



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 01

16th January 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

'Formerly Great Cities All Over America By Neville Archibald	1
Is Hate Violence? By Neville Archibald	7
Hate Speech Legislation and Letter Template & List of Senators	10

'Formerly Great Cities All Over America

Are Turning Into Open, Festering Sores' By Neville Archibald

Amazing how a great nation (and others, of course) can be brought to ruin by mere abstraction having nothing to do whatsoever with reality. Quite sad and quite mad. How can people be so utterly blind and naive as to accept that they cannot engage in real activities because of a shortage of monetary figures of account?

When will the denouement emerge into the light of day? When will the wreckers of civilization be exposed and their policies discredited to be replaced with those of realism? Citizens can look all around to see vast natural wealth and unused human resources and yet become paralyzed by a delusion that they cannot access these resources because they do not have enough "money"!

We truly do live in a lunatic asylum.

"Where will the money come from? Where will the money come from?" Well there is never any problem creating it for war--for which "noble" and "patriotic" cause it flows unfailingly like water. Yes, the people need to wake up but equally important they must know what to do or support those who do know. Wallace Klinck, Canada January 2012

This thought for the week, going back into 2012, is as appropriate now as then. We look around the world at what our major population centres have become, the out in the open nature of the violence and casual disregard being displayed on a daily basis, is overwhelming. Even in a country like Australia with our laid back nature, the average Aussie is seeing this change too. How is it that we have let it happen?

Wallace goes on to talk about the cause being lack of adequate finance, and the things we are forced to do because of that.

Sometimes it is hard to see the actual cause and sometimes the solutions are presented as having other reasons for their implementation. Quite often the true cause (money and power) are pushed to the back, out of mind, out of sight. Until someone brings them up.

That the violence and unrest on our streets has increased is undeniable. Examining the root cause is hampered by political correctness, and will be further hampered by the implementation of the new proposed 'hate speech' laws. Why do I say this? If we cannot now discuss the 'obvious to all but the politicians' problems we face with unrestricted multiculturalism; it will only get worse. Those trying hard to inject some sanity and clarity into what is really going on, will be further accused and potentially locked up for pointing out the truths, most of us can already see.

Bringing in migrants is said to be for humane reasons, to get them out of bad situations in their own countries. Or it is pushed as needed for reasons, including a lack of skilled workers here. The economic and voting side of this is rarely mentioned. Money must be spent to house, feed and provide for them. Borrowed into existence in a way that our own citizens would otherwise not see. It must be done for reasons of compassion, and added to our debt. We cannot deny compassion and help, it is our soft spot, we don't complain. (unless you are in England at the moment). This money helps to keep our faltering economy going as it is spent with no real production being created to be consumed; but it is still on the never-never of our national debt card. The other point is (and it has been pointed out in parliament recently) the way these new arrivals vote in elections, favouring the big parties who are seen as providing for them. The argument between Labor and Liberal over this has actually reached the papers.

For the public, probably the biggest concern has been the resultant street violence, as people from war torn countries bring their arguments here with them. People with expectations of keeping their own cultures alive despite them being at odds with our national culture. These very words are probably enough to get me cancelled or worse, but they must be examined for what they are. We have seen the gang violence, we saw the long held hatreds between cultures at Bondi. What more do we need to see before we begin to openly talk about it. It is not your average legal gun owner going out and shooting people, or your professional gardeners, running amok with machetes in the suburbs is it? Of course not! Yet these are the very people being targeted by these new laws. Those of us who also try to point these things out are also in the firing line of the hate speech laws, in case we offend someone who doesn't want to look at these realities.

We have, without doubt, some really good migrants arriving, who do wish to leave everything behind and embrace the freedoms this country used to offer. It is not them I am bringing to notice. People of all types have made Australia what it is today, but all have done so while adopting our ideals of freedom to do as we please under our basically Christian derived culture. We have given women not only the vote, but equal status and respect. We are in a process of continual advancement for fairness in this culture no matter who you are – to do so means we must also keep up these expectations so that they will apply to all who make this – our home – theirs. Importing other cultures may seem great on the surface, the bright clothing and food of the glossy advertising, does not encompass all the luggage potentially brought with them. As we saw at Bondi, old hatreds can be hard to extinguish. There is a reason why we had a policy of compatible cultures being our main migrant intake (often confused as the "white Australia policy"). The very word compatible must be at the forefront, or as we are seeing, we will continue to see division and cultural clashes. If the clash of these cultures is not visible enough here, then we must look abroad at the issues in Europe, Great Britain and even the USA. Many of these countries are facing far worse than we have yet seen, it is a certainty that these things will come to pass here too, if WE do not start to discuss and decide what we are expecting of our future.

Politicians on all sides have continually embraced the failed concept of multiculturalism even as its failures have played out. We must address this. When these obvious signs of failure appear on the streets, they wave it off, as though it is still needed for our embracing of the New World Vision they all seem to adhere to. If that is their new vision then I want no part of it. Give me my laconic laid back attitude and the 'she'll be right' attitude, coupled with our quiet achiever abilities. This grew from convict beginnings (a fresh start) to become a people who just did what was needed. We need this conviction again, to do what is needed! We need to throw out those whose vision includes acceptance of this violence as a part of becoming 'more worldly'. If they promote it, they no longer represent us and should be kicked to the kerb of political life or retired to some globalist retreat, to spend their days questing after the impossible on their own time and money and including the problems they wish to envelop in their own homes and back yards, not ours!

A no nonsense approach to offenders, removing those who violate our socially accepted values would include deporting any who breach these things. No immediate citizenship given, until a proven track record be established. Perhaps no asylum given either for those who wish to bring their war torn hatreds with them. If they wish to fight for their country of origin, send them

back to do so, a one way ticket to make change where their heart obviously lies. The idea of forgiving and forgetting the past tragedies in their lives to make or forge a new beginning should be their driving ambition. To make it better for their kids, including their female children. To continue on a legacy of female suppression in this country would be totally at odds with what we as Australians are striving for, why should we accept it being brought in to our country, to multiply and divide our current hard won status. We should not be apologetic for our advances, nor should we be content to see them regress back to those very things we pride ourselves on having mostly removed.

The cesspits of violence and fear that our cities have become is in part, a result of these differences, and a direct result of imported feuds, sometimes centuries old. Often promulgated by religions whose devoted words call for an eye for an eye, or by stoning to death the unbelievers. Poverty and drugs also play a part; but, these things we are allowed to talk about, even if no action is taken.

When it comes to cultural incompatibilities we are supposed to stay quiet.

You can cry out about how these things are mere words and not the actions of those whose religion it is, as much as you like, the words, the intent is still being spread from places of worship today. If our leaders were honest, the reports on this from the people tasked to look into it would be read and acknowledged for what they are. It would be a concern that had consequences for those peddling such views, which are incompatible to our own. Part of becoming Australian is giving up these views! There can be no separate society within our own, which has such alien beliefs. Ours is founded on the concept of Christian love for one another, not hatred because of difference. I readily accept those who wish to live within the boundaries of our hard won freedoms, but do not feel the need to accept those who refuse. It is, in the end, our decision to make. Be a part of us – or not. If not, and you wish to retain practices that are not acceptable here, then I do not feel the need to welcome you in my home, my country, for it is Australia, and it is what we have made it. It will be what we make of it as the future moves forward. If you are content to let others water down our expectations in the name of 'global identity', then that is what you will get. Violence and division will be the normal outcome of daily life. Choose carefully.

If you want to think about why we are keen to hold onto our ideals, consider the play Romeo and Juliet. First written by Shakespeare it has been adapted so many times into popular film and book culture that it is now a classic theme. Boy meets girl from different backgrounds, but by love and faith in each other and the reconciling of family they eventually merge. In the love stories they make it, in the tragedies they don't. What is highlighted in almost every case are the similarities, what the future could bring if prejudices are set aside. The basis for

this expectation comes out of Christian philosophy. It finds the common links and desires in the case of the successful romance, and emphasises the disparities in the tragedies. We long for the successful romance, the bringing together; but we weep over the irreconcilable. That which stops a successful union. The play or story highlights the reasons we must forge ahead to create something better. If those whose hearts do not long for this, those who wish to keep the old way, despite possible progress, keep to the old then we will be worse off, we will not find that common ground to make life for our decedents a better one.

The hidden cost of alternative power.

Given the fire prone nature of our country, and the extent of bushfire damage we see each year, the newest additions to our landscapes are also at risk. This risk brought on a discussion around damaged solar farms and the implications they may have on land use. Fire damaged or hail damaged panels (take your pick) release shards of glass, aluminium and more importantly, heavy metals. Lead, Cadmium etc are some of those often used in panels that will become an industrial style pollutant. Rendering land unsuitable for further food production. Water run-off also becomes an issue, large tracts of this land, once contaminated, will have an effect on local creeks and waterways, and further, because other agricultural practices rely on this catchment water. The question here should surely be: how is this contained on-site, and who is responsible to make sure it goes no further? Is it a risk we are prepared to accept?

The location of many of these sites, sees them in amongst prime agricultural land. Have our eager to please pushers of this addictive technology taken all this into consideration, or have they just looked at the quick fix benefits and vote catching ability they provide. As an example, the creation of a set of storage units in town requires judicious design for catchment and treatment of run off water, before going into the town water management system. It can be an expensive addition to a build. One hopes that these considerations have been enacted when it comes to the environmental and human consequences of the inevitable risk if damage occurs.

The USA and other nations have already seen some of this devastation, we have a fire risk that ensures it is only a matter of time before we see this too. It may have already happened. What impact will this have, has it been considered and how does it compare to the so called pollution effect of coal burning, which is the other argument used to dismiss our concerns? We need to be wary that we are not swapping one pollutant source for another which may well end up far more destructive in the long run. Like many things where money is the prime consideration, our alternative energy future must be assessed for all of its long

term impacts as well as it's possible savings.

To add to these thoughts, I include an extract from Reneweconomy.com.au

"Can lead seep out of solar panels? What about other heavy metals? And if they can, is it enough to poison a whole river? And can the very idea of this poison a whole community's attitude to a proposed solar project?

These questions don't typically take up much time in solar project planning applications, because the answers to the first three questions (only under extreme conditions, no, and no respectively) usually get in the way."

<https://reneweconomy.com.au/how-a-water-agencys-debunked-concerns-about-solar-project-helped-poison-minds-of-local-community/>

The article presents a calming intent, with assurances that all is really okay. I don't entirely disagree – in normal circumstances!

The article goes on to assure us that what can possibly escape would pose little or low level risk. Assuming that all clean up or disposals are tickety boo according to health and EPA requirements.

"here are three scenarios where lead leaching might, theoretically, be possible: if the panels are damaged by a combination of fire followed by acid rain; severe breakage; or fragmentation in landfill when panels are crushed or shredded before disposal and are also subject to acidic fermentation."

The down-play of risk in the case of rarity of acid conditions (which enable the lead ions to dissolve), might be unusual in other 'International areas', but Australian wetlands are often acidic as are billabongs and eucalyptus contaminated black-water in waterways after floods (which quite often follow fires). In the research that I have had access to, solar farm development is often only erosion and run-off during the build. After construction concerns seem centred on not altering or making a big impact on previous water flow.

I could not see any consideration of what to do after a fire or hail event and the management process then. I would be more than happy to find it exists and has been seriously considered in something other than just the CFA guidelines. See page 30 for recommendations for battery storage facilities.



CFA (Country Fire Authority)

<https://www.cfa.vic.gov.au/ArticleDocuments/1933/250611%20-%20CFA%20DGMR%20Renewable%20Energy%20Facilities%20v4.4.pdf>

All of this rapid roll-out has many concerned. With the high rate of change of ownership of these new companies involved in this industry, I would like to be sure the buck isn't being passed along instead of being dealt with. I don't condemn solar power, I condemn the 'push it at any cost' attitude that seems to be taking forefront of policy. The usual 'bigger is better' is winning out over a more sensible decentralised possibility that for some reason (possibly monetary) is not being considered.

We need to look carefully at the real world here for a minute and ask some relevant questions. Firstly, low level contamination after damage, means there is still contamination. Ongoing exposure to this is cumulative and we have a lifetime to gather it, especially if we live around it. So low, plus low, plus low, etc, will be a problem we did not have prior to it's addition. Someone will have it. Contamination by various means in various areas means different environments and conditions. The "unlikely events" mentioned in the international research used to calm us about the potential risk don't always remain unlikely, especially when there are always other factors in play. Disposal of contaminated material is not always done in the best way. Monetary consideration more often than not, plays a big part – and we have seen where that goes before.

Contacting your representatives on every matter that concerns you remains your first, your primary action. All else is great for educational purposes, but re-engagement and application of correct political procedure (including the election of a true representative of your electorate community) by enough people can still make a difference. Individual politicians are still dependant on individual votes before anything else. Selection as a candidate, party ties etc only mean something if the electorate supports them. Turning away from the current system, does not have to mean non-participation in the process. Just don't participate in party politics or vote for those who put party before community. Be selective, discriminate carefully! ***

Is Hate Violence? By Neville Archibald

The biggest thing facing us at this moment, is the proposal to adopt hate speech laws. The recall of parliament, to push through hastily put together legislation addressing so called hate speech and gun control laws is a knee jerk reaction to the devastating incident at Bondi. Instead of asking what went wrong with our system to allow this to happen, our leaders are attempting to lock us all into little cages, that includes the law abiding among us, who were just as horrified that something like this could happen in our own country. We are not just talking law abiding gun owners either. The inclusion of the Orwellian concept of hate-speech, is something that can be used to target anyone whose opinions differ with whatever politically correct version of life is currently in vogue.

Those of us with strong beliefs of a political or spiritual nature are also to be targeted. Forget the extremist element, there are already laws in place to deal with incitement and violence in that sphere, and have been for many years. We all now face the possibility of being subject to legal proceedings, arrest or targeting, for what we previously saw as robust discussion. If feelings are hurt over a foot in mouth comment, made in the heat of the moment, it will now be a possible gaol term. If someone who 'hates' you or your ideals wishes to, they will

be able to report you and do so anonymously. No facing your accuser. I do not wish to get bogged down in the nitty gritty of this legislation, that would be the intent of those who have framed and are pushing it, I wish to address the over-riding direction this sort of thing is doing to us, as a nation.

Framing legislation to control every little facet of our lives, is the ongoing process we are facing. Have we seen this before in history? The answer is yes! It led to murder!

The Scribes and Pharisees of Christ's time were just such people. Rules and punishments existed for every little thing. Man was not free to follow God in his own way, but was captured in a rigorous system that allowed the strong to rule the weak under the pretence of God's will. Christ came to free his people from these corruptions and set them again on the path of individual redemption, not regulated into adhering to God's will, but personally accepting responsibility for their actions.

He was crucified for this belief, murdered.

Two thousand years later, we find ourselves again fighting for that society, where the individual has the freedom to live their lives under that one basic rule: love your God and your neighbour as yourself. To be made subject to rules for thought control, for rules over what discussion can take place, and to have these rules subject to the whim of the current ruling class just drags us backward.

Once again we will be submerged in a sea of petty legislation. Each time we come up for air, new ways will be created to keep us from emerging, stopping that individual expression of this concept, denying us the freedom to flower and grow.

Let us not be afraid here to realize our intention. Are we trying to allow the best to come out of us all, or are we to cater for the lowest common denominator and force all to follow a restricted path. One that is restricted by the very type of man that we see controlling our parliaments, pushing their own barrows and not admitting to failures of the system that already was in place and should have done it's duty.

Again I am not wanting to play the game of further restriction by legislation. If a royal commission is to be held, it should be entirely conducted to ask why current laws were not up-held, why the failure was allowed to happen. The perpetrators of this crime were known to our authorities, and as far as I can see, were not being made subject to the laws that I have personally seen used to take guns off innocent gun owners (though they got them back thankfully). So much is wrong with this way of responding, it is difficult to know where to start. Like Port Arthur and 9-11 after it, fear, anger and disgust are being hijacked to add in control mechanisms for other forms of political unrest. We have seen a rise in political participation in this nation, as people become more and more fed

up with the poor outcomes our governments are giving us.

People are raising their voices in protest at increasing loss of freedoms and what is seen as blatant mismanagement of taxes. Overspending on steroids - for previously unimaginable things. Promises by successive governments, both Liberal and Labor that have not just been broken, but denied after-ward.

This rise in debate is healthy, the debates on this country's direction going forward, is also a healthy thing, yet the people seem to be at odds with the parties who rule. Now the parties wish to dictate via 'hate speech' regulation, exactly what can be debated. If you have not read *1984*, the time to do so is now; because it is soon to be no longer just a fictional account of what could be, it will be, and is, fast becoming reality.

Despite having some of the strongest gun laws in the world, and despite having removed what we were told were the deadliest of them, an incident has still occurred. Off the back of this, more draconian legislation has been drafted. We are effectively being told we are not responsible enough to enjoy the freedoms our forefathers took for granted. We are no longer mature enough to engage in public discussion about the desires we have for this nation. We are not 'educated' enough to decide for ourselves what we dislike and like about the happenings in this country. All this must be put into laws to frame our thoughts and desires, to stop us from earnest discussion under fear of punishment.

All members of parliament must be contacted and asked to oppose this draconian move. Ask them instead, why were our current adequate laws not used correctly? what went wrong? Ask whose decision it was to ignore the threats posed? Hold them accountable. Shake them up to do the job they already have permission to do.

While you are about it, ask also why we must accept into our lives, cultures that openly preach hate for our ways, why are they allowed to remain here, to be given asylum here. Ask why we are not allowed to question this most important of all subjects, how we retain some form of social cohesion! If you put the lid on this debate now, it can only get worse. Like an ostrich with its head in the sand, life still goes on around it while it refuses to see it. It must be dealt with by public discussion. Australia is our home and as such it should be we who decide what sort of society we want. Putting a lid on it will only cause the pressure to rise. ***

Hate speech legislation

We must be ever wary of any legislation being put to parliament, even more so when it curtails our right to openly ask questions or engage in debate. The Bondi tragedy has brought out the knee jerk political response that always accompanies such horrific events. Ill considered reaction to garner favour with voters seems to be the immediate sound bite that all clamour to take part in. What they do not seek, are the real reasons behind why it was allowed to happen. I don't believe I have heard anything at all about a true enquiry into why these two individuals were allowed access to guns.

As I opined in the first article, we need to address our future as a nation and the direction we wish to take. This can only be done when no one is worried that what might be said during that conversation. Of course culture and religion must be brought up, of course international issues will be mentioned, and of course the reasons behind incidents like this will be discussed; this is healthy and necessary. To limit what can be said will only lead to frustration and resentment.

'Sticks and stones will break my bones, but names will never hurt me.' was the expectation we used to have. If we are too scared to bring up any concerns we have, then not only have we lost free speech, we have also lost the right to self determination. The right to decide our own future. This seems to be the direction much of our political policy is taking us in. The policy makers are the very people we elect to represent us. With this in mind it is even more crucial now to write to them and express your concern.

The latest on the 'hate speech' legislation, is that Albanese has put it back to the parliament; asking what do they want? Doing the usual Fabian two step, the proposal is far too much, but while the introduced compromise ends up looking better, it could still be a step in the wrong direction.

Now that the whole of parliament is being asked to look at it, every one of your representatives, (your senators and your local members) will be in a position to speak. You have access to them and you need to make sure they know how you feel.

A short two sentence letter (or longer if you want) is all it takes to make your concerns known. The backing down by the P.M. may well have been because of the amount of push back; but, make no mistake, censorship of free speech will still be pushed. We have seen dissenting voices silenced during COVID and on matters of legitimate immigration concerns that many protests have endeavoured to show. Each time the debate is squashed, to now criminalise it would be a happy day for those wanting total control. Let's not give them their happy day. Write or email them now.

As an example of what you might say, try this example from Ken Grundy.
(using your relevant state Senators list and your local member) :

=====

=====

To the Hon Senator for South Australia

Dear Hon Senator

When considering legislation on Hate Laws, I expect to be critical of any country which claims some territory from their neighbour without the neighbour's consent. I will presume it is a right for me to be critical of such moves, whether it occurs in Indonesia, Pakistan, Mexico or between Israel and Palestine. Nothing less will suffice!

Please ensure this fundamental right.

Yours faithfully

Or similar with this as the body:

"A free society does not require enforced agreement. It requires open debate, robust disagreement and the freedom to challenge prevailing orthodoxies without fear of punishment."

"I call on the Parliament to reject speech policing laws and to affirm freedom as a constitutional guarantee."

(taken from an excellent article from Senator Babet.)

Let's Talk About It - Senator Babet <senatorbabet@substack.com>

=====

=====



THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA
THE SENATE

LIST OF SENATORS

Senator P.J. Allman-Payne
PO Box 5304 Gladstone QLD 4680

Senator M. Ananda-Rajah
PO Box 2293 Wattletree Road PO VIC 3145

Senator A.C. Antic
398 Main North Road Blair Athol SA 5084

Senator W.A. Askew
Opposition Whip in the Senate
PO Box 97 Launceston TAS 7250

Senator the Hon T. Ayres
Minister for Industry and Innovation
Minister for Science
PO Box 3306 Redfern NSW 2016

Senator R.D. Babet
United Australia Party Whip
Suite 2, 77 Victor Crescent
Narre Warren VIC 3805

Senator S.F. Bell
Pauline Hanson's One Nation Whip
GPO Box 36 Sydney NSW 2001

Senator L. Blyth
107 Sir Donald Bradman Dr. Hilton SA 5033

Senator A.J. Bragg
PO Box 156 Paddington NSW 2021

Senator S. Brockman
Deputy President, Chair of Committees
U4, 1 Harper Terrace South Perth WA 6151

Senator the Hon C.L. Brown
GPO Box 376 Hobart TAS 7001

Senator R.P. Cadell
Ground Floor, 28-30 Bolton Street
Newcastle NSW 2300

Senator the Hon M.J. Canavan
Nationals Whip in the Senate
PO Box 737 Rockhampton QLD 4700

Senator the Hon M.C. Cash
Leader of the Opposition in the Senate
PO Box 1966 West Perth WA 6872

Senator C. Chandler
PO Box 250 Richmond TAS 7025

Senator the Hon A.D. Chisholm
Assistant Minister for Regional Development
Assistant Minister for Agriculture
Assistant Minister for Resources
PO Box 1117 North Lakes QLD 4509

Senator R. Ciccone
Suite 12.01, Level 12, 1 Collins Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
Senator the Hon R.M. Colbeck
PO Box 603 Devonport TAS 7310

Senator J. Collins
Deputy Opposition Whip in the Senate
GPO Box 36 Sydney NSW 2001

Senator D. Cox
PO Box 53 Applecross WA 6953

Senator L. Darmanin
Deputy Government Whip in the Senate
Level 3 North, 4 Treasury Place
East Melbourne VIC 3002

Senator J. Dolega
PO Box 360 Devonport TAS 7310

Senator R. Dowling
PO Box 320 Kingston TAS 7050

Senator the Hon J.R. Duniam
Manager of Opp. Business in the Senate
GPO Box 453 Hobart TAS 7001

Senator the Hon D.E. Farrell
Minister for Trade and Tourism
Special Minister of State
PO Box 6338 Halifax Street SA 5000

Senator M. Faruqi
Deputy Leader of the Australian Greens
72 Campbell Street Surry Hills NSW 2010

Senator the Hon K.R. Gallagher
Minister for the Public Service
Minister for Women, Finance, Govt. Services
Vice-President of the Executive Council
Unit 3, 40 Corinna Street Phillip ACT 2600

Senator V. Ghosh
PO Box 190 West Perth WA 6872

Senator the Hon N.L. Green
Assistant Minister for Tourism
Assistant Minister for Pacific Island Affairs
Assistant Minister for Northern Australia
PO Box 4716 Cairns Post Shop
Cairns QLD 4870

Senator K.A. Grogan
Deputy Government Whip in the Senate
265 Churchill Road Prospect SA 5082

Senator P.L. Hanson
Leader of Pauline Hanson's One Nation
GPO Box 228 Brisbane QLD 4001

Senator S.C. Hanson-Young
Manager of Aust. Greens Bus. in the Senate
Level 7, 147 Pirie Street Adelaide SA 5000

Senator the Hon S. Henderson
PO Box 821 Geelong VIC 3220

Senator S. Hodgins-May
1 Eastbourne Street Windsor VIC 3181

Senator the Hon J. Hume
PO Box 224 Richmond VIC 3121

Senator M. Kovacic
GPO Box 36 Sydney NSW 2001

Senator J. Lambie
PO Box 256 Burnie TAS 7320

Senator K.J. Liddle
Level 2, 31 Ebenezer Place Adelaide SA 5000

Senator the Hon S. Lines
President of the Senate
PO Box 1322 West Perth WA 6872

Senator the Hon J.R. McAllister
Minister for Nat. Disability Ins. Scheme
Suite 501, Level 5, 54-66 Wentworth Avenue
Surry Hills NSW 2010

Senator the Hon M. McCarthy
Minister for Indigenous Australians
PO Box 1596 Darwin NT 0801

Senator S.E. McDonald
Deputy Leader of the Nationals in the Senate
PO Box 2185 Townsville QLD 4810

Senator the Hon J.A. McGrath
Deputy Manager of Opp. Bus. in the Senate
PO Box 772 Nambour QLD 4560

Senator the Hon B.G. McKenzie
Leader of the Nationals in the Senate
172 High Street Wodonga VIC 3690

Senator N.J. McKim
Australian Greens Whip
GPO Box 896 Hobart TAS 7001

Senator A.L. McLachlan CSC
Level 1, 97 King William Street
Kent Town SA 5067

Senator C.M. Mulholland
PO Box 2350 Chermside Centre QLD 4032

Senator J.S. Nampijinpa Price
Deputy Opposition Whip in the Senate
PO Box 40021 Casuarina NT 0811

Senator D.M. O'Neill
Suite 3.12, The Platinum Building, 4 Ilya Avenue
Erina NSW 2250

Senator M.A. O'Sullivan
PO Box 3466 Success WA 6964

Senator J. Paterson

Ground floor, 63 York Street
South Melbourne VIC 3205

Senator F. Payman

Australia's Voice Whip
GPO Box B58 Perth WA 6838

Senator B. Pocock

Level 1, 110 King William Road
Goodwood SA 5034

Senator D.W. Pocock

Independent ACT Whip
PO Box 705 Gungahlin ACT 2912

Senator H. Polley

PO Box 1223 Launceston TAS 7250

Senator M.I. Roberts

PO Box 228 Brisbane QLD 4001

Senator the Hon A.S. Ruston

Deputy Leader of the Opp. in the Senate
PO Box 1671 Renmark SA 5341

Senator P.M. Scarr

GPO Box 228 Brisbane QLD 4001

Senator D.N. Sharma

GPO Box 36 Sydney NSW 2001

Senator A.V. Sheldon

Chief Government Whip in the Senate
PO Box 21267, World Square Post Shop
Sydney NSW 2000

Senator D.M. Shoebridge

Suite 201, Level 2, 1A Lawson Square
Redfern NSW 2016

Senator D.A. Smith

PO Box 40 Madeley WA 6065

Senator M.F. Smith

T1 10 Park Terrace Bowden SA 5007

Senator J.A. Steele-John

Office 1.19, Level 1, 140 William Street
Perth WA 6000

Senator G. Sterle

Units G2 and G3, 150-152 Riseley Street
Booragoon WA 6154

Senator J.N.A. Stewart

PO Box 75 Reservoir VIC 3073

Senator L.A. Thorpe

Independent VIC Whip
PO Box 1526, 186-188 Smith Street
Collingwood VIC 3066

Senator T.M. Tyrrell

Independent TAS Whip
PO Box 1087 Launceston TAS 7250

Senator C.G. Walker

Commonwealth Parliament Offices
Level 27, Festival Tower, Station Road
Adelaide SA 5000

Senator the Hon J.C. Walsh

Minister for Early Childhood Education
Minister for Youth
PO Box 233 Brunswick East VIC 3057

Senator L.J. Waters

Leader of the Australian Greens
Locked bag 3004 Paddington QLD 4064

Senator the Hon M.P. Watt

Minister for the Environment and Water
GPO Box 228 Brisbane QLD 4001

Senator P.S. Whish-Wilson

PO Box 5194 Launceston TAS 7250

Senator E. Whiteaker

PO Box 531 Belmont WA 6984

Senator T. Whitten

PO Box 369 Belmont WA 6984

Senator the Hon P. Wong

Minister for Foreign Affairs
Leader of the Government in the Senate
PO Box 6237, Halifax Street
Adelaide SA 5000