

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 60 No. 44

15th November 2024

IN THIS ISSUE

Response Response Response By Neville Archibald	1
Is the Word Enough? By Eric D. Butler (<i>circa - 1983</i>)	7

Response response response By Neville Archibald

Like the old Irish joke that ends in, ‘to be sure, to be sure, to be sure’. The “Commonwealth Government COVID-19 Response Inquiry Report” goes over the same ground more than once. It’s nine pillars of response and twenty-six actions are repeated throughout, with various reasoning’s for them. It does not apportion blame or hold the state and territory governments to account. Rather it follows it’s terms of reference very well.

At the outset of this attempt to make sense of the report, I feared I would be drowned in the words. At 358,000 odd words and some 902 pages it appeared to be a herculean task. Was I up to it?

Doubtless there will be those who will claim I missed something, or interpreted some comments wrong. When confronted by that many characters, on that many pages, indeed I will concede that I may well have done so. So instead of looking at what is in the report I began to look at what was not.

It is clearly spelt out in the terms of reference, that the report is to be a lessons learned and how to do it better next time document, “: *not to ‘fix’ the actions taken during the last pandemic, or deride the decisions that were made, but rather to harness the innovations that helped us and identify ways to maximise the success of our response whilst ensuring it is proportional to the threat.*” p 9.(my underline, mentioned later)

They go over much the same ground as has been included in the “Official Narrative” all through the pandemic. The most contentious of the disagreements have been largely left out. Was the World’s biggest drug trial a success? What were the results of the novel new approach to “vaccine” manufacture, such that the definition of a vaccine had to be altered to include it? Does it stand up to peer reviewed scrutiny? What were the results of the double blind tests?

None of the scrutiny that was demanded on already existing drugs (to be repurposed to help), has been seen for this new technology.

The body of questions coming forward in the aftermath includes some very chilling ones and these are not even close to being resolved in the minds of more and more people (and Scientists) world-wide. We must ask why? No, the boundaries of the response do not cover this, despite the many and ever increasing studies linking questions of injury and ongoing raised excess deaths to the vaccine and booster roll-outs. It is not just “conspiracy” that is fuelling this clamour for truth, but recognition that all is still not well, coming up to four years on. See Dr John Campbell, Outrageous excess deaths.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kd99uVOMWEk>

Instead the report focuses on lack of trust in government and science as a priority, but does not equate this to anything other than disunity among politicians and bureaucrats. If only we had been united in our approach, had the same story to tell, people would not have gone looking elsewhere for information.

Is this the one ring to control them all? This push for a Misinformation Bill, this push for an over-riding single entity department of CDC or the like (that went well in America, under Fauci and NIAID), the “brook no response” to any story but our own, no matter who is questioning it.

The “how to do it better” approach is admirable, but if based on faulty information in the first place it can never be better! To prove a point I will mention the above “proportional to the threat” comment that appears a number of times throughout the document. Much of the data included, promotes the high risk of death from COVID, and shows, by computer modelling, how it would have been so much worse if we reacted like other countries. The threat is large, so the response should be large, even if the threat is really only a predicted one.

On page 288 under 3.3.1.Data and vaccine effectiveness. We are treated to reasons why it could have been much worse. I always enjoy that scenario, where if we hadn’t done something, we project out to “this is how bad it could have been”, a difficult call at the best of times given the large range of variabilities involved.

“Independent research shows that unvaccinated individuals aged 50 and over had 11.2 times greater mortality rate than those who were fully vaccinated with two doses and received a booster dose.ⁱ It is estimated that the vaccine rollout saved 21,250 lives in New South Wales alone. Without the vaccine rollout, six times as many people in New South Wales would have died.”ⁱⁱ”

The footnotes lead to a study by: L Lin, H Demirhan, SP Johnstone-Robertson et al., ‘Assessing the impact of Australia’s mass vaccination campaigns over the Delta and Omicron outbreaks’

Included in the above study are the words:

“Based on the limited data available on deaths and vaccination coverage, and using a data-driven modelling approach similar to recent studies in the literature [9–12], in this paper, we sought to answer questions evaluating the success or failure of the Australian vaccination program.” and “Access to full official Australian government datasets was not possible despite extensive efforts.”

Both of these points within the above document do not inspire my confidence. I am sure the science is convincing to some, but as they used data from NSW which I remember at the time as being contested (it was said that people were being recorded as COVID deaths who were actually dying from other problems also the financial incentives that were associated with reporting COVID numbers, were inflating the real figures, to my knowledge this has never been suitably addressed or the figures reviewed). To then model from this data, can only lead to problems. Much of the debate around deaths and actual COVID infection numbers is pointing to severe inaccuracies in records the world over. Such was the clamour to scare people into accepting loss of freedoms over this period that all sorts of “incentives” were used. The original two weeks to flatten the curve, turned into overwhelmed hospitals and pictures of people struggling to breathe. The truth was often different. Not to mention the misuse of PCR testing as the primary indication of COVID numbers. The designer of this form of testing came out early in the pandemic and decried it’s use in this manner. He was ignored and asymptomatic COVID became the norm, despite this being, like the vaccine, a new and novel interpretation. This primary source of information, must be accurate for any conclusions to come from it. Not raising these questions at all, goes further to create mistrust in Governing bodies. The whole Pandemic analysis grinds to a halt if the figures used in examining it are rubbery.

They then go on to compare us with Canada and suggest that this modelled data (by a different group - “Our world in Data”) projects out, to show our death rate would have been up to eight times higher. <https://ourworldindata.org/> provided a number of statistical reports including some that showed the above, “how bad it could have been”. An interesting point here is they are also mentioned in an article in the BMJ (British Medical Journal) brought up, again by Dr John Campbell, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jndykWR90-c&t=18s> where the following comments are made: *“Insight into excess death rates in years following WHO’s pandemic declaration is crucial for government leaders and policymakers to evaluate their health crisis policies.” “Although COVID-19 vaccines were provided to guard civilians from suffering morbidity and mortality by the COVID-19 virus, suspected adverse events have been documented as well” “Excess mortality has remained high in the Western World for three consecutive years, despite the implementation of containment measures and COVID-19 vaccines. This raises serious concerns.”*

“French studies suggest that COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are gene therapy products requiring long-term stringent adverse events monitoring”.

Do these comments not raise questions of significance regarding our future pandemic responses, more so than whether our bureaucrats can agree on a story to tell? Where is this mentioned? Did I miss it? Can these projected figures continue to justify the use of this technology, past the emergency powers use, being lifted?

There are a number of other points I would contest in some of studies used throughout the report’s 4,646 footnotes. I am sure many are as sound as they can be considering the figures they have to work with. Many are from the very government departments that are a part of the inquiry or from the WHO (World Health Organisation) and comment on how bad it could have been, how do they successfully include seasonal differences between northern and southern hemispheres and differences in populations. The criteria for recorded information also varied greatly and a lot of the projected possibilities were just that, projected.

In discussing vaccine harms, the word rare and very rare are used as if they are no different to any other vaccine. In data obtained from VAERS and FAERS reporting systems, numbers of deaths needed for major recalls of a drug/vaccine are looked at. The number associated with the novel mRNA vaccines are orders of magnitude higher than ever before needed to stop or recall a drug, yet we have not seen this. They are still pushing boosters!

See Dr John Campbell Pharmaceutical product recall 9th Nov 2024.

<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/09246479241292008>

The next and most major issue I have is the willingness to roll-out another vaccine at the same speed despite the lack of trial data and it’s critical examination. We must remember that this was an emergence use only, trial therapeutical. Issued only under emergency powers! The dust has not yet settled and we are still pushing boosters, without providing for the many questions being raised about it: it’s effectiveness, it’s safety, and it’s long term outcomes. We are already seeing the rise of 14 – 40 year old males with heart conditions, in numbers not seen before. Turbo cancers, especially colorectal cancers, that cannot be explained as “missed screening” due to the age groups they are appearing in.

See Dr John Campbell. Turbo cancers with dr James Royle. UK surgeon.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1AHJc8D-7Vg>

These are presenting at stage 3 or 4 where they have metastasised in numerous places rather than just the usual one, as would normally be the case. These are not, one off, isolated cases, there are many doctors seeing abnormalities who are still afraid to speak out, such is the heavy hand of those who prevented speaking them out during the pandemic. Many more, are speaking out, but not being listened to. Ignoring these startling medical concerns would not normally happen. Tests would be run and a determining factor sought, not so today, post vaccine. It would be a fairly simple thing to autopsy and search for the reasons, but as with the bodies

during COVID it was not done. In another example, despite a phenomena of long calamari-like white clots in blood vessels being noted for the first time ever while embalming, there is total silence on this front too.

See New disease, Dr John Campbell. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wwdRfbPrGIY>

The report seems to be more concerned with rebuilding trust in government and ensuring a common message and response is used next time, than it is on whether the vaccine was safe and effective and worth all the injuries and deaths attributed to it. This report is, as many papers have called it, a whitewash; but then, by it's terms of reference, why am I not surprised.

Those of us who continue to question the mRNA technology and it's impacts, we are labelled as deniers, anti-vaxxers, or of a low socioeconomic, low educational standard or armchair experts. Professionals are said to be "outside their area of expertise". The general derogatory tone to anyone providing contradictory evidence or advice goes a long way to describe the intention of how they wish to rebuild trust in government. Just have a better story and keep in unity and all will be well.

As for the newspapers themselves, the numerous articles that came out on the Wednesday following it's release, very few did anything other than raise questions of the appropriateness, lock-downs and general population control. There was little mention of vaccine mandates or vaccine damage. If there was mention of the dangers of continuing on with mRNA technology, it must have been very small. I combed the papers for some real questioning but got a picture of people looking for slightly more appropriate controls, rather than the heavy handed approach we got. The two steps forward, one step back, approach of Marxism continues when the root causes are ignored.

What are the reasons behind this seeming blindness to address the new mRNA technology concerns? An article in: *The Age* dated November 13, on page 1, entitled, Probe into Coalition vaccine deal.

"Australia's audit office will investigate the Morrison government's \$2 billion deal with US pharmaceutical giant Moderna to set up vaccine manufacturing here," "MRNA vaccines came of age during the COVID-19 pandemic, when jabs manufactured by Pfizer and Moderna proved close to 95 per cent effective at preventing symptomatic infection, far better than vaccines made using traditional techniques. Scientists heralded those results as the dawn of a new age of vaccine technology, with pharma companies now racing to turn mRNA against other infectious diseases – even cancer."

The article goes on to question the deals made, thus the reason for an audit I guess. With many prominent political figures mentioned, who are still in politics, my concerns are what links might be found and will there be conflicts of interest discovered? The Vaccines proved to be a very financially rewarding investment for the pharmaceutical companies, is the promise of more, influencing any of our politicians involved? Is that the reason we are not seeing better investigation into

vaccine safety?

Apart from that, it scares me that the first quotes above show an acceptance of this new technology to the point where the expectation is to use it everywhere, for everything. What happened to caution? Has the “emergency use only” been conveniently forgotten? Do our reporters have such short memories as to the reasons we test drugs long term? Maybe they have just forgotten about this in their haste to be excited by a scandal or perhaps they are still just hyped up from all the pandemic stories.

I pray for the clamour of accountability to occur, for a realistic inquiry into the “vaccine” trial itself and it’s outcomes, before they do it all over again. Do we want to be pincushions for those who think they have this new technology and it’s profits sewn up for good – or in this case, in my opinion, for the bad! We need to get fully behind those raising these questions and urge many more to join in, why are these things not being addressed.

Russell Broadbent MP, has been trying to get some answers, as have others in Canberra. In one letter written to the prime minister he is, “*outlining the implications of DNA contamination found in Australian covid-19 vaccine vials.*” This is his second letter asking for answers. “*I again urged the Prime Minister to immediately suspend the rollout pending an urgent investigation – one which allows for free and open scientific discourse to help uncover the true facts.*” we need to get behind this push. See Russell’s letter below.

https://www.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=936763788259887&id=100057788240048&_rdr

Put the heat on the Prime minister for an answer, on all of your representatives, Nation wide, State wide and even follow the example of Port Hedland Council in asking for suspension of these “vaccines” until a proper and complete study is undertaken. https://www.porthedland.wa.gov.au/council-meetings/special-council-meetings/special-council-meeting-11-october-2024/247/documents/attachments_scm_11102024.pdf

Until we all make enough noise and put enough pressure on them, our politicians will continue to ignore us.

(I have included links to Dr John Campbell’s YouTube presentations here, not because he is the best or only one, but the fact that he provides links to all he talks about. These links are to legitimate studies and are discussed in point form usually, giving a clear picture of why he believes as he does. He also explains medical terminology as he goes so it remains easy to follow. There are many other researchers and scientists out there doing similar things. Do not allow yourself to be spoon-fed or as the government would have you, reliant on only them for your information. Science is never settled, it is an ongoing investigation into reality, as facts change so must the reasons behind them.) ***

i L Lin, H Demirhan, SP Johnstone-Robertson et al., ‘Assessing the impact of Australia’s mass vaccination campaigns over the Delta and Omicron outbreaks’, PLoS One, 2024, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0299844.

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0299844>

ii Lin et al., ‘Assessing the impact of Australia’s mass vaccination campaigns over the Delta and Omicron outbreaks’. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0299844>

IS THE WORD ENOUGH? By Eric D. Butler (*circa - 1983*)

There is an old saying that even the Devil can quote Scripture in his own interest. Many Christians do likewise, engaging in such earnest controversy about words that they obscure the fact that words are man-evolved symbols for the purpose of reflecting reality in a manner which enables the individual to make greater use of that Reality. Reality would have still existed even if language had never been developed.

Often there is such an unbalanced concentration upon words that attention is directed away from Truths which exist independently of words. Perhaps St. Paul had something like this in mind when he warned against “disputing about words”, which does no good, but only ruins the hearers.

Many years ago the American writer Stuart Chase wrote a best- seller entitled *The Tyranny of Words*, showing how the uncritical worship of words often results in a distortion of the Truth which the words should be used to reflect. Words are a means to an end, not an end in themselves.

Different word-symbols are used in different languages to describe the same Reality. For example, “*garcon*” is the French equivalent of “boy” in English. “*Dieu*” is the French symbol of what is “God” in English. Many Christians have tended to become slaves to the “tyranny of words”, following the example of the Rabbis at the time of Christ, who slavishly concentrated upon what was “written in law”, spent endless time in quibbling interpretations, fragmented into conflicting groups, with such undue concentration upon “the word” that there was no action. All symbols must be seen as but a means to describing Truth in such a way that it can be applied to serve man. The chalk used to write the formula concerning the law of gravity on the blackboard, does not fall to the floor because of the writing; it falls because of the reality of gravity itself, which always operates in the same way irrespective of how it might be described. The nature of water has not changed because man decided to use a formula, H₂O to describe it. The symbol merely reflected the discovery of the reality that water consists of two parts of hydrogen and one part of oxygen. Appropriate action may one day result in the hydrogen and the oxygen being separated and used in such a way that the hydrogen can drive motor cars.

A JUST RELATIONSHIP

The great Francis Bacon, one of the pioneers of modern science, appealed for the establishment of a just relationship between the mind and things. The correct use of word symbols, along with other symbols, is essential for such a relationship. The question is far from being merely academic.

The famous Chinese sage Confucius was once asked what he would do first if it was left to him to administer a country. Confucius surprised his listeners by saying that his first act would be to correct the language. When asked what had this to do

with the successful administration of a country, Confucius elaborated: *“If language is not correct, then what is said is not what is meant; if what is said is not what is meant, then what ought to be done remains undone; if this remains undone, morals and arts will deteriorate, justice will go astray; if justice goes astray the people will stand about in helpless confusion. Hence there must be no arbitrariness in what is said. This matter is above everything”*.

When there is a deliberate perversion of the meaning of word symbols, as practised by the Marxists with what can best be described as semantic sabotage, the results are even more disastrous. The Marxists use semantic sabotage as a tactic of warfare. “Peaceful co-existence” means one thing to a non- Communist. Communists and non-Communists agreeing to live together on the basis of friendly disagreement, but to the trained Marxist “peaceful co-existence” is a means of persuading the non-Communists to lower their defences against various types of Marxist attacks.

Large numbers of people today unthinkingly use the term “discrimination” in a derogatory manner, as a type of swear- word. The truth is that discrimination in one form or another is a natural law. Every form of life discriminates in favour of its own kind. The young man who prefers blondes discriminates against brunettes and redheads!

“A person of discrimination” was at one time used widely as a term of admiration. The traditional meaning of the word “gay” was rather different to the current use of the term to describe sodomy.

Much more important than the words used to describe Christianity are the Truths of Christianity.

Christ said, *“By their fruits ye shall know them”*. Not by what people say, but by what they do. St. James warned that *“faith without works is dead”*. Also, *“be doers of the word, and not hearers only, deceiving ourselves”*. Those who enter into never-ending disputation about words, who never put their expressed faith to the test of action, render Christianity a grave dis-service. Christ said He had come to *“fulfil the word”*, indicating that words should be regarded as a means to an end, not an end in themselves.

PROBLEMS OF HISTORY

All written history must be treated with caution as it inevitably reflects the viewpoint of the writer. For example, words are inadequate to describe a beautiful spring morning, which can only be fully appreciated by actually experiencing it. Words are of limited value in conveying spiritual truth. Again, actual experience is essential for an understanding.

What is termed insight is often very difficult to put into words. Artists are said to have a feeling for their subject, which they seek to express in their chosen medium. C.H. Douglas described true history as “crystallised politics”, policies of all kinds being a tangible expression of underlying beliefs concerning the nature of reality.

A realistic assessment of Christianity is only possible by a study of the results of nearly two thousand years of effort to implement policies rooted in the Truths which Christ revealed. It is significant that there is no record or suggestion that Christ felt that He should leave a written record of His life. Unlike a Dr. Johnson with a Boswell following him around everywhere recording faithfully every act and word, Christ was content to go around preaching and “doing good”.

The last verse of John tells us that “*there are also many other things which Jesus did; were everyone of them to be written, I suppose that the world itself could not obtain the books that could be written*”.

In the brief record provided by the four Gospels selected by the Early Christian Fathers as an authentic record of what Christ had revealed, there is no reference to English Common Law, Limited Constitutional Government, Trial by Jury and similar matters. But the Truths revealed by Christ, in the simplest of language, were the seeds which, when treated appropriately, grew into a completely different type of civilisation compared with any of the previous periods.

Seeds or acorns possess within themselves the potential to grow into beautiful flowers or a majestic oak tree. But that potential will never be realised unless the proper conditions are provided. In the field of human associations, appropriate action must be taken by individuals. The Word must be made Flesh.

The world into which God manifest Himself in the form of Christ was such that Christ had to speak the language of His day. He was addressing a simple, unsophisticated people. And so Christ also made extensive use of the technique of the Parable, the telling of a story which conveyed His message. Some of the world’s most famous “fairy stories” are, of course, just that - stories. But, like Hans Anderson’s famous story about the Emperor’s new clothes, they do convey great truths. Many professing Christians have failed to seek the Truths which the recorded words of Christ have sought to convey.

BIBLICAL TRANSLATIONS

Up until recent times, when there has been a number of new translations of *The New Testament* into what is termed modern English, English-speaking Christians might well have thought that Biblical characters all spoke in beautiful Elizabethan English. But as the student of Biblical history knows, it was the King James version of *The Bible*, produced by a committee of translators - perhaps the only committee in history to produce anything of real value! - which was used for so long throughout the English- speaking world.

While many were understandably upset by the change of style in the new translations, there was comparatively little concern about whether there had been any distortion of the original meaning of what Christ said. No serious student disagrees that the King James version of *The New Testament* was based upon a Greek text marred by a number of mistakes, containing the accumulated errors of fourteen centuries of manuscript copying. There are always problems with

translations and there have been many debates amongst students.

But these debates only highlight the importance of not becoming enslaved by the veneration of word symbols. Those enslaved by the worship of words are also enslaved by the type of rigidity imposed by the Pharisees. They ignore the importance of historical Christianity, the progressive growth of two thousand years and the prospects for still greater growth.

Christ specifically rejected the concept of bringing a **system** for man. Christ challenged the Pharisaical attempt to systematise every last detail of man's life. The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath. And what was the purpose and destiny of man? To know and to love God and his fellow man. But to know God requires freedom to discover God and God's truths. The truth shall make ye free, said Christ. But truth must be discovered. Tremendous technological developments are often described as the product of Science, now venerated as some type of new God, capable of providing an answer to all man's problems. But Science of itself produces nothing. Like Reason or Logic, Science is but a means of making use of God's Truths. Logic can be compared to a slide rule, only capable of producing the sum total of all the factors fed into it. The logic used concerning a subject may be perfect, but if all factors are not considered, the end result must be defective.

True Science is an orderly arranging of different aspects of Truth. But Truth must first be discovered. Truth is not created by the scientist or anyone else. It exists. But generally-used word symbols often obscure this fact. For example, the term "generating electricity" obscures the reality that electrical generators are really energy convertors, changing the form of energy, but not the energy itself. There are certain vital aspects of reality which cannot be adequately described or measured, but only experienced. There is no mathematical formula by which we can measure love or fear, or attempt to assess a work of art. And yet both love and fear are tremendous forces in human affairs. Fear is negative, destroying judgment. The Law of Love, as proclaimed by Christ, was a major factor in the development of a Christian Civilisation.

C.H. Douglas has described Integrity as the most irresistible of social forces, referring to it as "single-mindedness —the mind of a little child". We must become as little children, said Christ.

THE TEST OF TRUTH

The root meaning of religion is a binding back to what one believes to be real and true. The test of whether what one believes is in fact true, can only be measured in the field of action. The materialist who believes that there is no reality beyond matter, that which he can see or hear or measure, acts accordingly —with disastrous results.

The famous Jewish writer, Dr. Oscar Levy, said that the ideal is the enemy of the real. The idealist is one who is convinced that he knows what "ought to be". The Marxists are idealists. They believe that collective farming, with the individual

working for the common good “ought to” produce better results than where the individual “selfishly” works for himself.

The writer once had the experience of being told that the failure of collectivised farming in the Soviet Union was not because of collectivism, which was a “great ideal”, but because of the nature of the “stupid Russian peasants”. Like the Pharisees the Soviet planners attempt to force human nature into their neat blueprints. Christ taught that the Kingdom of God was within each individual, that all were children of God, and that freedom and personal responsibility were essential for the life more abundant.

There is a common saying that Christianity has failed and cannot therefore be true, because of the state of the world. If the state of the world were the result of applying what Christ taught, then it could be said that Christianity was not true. But where Christ’s teachings have been applied, the satisfactory results have been beyond all argument. Christ insisted that it was impossible to get figs from thistles, grapes from thorns or good fruit from bad trees. The realistic way to consider Christianity is by its fruits. And these fruits have been the result of works by individuals. Time and time again Christ stressed the importance of works as the ultimate test of the Truth He brought.

In St. John, Christ is quoted as saying that “...*the testimony which I have is greater than that of John; for the works which the Father has granted me to accomplish, these very works which I am doing, bear me witness that the Father has sent me.*”

The works, not the words, were the evidence of Christ’s divinity. Also in St. John we read, “*If I am not doing the works of my Father, then do not believe me, but if I do them, even though you do not believe me, believe the works, that you may know and understand that the Father is in me and I am in the Father*”.

Christ clearly did not believe that the word was enough, stating that the Jews hated Him because He came to “fulfil the word”.

THE WORD MADE FLESH

Peter said of Christ that “*He went about doing good*”. That is what the follower of Christ is also expected to do, seeking to create a Christ-centred Civilisation. Over nearly two thousand years there was an attempt to create such a Civilisation. Countless people not merely expressed their belief in Christ as the Son of God, but took appropriate action to give life and substance to the Truths to be found in Christ’s teachings. God’s Truths of themselves do not result in works. Faith can only move mountains if God’s resources and truths are used to build heavy earthmoving equipment. Christianity without the Doctrine of Incarnation - “the word was made flesh” —is a faith without works. Just as the truths of the laws concerning aerodynamics do not of themselves make planes fly, but must be applied with the building of planes in accordance with those laws, so must the Truths revealed by Christ be “made flesh”.

The central revelation by Christ was that every individual could start to seek the Kingdom of God, which could be found within, in the “here and now”. Every individual was unique and a child of God, and could make personal contact with Him. The individual was taught that he must also love his neighbour as himself. This teaching revolutionised the prevailing concept of the nature of God and man’s relationship to Him. It shattered the view of God which in essence was one of being in man’s own image. Not surprisingly, this type of God was often seen as bloodthirsty, a type of tribal deity who on occasions required sacrifices, one who also favoured those who had been “chosen”. Those supporting this concept of God visualised the appearance of some type of Messiah who would create a materialistic new order. The Pharisees and other exponents of this viewpoint were dismayed when Christ said that His Kingdom was not of this world, and that He had no intention of leading a physical rebellion against the Romans. Christ’s essential teachings were a complete repudiation of the religion of what came to be described as Judaism, particularly as upheld by the Pharisees, and yet the legend has been fostered over the centuries, that Christ’s teachings are an extension of Judaism.

The commonly repeated statement that “Christ was a Jew” is but one more example of the danger of words losing their original meaning as a result of faulty translation or for other reasons. No greater damage has been done to Christianity than the false teaching that Christ was a Jew, the inference being that Christ professed and practised a form of religion now known as Judaism. As pointed out by authorities like Rabbi Louis Finkelstein of the Jewish Theological Seminar of America, Judaism is an extension of Pharisaism. Christ’s complete opposition to Pharisaism as a religious system is beyond dispute.

CHRIST DENOUNCES PHARISEES

Christ denounced the Pharisees in the strongest possible language:

“Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men, for ye neither go in yourselves neither suffer ye than that are entering to go in . . . ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves . . . ye pay tithe of mint and ansine and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy and faith . . . ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within are full of extortion and excess . . . ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead men’s bones, and of all uncleanness . . . ye build the tombs of the prophets, and garnish the sepulchres of the righteous, and say, if we had been in the days of our fathers, we would not have partaken with them in the blood of prophets. Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets. Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers. Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers”.

The term “Judaism” was completely unknown at the time of Christ. It was first coined by the Jewish historian Flavius Josephus to describe the faith of those who

were adherents of Pharisaism. The term “Jew” is derived from “Judea”, this being the English of the Latin “Iudaea”. During His lifetime on Earth Christ was generally known as “Jesus of Nazareth”. The words which Pontius Pilate had inscribed upon the Cross, probably sarcastically as he could not have meant them to be taken literally, read, “*Jesus Nazarenus Rex Iudeoru*”.

Pontius Pilate used Latin as it was the official language of Roman administrators. The English translation of the Latin is “*Jesus the Nazarene Ruler of the Judeans*”.

Pontius Pilate knew that Christ was rejected by the great majority of Judeans at the time of His Crucifixion, so his infamous inscription must be regarded as a type of mockery. But, irrespective of the Roman Administrator’s motives, the meaning of his words are beyond dispute: he was describing Christ as the Nazarene ruler of the Judeans. A study of the Gospel of St. John in the original Greek makes it clear at the time of the Crucifixion the spiritual leaders in Judea protested to Pontius Pilate that Christ was “not the ruler of the Judeans”, but only “had said He was the ruler of the Judeans”. During Christ’s lifetime no religion was practised anywhere described by the name of “Judaism”. This term derived from the political subdivision of the Roman Empire known as “Judea”.

The English term “Jew” was first used by the English writer Sheridan in 1775, this being derived from the term “*Iewe*”, itself being a corrupted and contracted English word for the Latin “Iudaeus” as found in the 4th century St. Jerome translation of *The New Testament* from the original into Latin. The modern use of the term “Jew” no longer even remotely refers to the primary meaning of the original Latin. No one in the English-speaking world today believes that a “Jew” is a “Judean”. The general belief is that a Jew is a certain type of religious person, a member of a certain race, or both.

This is not merely a question of academic interest to scholars and students of history. The practical implications concern the fate of what is left of Christian Civilisation. For example, if Christ was Crucified as “King of the Jews”, as is often claimed, and the people called Jews today are descendants of a “chosen race”, then it is not surprising that large numbers of well-meaning Christians attempt to justify the establishment and expansion of the Zionist State of Israel, even if this means expelling the original owners from the land in which they have lived for thousands of years, and the use of violence and terror, which is diametrically opposed to traditional Christian behaviour. The future of the Middle East and the whole world is related to the Middle East crisis. Never was there a greater need than to search for that Truth which is the way to freedom. Never was it more important that the correct meaning of words be more widely understood.

As demonstrated by the Jewish writer Arthur Koestler in his book *The Thirteenth Tribe*, the great majority of people who today call themselves Jews cannot even trace their origins back to the Judeans who practised the religion which later became known as Judaism; they are descendants of the Asiatic Khazars, converted

to Judaism in the seventh century. They are not one of the “lost tribes” returning to their promised homeland. The truth about the origin of most Jews and Judaism is so clear to those prepared to face it, that the widely accepted view that Christ was a Jew practising Judaism must be seen as a manifestation of the blind worship of word symbols without any consideration of the realities. There is also little doubt that the descendants of the Pharisees have skilfully fostered the concept of “JudeoChristianity”, a religion with a common origin. *The New Testament* record shows that during His lifetime Christ denounced in the strongest possible language the form of religious worship, then known as Pharisaism. So far from accepting Christ as one who was extending this type of religion, the Pharisees saw Him as a deadly threat and planned to have Him crucified. It is blasphemous to suggest that Christ’s teachings had anything in common with that of the Pharisees. Christ said that those who were not for Him were against Him.

THE SPIRITUAL BASE OF CIVILISATION

Once Christians can grasp the truth of the origins of Christianity, they can move on to a consideration of how Christ’s truths were progressively incarnated. A Civilisation is not merely a physical creation; it is the incarnation of undergirding intangible values. Death starts when belief and understanding of those values are shattered and eroded. The decaying of the body takes place long after the soul has gone. No one believes that because remnants of the famous Acropolis can still be seen in Athens, the Greek Civilisation is still alive.

When Christ was confronted with the trick question about how one should regard Caesar, He gave what was regarded as an amazing answer: “*Render unto Caesar the things which belong to Caesar, but render unto God those things which belong to God.*”

In that one short statement Christ resolved a problem which had baffled the Greek and other philosophers concerning government. How could man have government without becoming enslaved by government? Christ, the Son of God, gave government a legitimacy it had never had before, but He also said that it must ensure that the individual had an area of freedom upon which government could not encroach. The result was a long process of evolving a Christian concept of constitutional government. Both Caesar and the individual were subject to a higher law, that of God. It is surprising how few professing Christians have any understanding of the impact of Christianity upon government as well as social behaviour in Western Civilisation. Some are amazed when told that the English concept of Trinitarian government, with power divided and balanced, was a practical outcome of applied Christianity. They have been so consumed with studying the word that they have overlooked the relationship of the Truth behind the word, to the making real of God’s will over thousands of years of Christian history.

Even the system of law was affected by the Christian stress on the value and dignity of each and every individual. In his *Merchant of Venice*, the great Christian

artist Shakespeare brought out the cleavage between English Common Law, reflecting Christianity, and the rigid Judaic law. Shylock had legality on his side in demanding his pound of flesh. But what about the **spirit** of charity? Shylock could not see it **written** in the bond. Portia's mercy speech is one of the finest expositions of the Christian approach to law to be found in the English language. Strict insistence on the application of the letter of the law at all times and under all conditions can lead to the death of the spirit. A Christian system of law exists to serve every individual.

Traditional Christian philosophy has always insisted that God reveals Himself through history. History did not stop when God became man and the word became flesh. A great signpost was erected, showing man the way forward, where and how God could be discovered and how to follow Him. If we believe that God only speaks to man in words, then we may be inclined to believe that words are all important in establishing a deep relationship with God. But God speaks to man in many different ways.

Shakespeare wrote of "Tongues in trees, books in the running brook, Sermons in stones, and good in everything". Shakespeare also wrote that a rose by any other name would smell as sweet. Reality is not changed by different descriptions.

Does not God speak to man when man violates God's laws concerning the correct use of his body, "the temple of God"? Most illness is the result of violating God's truths as they apply to nutrition. Words obscure reality when it is said that a physician has cured a patient. Only God cures, when the correct action is taken to ensure that God's laws can operate. The self-healing capacity of the human body is one of the most amazing of truths.

When man was created in God's image he was given freedom either to fulfil his Divine destiny or to destroy himself and the world. Men cannot be made moral or perfect through acts of parliament. A Christian society can only grow as individuals work towards establishing right relations with God in all spheres. In teaching his disciples how to pray, Christ said that they must ask God that His will be done on earth as it is in heaven. If God's will for man is freedom and personal responsibility, then man must work to ensure that all aspects of human affairs come within the scope of Christian principles. Those who claim to follow Christ cannot therefore ignore politics or economics. And what about education? Should Caesar be left with a near monopoly of instructing the young, much of this brainwashing to fit the young into a society increasingly based on "scientific humanism". Christ said, suffer the little children to come unto me.

Far too many calling themselves Christians have abdicated from their responsibilities, often attempting to justify their attitude by verbal expressions of their professed faith. They ignore that they must be "doers of the word".

PROPHECY OR FREE WILL?

Perhaps nothing has so sidetracked many professing Christians as their fascination with prophecy. A prophet can be described in two ways, one who because of his intimate knowledge in a given area, can predict what will happen under certain conditions, or as one who claims to know that certain happenings will inevitably take place because of “Divine will”. However, a prophet may point out that what he is predicting under certain conditions need not necessarily happen if individuals take appropriate action to ensure that those conditions do not arise. In the main, the Prophets of *The Old Testament* were men attempting to raise the spiritual perceptions of their fellows, warning them of the disasters which would overtake them if they did not mend their ways. The record indicates that they had little success with what were obviously rather stiff-necked types of people.

Those who claim to have discovered that there are prophecies in Isaiah, Daniel and Revelation which make it possible to interpret when the “end of the world” will take place, are flatly contradicting the Christian doctrine of free will. There are many schools of thought which in essence say that mankind is moving towards inevitable disaster; that this is all predicted. Acceptance of this point of view tends to paralyse individual initiative. Many calling themselves Christians stand on the sidelines of the battle for the world, justifying their stance by claiming that as the plight of the world has been predicted, the individual can do nothing about it. Some even take the view that those attempting to challenge events are not only foolish, but are acting contrary to God! Which makes God responsible for the fate of the world. This is a most convenient belief for those who do not want to accept personal responsibility, or become involved in the battle against the anti- Christ.

Christ said He was the Truth, the way. He said that it was the Truth which makes the individual free. Free will and free choice are essential if individuals are to learn to know God and to serve Him. Christ warned of the corruption of power when tempted on the mount. Nearly two thousand years later, the wise Lord Acton, Christian philosopher and historian summarised the truth concerning power with his famous statement, “*All power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely*”. The reality of the nature of power cannot be changed by using different words to describe it. Centralised power can be, and often is, described as democracy. Genuine democracy is derided by Marxist and other totalitarians because it conceives of the will of the individual prevailing over his own affairs. Centralised power makes expression of the individual will almost impossible. Irrespective of how it is labelled, centralised power always kills the spirit. The concept of power centralised on a world scale, a World Government, is one of the anti-Christ. And yet it is accepted by large numbers who would describe themselves as Christians.

SCIENCE AND TRUTH

C.H. Douglas said, that which works best is moral. The true scientist is one who is constantly seeking Truth. He may produce a theory, but knows that the truth can only be discovered in the field of action. Christ not only claimed to be the Son of God; he demonstrated the truth of His claim by going about “doing good”. The Gospels record how time and time again Christ stressed the importance of works.

The man who had his sight restored on the Sabbath told the Pharisees, “If this man were not from God, he could do nothing”. Two thousand years of history have demonstrated that when the Truths enunciated by Christ are applied to human affairs, they work, demonstrating that they are of God.

One of the greatest tragedies in the history of Christian Civilisation has been the clash between the Church and many scientists. Worshippers of “the word”, far too many Church leaders feared that advances in discovering truths in the field of physical sciences would undermine Christianity. Like the Pharisees before them, they were enslaved by a belief that “holy writ” was literally true, that if, for example, *The Old Testament* said that God actually made the world in seven days, any suggestion by scientists that this could not be possibly true was anti-Christian. The “literalists” have in fact played into the hands of the anti-Christ by failing to stress that every new discovery of truths about the Universe by the physical scientists, is in fact a revelation of the unlimited scope of God’s Truths, and that Christ’s message concerning the nature and purpose of man directs how those discoveries should be used.

The true role of the Christian Church is to pronounce with proper authority on spiritual and moral realities. Discovery and application of the truths of the physical universe is not of itself progress, so often claimed today. Advanced technology may enable the individual to travel a given distance in less time. From the Christian viewpoint true progress can only be moral progress, and in this case should therefore be concerned with what the individual is able to do with the time saved in travelling. God’s Truths can be used either to further enslave the individual, or to free him. The teachings of Christ were clear: God’s Truths should, as they are discovered, make freedom a greater reality.

THE ETERNITY OF THE KINGDOM

While the Christian realist must face the fact that he is living in the post-Christian era, this is not a time for pessimism. The Kingdom of God still remains within each individual, waiting to be discovered. The regeneration of Christian Civilisation and culture must start with the regeneration of individuals, making use of their most Divine attribute, creative initiative. Christ compared the Kingdom of God with a “*grain of mustard-seed which, when it is sown in the earth, is less than all the seeds that be in the earth. But when it is sown, it groweth up and becometh greater than all the herbs, and shooteth out great branches; so that the birds of the air may shelter under the shadow of it*”.

Christ also described the Kingdom of God as being “*like unto leaven, which a woman took and hid in three measures of meal, till the whole was leavened*”. Those who over the centuries acted upon Christ’s teaching gradually started to leaven the whole of society. Every form of art was dedicated to the greater glory of God. Through the works of those who sought the Kingdom, God’s will was being progressively made real on earth. But, as explained in *The Root of All Evil*, when the results of the Industrial Revolution opened the door to the fulfilment of Christ’s teaching that there was no need to be concerned about the question of “*what ye shall eat; or what ye shall drink*”, that the search for the Kingdom of God had ensured that “*all these things shall be added unto you*”, the Christian Church failed to provide appropriate guidance. The Pharisaical philosophy re-emerged in an even more deadly form. Thus the plight of the world today.

A NEW CHRISTIAN RENAISSANCE

The regeneration of Christian Civilisation will start when sufficient individuals heed Christ’s advice to look within and behold the Kingdom of God.

“Fear not, little flock, for it is your Father’s good pleasure to give you the Kingdom”.

Seeking the Kingdom requires creative **activity**, a new Renaissance. If God’s Kingdom is of the spirit, then he who would truly know God must accept personal responsibility for that Divine gift which has been entrusted to him, and seek to give substance to the spirit by deeds, not by debates concerning words. No great artist has ever appeared merely by reading books on art, or by studying the rules concerning art. Those who seek to march in the vanguard of a new Christian advance must demonstrate the depth of their faith by works which make Truth a living reality.

Christ left the inspiring message that “*. . . he who believes in me will also do the works that I do; and greater works than these will he do*”.

Christ clearly did not believe that the word was enough.

Annual Subscription to ‘*On Target*’ \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the *On Target* and the *NewTimes* Survey journals - **printed and posted monthly.**

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by

Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement “Archives” :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.