



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 31

14th August 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

Manufactured Problems By Neville Archibald

1

Manufactured Problems By Neville Archibald

Let's create a crisis then present a solution!

I need a reason to implement something, something that others do not want! I have a vision of something or some process that will make me money or give me power. What do I need to do to get others to agree to it? It may be distasteful in the beginning, but given the right sales pitch, perhaps we can make it happen! Those who disagree might make noise at first; but, given the right excuses, they can be convinced to 'come around'.

Now imagine the self proclaimed 'Elite' at a 'world conference'. What are they telling us? We must eat bugs or factory produced protein (that is not sourced from nasty polluting cattle), we must stop using energy (producing CO₂), change over to so called non polluting forms of generation. We must live in closely packed areas, where all that is available (allowed) is within a fifteen minute radius. We must cut our numbers back, there are too many of us, a sustainable world population is a quarter of what we now have! The list goes on!

Most of these determinations/suggestions are not compatible with freedom of the individual (except for those individuals who are "more equal" than other individuals), they impinge on what we believe are basic rights. We are being told we must 'take one for the team', so to speak.

Over the course of decades, perhaps a century or more, we have increasingly been fed on a diet of problems. The largest and most convincing of these has been money; and from a Douglas Social Credit perspective, that is the thing, if correctly stated, would solve most of the "Manufactured Problems" we face.

But first let's keep on looking at the other issues.

A program to gain some form of world control is no longer a deniable, the UN the WHO and the continued meetings of these 'elites' at luxurious venues around the world show us exactly that! They postulate that the problems of the world, can only be solved by some form of 'international control'. The WHO must govern (enforce) our health, COPS must direct (control) our energy use, the UN must determine all foreign relationships and the IMF or world bank must give us their permissions to use money.

How did we get here?

Once free and prosperous Nations slowly started on a decline. They sold off their manufacturing base and exported excess wealth to those who 'needed it'. In order to 'make things fairer' throughout the world, so we are told. That was the initial 'sell' anyhow, called for in the LIMA agreement (named after the city that hosted this elite conference where this ideal-creating document was thrashed out).

I will point out here, that the full title, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation Lima Declaration, created in 1975 was not a legally binding treaty, it was **adopted by consensus (of the 190 odd countries attending)**, including that of our Whitlam Labor government of the time. Successive governments since then could be said to have 'adhered to it's aspirational recommendations', which you can of course do, without officially 'signing' it. (Thanks aap. fact check.)

However, if something looks like a monkey, makes noises like a monkey and smells like a monkey: I dare say it could well be ... a monkey!

It was at this point that "manufactured problems" began to appear on the radar of many who objected; the ones, who in that first paragraph, had to be convinced it was a good idea. Financially things were already being made tougher on businesses, costs and levies were increasing. Interest rates of up to 23% in the mid 70s continued to be high in the early 80s under Howard, and were still at 17% in 1990! The agreement just confirmed suspicions about intent!

Level playing fields were called for, tariffs were touted as 'unjust advantage' for the wealthier Nations. Again under Whitlam (before this agreement – 1973) across the board tariffs were cut by 25%. the 80s and 90s saw a continued phase out.

The reality after this, was big manufacturing moving off-shore, taking advantage of lower labour costs and less restriction (less pollution laws, less worker protection laws, less production safety oversight). Cheaper imported goods were then sold to consumers as 'something we should be able to achieve', the unsaid object, being to divide labourer and business, as each struggled to make financial compensations to be able to compete. The worker saying the owner wants too much profit, the owners saying the workers cost too much! A 'manufactured' divide started, that

saw the rise of Union pressure, and forced the often struggling small business to fold. The bigger ones could weather the storm by going off-shore in many areas, cutting costs. **Problem 1. Collapse of small business and manufacturing!**

With 'level playing fields' invoked, the next step was removal of Tariffs. Long a restriction on the exploitive endeavours of those same big businesses (multinationals who have no real home base to be concerned about), these are decried as 'restrictive' and 'unfair'. The result could be seen around my area as thousands of productive trees were pulled out of orchards all over, they could not 'compete' with imported fruit prices, where pickers were paid a pittance and growers had less 'costs' in respect to ours (our growers had a never ending set of cost increases imposed by government, unions and the 'big corporations' that ruled the market in one form or another). This example didn't just affect the fruit industry, but all primary and some secondary industry. To add to the complications, some Governments (of other Nations), subsidised their exports to win the 'sale' and bring in more of the badly needed 'export dollars' (which they needed to pay for the international loans, initially used to build up their economies and allow them to 'compete' with ours).

(Behind this 'intent by consensus governments' made, was the role of international finance, low cost loans to developing nations followed so that they could 'compete' and the expertise to use it – was provided by the hand of friendship of developed countries! It made us feel good about ourselves at the time, but was essentially used to remove our 'less internationally competitive industries' in the long run.)

Problem 2. Change from smaller, more participatory business, to industrial sized farming and Industries, thus creating a dependence on 'wage slavery'. Incidentally removing a broad base for the training of apprentices and fostering of true innovation.

Lack of workers, suitably trained, now becomes apparent. Without the mainstay of predominantly small family business, we rely on the generosity and pride in nation of those now increasingly monopolistic multinationals to move forward; to employ apprentices, to train or create enthusiasm for development of new ideas. Anyone who has worked in many of these companies must realise the difficulty that causes. Apart from the drudgery of same-same work, that most labour under, all real development now occurs in only one or two of the sites these multinationals own, the rest are just satellite producers, with no real R and D (Research and Development) no real innovation, just repair, and little, if no, alteration to process. In other words a static and stagnating place where you learn to 'just put in your hours and go home'.

Don't get me wrong, there are places that do all those things right, but they are

few and getting fewer, as the amalgamation of business occurs. Wealth in terms of market and share prices are the defining goal. Location and employee are a by product - if they are even considered.

You might think I have something against multinational businesses by now, many have real people managing them and these are often genuinely concerned for their employees and for the business in general. But when it comes down to it, they too are being played. It suits the 'competitive' nature of the bigger business, that each individual factory is always operating on the edge of closure, as factories elsewhere 'can do it cheaper'! Less demands are therefore made, less people walk out, or disengage enough, to want to work elsewhere. The ability to 'opt out', is less attractive, especially when you know the next employer is the same.

These big employers of people, then become too big to fail, unemployment due to this societal redevelopment is a problem now to be solved, tax breaks and other negotiated compromises are made with a government that created the conditions that allowed it to happen in the first place. So the big get stronger, they get listened to and consulted in further policy.

You might ask at this point about competing Parties, surely Labor and Liberal differ in their direction, after all Labor is for the worker isn't it? Isn't Liberal the one in bed with business? A quick look at the past will show you both major parties have pursued this same set of policies, each only altering the speed of introduction. The policies that have continued on, have seen this rise in monopoly of industry until the same few people own a majority and influence the entire market/world.

Problem 3. Big business (read world encompassing entities – often those same entities who go to all those conferences) have unfair advantage and now consult with government, who listen more to them, than those who elected them – the people.

All the way along in this process, the problems we have faced have been as a direct result of enacted policy. Many of the other problems to arise, stem directly from these policies. The rise of unemployment is one, as jobs have been outsourced overseas, it has created yet another problem to be fixed. Taxpayer money must be spent to provide for living costs for those no longer able to find work, or other jobs must be created to keep the 'real unemployment figures' low. This in turn puts pressure on the public purse (and we are back to the money system!).

The lack of training (apprenticeships) and small business preparedness starts to show up in the trade sector. Other things like keeping all children at school and telling them they can all have high paying tech jobs, deletes the actual workforce. Many who would have been happy at an earlier age, to just get out and work, have

been delayed to point where the other indoctrination pushed onto them, means some now think they have a right to have someone else provide for them. (In part, this is true! Our inherited abilities should indeed make work less required, it is the natural consequence of 'labour saving') Or at least we saw professions that were once respected, denigrated as lower class work, not something to aspire to.

Removal of technical or trade schools, where all aspects of work were encouraged and looked at as good careers, coupled with this desire to finish year 12, means less exposure to the 'real life' that could await them. Employers, confronted with now young adults, find them difficult to train, as they have been fed their 'rights' and taught to copy facts and figures, but rarely taught, how to learn. As a result of this disconnect, a lack of needed workers arises. Instead of admitting failure and re-creating the trade school system, we find our government pushing to import these workers, by increasing immigration. This is sold to us again, as 'doing the right thing' by refuge seekers, and we are divided as a population again by those telling us that 'our youngsters', our population, won't work! The real meaning in many cases, is that those who would be happy to do the jobs, want conditions we have come to expect, like safety and reasonable hours. They want the conditions we have come to expect as a developed nation, as a nation that has a history of advancement in social conditions. Shame on us! (irony here!)

Think about that for a minute! We have inherited an expectation of less effort expenditure as machinery and technology has removed the need for drudgery. We realised years ago that the worst parts of some work could be automated, and why shouldn't we expect that to continue? Are we not meant to make advances in this area? Our pursuit of leisure time should be directly compatible with labour saving devices or processes! Why are we expected to work more, if the things we need are being created with less human input? Something I think we instinctively realise, and we become reluctant to return to what we see as mere drudgery for the sake of financial gain. Sweeping streets or hand digging holes can be done more effectively by machine, make-work solutions are demeaning. They lie at the back of our mind, of digging holes just to fill them in again, not just wasted effort, but soul destroying. Are we not advanced enough to find a better solution?

The answer to that, of course, lies in the link between employment and income! This is central to any solution that must come about. It is also a potential danger point if we accept something like a Universal Basic Income, such as offered in dictatorial States.

More reading on this subject here:

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Heydorn%20MO%20-%20A%20National%20Dividend%20vs.%20a%20Basic%20Income%20%20Similarities%20and%20Differences.pdf>

If I have not been clear at this point, I make this assertion now; nearly all of the

problems we now face have been created by successive governments, either intentionally to win votes or stay in government, or unintentionally, by failing to address the root causes of the problems. In many cases, these policy enactments mirror the intentions of the 'world think tanks' whose aim is not that of empowering the grass roots, but that of the leaders and owners of the gigantic corporations that now virtually rule the world.

We are living in a feudal type arrangement, where once we had Kings, we now have world spanning business entities, who can relocate themselves favourably to anywhere they desire, if the population becomes aware of their exploitation or sick of their monopolistic expansion. They have no loyalty to any but themselves.

The bigger picture

The smaller issues I have just mentioned, also give rise to, or accompany, larger issues. While any one of the above could be seen as a large issue on its own, it is the 'world encompassing' ones that strike fear in, and persuade entire populations to 'vote' to fix things – things that may not actually be broken, or things that have been intentionally broken by the faulty system we operate under.

Climate Change, Pandemics, International Debt and Overpopulation are world-wide problems that we are supposed to be so worried about, that we give up our sovereign right to make our own choices. We must hand over some of our right to govern ourselves, forgo some of our expectations about life, all for the greater good!

Unnamed experts and great minds in business and their employed science advisers must be the ones to direct us as to what to do! After all haven't they 'made it big,' due to their expertise? If you have followed any of what I have said before, our focus on being fair to others has been abused and taken advantage of by these very people!

What right have they, to 'solve' our problems, the ones increasingly being created by this feudal advance.

The Bird Flu scare is just another of these on-going disruptions in our lives. One that will result in not just bird deaths, but restrictive practices to farming, to population movement and to what we can do on our own properties, not to mention rising prices.

As well as that, it will be a good distraction from the real issues of social disruption, the continuation of the fore-mentioned policies leading to, ultimately – some form of world government.

A close look at the 'scare', reveals some interesting possibilities. Some things that are not being mentioned in the media or by government 'experts'. First of all, the figures of deaths being reported as 'flu' deaths. What are the historical figures, year to year? What other environmental factors have influenced

these deaths in the past? Has naming the variety made it worse, or just known?

Previous examples of bird flu outbreaks are not unknown, deaths have also occurred in the past. How many tests were conducted then? What sort of tests were done?

The recent increase in reported deaths, from 7 to 15 (or whatever the numbers are that relate to each State), are based on what number of birds tested? The arming of well intentioned ‘civilians’ or volunteers might be a noble endeavour, but how many more tests did it take to find those extra 8 birds whose PCR tests returned positive? Not just that, but does a positive test mean the bird died of ‘flu’? No matter what the type (hype h5n1).

It is here I must digress.

“Bird flu has arrived after months of algal bloom, but primary industries minister says SA is ready

Bird flu has arrived after months of algal bloom. All Australian detections have involved migratory seabirds, and there is no evidence the that the disease has spread into the poultry industry.)”

So says the news item from the ABC:

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2026-07-04/bird-flu-has-sa-authorities-on-alert/106877974>

The key words to look at here, are **algal** and **bloom!** I have no doubt that preparations for lock-downs and farming culls have been made! Even hurried vaccines have appeared for the ‘at risk’ wildlife under our control (see Fairy Penguin vaccination proposals in Victoria). But we ignore the full story at our own risk. Government is quick to implement controls over every aspect of our lives, even more so in recent times. Bio-security changes and ‘authorised officer’ appointments along with legislation has been initiated in many different areas in the last decade. Many have not been used yet, and those that have, have received substantial push back by the communities involved. Powers to enter farmland, powers to eradicate or poison ‘fire ants’, fruit fly and in Canada, Cull Ostriches, despite many questions about their having survived an outbreak and thus now are naturally more immune.

Loss of personal control of even our bodies is also being seen in medical spheres, where drugs for some conditions can be legislated as compulsory (although the wording might be ambiguous, the intent is not) COVID mandates being the most controversial recently.

Are these to be followed now by ‘Bird flu’ mandates? Fear porn in the form of ‘PCR tested’ dead birds.

Imposing restrictions on commercial bird flocks will see the big producers finding ways to survive and the little ones sent to the wall, either by forced culls or

housing requirements that are not feasible or in many cases desirable! We must decide how much of the interference we accept, and how much of the supposed scientific debate is actually believable. Has it taken in all of the potential information regarding cause? Is it being used as a fortunate misfortune – never let a good crisis go to waste!

Coming back to that all important Algal Bloom!

“Although the harmful algal bloom in South Australia was first detected in March 2025, it hasn’t yet gone away. “The South Australian HAB still hasn’t completely disappeared about 15 months after it started,” says Murray. “We now need to fully investigate the factors that cause *Karenia* to grow and understand its mechanisms of toxicity.”

*“Worryingly, the scientists showed that the species behind the South Australian bloom (*Karenia cristata*) “produces substantial amounts” of brevetoxins (BTXs). These potent neurotoxins are commonly associated with deadly ‘red tides’ (produced by another type of algae called *Karenia brevis*) and can cause devastating mass strandings of whales, dolphins, manatees, sea lions and turtles among other animals.”*

*“Before now, the warm water *Karenia brevis*, which only occurs in the south-eastern United States and also produces brevetoxins, had been considered the most devastating marine HAB species in terms of its environmental, economic and social impacts,” Murray adds.”*

As reported by, Melissa Hobson, doing business as The Ocean Writer Ltd., is a marine science and conservation writer based in Hastings.

<https://www.discoverwildlife.com/news/marine-mammal-strandings-linked-to-algae>
<https://www.discoverwildlife.com/animal-facts/marine-animals/deadliest-algal-bloom>

Both of these are very good articles to help you understand some of what is not being said by our government or media.

The following abstract of an article, indicates that research has been done on toxicity of the varied “*Karenia*” species. Both *cristata* and *brevis* produce substantial amounts of BTX’s, with toxicological effects.

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41559-026-03115-0>

*“*Karenia* microalgae produce heat- and acid-stable neurotoxins called brevetoxins in the wild. These lipid-soluble toxins break down very slowly in seawater, persisting through physical and biological action, bioaccumulating in marine food webs, and aerosolizing into the air via breaking waves during coastal red tides.”*

Information gleaned from: <https://hab.who.edu/species/species-by-name/karenia/>
“These neurotoxins can accumulate in shellfish and cause Neurotoxic Shellfish Poisoning (NSP) when ingested. In addition, brevetoxins can cause respiratory

problems and other human health impacts when inhaled in aerosolized form. This occurs when cells are ruptured during blooms and aerosolized brevetoxins are transported by winds along beaches.”

“These toxins bind and open voltage gated sodium channels in cell membranes, which are particularly important due to their impact on nerve cells.

Most organisms cannot break down these toxins or excrete them, so they bioaccumulates and biomagnifies in the marine food web. Although most invertebrates that consume Karenia cells directly are not impacted by this toxin, it is very toxic to animal or human consumers. The associated illness in humans is known as Neurotoxic Shellfish Poisoning (NSP) and includes a variety of neurological and gastrointestinal symptoms. Some of these symptoms include disrupted cardiac, respiratory, neuromuscular, and thermoregulatory control. During particularly large blooms of K. brevis there have been massive die offs in fish, seabirds, marine mammals such as manatees and dolphins and even turtles in the region, and remnants of the toxin can be found throughout the food chain.”

What is incredibly important here (if the neurotoxin alone is not responsible), is the degree to which this toxin (and the organism that makes it) has allowed the seabirds and the seals to become more susceptible to the ‘bird flu’ which is being named as the lone killer. As per above, this neurotoxin **bio-accumulates** and **bio-magnifies**. The elephant seal deaths where the scientists claim a 76% death rate in seal pups, has two questions that need to be asked. Did the seals contain any concentrations of this neurotoxin (i.e. were they tested)? And what amount is expressed in mothers milk? Seal pup deaths are normally around the 50% rate by end of season. An extra 26% could easily be accounted for in several ways. The first being the most obvious, the toxin itself! If like human mothers milk, the female’s body protects the pup from the secretion of the toxin in the milk, then another reason may also be required to explain the deaths.

This toxin, as the information above shows, exhibits itself in neuromuscular control. Pups often die from being squashed by mothers, add an inability to move properly and deaths by involuntary muscle control must rise!

If you doubt this ‘muscular’ spasm could be to blame, witness the movements of the Kangaroo population, also affected by this neurotoxin last year.

The kangaroos fed on herbage close to the affected seashore where foam or sea water spray was blown onshore. They developed poisoning so acute that many had to be put down. At first it was suspected phalaris (or plant) poisoning, but later tests indicated it was indeed, the brevetoxin from the algal bloom.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2025-03-29/kangaroo-deaths-near-microalgae-affected-tunkalilla-beach/105106820>

In the world of research, it is always interesting to look further afield from your first conclusion. There are always many factors to be taken into

consideration. The whole point of peer reviewing material is so that others can assess and ask relevant questions, as well as attempt to repeat conducted exercises that prove any hypothesis.

I have no doubt, people like myself who ask these questions of our authorities will be labelled as mis or dis informers. Self educated and enquiring minds who also ask pertinent questions these days, are also subject to ridicule or denial rather than answers.

Considering whale stranding and aberrant sea life behaviour is not new, I decided to look at this too. Were the other Bird Flu outbreaks that we hear so much about as warnings, also potentially related to environmental exposures of other things?

Another toxin associated with algae (in this case domoic acid) has been linked to strandings and deaths of marine animals off the west coast of Canada (1987) and California.

“A new study of California sealions poisoned by a deadly natural neurotoxin suggests that algal blooms might be a major cause of marine mammal strandings.”

“Exposure to high levels of domoic acid, produced by marine algae, is well known to cause seizures and death in marine mammals, as well as in humans who have ingested contaminated seafood.”

“Behavioural experiments led by Peter Cook of Emory University in Georgia, USA, have now shown that sealions that survive the initial acute illness are left with impaired memory and foraging abilities.”

“We do see the toxin in whales that die of many causes such as starvation and ship strikes, and we think that domoic acid poisoning may impact an animal’s ability to forage and evade dangers,” Lefebvre added. “Further investigation is needed to confirm it, but Cook’s findings support this theory.”

<https://www.discoverwildlife.com/news/marine-mammal-strandings-linked-to-algae>

A long history of algal blooms can be found in places that strangely also have seen this ‘bird flu’ we are being warned about. See last page. If the flu is not yet in farmed or non-seabird populations, then unless large mortalities appear in these populations, testing for, and finding it by PCR, is no reason to conclude that culling must be done. Background levels of any disease can be found, what these are in normal circumstances should determine a statistical zero point to start with. Unless we have a historical data point to start with, 7, 15 or 42, dead birds are just numbers.

I have every love of our animal and bird populations, I have a love of all farmed animals and a desire to see them treated better. Our Industrialisation of

farming methods, is a far greater disease creator than anything nature can throw at us, our playing God with ‘gain of function’ research into these very diseases, more worrying than ever. The push for control over human populations who are otherwise resisting this ‘world government’ has already seen planned problems created. Is this simply more of the same?

Some points to consider:

“Seabirds feed on the abundant life associated with oceans and estuaries and are thus susceptible to the effects of HABs. There is a long history of HABs impacting seabirds, from reports of red tide in the Gulf of Mexico during the 1800s killing seabirds to the mortality events, or “wrecks,” that commonly make news today. Most famously, Alfred Hitchcock’s iconic film “The Birds” was inspired by widespread reports of strangely behaving seabirds in the Monterey Bay region in 1961.” <https://hab.who.edu/impacts/impacts-wildlife/hab-impacts-on-birds/>

.....

“In 2021, North America experienced widespread and severe harmful algal blooms (HABs) driven by warm temperatures and nutrient runoff. According to the CDC One Health HAB System, multiple states reported hundreds of events leading to human and animal illnesses, particularly impacting coastal estuaries in New York, the Great Lakes, and western water bodies.”
“The North American 2021 bird flu outbreak began in December 2021 when the highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 (clade 2.3.4.4b) was first detected in wild birds and poultry in St. John’s, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. This marked the arrival of the Eurasian lineage virus into North America”

.....

“In 2016, harmful cyanobacterial algal blooms (HABs) drew increased scientific focus across Africa.”
*“In 2016, several West and Central African countries faced severe outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI), primarily the **H5N1 strain**, heavily impacting commercial and backyard poultry.”*

.....

*“In 2022, South America experienced **severe harmful algal blooms (HABs)**, most notably in Patagonia, where a toxic red tide in Golfo Nuevo, Argentina caused the deaths of 30 southern right whales and mass mortality in sea lions, alongside a complex multi-specific algal bloom in the fjords of northwestern Chile.*

Event: A massive toxic red tide developed during the austral spring (September–October 2022).

•**Impact:** 30 dead southern right whales were recorded, alongside fatalities in South American sea lions, seals, and Magellanic penguins.

•**Toxin Transfer:** Research confirmed that phycotoxins moved through the food web from phytoplankton and mesozooplankton to fish and marine mammals. About 10% of the local human population also reported gastrointestinal symptoms linked to the environmental event.”

“The H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza outbreak hit South America in late 2022, causing historic mass mortality among wild birds and marine mammals across countries like Peru, Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, and Brazil. The virus killed over 650,000 wild birds and tens of thousands of marine mammals, including sea lions and elephant seals”

.....

These above are simple Google search results, a more in depth reading you can do for yourself. I believe we have come to a point where we must ask serious questions of every problem we are told we are facing and every solution put forward. Fear and the formant of a Mob rule mentality in political circles is a bad thing for us all, only truth has the power to set us free! ***

Annual Subscription to ‘On Target’ \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals -
printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the
Freedom Movement “Archives” :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.