

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 03

24th January 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

USA - Inauguration Analysis By Arnis Luks	37
Who is Running this Show? - Just So You Know By William Waite	40
A New way of Thinking By Neville Archibald	44
Who Controls Us and Does it Really Matter? By Neville Archibald	47

USA - Inauguration Analysis By Arnis Luks

Executive Orders Bypass Congress, or Parliament

Donald Trump's first act as president was issuing over 200 Executive Orders bypassing Congress and any bureaucratic oversight. For those who think this would or could not ever happen in Australia; think again.

This act of rapid-fire policy change is of the same type as the 1972 First Whitlam Labor Ministry/Government, Gough Whitlam formed a triumvirate of himself, Lance Barnard (holding 27 portfolios between them), and, Gov General Sir Paul Hasluck – the Federal Executive Council requires a quorum of three.

Making 40 Executive Council policy determinations in its brief 2 week tenure (5th – 19th Dec 1972), including the removal of Australian troops from Vietnam, the immediate release of all draft resisters, and recognition of Communist China.

Trump may have done this across 2 days while Whitlam took 2 weeks, but the bypassing of Parliament/Congress demonstrated the same type of unaccountability and irresponsibility, whether you agree with the policies or not. No discussion, no debate, and no option to put any type of proviso conditions (brakes) on either of the processes.

<https://www.naa.gov.au/explore-collection/australias-prime-ministers/gough-whitlam/during-office>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_Whitlam_ministry

In 1987 the Qld Nationals leader Mike Ahern, who replaced Premier Joe Bjelke-Petersen, was also sworn into a two-man ministry, pending the full distribution of portfolios to a complete ministry:

“Letter by Sir Walter Campbell to Sir William Heseltine, Buckingham Palace, 25th of Jan. 1988: Campbell Papers”.

- P.149 Footnote: *The Veiled Sceptre*: Prof Anne Twomey 2018

Presidential Immunity

Prof Anne Twomey's latest video 'US Presidential Immunity - An Outsider's Analysis' dissects the USA Supreme Court's findings against Donald Trump in the dying days of his previous presidency.

https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/24pdf/24-656_ca7d.pdf

The US Supreme Court's majority decision determining immunity for the President to extend across a very broad range of circumstances. Former President Richard Nixon would not have been impeached – 50+ years ago, under this Supreme Court ruling.

Constitutional Clarion: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XeL3Wu2f9UU>

The Question of Pre-emptive Pardons, By Ian Wilson LL.B

<https://blog.alor.org/the-question-of-pre-emptive-pardons-by-ian-wilson-ll-b>

... President Biden in the last moments of his presidency issued pre-emptive pardons to Dr Anthony Fauci, Gen Mark Milley, the January 6 Committee, and most of the Biden family. Hunter Biden, his son, was previously pardoned for crimes tried (already trialled and convicted-ed), but no-one else was under trial or convicted of a crime, although speculation was... that most should be.

So, what is wrong with pre-emptive pardons.?

Such pardons undermine the rule of law and are contrary to the US constitution's separation of powers of the executive and judiciary. They are open actions to prevent judicial inquiry and hence usurp judicial power. Further, such a system if widely practiced would make many undesirable things permissible, as all matter of crimes under investigation could be covered up. Guilty parties would thus escape investigation, as the President, ... pardons all the wrong doers. Biden has already done this for 8,064 people. ...

Further reading:

<https://josephsansone.substack.com/p/preemptive-pardons-are-clearly-unconstitutional>

Rules Based Order - Rule of Law No More

<https://jamesperloff.net/donald-trump-rebranding-globalism-as-nationalism/>

I'm not surprised Donald Trump wants Canada, Panama and Greenland to come into the union. This is just a continuation of the same plan for world government developed by the Council on Foreign Relations and the Club of Rome many years ago. He rubs shoulders with some of the wealthiest people in the world. He holds the keys to the most powerful military machine the world has ever seen. Coupled with the diverse branches of the intelligence community at his disposal, the Federal Laws: Patriot Act, Homeland Security, the Surveillance State etc., the authority to pardon his many allies and summarily punish or discretely emasculate his enemies, the authority to issue Executive Orders not requiring legislative passage through Congress. Combined, these provide him (as president) the mechanisms and unfettered authority to do almost as he pleases, of which I hold grave fears for the 'home of the free'.

The groundwork had previously been laid by past administrations and the latest Supreme-Court finding, now providing almost unfettered legal immunity for the President, with multiple articles already appearing of the possibility of a third Trump term in defiance of their Constitution.

<https://theconversation.com/how-trump-could-try-to-stay-in-power-after-his-second-term-ends-246722>

Should the MSM collaborate sufficiently to support this presidency, this-cumulatively leads my thoughts towards a dictatorship forming in the USA. Elon Musk's salute may be more indicative of the days ahead than is realised.

Stargate

Multiple technology chiefs had front row seating at the inauguration ceremony. Trump rescinded a previous Executive Order that established oversight measures for companies developing powerful AI models.

“The removal of these protections... and the investment promised... means AI is likely to develop very fast in the US, but in directions that benefit only the few”.

Microsoft and OpenAI's Stargate: A \$100 Billion Leap in AI Supercomputing

<https://www.33rdsquare.com/microsoft-and-openais-ambitious-hundred-billion-dollar-ai-supercomputer-project-stargate/>

The Stargate Project is a \$500 billion Artificial Intelligence AI project led by Japanese giant Softbank, Oracle, ChatGPT-creator OpenAI, will create an AI infrastructure for the US, the construction of 20 “colossal data centres”, each requiring 46,000+ square metres of floor space.

Why AI of this level? – Control; includes Militarised Pre-emptive Healthcare

Essential reading:

‘Evidence-Based Healthcare Revolution is Technocracy On Steroids’:

<https://www.technocracy.news/evidence-based-healthcare-revolution-is-technocracy-on-steroids/>
and further:

Trump throws his weight behind new generation of
mRNA gene-therapy injections...

<https://leohohmann.substack.com/p/trump-throws-his-weight-behind-new>

Project ‘Stargate’ explains why Trump never backtracked from his disastrous ‘Operation Warp Speed’: President OKs control grid (StarGate-ed) and mRNA shots on 2nd day in office. (Operation Warp Speed, a 2020 Trump administration initiative to manufacture COVID-19 vaccines as fast as possible) and again here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fkT4bPEQCjc>

Trump is not the saviour figure people hoped, but is bypassing all governmental limitations-and-oversight for rapid exploitation at a military level - without proper due diligence and protection against adverse events and contra-indications from a medical procedure. We haven't learnt anything since Covid-19! We will get more of the same but at a much faster pace. There is anarchy within the administration. Trump condemned Biden over pre-emptive pardons for Fauci and others, but supports Gates and others with Stargate – what humbug.

Welcome to this age of *laissez-faire* (unfettered) Capitalism, or Libertarianism if you like.

Read further of this revolutionary Impunity:

The Revolutionary Catechism By Sergey Nechayev

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Nechayev_S-The_Revolutionary_Catechism.pdf

Who is Running this Show? - Just so You Know By William Waite

Facebook, Amazon, Google and X front row at the Trump inauguration. RFK takes a back seat. They're not going to be subtle anymore.

Like so many others I have been loosely watching the wake of Zuckerberg's announcement that Facebook will no longer use fact-checkers to screen content on its platforms. To be clear, he didn't say there would be an end to censorship. My suspicion is that the role of "independent" fact checkers can now be better performed by AI and it's more of a cost-cutting measure than a return to principles.

Just a quick reminder. During the great flu-panic Zuckerberg oversaw a massive censorship campaign which deleted and demoted posts that didn't support the government narrative on Covid. In short, Facebook, along with pretty much every other news and corporate media outlet, played a vital role in the information operation necessary to manufacture consent for multi-dosing a dangerous, ineffective gene therapy to much of the Western world. Amongst the banned content were posts that criticized vaccines, publicized side-effects, objections to masks and lockdowns and anything which suggested that Covid may have had its origins in lab. Covid censorship is the most egregious and overt abuse of the truth by Facebook but they censored all sorts of things. I've had articles pulled from Facebook that had nothing to do with Covid.

Zuckerberg describes in a conversation with Rogan¹ the point at which he folded to government pressure and went over to the dark side. The government was saying *"You have to take that down. And we said, no. We're not, we're not gonna take down humor and satire. We're not gonna take down things that are true. And then at some point, I guess, I don't know, flipped a bit."*

Just a bit.

In his three-hour confab with Rogan, Zuckerberg attempts to locate the cause of the flip anywhere but with himself. It was the government people yelling orders down the phone or the rogue "third-party" fact-checkers with political agendas. It wasn't me, is Mark's recurring point. He just believes in "giving people a voice" and "the power to share and make the world more open and connected."

As to the government pressure Zuckerberg explains, sort of, "It was people in the, in the Biden administration. I think it was, you know, I wasn't involved in those conversations directly, but I think it was." I suppose he was too busy to be involved in conversations that would convert Facebook into a propaganda outlet of the global government. A minor side issue in the world of pig-shooting, jiu-jitsu honing,

general mad-dog Zuckster.

Undeserved government persecution of Facebook is a recurring motif throughout the conversation. Zuckerberg says:

Yeah, I mean, so the, the issue is that there's the, there's what specific thing an agency might be looking into you for, and then there's like the underlying political motivation, which is like, why do the people who are running this thing hate you? And I think that those can often be two very different things. So, and we had organizations that were looking into us that were like not really involved with social media. Like I think like the CFPB, like this financial, I don't even know what it stands for. It's the, it's the financial organization that Elizabeth Warren set up. Great. And it, and it's basically, it's like we're not a bank.

It's amusing to listen to Zuckerberg pretend that Facebook has nothing to do with banking. In 2019 the company attempted to launch a global currency, Libra, which was blocked. Zuckerberg himself appeared before the Financial Services Committee. In addition Meta, Zuckerberg's umbrella company, runs a payments system, Meta Pay, which holds money transfer licenses in nearly every state in the US and in most countries. From 2008 to 2022 Facebook's chief operating officer was Sheryl Sandberg who was Larry Summers research assistant at the World Bank and Summers chief of staff when he was Secretary of the Treasury during the Clinton Administration.

In addition to its forays into financial services Facebook has been had up on charges by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) multiple times for privacy violations as well as injunctions against tracking children for targeted advertising. You might also remember the Facebook-Cambridge Analytica Scandal for which Facebook was fined a record breaking \$5 billion.

In a speech at the University of Pennsylvania in 2022 called *Reining in Repeat Offenders*² current head of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Rohit Chopra, who also filed complaints against Zuckerberg when head of the FTC, uses the FTC action against Facebook as a case study for exploring the problem of corporate recidivism and the potential problems associated with these companies getting into financial services:

I raise Facebook not only because it is such an egregious case but also because of the potential of very large firms entering financial services. It's clear that Big Tech wants to get into the market, as we saw with Facebook's failed attempt to create a new global currency. We've also seen Alibaba, Amazon, Google, and Tencent entering financial services, including with payments, money management, insurance, and lending. Given their size and customer reach, their entry has the potential to transform the industry. How these companies engage in other business practices is how we can expect them to engage in financial services, so it is worth going into some detail about the FTC case against one of the biggest players in this space.

Zuckerberg going on Rogan and pretending that the government is coming after his company because they hate him reminds me of Bush claiming that 911 happened because Arabs hate freedom. Whatever his motivations are he's a lying b*%# and Rogan might do well to be more careful about having people on who are perfectly comfortable with treating his audience like idiots.

If comments are anything to go by nobody is buying the Zuckerberg rebrand but the episode is suggestive of a darker story about the increasingly central role that "private" technology firms are assuming in our society.

Consider this article by [Mark Goodwin](#) from [Unlimitedhangout.com](#) which directly links big tech companies and their founders to military and intelligence networks:

Facebook, not unlike Palantir, was one of the vehicles used to privatize controversial U.S. military surveillance projects after 9/11, having also been birthed out of one of the MDDS (Massive Digital Data Systems) partners, Harvard University. PayPal and Palantir co-founder Peter Thiel became Facebook's first significant investor at the behest of file-sharing pioneer Sean Parker, whose first contact with the CIA took place at age 16. What Facebook became after the involvement of Thiel and Parker bore such an uncanny resemblance to another shuttered DARPA project of the same era, known as LifeLog, that LifeLog's architect and project manager at DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) has even noted the direct parallels. One of these parallels, though left unmentioned by former DARPA project managers, is the fact that Facebook launched the very same day that LifeLog was shut down. Facebook's long-standing ties to the military and intelligence communities go far beyond its origins, including revelations about its collaboration with spy agencies as part of the Snowden leaks and its role in influence operations – some have even directly involved Google and Palantir.³

What we saw with Facebook, and the media generally, during the flu panic was the maneuverings of a well-connected network of corporate and political operators furthering their own financial and power ambitions at the expense of the general public. The "pandemic" was enormously profitable for these people, especially those in the tech industry, and absolutely disastrous for everyone else especially their small and medium sized competitors. With inflation and interest rates the attrition continues. It is a mistake to view the relationship between government and international corporate monopolies as adversarial, especially now, it seems, as we move into Trump's second term.

With the incoming Trump administration the cooperative relationship between government, intelligence and the tech monopolies is coming out of the shadows. In addition to the procession of tech giants paying homage at Mar-a-Lago Trump has just announced he will establish a biometric tracking system "on land, at sea and in the air." The ambition of the tech oligarchs is to develop and apply the technology

to monitor, record and analyse every aspect of our lives while at the same time monopolise our time and attention. It's referred to as total information awareness. What ten years ago most people would have considered impossible is increasingly our reality. With the power and money of the tech companies and the likely imminent withdrawal of regulatory authority accelerating the development of AI and virtual reality, something like the Matrix is moving out of the realm of metaphor. If we value freedom and privacy at all we need to think carefully about what programs we choose to interact with.

And let's not forget we have a choice. That is the one thing which is not countenanced in these discussions with the transhumanists. We are supposed to accept without reservations that our future will be dominated by devices, surveillance, AI, digital games and entertainment and all the other trivialities which seek to colonise our existence. We're being led to believe that no tolerable alternative exists.

Let me tell you something. I get up in the morning, have a coffee and a read. We breakfast mostly on food grown within a 100 meter radius of the kitchen. We milk the cow and let the sheep and the chooks out. I work either on or off-farm depending on the day. My wife either takes the kids to swimming lessons or a craft class with other conscious people or stays home to garden, prep and preserve food, educate the kids and any number of a million other things industrious people can do to maximise value in the home economy. There is always useful work to do. It's mostly pleasant, interesting, satisfying, palpably *real* and not easily exploited by parasites (the sheep and cattle do get ticks). None of it whatsoever depends on the virtual, ersatz experiences dreamed up by the tech giants.

There is nothing novel about what we're up to, in fact it's far closer to how people have lived for centuries than the overcrowded urban deserts that draw people in. The work is light to moderate, especially if one takes advantage of the assortment of simple machines, and much easier than twiddling your thumbs in a bullshit job. It is probably not the only solution to escaping your pod but if you're casting around for an exit you'd do worse than finding a bit of ground and learning about what you can do with it.

I've used this quote from Huxley before but it's too perfect not to repeat: Indeed, unless we choose to decentralize and to use applied science, not as the end to which human beings are to be made the means, but as the means to producing a race of free individuals, we have only two alternatives to choose from: either a number of national, militarized totalitarianisms, having as their root the terror of the atomic bomb and as their consequence the destruction of civilization... or else one supranational totalitarianism, called into existence by the social chaos resulting from rapid technological progress and the atom revolution in particular, and developing under the need for efficiency and stability, into the welfare-tyranny of Utopia.

You pays your money and you takes your choice.⁴

I'm off to post this on Facebook.

References

1 Joe Rogan Experience #2255. 11.01.25. Available from: Spotify and YouTube.

2 Chopra, R. 2022. *Reining in Repeat Offenders*.

Available from:

<https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/newsroom/reining-in-repeat-offenders-2022-distinguished-lecture-on-regulation-university-of-pennsylvania-law-school/>

3 Goodwin, M. 2025. *The Evolution of the Militarized Data Broker*.

Available from:

<https://unlimitedhangout.com/2025/01/investigative-reports/the-evolution-of-the-militarized-data-broker/>

4 Huxley, A. 1946. *Brave New World*. The Random House Group Ltd, London.

A New way of Thinking By Neville Archibald

With the new year, comes the usual round of promises or resolutions. Sometimes these are less cemented in reality and more of a jocular form. Many are of things we are willing to give up on, as if we were approaching ‘lent’ on the Christian calendar.

I would like to encourage readers to challenge themselves this year and instead of the focus on giving up something, or of doing without; to focus instead on taking up something, or of doing within!

Harry Potter fans might be referenced here as the book would suggest you become more like the sword of Gryffindor, only taking in that which makes you stronger. For those of you who are older perhaps consider the words of Nietzsche, ‘Was mich nicht umbringt, macht mich starker!’ Sadly if your German is as good as mine, the above is almost meaningless, but it means essentially the same as J.K. Rowlings parable. That, overcoming adversity can make you stronger and more resilient. Or, ‘What doesn’t kill you makes you stronger’.

With this in mind the last four years have been an abject lesson in this. COVID, far from being the end of the world, has brought with it a stark reminder of just how close a line we tread between totalitarian control and the idea of democratic representation and freedom. In a softer set of words, either having ‘good’ done to us, or ourselves doing ‘good’ within our community.

This emphasis on ‘good’ is the crucial part here.

The distinction between our good and the authoritarian good.

Jeremy Bentham, 1748 – 1832. An english philosopher, jurist and social reformer was the founder of modern Utilitarianism. He is credited as saying, ‘it is the greatest happiness of the greatest number that is the measure of right or wrong.’ (which I totally disagree with)

Taken to it’s logical conclusion, real truth does not matter as long as the greater good is served. In a high functioning society of equals, perhaps those truths might not be lost, but in the reality of the world, mob rule is often a bigger factor.

Playing into that theory of mob rule is Propaganda and influence. Two parts of our modern society that are used so much that many no longer see it happening, let alone recognise the falsehoods or lies often contained within it. (deceptive

advertising, political promises, etc) In a declining society, such as we have now, where education is more of a spitting out of accepted facts rather than learning the art of considered thinking, this loss of spiritual concept and fundamental truths is on the decline also. The concept of Philosophy itself, is almost dead, just a fancy intellectual word of the past: it's meaning lost with it.

With the 'pandemic', Government and media tied themselves together in a partnership that permitted no outside comment on the serious business of COVID. No tolerance of other scientific view was allowed. Experienced and previously well regarded scientists were, and still are, silenced, de-funded or ridiculed to ensure the 'greater good' was served. The 'greater good' as decided by decree, not wisdom. It was this 'greater good' that prevailed.

Just whose idea of greater good was it?

What we are now beginning to see is just whose benefit all of this espoused truth was for. Greater profit for some and increased control over us!

As the year progresses we will see more and more come to light about the dangers of allowing a small group of people, with this Bentham attitude, control the notion of what is the greater good.

From the virtual dictatorship of Andrews in Victoria, to the Federal sphere where the Prime Minister took on all the ministerial roles needed to force his idea of truth upon us, whether it was that we agreed or not. We saw virtual dictators rule over us, with travel permits, gaol time for dissenters and even those who made casual remarks on social media. Enforced medication of unwilling people was only missed out on by the wording alone, in all practical terms it was real. No jab! No job! Coercion without doubt, but in legal terms, not forced.

This is what they cry when when it is contested, that a mandate is not an enforcement. Safe in their own little world – the decision makers had no problem ordering us to do what they exempted themselves from. They were never under the same compulsion because of job loss, social exclusion or the resultant financial difficulty.

Why do I remind you of these things? For many it was hard to see it at the time. The pressure of fear, of children at home, not school, made life a turmoil for many who relied on their way of life to get by. Both parents working has meant school is the de-facto baby sitter and without it, one parent or Grandparent, becomes indispensable at home. The 'Granny Killer' picture painted of this novel virus meant one more reason for worry, none dare risk the older generations to look after kids while two still worked to make ends meet.

Isolation was fostered, encouraged and even ordered, so community cohesion suffered. Community opinion was not allowed to develop, each in this isolation felt they could not discuss or disagree due to the virulent and often vicious attacks on those pointing out the disparities in the rules or requirements.

The chief of these was the masking, it still is! People I had done PPE (personal protective equipment) courses with, who knew how to select the appropriate gear

for a given situation, argued and demonised me when I compared the virus as an aerosol size droplet being stopped by what they were calling masks. Keeping out the flies with weld-mesh screens, is how I put it. Logic and learning went out the door in favour of political dictate, a response to constant fear, hype and derogatory remarks by those we allow to 'influence' us.

Now that we have that ability to step back and consider what went on, our resolution must be to take a better look at these failed approaches.

Our new way of looking must also be a consideration of the ways in which many were divided, for holding, what turned out to be a correct view, of the situation. Despite all the hype and daily statistics published, the overall result ended up being not that much worse than a bad flu year. Couple that with the fact that they were turning away people who presented with low level flu like symptoms and telling them to 'come back when your lips turn blue'. You can surely see the reason many suffered from flu far worse than they otherwise would have. Normally something would be prescribed to minimise symptoms and help the body cope, but given nothing and left to get sicker before treatment will always lead to a higher mortality. This, I suggest, is probably the biggest factor in death rates worldwide, not COVID itself.

Add to that the 'no medications for it' attitude, that is not normal procedure, meant Doctors were not permitted to prescribe as they saw fit. Lessening symptoms that allow the body to recover is always one of the first calls made. A doctor will often prescribe an 'off label' safe medication if he thinks it will help. Instead of the body fighting many things, the worst of the symptoms can be lessened to allow the body to concentrate on the cause. This was denied us.

So what is the truth? Will it be simply whitewashed and fall into history as a less than optimal approach. Will the Prime Ministers COVID response report become the the final say on the subject. That it was only loss of faith in Government to blame, because they did not present a unanimous 'truth' to us? That not all spokespersons for the 'pandemic' could see this 'truth' and speak it to us in the same manner. They end their report with a a conclusion that their story must be the same across all government bodies next time, that any 'mis-information' must be more completely overwhelmed or removed. What does this mean? That for the next pandemic, and they keep telling us there will be more, their response will be much the same!

Realise, please, that the pandemic was only one part of the systems of control that these people are implementing or continuing to use. There are many areas where there is increasing control over our lives, look for them and determine the outcomes of them as related to the individual. Increased profit by the giant corporations world wide that we are increasingly seeing is having direct impact on every individual world-wide also. The wool being pulled over our eyes by scare tactics of every kind is resulting in our loss of power over our own lives. We must see this for what it is and look through the jargon used to explain it. It is mostly propaganda without true fact behind it. Like the chief health ministers recommendations during COVID, will

they ever be seen? Are they even based on reality? Much of the convenient fact left out of some of the claims being made, across a wide range of so called information, would make you dubious about them if you knew. Like the people in days past, who were used to snake oil salesmen and wooden nickels on offer, they learnt, often the hard way, to think for themselves, to use fundamental truths to see through false claims and point them out to all around them. This is something we all must relearn to do, it must be our development that we do within ourselves this year.

If you do not make a resolution to look more closely at your own outcomes in life, YOU WILL GET THE 'GREATER GOOD' THAT IS FOISTED UPON YOU BY THOSE WHOSE IDEA OF 'GOOD', IS FOR YOU TO OWN NOTHING AND BE HAPPY EATING CRICKETS! (or jabbed like a pin cushion-ed)

Who Controls Us and Does it Really Matter? By Neville Archibald

Does it matter who we name as the problem. Who it is that is controlling this 'anti- people' movement we find ourselves facing?

As Christ intoned, 'by their works we will know them'.

Getting sidetracked into the 'Conspiracy' field, plays directly into the hands of those doing the conspiring.

Yes, I do believe that there is a conspiracy to remove the general populations freedoms. We have come far enough down that road, that the trend is clearly visible. Did we get asked? Are we clamouring for more and more restrictions in our lives? No! We are being manipulated to believe these things are necessary for our future, but we are being largely deceived as to the reasons behind our 'necessary' sacrifices. The restrictions imposed have been slow and steady ones. Each time a reason for their imposition has been whipped up into a scare, so that we accept the presented solution. A largely uninformed and compliant public has bought into the need for these restrictions and the landslide has gone on from there.

So again, do we need to identify a specific group to finger point at, to, tut, tut and say we see you – stop being naughty!

If you, like me, can see this is occurring, do you ask yourself how we are to confront this evil – and let us call it what it is!

Do we protest and take a plane to Davos, or again bus ourselves to our capital cities to protest en-masse?

Do we tilt at the windmills like a Don Quixote – an aged and confused bunch looking to hold someone or some larger group out there, accountable.

The windmill in this case is a shimmering projection, which can very easily disband and re-emerge somewhere else, still doing its foul deeds. **Whether global groups or national parties, these chameleons change tactics but still push for more control over us.**

We are not playing a video game here! Where the hero can vanquish the evil

overlords given unwavering devotion to the various levels, tricks, points or magic health restoratives. We are ordinary people who must spend much of our lives making ends meet, raising families and securing our place in the world around us. For most, all we really want, is just to be left alone to get on with life. Who among us is ready for a quest after these evils, these gigantic windmills of change that have the time, money, and minions. Who can and do, still live their luxurious lives while making ours harder and harder.

I think you must begin to realise this tilting at an amorphous enemy is part of the game they play. They know they are virtually untouchable and can say and do as they please. They have governments, courts and even armies at their disposal. This is meant to deflate you, to stop you from trying. It is meant to be too big to deal with.

While they are eating their caviar and discussing buying their next mansion or small country, we are being slapped in the face by the dead fish it came from. All this is being done by their minions!

‘Get back in your box, play the game’, these minions tell us. ‘Obey!’

So does it matter who they, the biggest players are? Do we need to get lost in the identifying of these loathe-some characters? Are we to personally get involved in their prosecution for crimes against mankind?

As I see it, we mere mortals have not the finance or the ears of the systems we need to pursue this – by their prepared methods - at this time. We are playing their video game in doing it that way, they have written the program. Like a good player, we must find the glitches and work out our strategy.

Maybe a good player can attempt it! Maybe we will see Donald or Elon go through the motions – maybe not! I for one will not be holding my breath and waiting for them to do so.

The answer is, as usual in life, entirely up to you, in your own backyards. The very organisations we are supposed to control locally are those who have these minions in them. If we are to start anywhere it should be there. We must gain both experience and control of these, our organisations, to turn this around.

We are many, they are few. Only by us, playing their game, do they succeed. These local organisations have become the tools the Globalists (for want of a better name) are using to enslave us.

As a microcosm of what we face, our local councils are a starting point. In Victoria at least, councillors now have little say in the day to day running of business. The CEOs and their appointees decide most of our future direction at this level. We lost the use of councillors in all but a perfunctory role after amalgamations. We need to recapture this! Our lives should be decided by us, through elected councillors, not government or WEF trained and appointed CEOs. This will necessitate resolving state government issues as well. So in Victoria, at least, to gain local council we need to focus heavily on state elections too.

Realise at this point, please, that it was the Kennett lead government that implemented the amalgamations as well as a series of labor governments that have manipulated this to the globalist benefit. Both must go!

Playing the Globalist game is not for me, I intend to switch off from their methods and play my own version. Much of this, my version, is still ours to use here in Australia.

We still have the right to elect our own council.

We still have the right to vote true representatives into state government.

We still have the right to vote in Federal members, who believe as we do.

We must stop taking the advice of parties who continually fail us and pick our own people for the job.

As for the minions of the globalists, with their empty fish, they need to be either removed from their positions or prosecuted locally for the crimes they are inflicting on us, the people they are supposed to be serving. Either way, these are the people in reach to be changed, unlike some amorphous group elsewhere that they serve. It is on the same grounds as 'what if they held a war and no one came?'

We must play our own game, not theirs. The top people need to be held accountable, but it is where the rubber meets the road that we must start. The obvious presence they work through, that is our area to resist or push hard against. The larger picture can only be solved by a nation presenting a strong case as a nation, via it's Government. That is the purpose of Government, to be our spokesmen. We cannot expect that to happen until we regain control of it.

Locals must regain their local identity and decide for themselves what it is they want. The reflection of this will push the change into the larger spheres. If we don't want freedom locally, then we are truly lost. ***

God is a trinity, a relational structure. Every work of the devil is to divide us into warring camps - Trump vs Harris, Ukraine vs Russia, Israel vs Palestine, Sport team A vs Sport team B, Liberal vs Labor, Democrat vs Republican, Husband vs Wife, Young vs Old. Resolution is to be found in upholding this relational structure, of community, of discussion, love if you like.

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the *On Target* and the *NewTimes* survey journals - **printed and posted monthly.**

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by **Direct Bank Transfer to:**

A/c Title **Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)**

BSB **105-044**

A/c No. **188-040-840**

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

Telephone: **08 8322 8923** eMail: **heritagebooks@alor.org**

Online Bookstore : **<https://veritasbooks.com.au/>**

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: **<https://alor.org/>**

On Target is printed and authorised by **Arnis J. Luks**
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Essential Reading:

Descent into Slavery

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Griffin_D-Descent_into_Slavery.pdf

Fourth Reich of The Rich

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Griffin_D-Fourth_Reich_of_the_Rich.pdf

The Empire of The City

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Knuth_EC-The%20Empire_of_The_City.pdf

Confessions of an Economic Hitman

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Perkins%20J%20The_Confessions.pdf

Australian League of Rights Expansion Fund

This year, 2025, is unique in the history of the League, in that there are plans afoot to considerably expand the number of League speakers going into the field. These unique individuals are deferring their own personal pursuits to set time aside – (in a position of service) to enter the battle to uphold and restore our ancient Rights and Freedoms. To spread this vital ALOR/Douglas Social Credit message, these individuals will require logistical and financial support. *An army marches on its stomach* - the logistical and financial support must be there in advance, ready to be used as each successive campaign occurs.

For over sixty years this journal, and this organisation (some 80 years since the end of WWII hostilities), has been recording the battle between the forces of freedom and totalitarianism. It has predicted what would happen if effective political action was not taken – (failure to deprive the forces of totalitarianism of their major weapon, a finance-economic policy which is used to drive mankind into bigger and more centralised groups and ultimately into the World State), - making individual resistance impossible. Fighting a series of rearguard battles, the forces of freedom have been increasingly mobilised in Australia under the clear and decisive leadership that the League of Rights offers. The League has more than weathered the storm, and it has planned this national programme of traveling speakers to take advantage of the increasing crisis. But that programme will fail unless adequate financial resources are made available to support these additional resources.

Calling for Donations and Bequests

The jaws of the totalitarian world state are progressively closing in on the free world. The logic of the situation would appear that the causes of freedom, of Christian Civilisation, has been defeated. But as has so often happened in history, the faith and dedicated effort of a few people has defied logic. The logic favoured Napoleon at the decisive Battle of Waterloo. It still favoured him late in the day when he threw his famous elite of veterans in for the decisive blow. But the British lines held, and the tide of the battle turned dramatically.

One of the League's great strengths has been its decentralised financial support. It has never placed itself in the position where it must rely exclusively upon only a few sources of finance. Advertising has never been accepted for its journals. It has been vitally assisted by Donations and Bequests from individuals who wished that all, or part of their material substance be devoted to the continuing battle for freedom, decency, and Christian Civilisation.

A handful of supporters have now contributed significantly to the Basic Fund. The balance is still to be made up to support the League's thin red line of defence. We seriously believe that it is now or never and ask all those who have not yet contributed to the League's Basic Fund and now the Expansion Fund, to prayerfully assess the maximum they can give. Details in the advert at the end of this journal.