



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 46

21st November 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

Will There be Reform in the Opposition? By Neville Archibald

01

Will There be Reform in the Opposition? By Neville Archibald

Federal Liberal parliamentarians have been discussing the future of their party for some time. Election losses notwithstanding, the policy structure of the Liberals has changed over the decades to a point where the liberals of the Menzies era would probably not recognise it. Can it be found once again, some sort of base to build on that makes it different enough from Labor that people will actually vote for it and not just vote against Labor, who they appear to be getting sick of.

With this in mind, under the leadership of Sussan Ley, a compromise seems to have been met. David Littleproud was threatening to take the National's support away from the coalition structure, if something didn't change, namely Net Zero and its attendant complications. This policy along with several others, immigration numbers among them, has led to a press conference just recently where these changes were discussed.

<https://www.facebook.com/SkyNewsAustralia/videos/liberals-and-nationals-finally-agree-on-a-net-zero-position/1506175140444719/>

Sussan Ley, Andrew Littleproud and Dan Tehan spoke about this 'new' direction that they would take. Littleproud, while claiming a different approach to the energy sector, more in line with giving Australians and Australian business a better deal, did not entirely denounce the Paris agreement nor did he back away from climate targets. It just appeared to be the speed and way it was being handled that was the contention. As for immigration, Ley conceded that numbers were far too high but did not elaborate on full policy, mentioning only a reduction.

In all, I felt the concessions being made to the more moderate liberals who have been trying to bring the party back to its roots, were somewhat lacklustre. But, they were making some sort of acknowledgement. A peace

offering to the 'right' faction.

Then in State politics, things are also on the move. In Victoria we have seen a leadership change in the opposition liberal party, with Brad Battin out and Jess Wilson in. This change has come about after a number of disastrous leadership attempts and a recognition that they need to be seen as different from Labor in the greater scheme of things. Battin had been polling quite well recently compared to Jacinta Allen (Labor leader) but in my view I guess he was too much like the reforming faction in the federal sphere. Seen as on the right of the party by much of the media, it is possible the party is hoping that the 'center' appeal of Wilson, will counter this drift to the right federally.

If my imagination doesn't carry me away I could almost believe that this is a reaction to the push by the right faction for change. They have replaced Battin with someone who supported the voice to parliament, appears to believe in Net Zero and has other beliefs that I see as more in common with the liberal left. With the consistent push to enact global orientated controls that we have seen from both Labor and Liberal over the last decade or so, I was hoping the reform faction of the Liberals might alter things a bit. I will wait to see what is in store for us when it comes to digital ID, energy policy (especially carbon credit wise) and further 'pandemic' or health related policy.

Jess Wilson's own bio tells us that, *'Jess served as the Executive Director of Policy and Company Secretary at the Business Council of Australia, where she led national work on energy and climate policy, tax, infrastructure, skills, digital security and the business sector's COVID-19 response.'* It will be interesting to see how she handles these crucial topics in the future. While some of these might be Federal concerns, the use of the State parliaments to deal with touchy subjects (as in the 'pandemic' controls) will probably continue to increase as we move further down the road to more government control over our lives.

Jess Wilson is from the leafy Melbourne suburb of Kew and to my mind a more suitable Teal than Liberal. I hope I am wrong! If she moves the Victorian liberals to act more like the party of Menzies ... but maybe I'm dreamin'. NSW leadership has also been contested on the Liberal front and a spill has happened there too. It will be a watch and see which way they truly lean.

Chipping away at agriculture!

Twenty years after a tractor trek to Canberra over cheap vegetable imports, we are at it again.

Potato farmers in Tassie are again struggling to make a profit, with the big multinationals making huge profits but still wanting more. Home brand and own brand produce are again leaning towards cheap imports, often imports that are subsidised in their production. Often the country of origin is not clear, nor is the

quality of, or chemical use in its production, fully known.

We produce some of the cleanest and best produce here in Australia, yet we cannot compete? Why? If we took food miles and subsidies into account, the real cost could be seen, but we don't.

For our Canberra elite, the farming community matter very little. There are no votes in farming. The fact that there is so much carry on about energy, when it comes to renewable s and ridding ourselves of the dreaded 'Fossil'fuels, yet it is okay to waste fuel on importing the very stuff we can grow here sustainably, can not be a bigger dichotomy. Food miles was once a concern to Greenies everywhere, but now silence reigns when another industry is threatened with destruction. Our clean green food, our home industries, our local supply, is in jeopardy, when imports are allowed to ruin us.

Just who is supposed to benefit from our Government? Our poor farmers are not, otherwise this repeating problem would have been dealt with. The excuse that it is too expensive here, is a misleading one. Man power, fuel and agricultural practices are some of the best, some of the most sustainable in the world, yet the Net Zero of feeding ourselves matters not a jot.

We must align with global dynamics, is the cry from the poor global entities who make profit hand-over-fist every year, while their counterparts manage to screw other countries even further, assuring next year Australia will again be forced to accept less or miss out. Our Politicians are either working for the Australians in this country, or they are working for the global picture, dominated by the massive unaccountable international groups, where who knows who really owns those companies.

The agricultural industry is a very basic Australian need, probably the most basic of items required to stay alive in this country. Food security should be the biggest concern, with housing and energy following closely behind. With these three things all else is possible. It is the meat and potatoes of our diet for independence. Why is it that protecting our own interests is pushed aside to let foreign interests rule the market here. It is not just that they rule the market, they also destroy our independence and our culture too in many cases.

Many of the figures reported in the potato industry mean very little unless you look hard at them. Many news sources seem to play it down, yet the stark reality is that over the last seven years, frozen potato imports have quadrupled. The biggest processor in Tasmania, Simplot, has slashed its offered prices by 6%, and when coupled with the huge rises in input costs, we could see a 39% decline in grower profits. So it is not only the payment for produce that is the problem here (which should at least be keeping up with CPI), it is also the continued rise in costs as government, semi government and now privatised suppliers of inputs are also sucking up more and more from our communities.<https://www.>

Government at all levels seem to be intent on putting foreign investment before local business. It is time we said STOP.

The biggest reason that they look for all this 'investment' is to pay our debt. In years past, Australia had the ability to create it's own investment and did so successfully. Relying on none other than our own Commonwealth bank, run at the time by Sir Dennison Miller. We financed ourselves and some major projects correctly, without huge un-payable debts. We had manpower, materials and the knowledge, so we just did it. In a land like ours, with the abundance of both natural resources and ability, the limits we are being subjected to are entirely artificial. See 'The Story of the Commonwealth Bank' by D.J. Amos. Available below: <https://veritasbooks.com.au/products/the-story-of-the-commonwealth-bank-d-j-amos>

'... of all the Administrations which have carried on the government of Australia, two of them are pre eminent for the injuries they have inflicted upon the people they, were appointed to serve: - The Bruce-Page of 1923-1929, and the Chifley Administration of 1945-1949. The former enslaved to domestic financiers an institution, (the Commonwealth bank) which stood between Australia and ruin during the WWI, and could have been used to create permanent prosperity in times of peace. The latter rescued that institution from domestic slavery only to hand it over to a far harsher servitude abroad.' except from the books description.

With the issues we face in this country today, now more than ever before, correct financial policy is paramount.

What if the scales we measure with are not set at zero?

News in the post pandemic world is often slow, when looking for data that might link vaccines to adverse reactions. A study out of South Korea's national database may well be a landmark event, but what will it change? The huge number of well documented statistics (39.4 million adjusted to remove any with uncertain information) shows just how much damage has been done to populations from this experimental roll out. The rise in ailments of all sorts in the vaccinated cohorts rise with the number of doses injected. I don't think I need dwell on that aspect as much as the baseline figure or zero point, which is the cohort they are calling: un-vaccinated.

This word, un-vaccinated, was very cleverly manipulated, either by luck or design.

The COVID vaccination process consisted of two separate shots. If you did not get both, you were considered un-vaccinated.

How better to screw a set of figures, than by including among the not injected

at all (the true un-vaccinated), those with one injection. Any reaction to the first (and there were many) were added to those who had none at all!

Imagine having a situation where you were trying to paint a room white.

Each can of paint you had, had various levels of tint in it. Some had one squirt, some two, some three, etc. If every time you opened a can of paint, you used not only those without any tint, but painted also with those that had just one squirt in it, the others you put aside. Now imagine that the cans were biased towards having one squirt of paint in most of them. You finish painting the room, and you look around to see most of the walls are definitely tinted. What happened to White? It has been thoroughly corrupted.

This is the effect that nearly all of the statistics provided to us, has had to deal with, certainly those from the safe and effective viewpoint. They will never see true white, as off white is the new white for them.

Any study with that shows concerning reactions are likely to be so much worse than presented, due to this 'Taint' or tint as it were. The ultimate in rose coloured glasses, brought to you by ... (safe and effective). Will we ever see the scales set to zero when comparing this important data?

<https://blog.alor.org/the-korean-warning-a-nations-data-exposes-the-dose-dependent-decay-of-immunity-by-mrs-dr-abigail-knight-florida>

Defining Net Zero and why is it important?

The hinge pin of Net Zero is the CO2 level hysteria. We are all going to die of heat death, of catastrophic weather anomalies (which won't be anomalies anymore, just the new normal) or of some other cinematic climatic claptrap. This is our future! Or so we are told.

Like the last article with a finger on the scales when selling us something, one has to question input data for modelling and the hysteria over CO2 concentrations.

As far back as the early 1990s we've been told that it is largely human created CO2 that is pushing temperatures to rise. The insistence that it is our fault for burning fossil fuels has been the leading story. The dire predictions of Al Gore in his 'inconvenient truth' have not eventuated and further predictions of drowned islands, dead coral and increased storm severity has also been found to be lacking. Despite claims of consensus among scientists, many have rebutted the wild claims of looming catastrophe and pointed out that CO2 follows warming trends. This would mean that the driver of temperature is not primarily us, but something else. I have looked at enough data to be confident that no one really understands it enough, with all its variability, to pinpoint exactly how much or how little our contribution matters.

If this is so, then we must look for another reason for the demonisation of CO2. Why is it that I bring this up?

Over the past few years we have seen more and more corruption in our governing systems. Each of these are appearing to create further control over us and that seems entirely too convenient. As a part of a whole evolving plan, it fits a pattern.

With the Carbon scare forcing us to adopt restriction, there must be a measure of how much restriction is to be imposed. There must also be a foolproof way of monitoring such a restriction and gauging just how much each person contributes, especially if it is going to be monetised (because a tax always solves these problems doesn't it). It also must be separate from energy use and cover almost everything we do. Carbon credits are the perfect answer, almost as if it were designed that way ... who would have guessed?

If it can be attached to food, travel, anything you buy and anything you sell, then it is the perfect tax (sorry I meant financial incentive to be a good person for the environment).

It is far too convenient as an overall way of limiting our lives to be just a coincidental addition to Climate strategy. If you are watching this story and remembering past stories, you will know the seventies were predicting an ice age, now it is heat, and recently there have been murmurs about the unsettling of the weather systems we are causing possibly speeding up the return to an ice age again. Be nice if they made up their minds, but alas this also seems to change (just like the weather!).

The main thing is, that we are to blame so we must be limited, no matter what the outcome is. Totalitarianism 101. As a side note, it is interesting that one of the weather stations, primarily used to study atmospheric gases, is located on top of a very active volcano. Mauna Loa in Hawaii.

Founded in 1956 as part of the US Weather Bureau, it was originally at the summit but shifted down slope later as it was unable to be safely maintained there. According to several sources, continuous CO₂ measurements, as well as ozone, cosmic radiation and various other observations are conducted there. CO₂ since march 1958. The Keeling Curve, a graph of atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ is used in predicting and measuring global CO₂ concentrations. Volcanoes spew massive amounts of CO₂ themselves. The parts on the land and those parts underwater all around that area. Now I know there are other monitoring sites and fluctuations are taken into account. One in Antarctica also had fluctuations due to Mt Erebus and a line of volcanic activity offshore and under ice as well. Many of these places have anomalies that must be taken into consideration, and science has mostly done that.

My point here is much like the point with the previous article on who is unvaccinated. If the zero point is corrupted and has to be made allowance for, just what error level does this induce? We have already seen instances of cherry

picking time frames for included data, when trying to show a 'bad' result. It becomes just how much you trust the system to show a true picture – reality – when other considerations seem to be behind the push. If the sky is falling we all become ready to believe almost anything to solve the problem. The use of carbon credits is just too easy to be manipulated, and likely to remain long term afterwards. A perfect control mechanism! https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Keeling_Curve

Is there evil in the world?

As an overview, in the many questioning podcasts being made, nearly all are slowly gravitating towards a strange concept. That whatever the question being asked, we are being told things that do not fit with what is being observed. It is no longer being seen as just incompetence or mistaken attempts at fixing a problem, the presence of a sinister entity is now also becoming too difficult to ignore. It is not that it 'might' be there, it is more that it 'is' there and we now know! Just how entrenched it is, we are beginning to see. Snidely Whiplash or his counterpart actually exists! It is just a matter of how much we can talk about it without being censored!

From science discussion on climate change (a very wide scope of investigations fit in here) to what embarrassing reveals can be released to the public (Epstein, JFK and even, I believe, Amelia Earhart), the self promotion by some proposing to 'out' the truth, or how they can inform the public, is still being used to garner support. The material being given out to restore trust, is in many cases so old or unimportant that it can have no real reform pressure. I ask, what is the benefit of knowing 80 year old information (the release of files on Earhart), when there is so much more being asked, material that is still having a deleterious effect on us. Agent orange, asbestos, a missing airwoman, all taking precedence over the dangers of complications from current vaccine technology, which is still being pumped into community arms, despite plenty of red flags being raised. Corruption by some in the highest places of government that is related to debauchery at Epstein Island. It seems they want to address older problems first where the damage has already been done, before stopping some things that are still causing damage.

This lack of expediency, this method of avoiding the potential prosecution of living breathing people in power, shows who is still in control. They are giving up only the ghosts of the past, who are no longer a threat.

Watch out for the bones of dead snakes, but let the live ones continue to strike. The demand for accountability is being assuaged by historical drama. Like a period play recounting the dangers of the french revolution's guillotine, but not addressing those leading the characters to the scaffold.

So often we are getting bogged in the mud of corruption, but not looking at

how to turn off the water making it, or the person hosing the puddle. We leave them alone!

The core problem we face is lack of accountability. This pretence of care is now being brought forward, the result being, they are giving up ghosts past, in the hope that it will be enough to calm us. Scrooge is still getting a free ride into his future, no need to change the now, just acknowledge the past and do so every few years, a perpetual sorry card, on permanent re-issue (and always after the fact).

We cannot be as effective at a large scale as we can at the local level. Those in positions of power have voter bases, electorates of people (neighbours, friends, acquaintances, people who share things in common locally) that they are given that power by. Is it time to start campaigning heavily in those areas, showing the population who these elected members really are? Some form of push back must occur and only we can do it. No one needs to do anything other than point out truth, but you will also need an alternative if your local selected member is not responsive.

Your Role.

To understand your role as a citizen of Australia and give you some background reading, I suggest Walter Murdoch's, *The Australian Citizen*. An old publication, but one that was written before much of today's corruption of ideals. https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Murdoch_W-The_Australian_Citizen.pdf

Also, a starter for some of the things we should be looking at to turn this country around. This very short address given by Graeme Campbell, Independent Federal member for Kalgoorlie, in 1998.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Campbell_G-Constructive_Nationalism.htm

We need to be thinking of what it is we will need to implement, the ideas we take forward will enable us to have intelligent discussion. This activity must also involve community, for where there is more than one, hope grows and the increment of association creates a greater response.

Ted Mack MHR and previously a councillor in North Sydney, gave an address to the Samuel Griffith Society in 1995. This too, is a short read and an overview of many aspects of governance from local to federal. The inclusion of a referendum and recall component to government is also touched on. We do need to be thinking along the line of how to stop this same problem we face today from happening again. https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Mack_T_Beyond_Representative_Government.pdf

Only by taking an active role in politics, by giving voice to our concerns to those who are meant to hear us, can we make a change. If that does not meet with satisfaction, we will need to try harder, or take the reins of power away from party political machines in favour of real Australian voices. ***

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.