

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 22

12th June 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

Still playing God By Neville Archibald	1
Recap: Vaccination Conversation Canberra (28th May 2026) By Judy Wilyman PhD.	7

Still playing God By Neville Archibald

A recent release on the official Website of the US government, the 'National Library of Medicine', is an article on mouse lethal H5N1 (or bird flu). This abstract awaits further publication and is online ahead of complete print, but it seems they are still altering the avian flu. So, if you thought gain of function was bad? It is still happening. Our attempts at understanding various virus activity, still includes creating extremely lethal and transmissible pathogens. In layman's terms virtually weaponizing something that has not yet crossed the species boundary - until now. You must remember, it is extremely rare that these things go from species to species, unless of course, we are splicing things in. The origin of the recent 'pandemic' was almost certainly, a lab leak.

The overwhelming consensus from US congress, the FBI, DOE and other agencies point to such a lab leak. Yet here we are still emulating Dr Frankenstein with no regard for the potential outcomes. They talk of the characteristics that must change to create and '*to maintain high lethality in mice*'. Mice are mammals and thereby a significant adaption of the virus in normal times. Mice have lived in and around birds since time began, yet we've seen no real issues there. Mankind are mammals too and further in the article they also mention the sequence needed to '*augment its capacity to interact with human ANP32A/B protein.*' just what are they really playing at? What gives them the right to risk the lives of entire populations?

Our track record with environmental manipulation is fraught with dangerous precedents, the cane toad here in Australia, crown of thorns starfish (spread by ships in ballast water, not deliberate as such), typhoid, smallpox and

many other things we unknowingly at the time, unleashed. Now we are playing God with nuclear comparable examples, knowingly, and expecting the 'security' of a laboratory to stop it. There have been escapes from labs before and there will be again as the human element is always the weakest. The 1977 H1N1 flu pandemic showed clear signs of lab origin, as have others. Proper accounting for these 'accidents' is always a difficult to obtain as much of it is hushed up to protect further research and development. Weaponized material notwithstanding. Financial gain, career moves, and political control motives always find individuals who will take advantage. Leaving certain individuals in charge, despite questionable past decisions they have made, gives me absolutely no confidence in the political sphere of control. Many in this realm have openly stated that the world would be better with fewer people; but that is another story.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42246480/>

Are they playing God?

Tick numbers explode in America, coincidentally as the rise of alpha gal from the lone star tick also is on the rise. Blamed on warmer overwintering and the inevitable claim of climate change, academics and political manipulators are quick to shoot down the real-world people who are refuting that 'warm winter' hypothesis (not their lived experience this year). Those commenting online and even posting footage of massive amounts of ticks (unusually active) are being called all sorts of things. The 'fact checkers' are out in force and algorithms appear to be hard at work, removing many posts. I watched a great many before deciding to seriously look at this question. The 'false flag' and 'look at me' posts are full of misdirection and manipulation. This time however, I remembered a previous ethics committee podcast that mentioned 'ticks'. Much like Bill Gates and his 'if we do a real good job with vaccines' speech, that talked of population reduction; this one was talking about a reduction in meat consumption by tick induced allergies. It took me a little time to find a part of that original discussion (someone else beat me to it – the Instagram post contains the part I remembered). It came from, conveniently, a WEF bioethics discussion and was talking about this very thing - as a way to reduce climate change. Human bioengineering to make red meat (one of the nastiest CO2 and methane polluters) a less consumable product.

This is the paper referring to Human Engineering and Climate Change: Liao *et al.* (2012) <https://doi.org/10.1080/21550085.2012.685574> see quote on adjacent page.

The ethics of altering human physiology appeared less dangerous than the geoengineering that was being contemplated at the time.

'In this paper, we consider a new kind of solution to climate change, what we call human engineering, which involves biomedical modifications of humans so that they can mitigate and/or adapt to climate change. We argue that human engineering is potentially less risky than geoengineering and that it could help behavioural, and market solutions succeed in mitigating climate change.'

The lone star tick, often known for carrying Lyme disease, also now carries something called 'Alpha Gal' which causes an allergic reaction to red meat. This syndrome is on the increase in America in a big way. The 'National Library of Medicine' has this to say,

'Mammalian meat allergy (MMA), increasingly linked to delayed hypersensitivity reactions such as alpha-gal syndrome, is a growing public health concern. This study evaluates the incidence, prevalence, and incidence rate of MMA in a large, diverse population of over 114 million individuals across two time periods (2015-2020 and 2021-2025), with stratification by age, sex, race, and ethnicity.'

'MMA increased dramatically across all demographics. Overall incidence proportion rose by over 5,500%, with the most substantial increases observed in individuals over 40 years old.' <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12365936/>

Since Alpha-gal syndrome could be used to reduce meat consumption and thereby help mitigate climate change (and reduce population size), could it be possible that there is a link? The WEF is known for its forward thinking and thinking outside the box. They know what is good for us and seem happy to tell us.

See Matthew Liao explaining his idea here:

https://www.instagram.com/reel/DXom70bgS_q/

While I realise the above is an Instagram video, there are also Ted talks, the BBC and this article (with a link to X and the ted talk) that allows you to hear what he proposed to the WEF.

<https://www.sheepcentral.com/opinion-when-bioethics-crosses-the-line-in-the-war-on-red-meat/>

In short, this extract from the above article, gives you an idea of the discussions being had at a world level.

'In a paper titled 'Beneficial Bloodsucking' published in the medical journal 'Bioethics' earlier this year, two professors of medical ethics argue that ticks could be engineered to spread alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) — a natural condition that causes a debilitating red meat allergy. They argue that eating meat is ethically wrong, and contend that actively promoting this tickborne disease to force people to give up meat is not just permissible but 'morally mandatory'.'

I find it very convenient that this very tick explosion and alpha-gal syndrome now seems to be on the rise! The starting point for this discussion seems to be around 2012, could there be a connection here?

I would not be asking this seriously unless there were other examples out there, of human modification by coercion. People of all types, the world over, have often suggested some radical solutions to our supposed problems, some real crazies among them! It is still no different today, we have not advanced so far as a civilization, that evil, true evil, has been wiped from our species. It still exists, justified often in 'the call for a greater good'.

In my searching I also came across this, dated July 22nd 2025:

'Abstract

The bite of the lone star tick spreads alpha-gal syndrome (AGS), a condition whose only effect is the creation of a severe but nonfatal red meat allergy. Public health departments warn against lone star ticks and AGS, and scientists are working to develop an inoculation to AGS. Herein, we argue that if eating meat is morally impermissible, then efforts to prevent the spread of tickborne AGS are also morally impermissible. After explaining the symptoms of AGS and how they are transmitted via ticks, we argue that tickborne AGS is a moral bioenhancer if and when it motivates people to stop eating meat.

We then defend what we call the Convergence Argument: If x-ing prevents the world from becoming a significantly worse place, doesn't violate anyone's rights, and promotes virtuous action or character, then x-ing is strongly pro-tanto obligatory; promoting tickborne AGS satisfies each of these conditions. Therefore, promoting tickborne AGS is strongly pro-tanto obligatory. It is presently feasible to genetically edit the disease-carrying capacity of ticks. If this practice can be applied to ticks carrying AGS, then promoting the proliferation of tickborne AGS is morally obligatory.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40693342/>

Justifications are being made by many people, for many reasons. False ideals or alarms give rise to false solutions, even solutions that no one would contemplate seriously in other times. When a section of our community on earth, have both the ability and the moral justification (in their eyes) to create change, will they follow on with it?

As I said, tick numbers have exploded this year, claims of 'tick boxes' being found in some areas are reminiscent of the release of mosquitoes in other years, justified by malaria control trials. Male mosquitoes have been used in the past to reduce overall mosquito numbers. They are sterile when released, and after mating with females, those eggs do not hatch. Bill Gates (through his foundation) has done similar things in Africa and elsewhere, but with different

alterations. This form of control mechanism is an entirely plausible scenario to reduce numbers and has seen similar uses across species. Sadly, with the release of a 'novel vaccine' forced upon a scared world, with insufficient and downright faulty testing, the moral compass of those in charge is already questionable in my opinion. What is to stop those faulty moral compasses from pointing to a reduction in meat consumption by any means necessary?

<https://www.fastcompany.com/91553299/something-is-causing-tick-populations-to-explode-in-these-states-and-experts-are-alarmed>

We won't be eating meat.

The WEF tell us 'You will be eating replacement meats within 20 years.' I see shades of Soylent Green (1973 Sci-Fi), if you have not seen it, it is disturbing movie which shows just what 'people' are prepared to accept, what 'we the plebs' can be made to put up with, while those in control, swill, belch and chew their way through the elite menus of the world.

Some of the benefits of synthetic meat (according to WEF) are:

'What's more, epidemic risks including mad cow disease and bird flu are not a concern as the production process is subject to strong quality management requirements, which will lead to greater levels of security in the supply chain.'

So, I ask you, is the current parallel research into manipulation of bird flu to cross over into mammals, just a coincidence? Is the push to financially force the feeding of 'wrong foodstuffs' to cows, just to stay profitable, and that link to mad cow disease, also just a convenient parallel that is happening? Financial restrictions are putting natural farming methods at a disadvantage, forcing farmers into more industrial style practices and leading to greater disease and therefore medicinal interventions! This most certainly does not help the situation! Then there is the talk of conversion efficiencies and ability to feed more people with artificial meat rather than naturally raised livestock. (Again, much of this is induced by the faulty financial system).

'The conversion of grain (when used as livestock feed) in dry weight to meat with similar amounts of calories is around 15% across all meat types, as most of this energy is lost in keeping animals' body temperature constant, creating by-products and excreting waste. If by-products are considered as edible meat, the conversion rate rises to 23%. Meanwhile, novel vegan and cultured meat need significantly less material input and water to create the same amount of meat. Their conversion rates are 75% and 70% respectively'.

These calculations rely on 'grain fed conversion rates', growing grain to feed cattle, not basic grazing or traditional foraging, which uses otherwise inedible natural foods (inedible for humans and a part of the environment that has been

included in the life cycle of the planet since the very beginning). The mention of water and material input to create the same amount of meat, does it neglect the labour, power and all other 'factory' running costs to get their 70% efficiencies (both monetary and actual costs! – what about pollution, energy use and the whole ecological impact of removing animals, and then everything else that relies on them, from the environment?). I suggest these figures are entirely suspect, not to mention the true chemical composition and compatibility issues that will arise with the human digestive system and related nutrient uptake. I believe this part is even more crucial.

Sickness and disease, obesity and general ill health are on the rise, largely from the proliferation of processed foods and the denaturing of nutrients. The further we move away from natural sources, the more we are at the mercy of the big processors, whose past history is not reassuring. Who is to say the profit motive interfering in the manufacturing of artificial meat, will not play its part in final production? So many times, have I seen good quality foods, slowly degraded, as the chasing of that very profit margin occurs.

Many available vitamin and mineral supplements today are poorly designed and made, resulting in a far less benefit than many expect from them. Natural Food sources of vitamins and minerals are already human compatible compared to these artificially created ones, our bodies have had millennia to adapt and use what is out there. This subject is a whole other level of interest and something I have been following for decades. Don't get me wrong, some supplements are good, but many are not! Many are an attempt just to make money out of the cheapest methods of manufacturing. Biochemical pathways for use in the human body, are incredibly important and synthetic manipulation can often result in structural differences within chemical compositions, that while the same atoms might be used, their orientation changes. Look up cis and trans configurations of molecules, for a better idea of what I am talking about. Locator compounds for attachment sites in our body (medical compounds fit in here too) need to be the right shape (like a jigsaw puzzle piece) to be used in the body. You can be taking something called 'nature identical' and still be slowly starving your body of its needs if the shape is wrong. This is well known in pure nutritional circles and is why many naturopaths seem to charge more for their supplements, why you also should 'do your own research' to get the best benefit.

I still see Doctors prescribing minerals to help that are effectively useless, especially to aged patients, whose body cannot uptake the various forms offered. Iron, the classic example (red meat and liver wins here) cannot be absorbed readily unless in natural food format. Supplemental iron oxides have given way to ferrous sulfate, ferrous fumarate, and ferrous gluconate as this knowledge

has filtered down. This push has largely been from public expectation and the pressure exerted by our 'doing our own research' into biochemical pathways. In many cases the medical establishment has been dragged along as I see it. Natural sources remain the best option in most cases. They are also present with other nutrient combinations that increase the availability, something that, again, we have adapted to since our rise from Adam.

I had not intended to go into such depth on this subject of manipulation of human life, but the interferences I see happening on all levels, to a largely unsuspecting public, makes my blood boil. Playing God with our lives, in both foods (my closest experience) and with genetic tinkering of any and everything around us, just because we can, or because we see a profit in it, links too closely with the concept of evil. Whether intentional, by neglect, or by a shallow vision of our future, much more thought needs to be put into just what is ultimately beneficial, and to whom. I suspect those in positions of power are the one looking out for themselves – after all, 'it's only business'.

<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2019/06/you-will-be-eating-replacement-meats-within-20-years-heres-why/>

ASIO Bill in Senate.

Previously reported on, the current ASIO powers bill is still on its journey through our Parliament. The removal of a sunset clause (that saw the needs of this bill revisited by our parliament regularly, as it came in under terrorist activity scares and was emergency use) and the inclusion of detainee rights (or lack of them) is under review. We lost certain freedoms with this bill when it came in, now it is to be made permanent. Any loss of freedoms needs to be fought, for once we lose them it becomes extremely difficult to regain them. ***

Recap: Vaccination Conversation Canberra (28th May 2026)

By Judy Wilyman PhD.

Hi all,

As you know we recently held a seminar in Canberra titled '*The Vaccination Conversation*'. It was a very successful event with many people grateful to us for exposing this medical fraud.

The problem is that if we don't keep this conversation going then this fraud will continue and we are already experiencing the sickest generation of children yet. Now, they have also been targeted with novel mRNA technology for which there was no long-term data. However, all the evidence is revealing significant increases in many life-threatening diseases in the younger generations and reproductive conditions that are skyrocketing.

Here is a video link to the seminar and I hope you will be able to watch it and

send it on to your networks. We have provided information underneath in case you are unable to watch the 3 hours in one go:

The Vaccination Conversation

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gSPxavWRQes>

1. **Dr. Phillip Altman's** talk starts at **8:12mins**. In his presentation he reveals the false information that governments provided to the public about the safety and efficacy of the COVID injections. He discusses the fact that the injections are not 'vaccines', and they were not tested in properly controlled clinical trials before they were marketed, and *mandated*, to the public as 'vaccines'. He also discusses the deaths and serious illnesses that have escalated in the population since 2021 when the injections were rolled out.

2. **Wendy Daniel's** talk starts at **42:12 mins**. In her presentation she addresses the myths that have been spread by governments about the safety and efficacy of the childhood vaccination program for decades. She describes the history that exposes these myths and she provides studies to show that vaccinated children have significantly more chronic illnesses than unvaccinated children. This exposes the fact that these policies are not creating healthier outcomes, they are creating sick children.

3. **Dr. Judy Wilyman's** talk starts at **1:12: 40 mins**. In her presentation she describes the strategies that are used by governments to tell the public what to think about vaccines. Governments do not provide empirical evidence of efficacy and safety of vaccines because there is no regulation requiring them to prove these drugs are harmless before they are marketed. The government does not use the *precautionary principle* in policy design to protect public health, and it is not a requirement to prove that these drugs can create herd immunity before they are listed as 'vaccines'. She also discusses the powerful lobby groups and their influence in the government / institutions, and in censoring this debate over the last three decades. ***

Kind regards,
Judy

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the

Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.