


A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 25

27th June 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

Presumption By Arnis Luks	17
More Production, Less Income By Neville Archibald	21

Presumption By Arnis Luks

As I travel, a recurring theme arises from the floor as to 'how those who wish to retain our existing civilisation can proceed' while simultaneously avoiding the effects of the collapse that is occurring in slow motion -worldwide. Most traditional Conservative organisations have been psychologically infiltrated by subversive ideas to hasten the collapse. Their cultural anchor is not secure.

It is necessary to recognise this mind conditioning – even to yourself - as there is a good probability that this mind conditioning has actually occurred.

In issue 16 of *The Light Australia* publication, a cartoon depiction of a rose-coloured façade presents the ideal about 'climate' – but upon closer examination the exit from the building presents the 'real' – monopoly-capital exploiting the propaganda for inordinate gain. This cartoon-depiction should be considered for all sorts of psychological programs, being truer than the illustrator may realise.

While considering the influential-modelling of the 'Economic Hitman': that in pursuing a certain policy will result in rivers of gold; the reality is that, for instance, massive-immigration places enormous pressure on existing infrastructure and available housing. The new arrivals do not provide any significant increase in the tax base, as the modern industrial era is driving employability down due to advancements in technology, and with future technological innovation reducing employment-possibilities even further. Treasury modelling offers false projections from immigration rates that do not materialise in the real world. We go further and further into debt – to central banksters.

<https://treasury.gov.au/publication/p2021-220773>

CH Douglas foresaw this when he wrote *The Breakdown of the Employment System* nearly 100 years ago.

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Breakdown%20of%20the%20Employment%20System.htm>

The Victorian government, as is all governments', precarious financial position was compromised further by the extended lockdown and subsequent financial support for all those people unable to earn an income, which reinforces the necessary strategy of reviewing the privately-run financial system. Governments do not control financial policy – privately owned central banks do. The money merchants, through Treasury policy, are driving, for example, the exorbitant immigration rates on the basis that there will be rivers of gold to repay irredeemable government-debt to themselves. The 'Pup' has been rebadged under another label, but the effect is assured, financial insolvency for the nation, with subsequent confiscation of assets.

In April this year Former British PM Liz Truss, in a podcast interview, insisted that of repealing certain laws from the previous 50 years was required to orientate towards a more balanced immigration program:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hA17ma1SyZ0>

Current Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney was the then Gov of the Bank of England who was instrumental in the mini-budget result that triggered her demise as PM after just 49 days.

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/liz-truss-economy-crash-mark-carney-canada-uk-b2729404.html>

It is amazing how, after a short period of reflection, wise words can come from a politician.

Since the North-South Dialogue from the Brandt Commission was being pursued under the Liberal Fraser-Howard government, we have handed over our primary and secondary industries. The income streams for the general public are no longer there. The employment system has been destroyed by both the Conservatives and Socialists alike. Not one political party, nor any political commentator / reporter is raising this vital issue. We cannot turn the situation around until it is raised, thoughtfully considered, and then resolved. We will forever go around in circles (revolve) until we choose to closely examine and then resolve the fraudulent financial system.

The presumption from the Economic-Hitman-Model has proved wrong again and again, from which every nation (who has pursued this dream) has now got to work through, or, go cap in hand to the international financial markets who are, as usual, using every opportunity to impose greater and greater levels of debt onto the host nation. No matter which nation is being sold their 'Pup', the road to financial collapse is assured; having been proved as a surefire way for money merchants to seize the assets of entire nations – across millennium. Ours is not the first.

This is the model that libertarians and neoliberals (through the Austrian School of Economics teaching) are assuring us will be our road to salvation, which of course it will not. To take a fresh look at the current situation we must go back in history to see its previous, and more recent, manifestations of civilisation-financial-insolvency. Those who refuse to learn from history will forever repeat the errors of the past.

China offering attractive loans to Third World nations have no doubt used this technique to their own advantage, yes, although to be fair, China just happens to be managing the front counter for the money merchants at this time in history.

America insisting that Australia spend 3.5% of GDP on defence equates in the real world to buy unnecessary weapons from their military industrial complex centred in the USA. It is simply a marketing strategy being imposed upon Australia to possibly stay in the good books in the hope of being allowed to purchase (on the never-never and possibly never-really) nuclear powered submarines.

Nuclear propulsion on these submarines is mechanically composed of a closed-loop steam generation system, that transfers heat-energy (exposed to the nuclear core) onto an open-loop steam generation system that drives the wet (saturated steam) turbines that; either provide the rotating power for the propellers, or generate electricity for battery storage that then drives the electrical power for these propeller systems. It is not a fix-all solution for silent running, but it can provide a semi-autonomous supply of energy for sustained-deployment.

Donald Trump's irrational behaviour provides an unstable environment where contracts need to be renegotiated, and then renegotiated again, and again. This certainly benefits those who provide the finance from government bonds. The sale of Bonds allows government spending on wages, costs (including interest from previous loans), and other government programs like schools, hospitals and services (and nuclear powered submarines). Our own RBA could step into the breach to support our federal government, allowing them to control national policy - unfettered.

Would that the RBA Reserve Bank of Australia purchase all government bonds, as was occurring during the governorship of Sir Denison Miller 1911-1924 (which included the war years 1914-1918), the government could then action programs without incurring debt to international merchant-banksters. But; this proposition is really only half an answer or solution to the whole question of sovereignty.

The central banks that currently purchase government bonds substantiate the value in the bonds through the banking system, but also claim the new money (created out of thin air to purchase these bonds) as their own property, and incurring compounding interest against the initial creation of this new credit. The Australian government surrendered this most strategic 'Constituted Power' to central banks in 1924 with the appointment of vested interests on to the governing board, in contrast to the original CBA board of only one person (Dennison Miller), now referred to as the Reserve Bank of Australia.

The second half of the response to this vital question is regarding the historical advancements in the industrial and technological arts which have removed the burden of human labour, and made manufacturing a social function (that all should still be able to derive / inherit benefit from the goods produced).

If a factory produces enough shoes for the whole nation, while there is only a modest handful of people assisting the modern industrial arts to produce this vast quantity of shoes; how are all the other people (not receiving wages, salaries, and dividends) able to purchase all that is manufactured -- except by being paid their legitimate cultural inheritance that technology and the industrial arts have provided - of receiving a dividend to reflect this cultural inheritance.

The worker is worthy of their wages, and the manufacturer must be paid to reconcile their costs and legitimate profit for the investor – yes, all.

CH Douglas saw this correctly: that political democracy is not a substitute for economic democracy. Mankind must receive their vote or tickets from both. The political vote only gives you representation in one branch of society: the political branch. The economic branch requires the dividend payment to de-centralise financial power back to the individual.

This is an economic-financial form of devolution against the tyranny of centralised finance - devolving spending power - as your God-given cultural inheritance - back to the individual, which emasculates the financial tyranny that all governments are beholding to. It is as vital a part in the pursuit of personal freedoms as the voting franchise was against political tyranny - towards representative government.

Thus any political and media platform proposing solutions to this great cultural climax we are experiencing, that does not offer a legitimate answer to the financial tyranny, offers no resolution whatsoever. They only cloud the waters further.

I received an email link with an interview of Sen Alex Antic and the horrendous proposal within the South Australian Parliament to give bureaucrats the power to seize a property - any property - without compensation - deemed to not being developed in a timely manner.

The capitulation of Sen Alex Antic's party to the money power is identified by the clear lack of purpose exposing freehold property title under the Mabo and Wik rulings of the High Court - without response. The Victorian government's infliction (with a tenfold increase of the Emergency Services Levy to rural communities) has been deferred a year but will certainly come into existence after the necessary pacification-period.

Having property without deriving any income, or not being developed in a timely manner, both are an attack on one's personal asset – real and private property. Rightly, property should be completely exempt from any form of rating until there is a clear history of profit-above-costs derived from the asset.

The original tithe was based on the increase - if there is no profit-made there is no tithe to pay.

Without addressing the historical fraud of money creation out of nothing, without addressing asset-taxation without effective returns, without addressing the breakdown of the employment system as the only legitimate means of having access to the abundant marketplace, all these things are not being addressed by Sen Alex Antic nor Conservatism anywhere, while monopoly-capital is having a field day confiscating the real assets of the entire world unto themselves.

The conversation about the most-significant-issue affecting this civilisation is not occurring. They will not talk about the money question, nor anything to do with the inordinate power central banks have over all governments, (let alone industry and the individual), all through debt bondage. Their mouths are selectively muted.

The debt clock for each nation, for each community, for the average individual is irredeemable. And yet it is the conversation never had in polite society. As if somehow, we are so mesmerised, hypnotised to such a degree, that at the slightest hint of any legitimate discussion there comes out from the crowd all these expletives describing you as the great unwashed, or a conspiracy theorist, or other such like.

The fact is the fraudulent financial system is a conspiracy that has been going on for millennia. The recently-modern manifestation of Central Banks, of the International Monetary Fund and the Bank of International Settlements, are a clear indication that they control policy. The last few military incursions into the Middle East had an element of an independent banking-and-financial policy about them.

WEF Klaus Schwab was not an odd individual making a sleight of hand comment. He represented great financial interests; and declared to the world that:
this is the policy we are now bringing into fruition:
you will own nothing and you will be happy.

Australia is surrendering all its national assets to Central Banksters without so much as a murmur from the very compliant mainstream media. The custodians of morality and social conscience - the Church is also conspicuous by its absence in this vital debate. *Papal Encyclicals*, like the great Charter *Magna Carta* that preceded them, established foundations upon which societies are to be formed. They condemned collectivism in its manifestations of capital and state monopolies, which are surfacing again as totalitarianism across all nations. Limiting Constitutions are being openly ignored. Pervasive forms of propaganda (manipulated by psychological experts) can keep whole nations under their control (spell).

We are living under a modern-day cult. The greatest of these is the money cult.

The love of money - the preference above all other - is the root of all kinds of evil.

While this paraphrasing of the scripture is my own, I believe it draws or extracts the correct conclusion from the original warning. ***

More Production, Less Income By Neville Archibald

Once again we have an Industry where input costs are rising faster than payments for what is being produced. Opening prices for the 2025 – 26 season are about \$8.85 to \$9.00 per kilo of milk solids. In a realistic world, that will not cover costs for many producers. (*'Country News'* June 10.)

This observation is not new. Over my lifetime, rising costs which the farmer has no control over, has led to many leaving the industry. Those that have stayed, have had to enlarge their herd numbers and become virtual factories, cutting costs to bare minimum and treating every part of the farm as little more than an invested asset. It is no longer practical to farm as generations had before. I can see why the Greenies protest animal treatment, and environmental destruction around some of the bigger farms.

Who is to blame here?

Is it the farmer who is doing everything possible to keep the farm, even if it means changing to an industrial viewpoint? Or is it the system that forces this very change? In days past, a family could be raised and a farm paid off, milking 30 cows. Then 70. Then 200! Now even those milking 700 or more are finding costs difficult to meet without cutting corners!

How is it that we have protested this “industrialisation” of farming, calling for “organic” and “free range”, yet never really stopped to consider the true cause behind it. The incredible rise in efficiency of farming, yet the even more incredible drop in value of produce? Is it because we have not seen that drop in produce price? More than likely!

This has not impacted us as we buy our goods, but it most certainly has affected the way we farm. Given the option, most farmers would jump at the chance of earning a real living from 30 cows again, but that is not to be!

In other ways we also see complications from that industrialisation, it rears its head in “hay drives” and calls for “Drought support”. While I am not condemning farmers for their decisions (they must change just to stay in business) when you look at the logistics of running at such a large scale you begin to see the potentials for problems. Large scale farming also means large costs to outlay, if you have a financial adviser they will suggest lowering overheads, keeping less money invested in stored items, non productive in the short term. Things like Hay or feed stocks for bad years.

In the past, hay sheds (storage) to feed the 30 cows could contain enough feed to carry over during drought years. It was not unusual to have this sitting there for years, our sheds often had hay three years old, in it. We turned it over so that the newest was the last to use, but we also had occasion to resort to it. Too much for our needs was often sold, but never were we without some backup.

These days, the volume needed to supply 700 plus animals, is staggering. Silage pits and hay alike become almost impossible to keep on that scale. Outside farms or contractors become the new suppliers of fodder, and are usually working for many different customers. Being one step removed from the source, automatically means you lose some control, it is another thing to juggle, another variable not entirely under your control.

If a labourer produced 30 items for sale every week, and made a comfortable living from it; then twenty years later was producing the same item but 300 of them and not breaking even, let alone able to survive, what would this tell you? Has his item devalued? Has his wage gone down? Is he any less useful in society? One person, producing so much more in real terms and yet no longer financially viable, makes absolutely no sense! We have Industries like that.

Then we have pears! We are a fruit growing area as well, and The Goulburn Valley produces 90% of Australia's pears, but we are seeing similar things to the Dairy industry. Declining returns and rising costs. Producers capable of growing so much more, yet the consumer not able to buy it.

You may wonder why we do not protest!

You may believe the laws of supply and demand which will be trotted out to explain it, “no demand” or “consumers are buying other things, it’s a natural cycle”. Only a very small portion of that is true, and mostly due to advertising campaigns by the latest craze, or just simple unavailability in the supermarkets. There are so many ways to manipulate sales. So many other “cheaper” items to sell, often the same product, but imported. We are being manipulated!

This manipulation is the part of the problem most often argued over, with terms and descriptive relationships that redefine common words and common sense. So much so, that even with an economic degree behind them, our “financial fixers” stumble from one solution to another, but never actually solve the problems.

Debt is pushed around and attached to various groups, but it never shrinks. Like the farmer producing a hundred times more for the effort put in and still going broke, our financial system never finds equilibrium - debt always increases. Clearly that means there is a bug in the system, a fault in our approach to our use of money. If what is produced cannot be consumed by the payments made in creating it without resorting to more borrowing (a bigger debt) then that is where the answer lies. C.H. Douglas figured this out almost one hundred years ago. He proposed answers to solve those problems, but was ignored because it has always been the intention of those in control of finance, to use it to control us. To further the centralisation of power, a global government.

See: *Money and the Price System*, by C.H.Douglas. <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Money%20and%20the%20Price%20System.pdf>

What can you do? Apart from pushing for correct economic reform, which we should all be doing, we all need to use the current system to our advantage while waiting. We vote for available products with our purchases, our money! If we draw the line and refuse to buy imports over local, or just target a struggling industry, like pears and leave those not Australian off our grocery lists, the manipulators suffer.

I feel for the overseas farmers who you might think will suffer, but what is being done to us is also being done to them. This is another wake up call, like the lockstep roll-out COVID response world-wide, these manipulations are also world-wide and intentional. They are meant to break our self reliance, our independence. The push for control knows no boundaries, ask yourself who owns these corporations that operate globally.

We can struggle to find ways to push back, to be the change we want to see. It is too big. We can seem defeated before we start. Using our money wisely is one way; using our money in cash, is another! It is a simple push back, you just need to convince yourself that it is not just about “convenience”, when you tap a card. It is about control of you, of the information this gives those who would manipulate you. Targeted advertising from your buying/spending patterns, etc. Use of cash denies them this opportunity.

Take this push for the “convenience” of digital ID, it could be a card, a phone, an implant, heck even facial recognition at point of sale will do it: all are much the same! It is a crafted intention to eliminate cash transactions, a deliberate funnelling of all spending into easily seen and easily used information about you.

I spoke with someone recently about using cash. They responded with the fact that they were asked by their bank, what they wanted it for? They were limited to how much they could withdraw and also expected to provide a reason. A reason for wanting their own money!, not like it was a loan to be repaid! How dare they!

This is where we must stand up and push back. Do you seriously think this response is just caution? Or part of a wider program to eliminate any real control we have, to get us used to being questioned about spending? What comes next is being advised on what to spend it on, then TOLD. Initially probably imposed reasons like carbon credits, or some other limitation requirement that is “sold” to us.

If this confrontation by the banks when you ask for your money, intimidates you, that is because it is meant to. Privacy laws are a part of damn near every agreement we make these days, many times they are restrictive to our everyday business.

Turn it around on them, “none of your business!” Should be the answer, “privacy is my right!” Or joke with the teller, use a totally ridiculous reason, I often say “I’m buying a new leg, this one aches” or depending on who asks, even “prostitutes”, I save that one for over eager enquiries who feel they must put something. The asker also needs to realise it is an invasion of your privacy and truly none of their business. It’s just my job, becomes more uncomfortable for them to insist. We must all stand up, even those who are supposed to enforce it, if it wasn’t enforced, it wouldn’t happen. Digital restrictions will be so simple for them to implement if we are already a part of it. A part of that digital world.

Still not sure why you should use cash more?

Imagine that your every financial transaction is followed (you really don’t have to imagine it – your tap-and-go is exactly that!), it is a very short step from monitoring to control. Your spending habits are equally at risk of becoming a target for making a “responsible society”. Spend according to imposed limits, just as they keep you from spending more money than you have. “Transaction declined”.

A card can be declined for many reasons, it can be linked to many databases. If our Victorian government goes ahead with it’s ban on cooking with gas, as it seems to be proposing yet again, then a transaction to buy bottled gas, or to pay for a gas top up, may well be refused, a restricted transaction!

If the carbon tax we mentioned earlier, (it has been discussed and was briefly implemented in 2013 and was removed due to difficulties in it’s use) is made law, then a complete digitisation of our monetary system would see those difficulties removed.

Each item for sale would have a carbon footprint attached to it, like a sales tax or a GST, and automatically deducted. Another short step from there is the tallying of those carbon credits against you and deciding you have over-spent your allowance. You have reached your acceptable limit. Either the rate then goes up and you pay

more (most likely) or you become “carbon bankrupt” (you irresponsible person you) and “DECLINED!”

Who do you think will decide this? It won't be us! So we need to use cash!

The Online Safety Amendment (Social Media Minimum Age) Bill 2024, starting with social media, are due to take effect by 11 Dec 2025. What will this mean? All users will be asked to identify themselves in some way, to prove they are over 16. In doing so you will be losing any privacy you may have had previously. Your entire search history will be legally up for grabs. It is a digital ID for everyone, even though sold to us as protecting our children. Like your spending habits, browser habits can then also be profiled, limited or used to potentially effect you, even gaol you if your content is deemed “misinformation”. Not just dear old facebook jail-time, depending on crisis enacted legislation, it could be real, for disagreeing with government! After what we went through during COVID, nothing is off the table as far as I am concerned, overreach now seems to be their middle name.

Libraries and face to face community interaction needs to replace the impersonal attachment we have for our phones and other digital enticements. This reliance weakens our actions and our resolve. Real frowns, voice inflections and posture, are the best way of pointing out the realities we are facing. I truly believe that the importance of recognising what we are facing, can only come through this method. The ways to fight back and fix what is broken, or being broken, is equally boosted by physical presence among others who share these concerns. Print on a screen is a poor comparison to face to face community discussion. We need to be out there!

BASIC FUND

The Basic Fund for this financial year is always open. I am making a special call to all those who have planned to make a donation but maybe have over-looked doing so. The fund did not fill this past year so it will be wonderful if we can make a special effort while also renewing your OT subscription. As always, we appreciate your contributions, no matter how large or small. Each donation is really a vote of thanks for the work of the League and a tribute to the dedicated effort of those in the ‘engine room’.

BEQUESTS

Apart from the Basic Fund, the League is also a recipient of bequests from supporters who remember us in their Will. These extra dollars help a lot and while we are grateful, it is unfortunate that on those occasions we are unable to personally express our thanks. Best details for establishing a bequest are available from Head Office. - ND

League Objectives

- (a) To promote loyalty to the Christian concept of God, to the Crown, and to the Country.
- (b) To advocate genuine competitive individual enterprise and personal initiative.
- (c) To defend private ownership and advocate its extension in order that individual freedom with security shall be available to all.
- (d) To attack and expose government-by regulation and bureaucratic interference with economic and social activities.
- (e) To take steps designed to secure to the individual very definite rights which no government can take away, and especially steps which defend the written constitution.
- (f) To defend the Rule of law which makes all equal before the Law.
- (g) To stress the value of our system of Common Law, originally built up in Great Britain, to protect the rights of the individual; and to that end, to expose corruption and partiality in all their forms.
- (h) To expose the manner in which the safe guards of individual rights and liberties are being destroyed.
- (I) To emphasise the value of the Senate and of Legislative Councils.
- (j) To expose and oppose all anti-British propaganda and actions, irrespective of their origin.
- (h) To take such other actions as may be deemed desirable to promote the policy of the League.

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

On Target Subscription Postage Details

Mail Postage and Payment Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

On Target Subscription Postage Details

Mail Postage and Payment Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

Annual Subscription to *'On Target'* \$75.00 pa which includes an
Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals -
printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

On Target Subscription Payment Details

Mail Postage and Payment Details to
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

On Target Subscription Payment Details

Mail Postage and Payment Details too
ALOR c/o PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159

Annual Subscription to '*On Target*' \$75.00 pa which includes an
Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals -
printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the
Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.