards an avoidable war, and, on the other hand, permitted an enormous influx of unassimilable aliens whose intention clearly was, when that war came, to burrow into the places vacated by the young men of Britain who would again be sent to fight.

Already, the state of England after the war that loomed ahead was full of menacing shadows, but there seemed as little hope of awakening public opinion to these dangers as there had been of awakening it to the oncoming peril of war itself. The things that were best in England were being buried under an imported, alien way of life and way of thinking that made itself ever more master of literature and the Press, the stage and the films, radio and the menu, art, parliamentary debates - everything.

We were going to war again to keep England's shores inviolate, and at the same time we were opening these shores to an alien influx the like of which they had never seen. Maddest of all, the craziest thing that I ever saw even in the madhouse Insanity Fair, we were about to give these newcomers preferential treatment in our own land over the country's own sons; they were to be put into posts liberated by the young men who went off to war, and at the price of 'joining-up' themselves they could even acquire British citizenship - but the condition of that 'joining-up', set out in black and white, was that they never should be sent to the front! Their lives were to be preserved at all cost, so that they could live

in peace and prosperity in England after the war; and simultaneously the lives of young Englishmen were once more to be squandered.”

Douglas Reed, *Nemesis*. Pg 17-18

There will be those who condemn Reed for his words, and relate it to race hatred, without once ever examining it for what it was. A man being out of his country for years and coming back to find a strange land, with different concepts of what is right. I too see this distinction in our countries of the west. Once proud bastions for freedom and for the individual to pursue their own form of independence, we now are subject to the laws of others who seem to know only how to repress and control us, for their own ends. And we are accepting of it.

Who among you wish for global control that benefits only the wealthiest and the most power-hungry? Who of you are doing their bidding, “just following orders” and who among you are recognising this out of control group of people yet still voting them into power, federal, state or local. If we allow the removal of facts our leaders don’t like, or consider bad for us, if we continue to let the political manipulation of the words we use influence us, then as communities, we will become corrupted. We will lose the ability to see the truth in what is happening around us.

It is our job to hold the line, to draw the line, to say enough! The metaphorical Brown Shirts in our society will end up enabling a tyranny whose intentions are no better than any other dictatorship, no matter what name they place on it. It is not “toxic masculinity” or “right wing” or any of those other labels they are so fond of using, to stand firm in your beliefs, your vision for what Australia should look like. It is your home too, you have a say in what you want to see. We still out number them at the ballot box, (*or anywhere else-ed*) if we are willing to do so. ***

An Enormous Apparatus of Persuasion By Will Waite

“satisfy your greed, satisfy your sensuality, that is the purpose of life.”

I have wondered how to follow last week’s post about ours being a culture of idolators. For Christmas this year my Mum gave me a collection of articles, interviews and sermons by Malcolm Muggeridge that centre on his thoughts regarding faith. ¹ In it I found the following excerpt from a sermon he delivered in 1967 which I think fits nicely with what I was trying to say.

For those of you who don’t know who Malcolm Muggeridge was, if he is remembered at all, he is not remembered as a preacher. Among other things he was a journalist, in one form or another, for more than 40 years. In 1933, reporting for the *Manchester Guardian* and without political permission, he travelled to the Ukraine and broke the story about the systematic starvation of the Ukrainian people by the Stalin regime. He later featured in a documentary about the Holodomor in which some 7 million Ukrainians were starved to death. You can watch it here on Rumble, or, if you’re happy to provide an ID, a photo of yourself or a credit card (it won’t be charged) to verify your age, on YouTube.

It is Muggeridge's experience in journalism which gives him special authority on the "Frankenstein monster" which is our "enormous apparatus of persuasion." It was a freak when Muggeridge spoke these words in 1967, it is even more so today. As an example I would draw your attention to the discoveries made by Robert Epstein, concerning what big tech has been up to. Epstein's program, *America's Digital Shield*, has collected and analysed data from more than a hundred million "ephemeral experiences" exposing systematic political manipulation and "indoctrination of our children." Ephemeral experiences are the fleeting, unsolicited suggestions ubiquitously entwined in the online experience. They include search results, predictive text, go-vote reminders and video recommendations. Among Epstein's startling discoveries is a direct and quantifiable link between big tech bias and how people vote. Though even more disturbing is what these ephemeral experiences are exposing our kids to. YouTube recommends highly sexualised and violent material to children; and the reason? "It's titillating and highly addictive,"² says Epstein.

It's interesting to see what YouTube does not require age verification for. Here is a sample of what they are recommending to children from Epstein's, *America's Digital Shield*.³

This snip from his conversation with Rogan discusses the sneakiness and sophistication of big tech's methods of addicting children to pornography and violence through "ephemeral experiences." Epstein is "Speaker 3"⁴:

Speaker 3: The key, though, is if you scroll along the bottom of the image, you'll see this graph that kind of shows you where people watch the most. And the reason why parents generally are not aware of this is because a lot of these gruesome things are very, very quick.

There very quick. But you'll find very often a peak there, you know, because that's what's drawing a lot of attention. That's what the kids are playing over and over again. And that's what leads to the addiction.

Joe Rogan: so the reason why they are suggesting these images to kids is because they know if the kids click on them, they're going to get more engagement.

Speaker 3: It's this, yes. And so the number one variable for profitability is called watch time. So engagement, whatever you want to call it. Yeah, this is one of the ways that they addict people now, I'm sure you've heard of Tristen Harris. Maybe he's been a guest.

The freakishness of this "apparatus of persuasion" and the economic lunacy that it was created to support has proceeded unabated since these comments by Muggeridge. It's a misery machine and its feeding on our children. The utopian dream is turning into (a-ed) nightmare and if the waning welfare of our kids won't wake you up, I despair that nothing will. Though the techniques have changed

somewhat the message has stayed the same “Satisfy your greed, satisfy your sensuality, that is the purpose of your life.” That is the lie of materialism.

Without further ado I give you this excerpt from Malcolm Muggeridge’s sermon, *Am I a Christian?* delivered at Great St. Mary’s Church in 1967.

I would suggest to you that Western Man has for the last hundred and fifty years lived through a period of utopianism, collective utopianism, that, from the time of Darwin particularly, he has believed that it’s possible to construct for himself a Kingdom of Heaven on earth. When I was young, we believed that that Kingdom of Heaven on earth had been constructed in the USSR. There are those good earnest people who believed that that Kingdom of Heaven on earth could be constructed by means of a Welfare State through the Labour Party. (I would hope and believe that the present Prime Minister has effectively put paid to [ended] those hopes!) The people who crossed the Atlantic to America went with the idea that they were going to find a Kingdom of Heaven on earth in America.

Now what has happened, it seems to me, is that these utopian hopes — and it was perfectly human that they should have been entertained — have been completely demolished, and we are confronted with a sort of emptiness. The very material success of our world adds to that effect. We have everything that we want materially, and it ought to make us happy, but for some reason, it doesn’t. It should be the case that the places where all these material things are most available, and where the pursuit of happiness (that most absurd and ironical phrase) is most ardently undertaken, should also be the places where human beings are most happy and most purposive and most zealous in their lives; and in fact it’s not so. Something has gone wrong. It hasn’t worked. The idea that human beings can achieve fulfillment on earth by satisfying their fleshly appetites and their egotistic impulses has simply not worked, and where it’s most possible to satisfy them is precisely where it’s worked least. This situation is of course enormously intensified by virtue of the fact that, at the same time, we have created like a Frankenstein monster an enormous apparatus of persuasion such as has never before been known on earth.

Now I’ve spent the last forty years working in this apparatus, and I know exactly how it works. I know the people who operate it and the aims it pursues; and what is the effect? The effect is simply this, that it says to those whom it influences — and its power is fantastic — it says to them in effect, ‘Satisfy your greed, satisfy your sensuality, that is the purpose of life.’ You have a situation which is so fantastic that it would be difficult to believe in it if one didn’t know it existed, and which posterity will certainly find difficulty in believing in, if there is any posterity. You have in a small area of the world an economic system which only works in so far as it constantly increases its gross national product. This is our golden calf, and year by year it must get bigger. In order that its getting bigger shouldn’t create chaos, people must constantly consume more and want more, so that we must dedicate some of our most brilliant talents and a huge proportion of

our wealth to making them want what they don't want. It's the most extraordinary state of affairs. At the same time, while this is going on this part of the world, in another part of the world people are getting poorer and poorer and hungrier and hungrier.

When I was in Detroit, Mr Reuther said to me that every year they must sell nine million new automobiles in the United States or the place goes bust. Imagine it, you must persuade nine million people to want a new automobile in order to survive. This is a completely crazy situation, and the sense of its craziness is precisely what is creating in human beings so tremendous a spiritual hunger. They know that it's not true that if you satisfy all people's material and physical wants you will make them serene and happy. They know that it's not working out, and so this produces in them a sense of total lostness and bewilderment. It seems to me absolutely clear that either they must recover a sense of what those early Christians had when they too found themselves in a world which was running into destruction and ruin, or the process goes on and produces a catastrophe.

1 *Muggeridge, M. Collection* edited by Kuhne C. 2005. *Seeing Through the Eye*: Malcolm Muggeridge on Faith. Ignatius Press, San Francisco.

2 The Joe Rogan Experience. 2024. #2201 Robert Epstein. Transcript available at: <https://podcasts.musixmatch.com/podcast/the-joe-rogan-experience-01hp4c6gdxz064yk1cyc1qym1k/episode/2201-robert-epstein-01j7mcx2178gm74fvdd5vezy95>

3 America's Digital Shield. Available at: <https://americasdigitalshield.com/>

4 The Joe Rogan Experience. 2024. #2201 Robert Epstein. Transcript available at: <https://podcasts.musixmatch.com/podcast/the-joe-rogan-experience-01hp4c6gdxz064yk1cyc1qym1k/episode/2201-robert-epstein-01j7mcx2178gm74fvdd5vezy95>

BASIC FUND

The Basic Fund closes shortly, which will be on October 30th for this year. I am making a special call to all those who have planned to make a donation but maybe have over-looked doing so.

The fund has not filled this year so it will be wonderful if we can receive those last minute donations. As always, we appreciate the contributions, no matter how large or small. Each donation is really a vote of thanks for the work of the League and a tribute to the dedicated work of those in the 'engine room'.

Please note the appropriate address and/or banking details in the advert on the following pages to send a contribution.

BEQUESTS

Apart from the Basic Fund, the League is also a recipient of bequests from supporters who remember us in their Will. These extra dollars help a lot and while we are grateful, it is unfortunate that on those occasions we are unable to personally express our thanks.

Best details for establishing a bequest are available from Head Office. - ND

On Target Subscription PAYMENT Details

Post POSTAGE and PAYMENT Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

On Target Subscription PAYMENT Details

Post POSTAGE and PAYMENT Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an
Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals -
printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

On Target Subscription POSTAGE Details

Post POSTAGE and PAYMENT Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

On Target Subscription POSTAGE Details

Post POSTAGE and PAYMENT Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an
Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals -
printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the
Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.