The fact that our liberties are slipping away from us in nearly every country just as fast as dictators and bureaucrats can pass restrictive legislation is not exactly advertised in the Press, but it is obvious none the less.

In The Times last week there was a short news item saying that Salvatore Spitale and Salvatore Arcidiaco, both of New York, had been sentenced to three months in prison, not for any specific crime but simply for being public enemies. This, said the writer, is the first time that anyone in America has been sent to prison for this reason.

Hitherto Messrs. Spitale and Arcidiaco when arrested have always been released for lack of evidence against them. But now under the new Brownell Act they may at once be thrown in prison when found together, even if they have met just to have a drink. For the Act assumes that "known criminals" found together are conspiring for illegal purposes.

Now perhaps at first sight there doesn't seem anything very terrible in this. You may say that American gangsters are not the sort of people to waste sympathy upon and they have no right to expect the same treatment as decent citizens.

But notice the implications. As soon as he becomes a "known criminal" a man is treated on an entirely different basis. What is a known criminal? Evidently a man whom the criminal experts label as such.

So it amounts to this. The public, by accepting the Brownell Act, agree to the experts on crime setting themselves up as supreme arbiters in the affairs of American citizens. As soon as they put a man down on their black list he becomes an outcast, forcibly separated from his families. This nearly reaches the ideal of Colonel Blimp that all the unemployed should be imprisoned.

Finally, to make things absolutely safe for Democracy, the Ministers in charge of these Boards can alter their own laws as they go along!

In the same way special commissioners are appointed to administer Distressed Areas and pack men off to unemployed training camps as they think fit. These camps are simply factories for useless work and very unpleasant for the inmates, who are sometimes forcibly separated from their families.

Now it is just one example of something which is happening all over the civilised world. The specialists are setting themselves up as executives. Instead of sticking to their proper function as tools they are becoming also the hand and brain which uses the tools.

A crime expert is a man who by his expert knowledge traces criminals and brings them to justice. The question of whether a man has committed a crime or not is one for the law courts to decide. The moment a crime expert is given power to decide this point, and even to say that certain men are "known criminals" to be treated as pariahs, he is enthroned as an executive.

It is easy to find parallel examples in this country. Lord Hewart and many other judges have been inveighing against a system of so-called justice which is worse than anything found on the Continent.

Bodies of experts, such as the Milk Board, the Potato Board and the Unemployment Assistance Board, are given special administrative powers and removed from the sphere of politics.

They are given special powers of legislation which enables them to try their own legal cases instead of these cases being tried in the Courts. They can try these cases summarily so that the parties to them do not know who delivers the judgment and have no chance of appealing against the decision.

And finally, to make things absolutely safe for Democracy, the Ministers in charge of these Boards can alter their own laws as they go along!

In the same way special commissioners are appointed to administer Distressed Areas and pack men off to unemployed training camps as they think fit.

These camps are simply factories for useless work and very unpleasant for the inmates, who are sometimes forcibly separated from their families.

Finally there are the financial specialists, who have turned wholly tyrant and run the country as if man's purpose on this planet were simply to serve their financial system.

Nobody but you and me and the other fellow are to blame for this. If the master and mistress of a large house both threw over the control of their domestic affairs, what do you suppose would happen?

After a while cook would start ordering all sorts of foods which she liked. The parlourmaid would turn run it as a seminary, making things very uncomfortable for the master and mistress.

Could you honestly sympathise with the owners if this happened? Yet our house is being run by our servants and all we do is to sigh and make mild complaints about the way they are doing it.

Let us pull ourselves together and tell them who the master is.

G. W. L. Day.
"The Profit Illusion"

The ignorance of the working classes is surprising," remarked Bill as he drove in some tacks.

"Oh?" said his mate.

"Yes," commented Bill confidently, "and if you asked most of these fellows in this factory what is the cause of the economic crisis, they'd say at once, without giving it a thought, 'over-production.'"

"True enough," said his mate, George, "though if they called it 'under-consumption' they'd be nearer the mark."

"Under-consumption?" queried Bill vaguely, "that's a new word to me. Sounds right, though."

After a little while George said, "You haven't told me what your own opinion is regarding the cause of the crisis. Bill started up quickly.

"Production for profit, of course, that's obvious," replied he.

"What's profit?" asked George.

"Good Lord; you don't expect me to define a profit, do you? Anybody knows what that is."

"No need to define a profit, but tell me how this profit-making works so as to cause this periodic slump and depressing crisis. Just what happens?"

"Well," started Bill, "take the head of this firm. He employs thousands of men like us, who earn his profits for him, but him and we are poor."

"I don't quite follow," said George unhappily. "Do you mean he gets his financial profits out of us?"

"Of course," snapped Bill.

"How?" said George.

"Well, suppose I started a manufacturing business, employing five men, say, at £4 a week each."

"Then I sell the product for, say, £30, thus making £10 profit weekly out of my five employees. That's simple enough, isn't it?"

"It sounds simple enough," agreed George. "Is that what happens?"

"Of course it is, only more so with these big firms. Don't pretend to be dense about it," said Bill testily.

George appeared to be turning this over in his mind. "Dash it," he said at last, "I can't see how that happens. Here, let's stick to your example, which is fair enough. You say you employ five men at £4 a week each. That makes £20 you are paying into the market as wages every week?"

"Yes, that's right," said Bill.

"And you sell the weekly product for £30, so making £10 profit on your £20, which is an increment of goods, isn't it?"

"You've got the idea," agreed Bill happily.

"Then you collect £30 out of the market into which you have put only £20?"

Bill stopped hammering tacks. "Eh?"

George repeated his last question.

But Bill had no reply ready. George went on. "Are you seriously suggesting that industrialists (as a whole) are continually collecting more money (wages) from us working consumers than we ever get as wages?"

Bill's puzzled frown grew deeper as he turned back to his work. "Let me think that one out, mate."

Half an hour later, Bill turned to George.

"I must admit you've got me stumped, mate," said he. "What I thought was continually happening I see now is impossible. I'll say, however, it is possible for a firm here and there to make profits in the way I thought they were made, but that can happen only at the expense of other firms making losses, unless some new money comes into the consuming market for which I can't account. I still believe we are victims of a profit trick somehow, but I can't see how it is operated until you know the difference between a real profit and a financial profit."

"Expplain," said Bill.

"Well, the essential difference is really the difference between goods and money. By industry we can produce an increment of goods which is a real profit, as, for instance, when you grow potatoes—the crop is far greater than the seed sown. The food harvests of the world are really a rotation of real profits by which we live."

"In this way we have change with haste, wooden planks, glass, hides, etc., into motor cars, and by our associated labour we transform the raw materials into a product that has gained a vast real profit of servicability."

"Yes, I agree," broke in Bill, "but we are robbed of this real profit somehow. It's true what an umpire says, but how does the farmer destroy his surplus milk? Why does the fisherman often throw his real profit of fish back into the sea? Why does the cotton-grower plough back into the earth a mud of his crop? And one rancher shoot down his calves? Why does the equipment of a factory like that look so idle when it is used?"

"Well," replied George, "when you grow potatoes, you don't grow money to buy them with, the fisherman doesn't haul any money out of the sea with his fish, nor do we here make money to buy motor cars with."

"In other words, the real profits represented by an increment of goods are not reflected in the financial system and distributed to consumers, as they must be if complete distribution of the real profits is to take place."

"Now, no matter what real profits are produced by industry of all kinds, they cannot be distributed to consumers except at the pleasure of the money-producers."

"Money-producers?" ejaculated Bill.

"Money-creators," said George.

"Money-creators?" whispered Bill. "What... who..."

"Money-producers," ejaculated Bill.

"Ah, a very easy and profitable business it is, too," said George.

"And a very easy and profitable business it is," went on George mercilessly. "A million pounds is produced with practically no more effort than you make when you sign a docket. When the Bank of England creates a few millions it is done with less cost and less effort than we two have to put forth in trimming this motor body."

"And whose money is this?" asked Bill quickly.

"Well, the Bank assumes it belongs to it."

"Oh, does it," burst out Bill aggressively, "well, I don't, it sounds like a confidence trick to me."

"It is a confidence trick," said George, quietly, "didn't you say you were convinced we were victims of a profit trick, somehow?"

"Ay, but I never suspected this... I never thought money was made... yet it must be if it is to increase the... I begin to see... You say the Bank claims the money... But if it is only at the moment of creation... If that's what happens, I think it simply wicked. Whose money would you say it is, really?"

"Well," replied George, "it should belong to the community, all improvements in the process of producing real wealth should be made to pay a dividend to the community."

"Of course they should," said Bill eagerly, "that's what I've seen all along, the goods are there in plenty."

"Exactly," said George, "the real profits are abundant. The thing to do is to monetise them for distribution to consumers."

"I agree; that's what I want."

"Well, in that case, you must express your will about it. The idea that production for profit is wrong, is an illusion—to veil the wickedness of denying your just claim in the legacy of science, by which you have a right to a part of the increment of modern production, so much of which is being destroyed. You must claim your inheritance, as thousands of others everywhere are now doing. Demand and change the system, which is a National Dividend, which will give you your personal share of the nation's real profit. Your legal title depends only on your making and voicing this demand. The legacy is there, the means and method of distributing it are there in the system, and the commitment is a National Dividend as loudly and as often as you can—especially by your vote at the next election. Vote for nothing else."

"Stake your claim unmistakably and determinedly, and we will."

"Good," said Bill, "I'm on, and I mean it. Mate—I owe you something—shake hands."

G.H.
To Resolute Men and Women—By Dr. Tudor Jones

Gathered in the courtyard of the Royal Castle at Amalienborg on Monday to lay an appeal before King Christian, following many fruitless appeals to the Government. They want measures to secure remunerative prices for their products, and relief from agricultural taxation. The King was most sympathetic and returned from his yacht to receive the appeal, expressing his desire to be helpful.

After hearing the deputation the King said:

I have not wished to refuse to receive this deputation, although their claims and conditions were known to me. They are claims upon which I cannot take up any standpoint.

As a Constitutional Monarch I can only be regarded as the legally elected representative of the people, and I must, therefore, refer the deputation to the Premier. I sincerely trust that any justifiable complaint may be remedied, and that an understanding to that end may be reached among the political parties.

In their disappointment the farmers are now actually considering a production strike—they propose a complete stoppage of agricultural production—in a world in which agricultural production is so abundant that all sorts of schemes are being tried to cut it down to the size of people's pockets.

It is difficult to decide which of these two tragic futilities is the more to be pitied: The petition to the King, who is powerless to help, or the pathetic strike which amounts to cutting off their nose to spite their face.

Who's Running Our House?

Two items in the news make an apt commentary on the article on our front page this week. Let them speak for themselves:

In a sleepy House of Commons yesterday, with few members present, enormous new powers were taken by the Minister of Agriculture under which he may restrict production of pigs and bacon.

Mr. Elliot, the Minister, giving a brief account of these new powers, said that if for any reason it was found necessary to restrict home production his powers would enable him to apply the restriction equitably to all house producers. “I do not contemplate making any such order,” he added disarmingly. “These are merely potential powers.”

The question naturally arose, even in the least critical House of recent times, why the Minister should bother to ask for powers which he did not mean to use. The question was duly asked. Mr. Elliot’s reply, so far as it could be understood, seemed to be to the effect that his department thought the potential powers necessary. Many members laughed at this remarkable admission.

Nobody troubled to clear the matter up.

Colossal new powers were then taken (in about quarter of an hour) by Mr. Elliot to establish a system of licensing for bacon factories. Under this vast new scheme, of which he gave a full account in four and a half minutes, redundant factories can be closed down, and only permitted factories will operate.


Dictatorship of the Minister of Transport over would-be ribbon builders was confirmed by 201 votes to fifty-seven in the House of Commons last night, when the Ribbon Development Bill—which controls house-building on highways—was debated.

Mr. Hore-Belisha, Transport Minister, speaking in a spirit of sweet reasonableness, asked the House to give him the final admission. Nobody troubled to clear the matter up.


“Economic Democracy”

It is said that words change very slowly, but their meaning changes quickly. The reason for this must be that men misunderstand one another and interpret each other's ideas quite wrongly. Thus Major Douglas uses the term “Economic Democracy” to mean something perfectly definite, and now after fifteen years it is being bandied about with fifty different meanings.

Mr. E. A. Filene, president of William Filene Sons and Co., of Boston, told the American Chamber of Commerce in London that America needed a new economic democracy to save it from a dictatorship. After which promising beginning he went on to say that business men would have to think how to keep the masses employed. America would have to demonstrate to the
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Tasmanian Premier Endorses Electoral Campaign

"What is urgent," says Major Douglas, "with an urgency that transcends any other urgency, is a study and practice of the science of social dynamics." And also, "For anyone to suppose that any useful purpose can be served at this time by putting forward Social Credit, much less any detailed proposals for its application, without a clear idea as to the powers which must be invoked for its success, is absolutely childish."

In his First Interim Report to the Government of Alberta, Major Douglas stressed the vital importance of distinguishing between a strategy for acquiring control of public credit and any particular plan for using that credit after control has been acquired.

To acquire that control necessitates the practice of social dynamics, and it is significant that the Prime Minister of Tasmania, the Rt. Hon. A. G. Ogilvie, stressed the same points in his speech at the Douglas dinner, reported on page 393.

The first plank in his platform, and he will never rest till it is achieved, is the control of credit by the community for the community. "Unless something is done in the very near future," he said, "the people ... will wake up when it is too late"; and "I agree with your proposals with regard to this Electoral Campaign. The only way is by bringing political pressure to bear upon your political representatives in the House of Commons."

Petition to King of Denmark Fails

Fifty thousand farmers from all over Denmark gathered in the courtyard of the Royal Castle at Amalienborg on Monday to lay an appeal before King
world the new economic democracy. The British Empire might achieve such an economic New Deal independently of other nations, were it not that its geographical location did not permit of such independence.

Mr. Filene is evidently one of those men who have acquired “typewriter” minds. Press one key and the idea “Work-for-the-sake-of-work" is printed. Press another key and the idea “Only-a- Continent-can-do anything-on-its-own" is struck. But when the brand new idea of Economic Democracy is encountered there are no keys to express it and so it is distorted out of recognition.

Briggs Build Bonny Bodies
But Bankers Bilk Boobs!

The Times City Notes of July 29 refer to an interesting example of “passing the buck" by the banks. A new company has been registered under the name of Briggs Motors Bodies, Ltd., to take over an existing concern established in May, 1930, and is issuing debenture stock and ordinary shares to the value of £1,400,000. This issue, according to The Times city editor, “is made with the object of replacing a bank loan of £925,000 by a debenture issue to the public, and of financing the extension of the business." (Our italics.)

The old company, it will be noted, has been in existence for just over five years, and in that period has succeeded in becoming indebted to its bankers for nearly a million. The banks now think it desirable to "get out from under" and so the public is to be left nursing the baby.

The company appears to be an excellent one and, as the prospectus points out, the Debenture stock being issued is covered over one-and-a-half times by net tangible assets. But the point to which The Times omitted to draw the attention of prospective investors is that a sum representing nearly two-thirds of the value of the assets of the company is to be wiped out of existence by repayment of a bank loan. The stock, plant, patents and factory are there, but two-thirds of the money which will be needed to meet the additions to prices in respect of these assets will have disappeared. This is "sound finance."

A Use for the Unemployed

The Daily Telegraph of July 22 reports an interview between an Italian general and a war correspondent, which took place on board a transport bound for Eritrea. In answer to the question “Will there be a war?" the general is reported as replying, “War? We have no thought of war. All this activity is simply an expansion to meet the unemployment question, a commercial experiment. Italy is exporting her unemployed, nothing more than that!"

Was the General trying to conceal the truth by telling the truth disguised as a jest?

The war preparations by Italy against Abyssinia are the logical outcome of a faulty system of economics, common to all industrialised countries. Italy appears to be the first to react against the pinch of artificial poverty on orthodox lines.

Italy, in common with other orthodox countries, finds that the flow of internal purchasing power does not keep pace with the flow of prices of the goods which she creates; therefore she must export goods and services on loan. She might force most of this unsaleable surplus upon the unindustrialised Abyssinians, and lend them the money to pay too. Doubtless the Italian banking system will see to it that the money is created as an Abyssinian Loan; it would cost it nothing to do so, and is there not gold in Abyssinia upon which it can obtain the necessary mortgage?

So the export of unemployed in the form of cannon fodder, of shells, bombs, and war material of all kinds would not only relieve Italy of a great anxiety at home but would bring to the notice of the thick-headed Abyssinians the blessings which a European civilisation and economic system could bring them. A great problem would be solved: work would be found for the unemployed, and—what a fine thing for the banks!

To Dye or Not to Dye

The next group of firms to be asked to commit Hari-Kari for the honour and glory of the Bank of England are the cotton dyeing and rayon dyeing firms of Lancashire. Some 150 firms have now received details of a scheme which is to deal with so-called "redundant" plant. The idea is to provide for a quota system within the industry, calculated on present production, and there is to be a pool of £1,500,000 to compensate unsuccessful branches of the industry.

As usual, it all depends upon being able to borrow sums of money from the banks, which sums, of course, will rapidly be returned to the banks in repayment of overdrafts. The new loans will naturally leave a trail of fresh debts, which will be liquidated by levies over a period of fifteen years. These levies, payable by the dyeing firms, will be up to four-and-a-half per cent. of turnover.

As to production, a fixed quota will be arranged, and any firms exceeding it will have to pay forfeits into the pool. On the other hand, firms which fall short of the quota will receive compensation from the pool. Quotas are to be bought and sold between firms.

One thing is quite obvious. The chief beneficiaries of the scheme will be the banks, and in a minor way the less successful firms, who will be saved to make their debus good. On the other hand, the more efficient firms will be penalised—and of course the buying public.

World Entrance Tickets

Accompanying the prospectus of the latest Commonwealth conversion loan is a statistical statement showing the position of public debt in Australia. It would be interesting to know what the average Australian thinks about it. It shows that the total public debt rose from £962,136,934 in June, 1925, to £1,242,114,826 in June, 1935. This means that every little Australian born into this world alive has a debt of £184 4s. hanging round his neck like a dog-collar.

The City Editor of The Times says that the proportion of this debt domiciled in Australia continues to increase, "which is a good sign." It is now 72.3 per cent. against 51.9 per cent. Truly, cheering news for patriotic Australians who are watching their debt creep up at the rate of ten million pounds a year!

An interesting point is: where did all this money come from? Do the banks pretend that somebody saved this vast sum of £1,242,114,826? Imagine 15,431,438 people investing £100 each in Australian loans! No, the banks created it.

Mr. A. L. Gibson’s Return

The attention of readers is directed to the announcement on page 406 of Mr. Gibson’s return to duty as Director of Internal Relations of the Social Credit Secretariat.
A New Deal or a New Age?

This article was published in "The Majorca Sun" on March 31 and April 17, 1935, and has been much discussed in economic circles. We congratulate the Editor, who, like so many American citizens, knows and respects a new idea when he sees one.

YOUR well-informed summary of the economic situation in the U.S.A., published in your issue of March 10, prompts me to clear up what seems to be an ambiguity in it. You state that after nearly two years of the New Deal, unemployment is practically unchanged. "The cause, the new economists tell us, is that we produce too much."

Now whatever the term "new economists" may denote in the U.S.A., it seems to be synonymous with its meaning in England; for there the New Economists (otherwise the advocates of the Douglas Social Credit Proposals) have for fifteen years consistently upheld that the cause of the industrial world crisis lies not in our producing too much, but in our being compelled by the existing financial system to consume too little. There is a vital distinction between these two statements, as you will no doubt agree.

In 1919 the now world-famous pioneer of the New Economics, Major C. H. Douglas, first enunciated his much-discussed analysis of the economic problem facing society at large, wherein he prophesied the gradual approach of the "something cardinal" at a time when every orthodox economist, industrial magnate, banker, politician, of all colours, were broadcasting "Produce! Produce! Produce!" with prosperity ahead for a long time now that Germany was out of the world market.

Douglas was thus the first to penetrate behind the veil of the old economic dispensation, asserting that in this Age of Power Production there is never at any given moment sufficient purchasing power in the pockets of the people to enable them to buy the whole of the production. The remedy for bridging the gulf, he held, is not to restrict or destroy production but to increase the purchasing power so as to equate production with consumption by paying out of the capital inheritance a National Dividend to all citizens irrespective of their being employed or not.

Something Cardinal Has Happened

Douglas recognised long ago that which is now accepted by your own Stuart Chase, who says: "For a hundred years every census tabulated an increasing number of persons employed in factories; now suddenly skilled labour is being replaced by relatively fewer persons in factories. Something cardinal has happened: some mighty corner has been rounded."

"The something cardinal" that has happened is that the cumulative effect of man's inventive power has triumphed over nature to such an extent that the machine is able to do most of the work for him. Regularly we read of labour-saving machinery being introduced that throws out of employment another number of manual labourers. The whole inventive genius, the keenest scientists in the world to-day use every cell in their brains for the purpose of discovering further processes and machines for saving labour and costs; yet on the other hand the Governments of every industrial nation are busily trying schemes for putting the world back to work!

The plain fact, as big as a church, is that the means to produce has nowadays outstripped the accepted means to distribute via the orthodox financial system. Nobody can effectively deny the industrial world's capacity for almost unlimited production. Nobody can deny that purchasing power is the sole means of distributing the wealth we produce. Nobody can deny that the destruction of goods and machines already produced; the restriction of a hundred and one primary commodities; and the "cutting" of the "value" of the purchasing power during the lapse of time, despite the pious prattle of the politicians and their press supporters. Nobody can deny that Poverty amid Plenty is still the skeleton at the feast of unreasoning prejudice that keeps our Government tied to the chariot-wheel of orthodox finance.

When President Roosevelt II. took office two years ago, he demanded that the money-changers be scourged from the temple. He did not realise, nor has he given evidence of his realising it since, that to hire a new chauffeur and to put the old one in jail is no remedy for a financial automobile that will never work satisfactorily again because its engine is broken down for ever.

"Statesmen" Looking for Trouble

Any modern "statesman," still believing that the only way out of the depression is to "find work" for the unemployed, is attempting to discover himself the linchpin in the wheel of the industrial cart in which we now ride to our doom down the Gadderone slope to the next war-to-end-war, the war to make the world safe for Bankocracy. Reducing interest rates payable on State loans; reducing wages; reducing interest rates; reducing the number of unemployed; reducing wages, interest rates, and the number of unemployed! What an exercise in see-saw land us but into another war?

It is arithmetically impossible to reduce the total purchasing power and at the same time to increase the total sales, either at home or abroad; for every country on the horns of a similar dilemma is following the same plan, like a puppy chasing its tail. This is an axiom, a statement of fact, not a matter of opinion. It is based on the demonstrable fundamental that under the existing financial system the purchasing power at the disposal of every community is chronically insufficient to buy the wealth we produce. The British debt, however, is proudly pointed to the "success" of their latest stunt when the number of unemployed rises half-a-dozen or drops only six!

Bedlam Politics

Hypnotised by figures, they seem not to be aware of their confusion in objectives. The leaders of every political party, Right and Left alike, have their own pet plans for providing work for all. As if that were still the greatest virtue in a world bulging with machinery for doing it for us! As if they, speaking the language of the Stone Age, were unaware that in this Power Age our best brains are gradually eliminating the necessity of work for all. As if they did not see the muddle into which our antiquated monetary system has brought us. The universal slump has been fathered on things celestial as well as terrestrial, on factors as varied as sunspots and the world war. Yet nobody laughs except the New Economists.

Sacrifices to the golden calf of the money monopoly have been dutifully made in order to balance the budget, as if that expedient were the linchpin in the wheel of the industrial cart in which we now ride to our doom down the Gadderone slope to the next war-to-end-war, the war to make the world safe for Bankocracy. Reducing interest rates payable on State loans; reducing wages; reducing interest rates; reducing the number of unemployed! What an exercise in see-saw land us but into another war?

It is arithmetically impossible to reduce the total purchasing power and at the same time to increase the total sales, either at home or abroad; for every country on the horns of a similar dilemma is following the same plan, like a puppy chasing its tail. This is an axiom, a statement of fact, not a matter of opinion. It is based on the demonstrable fundamental that under the existing financial system the purchasing power at the disposal of every community is chronically insufficient to buy the wealth we produce. The British debt, however, is proudly pointed to the "success" of their latest stunt when the number of unemployed rises half-a-dozen or drops only six!
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It is arithmetically impossible to reduce the total purchasing power and at the same time to increase the total sales, either at home or abroad; for every country on the horns of a similar dilemma is following the same plan, like a puppy chasing its tail. This is an axiom, a statement of fact, not a matter of opinion. It is based on the demonstrable fundamental that under the existing financial system the purchasing power at the disposal of every community is chronically insufficient to buy the wealth we produce. The British debt, however, is proudly pointed to the "success" of their latest stunt when the number of unemployed rises half-a-dozen or drops only six!

Bedlam Politics

Hypnotised by figures, they seem not to be aware of their confusion in objectives. The leaders of every political party, Right and Left alike, have their own pet plans for providing work for all. As if that were still the greatest virtue in a world bulging with machinery for doing it for us! As if they, speaking the language of the Stone Age, were unaware that in this Power Age our best brains are gradually eliminating the necessity of work for all. As if they did not see the muddle into which our antiquated monetary system has brought us. The universal slump has been fathered on things celestial as well as terrestrial, on factors as varied as sunspots and the world war. Yet nobody laughs except the New Economists.

Sacrifices to the golden calf of the money monopoly have been dutifully made in order to balance the budget, as if that expedient were the linchpin in the wheel of the industrial cart in which we now ride to our doom down the Gadderone slope to the next war-to-end-war, the war to make the world safe for Bankocracy. Reducing interest rates payable on State loans; reducing wages; reducing interest rates; reducing the number of unemployed! What an exercise in see-saw land us but into another war?

It is arithmetically impossible to reduce the total purchasing power and at the same time to increase the total sales, either at home or abroad; for every country on the horns of a similar dilemma is following the same plan, like a puppy chasing its tail. This is an axiom, a statement of fact, not a matter of opinion. It is based on the demonstrable fundamental that under the existing financial system the purchasing power at the disposal of every community is chronically insufficient to buy the wealth we produce. The British debt, however, is proudly pointed to the "success" of their latest stunt when the number of unemployed rises half-a-dozen or drops only six!

Bedlam Politics

Hypnotised by figures, they seem not to be aware of their confusion in objectives. The leaders of every political party, Right and Left alike, have their own pet plans for providing work for all. As if that were still the greatest virtue in a world bulging with machinery for doing it for us! As if they, speaking the language of the Stone Age, were unaware that in this Power Age our best brains are gradually eliminating the necessity of work for all. As if they did not see the muddle into which our antiquated monetary system has brought us. The universal slump has been fathered on things celestial as well as terrestrial, on factors as varied as sunspots and the world war. Yet nobody laughs except the New Economists.
position" for them, besides solving the "problem of unemployment" for their servants, the politicians, as well as staving off the threatened world revolution.

In the U.S.A. there is a growing body of supporters of the Douglas Social Credit Proposals, a body growing in proportion as "recovery" recedes further into the background behind the New Dealers. As one of the earliest adherents to the Douglas Economics, I say with all possible emphasis I believe the judgment of us, in a speech which leaves no element in man's life unaffected and exposes to our view the unparalleled opportunity and gravity of our situation. While he spoke, the recollection of two scenes arose in my mind. The first concerned those roads and railways and lines of communication of which Major Douglas has spoken. It was a scene in the luxurious dining car of the transcontinental express one day in 1927, when there lay upon the tables, in commemoration of the union of the Provinces, entertaining accounts, the litres of travellers, of incidents in the past history of The Canadian Pacific Railway Company and of Canada.

"I know Mr. Ogilvie will forgive me for mentioning that one, possibly the most striking, incident recorded of incidents in the past history of The Retail Chemist. Is it not true that the personalities of our guests this evening, in relation to the parts they play in our time, should be for us a reassurance? Of themselves surely they are permeating the economic thought in the capitals of the world. They are not only in England, but in Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and Canada; also in the U.S.A., not to omit that they are permeating the economic thought in the capitals of the world. It only remains to be seen which country will be the first to adopt and apply the Douglas remedy for solving the problem of Scarcity amid Plenty. It is bold enough to cut off the dead hand of the Old Economics still throttleing this Power Age with a vigour that derives from the galvanic spasms of a dying financial system now apparently on its last legs.

William Bell.

To Resolute Men and Women

Dr. Tudor Jones at the Dinner of Welcome to Major Douglas on July 19

Proposing the toast of "The Guests," Dr. Tudor Jones invited attention to the special difficulty which lay in the attempt to compose in a single speech expressions ambiguously appropriate to both the wife of the liberator of mankind and the representative, whom they so warmly welcomed in their midst, of his natural enemies.

"That it has been made possible at all," he said, "is due to promptings and suggestions contained in the comprehensive survey of the forces at work in our time which led Major Douglas himself to give us in a speech which leaves no element in man's life unaffected and exposes to our view the unparalleled opportunity and gravity of our situation. While he spoke, the recollection of two scenes arose in my mind. The first concerned those roads and railways and lines of communication of which Major Douglas has spoken. It was a scene on the left bank of the Red River. There was the capital and the government, because life is easy. There the government, will I will do!" He did. Collecting a handful of men, and in the depth of the Canadian winter, he loaded them into the open trucks used in the construction of the railway, and transported them to the rail-head. Thence, he crossed the frozen Lake Superior to Thunder Bay and, performing the impossible, he completed the terrible journey on foot.

To Propagandists

The Retail Chemist for June contains a provocative letter under the title "Perfumery Retail Prospects." This should be followed up, especially by those in the trade. Retail trade is a good field.

W. C. Douglas

Civil Service

The war between Italy and Abyssinia, with the consequent "peace talks" and conferences, afford a particularly pointed opportunity for propagandists to elaborate the peace aspect of Social Credit.

It is hoped that all propagandists will take full advantage of this by writing to their newspapers on the needlessness of war, as, even if the letters are not published, no editor can afford entirely to neglect any strong expression of public opinion.

To Liverpool and District Readers

Will readers of Social Credit residing in the Liverpool, Birkenhead and Wallasey area who are willing to undertake campaign work, please communicate with Mr. E. E. Pankhurst, 38, Moor Lane, Great Crosby, Liverpool.

The Earl of Tankerville

The Earl of Tankerville has generously offered his services as a speaker at public meetings in support of the Electoral Campaign.

Full particulars will be supplied on application to the Secretary, Social Credit Secretariat, 163a, Strand, London, W.C.2.
The People Must Control Their Own Credit

The Right Hon. A. G. Ogilvie, Prime Minister of Tasmania, speaking at the Dinner of Welcome to Major Douglas on July 19, endorses Electoral Campaign.

I WANT to express my deep appreciation of the toast as moved by Dr. Tudor Jones and of the manner in which you received that toast so far as Mrs. Douglas, ladies, and gentlemen, in this form—"My Lords, ladies and gentlemen, I have the honour to occupy the unique position of being the first Prime Minister in the world to attend a Douglas Social Credit function. My position is also unique in that, in my own State, I have a majority of one in the Parliament of which I have the honour to be a member, and that only by occupying that position can I be a Social Credit Member of Parliament in the world. Consequently, I feel the utmost respect for the Social Credit Movement, and I was told to be sure to get into touch with Major Douglas when I came to London. This I say. I have attended a number of Social Credit conferences with him and with his officers which I greatly enjoyed. Although I have not yet had the opportunity to give the subject the consideration it deserves, because I have a big practice as a barrister and Deputy Premier, I was able to introduce that policy and make it the first plank in our platform. That policy is the control of the credit of the community, by the community, for the community.

During my visit to Europe I have met Signor Mussolini, Dr. Schuschnigg, Dr. Benes, Dr. Schacht, all the Russian Ministers, Mr. De Valera and his Ministers, as well as the opposition, and in England everybody who matters from Their Majesties The King and Queen, members of the Royal Family, and the Government, to anyone I could get any information from. I also had the honour to meet Major Douglas.

Splendid Talkers

I have come here to learn your problems and study your conditions and learn the last three weeks a conference of Empire parliamentarians attended by all the Prime Ministers. On Wednesday I had the honour of speaking at the concluding conference. I know you won't be offended by what I say. I have attended to a number of social functions as many as seven a day. Hospitality completely absorbs one and renders it difficult to criticise, and I think there is an object in this. I know that Australians are not nearly the equal of the English in regard to this matter. We have said, and I don't care whether I am in Parliament or out of it; I owe no money to the banks as the English do. In my experience, it is not that I regard it as crude; we have direct methods and we like to know what is at the back of the other people's minds, but I have not been able to discover this whilst in England. I have heard speeches and found that they are all in this form—"My Lords, ladies and gentlemen, I did not come here to congratulate Mr. So and So on his splendid talk."

Beautiful fish allowed to rot and put round the apple trees for manure. I have seen thousands of tons of raspberries thrown into the river. I have seen wheat and flour eaten by the rats which breed in large numbers owing to the accumulation in the warehouses. We produce too much but we have a lack of distribution. In Australia we can produce enough for one hundred million people and side by side we have had 500,000 people unemployed and roaming on destitution—starving in a land which can produce everything except oil. We could be almost a self contained country.

Time Is Short

I have listened to the remarks made by Major Douglas to-night. I agree with him that unless something is done in the very near future the people of the British Empire and elsewhere will wake up when it is too late; remember the French Huguenots, and more recently in my own State the Russian revolution. I believe in the community control of credit—in taking the control away from the banks and investing it in a national credit body.

Your object, to cure destitution, is a noble one with which I entirely agree, and I wish you every success in it. This is a thing that you have had and we like to keep it the first plank in our platform. That policy is the question of monetary reform.

A Dynamic Policy

I have been for three years a leader of the Labour Party in Australia and I can therefore claim to speak on behalf of the population of Australia. For many years the Labour Party has had the nationalisation of banks in its programme, but I am one who has realised the futility of that plan. I have been agitating for an entirely different policy, and at the last State Conference, by 86 votes to none (which you will agree is a majority), I did not come here to congratulate Mr. So and So on his splendid talk."

...and, believe me, this is a wonderful luxury! I have seen decent citizens selling matches in the streets. I do sincerely hope that the solution offered by Major Douglas will receive the most sympathetic consideration because I entirely agree with him to this extent, that it is wrong to allow the whole control of the credit of the community to be in the hands of any irresponsible body of men. So far as I am concerned, I will never rest till I have taken away from that body of gentlemen the right to control that credit.

Splendid Talkers

I have come here to learn your problems and study your conditions and learn the last three weeks a conference of Empire parliamentarians attended by all the Prime Ministers. On Wednesday I had the honour of speaking at the concluding conference. I know you won't be offended by what I say. I have attended to a number of social functions as many as seven a day. Hospitality completely absorbs one and renders it difficult to criticise, and I think there is an object in this. I know that Australians are not nearly the equal of the English in regard to this matter. We have said, and I don't care whether I am in Parliament or out of it; I owe no money to the banks as the English do. In my experience, it is not that I regard it as crude; we have direct methods and we like to know what is at the back of the other people's minds, but I have not been able to discover this whilst in England. I have heard speeches and found that they are all in this form—"My Lords, ladies and gentlemen, I did not come here to congratulate Mr. So and So on his splendid talk."

Beautiful fish allowed to rot and put round the apple trees for manure. I have seen thousands of tons of raspberries thrown into the river. I have seen wheat and flour eaten by the rats which breed in large numbers owing to the accumulation in the warehouses. We produce too much but we have a lack of distribution. In Australia we can produce enough for one hundred million people and side by side we have had 500,000 people unemployed and roaming on destitution—starving in a land which can produce everything except oil. We could be almost a self contained country.

Time Is Short

I have listened to the remarks made by Major Douglas to-night. I agree with him that unless something is done in the very near future the people of the British Empire and elsewhere will wake up when it is too late; remember the French Huguenots, and more recently in my own State the Russian revolution. I believe in the community control of credit—in taking the control away from the banks and investing it in a national credit body.

Your object, to cure destitution, is a noble one with which I entirely agree, and I wish you every success in it. This is a thing that you have had and we like to keep it the first plank in our platform. That policy is the question of monetary reform.

A Dynamic Policy

I have been for three years a leader of the Labour Party in Australia and I can therefore claim to speak on behalf of the population of Australia. For many years the Labour Party has had the nationalisation of banks in its programme, but I am one who has realised the futility of that plan. I have been agitating for an entirely different policy, and at the last State Conference, by 86 votes to none (which you will agree is a majority), I did not come here to congratulate Mr. So and So on his splendid talk."

...and, believe me, this is a wonderful luxury! I have seen decent citizens selling matches in the streets. I do sincerely hope that the solution offered by Major Douglas will receive the most sympathetic consideration because I entirely agree with him to this extent, that it is wrong to allow the whole control of the credit of the community to be in the hands of any irresponsible body of men. So far as I am concerned, I will never rest till I have taken away from that body of gentlemen the right to control that credit.

Politicians Must Yield To Pressure

It is no good pointing out the waste of food and the poverty alongside of it, and telling people how this can be put right. If they have f£ in the savings bank they think you are going to take it from them. That is where you find that all politicians have their ears to the ground. The only way is by bringing political pressure to bear upon your political representatives in the House of Commons. Something has to be done, and done quickly, otherwise political representatives in the community itself 'and utilise it for the benefit of every man, woman and child in it.
About Note-Books
Mrs. Douglas Picks a Bone After Dinner

A Canadian Experiment

The world economic crisis produced by the inability of the present financial system, based on scarcity, to distribute plenty, has resulted in many currency experiments in different countries. Most of the financial experiments were based on the use of some form of additional currency backed by some body of good standing in the community. Such experiments, while of great interest, have none of them—as far as we are ameliorative and not curative measures. These experiments have turned on the use of some form of currency experiments in different countries. Most of these experiments, while of great interest to the communities involved, have been based on a realisation of the flaw in the present financial system, notably in England and Canada. In the early part of the present century, the former Prime Minister of Canada, M. D. Douglas, decided that the Council should issue scrip to pay its bills. After a meeting with the business community to secure their support, it was decided that the Council should issue scrip to pay its employees, the amount being limited by the amount which it was thought could be absorbed in the payment of real taxation.

The plan was put into operation, but because of "outside pressure and threatened lawsuits"—from what is not stated—the scrip was withdrawn and its place taken by the promissory note forms used by the banks and merchant houses. These forms are still in use, and Raymond carries on, having in the period which has since elapsed reduced its municipal debt to the banks from $53,000 to $14,000.

This last fact may possibly account for the lengthy period during which the experiment has been allowed to run—it has enabled the banks to recover what might otherwise have been irrecoverable debts. If this surmise be correct, the payment of the balance of $14,000 might well coincide with some irresistible "outside pressure" to terminate the experiment.

Under the Guernsey scheme when the scrip was recovered it must have had a deflationary effect, i.e., created a shortage of purchasing power and a consequent fall of prices, unless Guernsey undertook some new capital development and reissued the scrip for this purpose, or the effect of its withdrawal was offset by the development of export trade or some other adventitious circumstance. In Raymond, however, as the town promised to pay current expenses, which must go on year after year, it would appear that the notes form a recurrent increase in the amount of money in circulation, provided some "outside pressure" is not exerted to secure their withdrawal.

From the point of view of all advocates of credit reform, schemes such as these are of value in so far as they make the public realise the truth of Professor Walker's dictum that "money is any medium which has reached such a degree of acceptability that, no matter what it is made of, and no matter why people want it, no one will refuse it in exchange for goods and services." Unfortunately, however, the tendency is for all schemes of this kind to be brought to an end by pressure from the banks whose monopoly of credit creation is threatened by them, and as their termination is deflationary in effect, it is likely that the majority of people will be led to believe that the evil effects of deflation are the natural outcome of "dangerous experiments."
CHAPTER VII.

The Financial Octopus

FINANCE, then, is a system of world tyranny. It rules with a rod of iron—or perhaps gold would be a better word. Its chiefs are acute men who act quickly, talk little and know exactly what they want and how to get it. Its kingdom is highly organised and strongly entrenched. And as the years go by, nearly all the important questions in the world have one object in view: to make the world safe for bankers.

Two of the rocks on which Finance is built are Taxation and the Balanced Budget.

Now modern taxation is simply an ingenious device for concentrating financial power. It also has the effect of still further reducing the supply of money in our pockets, and since a banker deals in money in just the same way as a butcher deals in meat, namely as a commodity, it "puts up his stock."

Take the $8,000,000,000 which was fished from us, subscribed in War Loans and paid out, mostly, to our own people for their War services. These War services have been performed long ago, so these loans represent simply claims on the future activities of ourselves and our descendants. If they were distributed equally, so that we each had a worth of War Loan, they would simply be licences for us to work. But as I have explained, Finance now holds at least 70 per cent. of them (having sold out the balance of its original holding) and it got them fraudulently by creating money on our credit. So instead of licences to work, they are actually a means of transferring enormous bulky of money out of our hands to Finance.

The method by which this forced transfer takes place is taxation. Taxation, in fact, is the business of making us pay through the nose for the use of our own credit, and it springs from the absurd habit of regarding our wealth (namely licences to work, backed by workers) as our debt. I am afraid it is just legalised robbery.

Robbery with a big R. Since 1919 we have paid in taxes a sum exceeding the total amount of the National Debt; yet far from being wiped out, the National Debt has actually increased by more than four hundred million pounds in the last four years!

In a lesser degree the Insurance companies are doing much the same thing. They are drawing money out of our pockets, concentrating it in a very few bands, and throwing governments at will. As to the majority of us, the workers, it takes good care that we are kept too hard at work and too hard up to think much about it.

Now in case you think I am exaggerating, let me give you an example of what Finance can do. Some few years after the War, Austria, a beaten country, dared to try some novel experiments which cut right across the sacred rules of taxation and balanced budgets. Her government tried the plan of giving her merchants and others sums of money on condition that they reduced the prices of their goods. This was done especially in the case of primary necessities, such as food.

Well, the results were very remarkable. There was no inflation (inflation means more money, followed by higher prices, followed by still more money, followed by still higher prices, like a puppy chasing its own tail). On the contrary, things looked at such an extent that foreign visitors were amazed.

Colonel Repington, who was sent out there, reported that new and enlarged industries were springing up everywhere, that the newspapers were full of advertisements, that farming was rapidly improving, and that loaves of bread which cost the State 60 kronen were being sold in the shops for only 9 kronen.

Everywhere people were becoming happy and prosperous, and the Austrian budget was being balanced. The man in the street was on the up grade, but the rules of Sound Finance were being broken.

Then Finance got busy. Here was somebody getting away with it in a very few hands, and paying very little of it back to us. So Finance finds them useful as collectors.

The whole working principle of Finance is that it creates an artificial scarcity of money, and at the same time gives to an international organisation of bankers and financiers the sole power to relieve this scarcity.

This, of course, is equivalent to holding up the entire civilised world at the point of the gun. All the "rackets" in America are nothing to it. Finance controls the Press, the Radio, the Front Benches in the House of Commons; it dictates to capitalists, moulds culture and education to suit its own ends, suppresses criticism, organises world-wide propaganda through professors of economics and others, whose bread and butter depends on their patrons, and sets up or overthrows governments at will.

As to the majority of us, the workers, it takes good care that we are kept too hard at work and too hard up to think much about it.

For nearly a hundred years it has played off Capital against Labour. It welcomed strikes and lock-outs because butter depends on their patrons, and sets up or over-threw governments at will.

Now it is looking on quite unmoved at the various powers being handed over Capital by Conservative, Liberal and Socialists. Not one of them would affect Finance. No, not even nationalisation of the banks, for this would not alter the system, which is the power that Finance rests upon. In fact it would actually increase the power of Finance by centralising power still further.

There was also the crisis of 1921, when the Labour Government had been breaking some of the sacred rules. Out it went, and we now have a so-called "National" Government which may be described as one hundred per cent. Sound Finance. We are being taxed directly by the House of Lords, as heavily as in any other nation on earth, and sixteen times as heavily as before the war. Every year we pay at least $135,000,000 of Excise Taxes in higher prices for our tobacco, spirits and so on. To read the newspapers you might think we are too poor to do more than just keep ourselves alive.

Perhaps you may argue that we have a Treasury, and that it is this which controls our finances, not the Bank of England. But as Mr. Montagu Norman has truly said, the Bank and the Treasury are like Tweedledum and Tweedledee. They agree perfectly about nearly
everything, and uphold exactly the same system. When they do have a quarrel the Bank always gets its own way by preventing to a Monstrous Crow, which it calls the Law of Credit, as if by eating the fox for by-fall.

Government listens more and more attentively to the Bank. Finance, too, is rapidly digging itself in and extending its power. The Bank of England has for several years been fortifying its vaults so that it is now very much like a fortress.

The Bank of France has vaults carved out of the solid rock under a subterranean lake. It could be supercharged with high pressure steam or poison gas at a few minutes’ notice, and by pressing a button intruders could be dropped through a trap-door and drowned. It is proof against the biggest aerial bombs and the heaviest artillery bombardment in the world.

Ortodox Prosperity

With acknowledgment to an article entitled Mass-Method Production in The New Economics (Melbourne), for May 17, 1935

The Argus, of recent date, quotes figures showing the effect of machine development which, superficially, present a picture of progress and prosperity in industry, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Hours (men-weeks)</th>
<th>Selling price per car</th>
<th>Selling price of annual output</th>
<th>Number employed</th>
<th>Number of employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1922</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>£7,416</td>
<td>£18,181,800</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>19,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>£7,416</td>
<td>£18,181,800</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>19,394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Hours (men-weeks)</th>
<th>Selling price per car</th>
<th>Selling price of annual output</th>
<th>Number employed</th>
<th>Number of employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1922</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>£7,416</td>
<td>£18,181,800</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>19,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>£7,416</td>
<td>£18,181,800</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>19,394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The purchasing power distributed as wages and salaries in 1922 was a little more than half the price of the product, but the proportion has dropped to a little more than a third in 1934.

Improvement in process has caused a diminution in the rate of flow of purchasing power.

The unsaleable surplus of cars in 1934 was not only actually greater than it was in 1922 but the percentage has gone up from 46.1 per cent. to 67.7 per cent.

The unsaleable surplus was got rid of by:

(1) Selling to wage and salary earners engaged in other industries, to the detriment of sales by those industries.
(2) Forced export, resulting in trade war, artificial barriers to legitimate exchange, and leading towards a state of military war.

(3) The National Dividend together with the application of the Just Price would have made up the difference, in purchasing power, between A and B costs, enabling the sale of the cars without detriment to other industries or forced export.

Arthur Welldorf

Index to Volume II and Bound Volumes

A comprehensive index to Volume II of Social Credit, covering the issues from February 22 to August 9 inclusive, has been prepared and will shortly be available at 6d. (post free). As a limited number only will be printed, orders should be placed early.

Orders for complete bound volumes at 10s. 6d. each (plus 4d. postage) may be placed now. Binding cases, including index, at 35s. 6d. each (plus 4d. postage) will also shortly be available. Please send remittance with order to the Publisher, Social Credit, 163A, Strand, London, W.C.2.

The Electoral Campaign

The Special Electoral Campaign Number of Social Credit explains the Electoral Campaign and how to help in it. It contains the vital matter of Major Douglass's famous Buxton speech which launched the Campaign. Price is 6d. a dozen from Social Credit, 163A, Strand, London, W.C.2.
We have still a long way to go, even although the numbers we have obtained should, from the purely mathematical point of view, be sufficient to turn the next election. We, however, intend to carry on canvassing until National Dividends have become an actual fact.

We have been canvassing here for twelve weeks now, and we have naturally formed some quite definite opinions on the subject.

It's Easy

First, canvassing is amazingly easy. As one man said, “After you have knocked at the first door it is as easy as A B C.” He was referring to those who canvass the poorer districts. Most canvassers have when they come out for the first time. When they discover that they can get signatures in fifty per cent. to sixty per cent. of the houses, especially if the poorer districts are done first, they realise that the Electoral Campaign can be a success in their constituency. We have been fortunate, too, in our rate of obtaining signatures, reaching as high as 85 per cent per hour, and never less than ten, except in the first week, when we paid too much attention to third calls. Some of the unemployed have actually had as many as twenty-eight signatures per hour.

Some canvassers prefer to work alone, others in pairs, and others in groups up to five or six. There is certainly more fun in the groups. Each canvasser pleases himself as to the method he adopts; there are no restrictions.

It is generally agreed within the group that it is absolutely necessary to hand the leaflet to a responsible voter in the house emphasising the following essential points:

1. That they read the leaflet carefully.
2. If they agree with it, they should sign it.
3. That every voter in the house should sign it.
4. That lead pencil will do for signing.
5. That it will be called for in about an hour (or other stated time, which should be the same tonight).

Two calls can be made on as many as forty houses per hour.

It's Interesting

Canvassing is intensely interesting. In the majority of houses there is no conversation on the second call. The leaflets are handed back ready signed, with some remark to the effect that “it is time someone was doing something about it.” There is some satisfaction in knowing we are getting so much support and that our efforts are appreciated. Where the leaflet is not ready signed you have the choice of taking it as a refusal and getting on in order to get signatures quickly or you can spend some time and explain the leaflet a little more fully.

One meets many types. It is surprising how many people there are with a tendency towards Labour who think that a National Dividend is the policy of the Labour Party. It takes only a few minutes to disillusion them. It is surprising to find that most Conservatives are simply anti-Labour without any knowledge of politics. Then you get the moralists who are afraid that the unemployed will spend their National Dividends on beer or gambling, would be economists of all kinds who admit in half-a-minute that they have never studied money, and all sorts of people with a thousand and one extraordinary ideas. It is generally fairly easy to pick out a “key” man, and it is worth spending ten or fifteen minutes with him. It is also interesting when we get back to the room about nine or ten. There are a few comedians who can tell a good story about their experiences.

It's Effective

The political situation in Widnes, however, is probably the most important and interesting aspect of the canvass. As already reported in Social Credit, our present Member, Mr. J. Roland Robinson, has decided that the air of Blackpool is much healthier for him than that of Widnes, where he had a majority of 10,000 at the last election. Several meetings of the Conservative Association have been held regarding his successor, several names have been rumoured, and still no candidate was forthcoming. Members of the Conservative Association were getting quite anxious about a candidate in view of the talk about an October election. This week, however, they have found a candidate willing to accept nomination, and as he lives in the neighbourhood we shall be able to contact him quite easily when the occasion demands.

On the other hand the rank and file of the Labour Party are discontented with their candidate because at the last meeting he addressed in Widnes a few weeks ago “he spoke only about Russia, and did not mention Poverty amidst Plenty or National Dividends.” Meanwhile we are carrying on canvassing, and that brings me to the third point.

It's Quick

Canvassing is the quickest, most certain and most effective method of getting National Dividends put into operation. Is there any other method of convincing people at the rate of ten to fifteen per man-hour that it is National Dividends that they want? And canvassing not only convinces them; it gets them to promise to take action about it. Already we see the effect of that threat of action on the political situation here.
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Campaign Leaflet includes the demand for both Economic Democracy and Political Democracy, and canvassing with this leaflet is the speediest method that I know of getting that demand expressed. Last night (July 23) eight canvassers travelled eight miles in two cars and collected 259 signatures in one and a half hours in a modern housing scheme with short streets and front gardens. I have not yet seen the record books, but one of the men who collected fifty-six signatures had only two refusals; and our expert canvasser was absent last night.

It Is Winning

We are winning. We know that the people have been anxiously waiting for someone to do something for them, and now, after signing the leaflet, they understand for the first time in their lives what they are going to vote for—a National Dividend or to make their M.P. their servant, or both. The people are anxiously waiting—waiting on the message of hope and the method of achieving it that we can give them as it has been given to us. We—who call ourselves Social Crediters—are we Social Crediters of the intellect only, or are we Social Crediters of action?

A Campaign Exploit

For the encouragement of others, may I ask you to print this amazing exploit in the enemy camp? I was with the canvassers in Paddington when we came upon an Economic League street orator. Some Socialists were making it warm for him and we butted in with unanswerable questions and brought the atmosphere up to boiling point. Then one of the campaigners, a young woman who had never addressed a meeting in public, was asked to sign. Please read it carefully, sign (if you have not done so already) and send it (1½d. stamp) to The Only Democrats, 63A Strand, London, W.C.2

Will you ask others to sign this demand and undertake? Supplies of the form (Leaflet No. 5) can be had (see above).

WE WILL ABOLISH POVERTY

Elector's Demand and Undertaking

1. I know that there are goods in plenty and therefore that poverty is quite unnecessary.
2. I want, before anything else, poverty abolished.
3. I want, too, national dividends distributed to me and every Briton so that we can buy all we want of the goods that are now destroyed and the production that is restricted.
4. These dividends must not increase prices or taxes or deprive owners of their property or decrease its relative value.
5. In a democracy like Great Britain Parliament exists to make the will of the people prevail.
6. So I pledge myself to vote for any candidate who will undertake to support the abolition of poverty and the issue of national dividends and to vote consistently against any party trying to put any other law-making before this.

Signed.....
Address.....

(Signatures will be treated confidentially)

Volunteers for Help

I want to make my vote effective, so I volunteer to work.............hours each week at delivering and collecting these forms, in a district convenient to me, for the next six months, or until further notice. I will try to induce all my friends to do likewise.

 BLOCK Name.
 LETTERS Address.
New Scope for Authors

I was invited to write three explanatory Social Credit articles for a local paper and did so. These articles were published, and I have now been asked to write series of articles for a neighbouring paper which has an even wider circulation, with the proviso that technicalities should be avoided which, of course, leaves the field clear for Electoral Campaign articles.

The second paper apparently finds the interest in the subject so great that the articles are a source of revenue and they are therefore paying me for them.

I pass on this information as a hint to others who might tactfully approach local papers in their neighbourhood—even if controversial letters have been refused.

Southampton.
M. C. Bond.

A Forlorn Hope

I can endorse your note on these words last week as I looked them up in the dictionary when I got home from the dinner. Having followed Major Douglas's utterances for a good many years I know the precision of speech which marks them, and I have also come to regard him as a realist before all else. I feel convinced that he would not so urgently and earnestly prescribe immediate action if he believed the action to be doomed to failure—yet this was the interpretation put upon the words by some who were present.

Forlorn hope is, perhaps, not much time, but perhaps if we will put forth all our energies there is just time.

London.
Now a Campaigner.

L.J.W.

The Only Real Charity

A correspondent in a recent issue of Social Credit suggested very truly that the truest form of charity was action directed towards the abolition of the poverty which makes it necessary, and urged that all readers should suggest some of their campaigns on the Secretariat whose supreme objective is Economic Freedom for the Individual, which would of course eliminate poverty.

May I suggest one method which I have adopted since the introduction of the new National Dividend slogan stamps? In the past I have given away considerable sums in small amounts to street beggars. Now I hand my heart, and each time I do so I use a 6d. National Dividend stamp instead of a 1d. one on my next letter.

May I also suggest that I have found these stamps, which are most attractive, an excellent medium for securing small subscriptions from sympathisers, for many people will buy one or two shillings worth, who would never go to the trouble of buying a P.O. and sending it to the Secretariat?

There is not much time, perhaps, but if we will put forth all our energies there is just time.

London.

They Asked For It

How is this for a neat and effective riposte to the unintelligent objector? Scene, Schoolroom in a country village. Chairman, the local vicar. Subject, Social Credit. After an hour's address, the chairman calls for questions. A supercilious looking young man, who has smoked cigarettes almost under the speaker's nose, rises to his feet, "Can you imagine, companion, that this is all humbug? Here is a new field for propagandists.—Ed.".

Social Credit for the Individual, which would of course attract him as a realist before all else.

The usurer's claws are blunted rarely;
And 'tis decreed, perfecting thus the scheme,
To trumpet forth a fortune so select
Of gold and buried treasure if only they would dig for it. The Lord Chancellor maintains that this is all humbug; but Mephisto replies:
What you don't touch is lying leagues afar;
What you don't grasp is wholly lost;
What you don't weigh, it has no weight, alas!
What you don't coin, you're sure it will not pass.
All buried treasure in the Emperor's land:
To all to whom this cometh, be it known:
Thou canst not think how well the folk have fared.
Behold how all, alive, enjoying life are straying!
Thou canst not think how well the folk have fared.
Behold how all, alive, enjoying life are straying!

The Emperor was indignant at seeing his sign-manual forged on the note, but the Treasurer reminded him that the night before he had undersigned a note—a few strokes of the pen!

These didn't they give; they were, are night repeated. By skilful conjurers thousandfold repeated; and, that a like advantage all might claim, what if F. C. was once the boy, alias the very tiny name; Toads, threes, threes, fifties, hundreds, are prepared. Thou canst not think how well the folk have fared. Behold thy town, half-dead once, and deifying. How all, alive, enjoying life are straying!

I cannot find in the rest of Part II. that anyone thought it worth while to dig for the "treasure" which was to redeem the new currency.

Bristol.
J. G. Ashley.
What to Read

Special Electoral Campaign Number of "SOCIAL CREDIT" (Postage 1d) ... 2d.

Tell everybody all about the principles underlying the Campaign, what the Campaign will achieve, and how everyone can join in it.

Books by Major C. H. Douglas:—

Economic Democracy. 4th Edition, 1934 3s. 6d.
The original statement of the philosophy and proposals of Major Douglas.

Social Credit ...
Contains the philosophical background and includes the Draft Scheme for Scotland.

The Control and Distribution of Production, 2nd Edition, 1934 ... 3s. 6d.

Credit Power and Democracy. 4th Edition, 1934 ... 3s. 6d.

Warning Democracy. 2nd Edition, 1934 ... 3s. 6d.

The Monopoly of Credit ... 3s. 6d.

The Douglas Manual, by Philip Maitre ... 3s. ed.
A Douglas concordance and a valuable reference book.

Economic Nationalism, by Maurice Maire. 3rd Edition, 1935 ... 3s. 6d.
For readers with no previous knowledge of the subject.

The A.B.C. of Social Credit by E. Sage Holter ... 3s. 6d.
Ordeal by Banking, by W. Allen Young ... 3s. ed.

Books bearing on the subject, but not solely devoted to Social Credit:—

This Age of Plenty, by C. Marshall Hattersley A very popular exposition. ... 3s. 6d. and 6s. ed.
The Coming of Community, by W. T. Symonds ... 3s. 6d.

Life and Money, by Einar O'Duffy ... 3s. 6d.
The Community's Credit, by C. Marshall Hattersley ... 1s. 6d.

Pamphlets by Major C. H. Douglas:—
The New and the Old Economics ... 1s. 6d.
These Present Discontents: The Labour Party and Social Credit ... 1s. 6d.
The Use of Money ... 6d.

The Nature of Democracy ... 6d.

Money and the Price System (Speech before the King of Norway at Oslo) ... 3d.

Social Credit Principles ... 1d.
Pamphlets by other writers:—
Introduction to Social Credit, by M. Gordon Cumming ... 6d.
Poverty Amidst Plenty, by C. F. J. Galloway ... 6d.
An Outline of Social Credit, by H. M. M. ... 6d.
Poverty Amidst Plenty, by the Earl of Tankerville What is this Social Credit? by A. L. Gibson ... 6d.

Short Papers on Money, by the Marquis of Tavistock Banking and Industry, by A. W. Joseph ... 6d.
The Nation's Credit, by C. G. M. ... 6d.

The Abolition of Poverty: A Brief Explanation of the Proposals of Major C. H. Douglas, by R. S. J. Rands ... 4d.
Why Poverty in the Midst of Plenty? by the Dean of Canterbury Social Credit and the War on Poverty, by the Dean of Canterbury ... 4d.

Men, Money and Machines, by C. Marshall Hattersley The Douglas Theory and its Communal Implications, by Fred Tait (revised edition) ... 4d.

Outside Eldorado, by J. E. Tuke ... 3d.
A Simple Outline of Douglas Social Credit, by R. S. J. Rands ... 1s.
(or 5s. a hundred, post free)
False Prophets and False Profits, by W. Adams ... 1d.

Postage extra.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND MEETINGS

Notices will be accepted for this column at 6d. a line, minimum three lines.

We suggest more extensive use of this column by affiliated groups for making their announcements. At present many groups notify their members by post, which costs both labour and expense that might be greatly reduced by a notice in this column. It would then only be necessary to draw the attention of the members to the fact that they would in future find all announcements concerning them in Social Credit.

Notices must reach the publishing office by the Monday morning before the date of issue.

Belstaff Douglas Social Credit Group
The Headquarters—Princes Chambers, 72, Ann Street—are now open each afternoon and evening for the purpose of answering enquiries regarding Literature, Lectures, Meetings, etc. Meetings are being held at the above address as follows:—For Members, Thursdays, at 3 p.m. These meetings are intended for those unemploye especially. Public meetings on Thursdays, at 7.45 p.m. Admission free. The Group monthly meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 6, at 7.45 p.m. Please produce Membership Card.

Manchester Douglas Social Credit Club
The first meeting of the Social Credit Club took place on Tuesday evening, July 16, at the Grosvenor Hotel, 2 Deangate. No formal speeches were made, but contacts were effected with new comers to the Movement and difficulties dealt with. It was a decided success. The NEXT MEETING WILL BE HELD at the Grosvenor Hotel on the first Tuesday in August (August 6) and meetings thereafter on the first and third Tuesdays in every month. Time, from 6.30 p.m.

Internal Relations
We are glad to be able to announce the return of Mr. A. L. Gibson, fully restored to health by his rest and change. He now resumes his duties, as Director of Internal Relations of the Social Credit Secretariat, which have been carried out during his absence by Mr. L. D. Byrne, Director of Organisation. All enquiries for this department, in accordance with the memorandum (I.R.1) on Internal Relations, will in future be referred to Mr. Gibson.

SLOGAN STAMPS

Stamps in two and three colours in this attractive design are now available at 1d. and 6d. each, or in sheets of twenty-five at 5s. and 3s. 6d. respectively, post free. The penny stamps are in two shades of green and white and the sixpenny stamps in two shades of green and yellow.

SLOGAN LABELS

at 1d a Penny

These labels are available in the Electoral Campaign colours, orange and purple, are ready gummed, and bear the following inscription:
"The Abolition of Poverty, Demand it! Clearly, Simultaneously, Singlemindedly. Vote for it, Unitedly, Consistently and Parliament will obey you.

The price of these labels is 1s. for one dozen sheets, post free.

By using these stamps and labels and selling them to friends and sympathisers, you will extend our influence, increase the sales of Social Credit and help our funds.

Groups which have nominated a Supervisor of Revenue to work the Group Revenue Plan P.R.I., can obtain supplies of stamps and labels at special reduced prices for resale. Individuals who are not members of Groups, can also purchase supplies at special prices for resale by undertaking to work the Individual Revenue Plan P.R.I.

These two plans are intended to help finance the Secretariat, for paper, and local activities.

**REASONS WHY YOU SHOULD ADVERTISE IN**

- Steady increase in circulation.
- Only bona fide advertisers are accepted.
- Certain response to announcements under new scheme.
- Increase in revenue will make improvements possible.
- Local group members will patronise local advertisers.
- Co-operation is the keynote of the scheme afoot.
- Readers are interested in every page of the journal.
- Every copy gets into the hands of enthusiastic supporters.
- Douglas' proposals are receiving more publicity every day.
- In many cases the journal is passed on to other people.
- Terms are very low considering the wide field covered.

A discount of 10 per cent is offered on orders of 13 insertions and all orders should be addressed to the Advertisement Manager, SOCIAL CREDIT, 163A Strand, London, W.C.2.

---

**IMMACULATE USED CARS**

- A FEW USEFUL AUSTINS.
  - £79 cash or £10 deposit, 1934 Austin 7 h.p. de Luxe Saloon.
  - £109 cash or £20 deposit, 1934 Austin 10½ Saloon de Luxe.
  - £115 cash or £20 deposit, 1934 Austin 10½/4 Cabriolet.
  - £145 cash or £25 deposit, 1934 Austin 12/4 Ascot Saloon de Luxe.
  - £199 cash or £20 deposit, 1933 Austin 11½ Harley Saloon de Luxe.
  - £165 or £20 deposit, 1935 Austin Ascent (13 h.p. engine) Saloon de Luxe.

- OTHER MAKES.
  - £115 or £20 deposit, 1934 Morris 10/4 Sunshine Saloon de Luxe.
  - £135 cash or £20 deposit, 1935 Hillman Family Sunshine Saloon.
  - £140 or £25 deposit, 1934 Vauxhall 14/6 Saloon de Luxe.
  - £115 or £20 deposit, 1934 Standard 10½ Saloon de Luxe.
  - £125 or £25 deposit, 1930 Alvis Silver Eagle 3-carburetted Sports Saloon, in beautiful condition.
  - £205 or £30 deposit, 1935 Morris Oxford Special Coupe, in faultless condition.
  - £175 or £25 deposit, 1935 Vauxhall 20 h.p. Saloon de Luxe, faultless condition.

---

**ERNEST SUTTON, LTD.,
26, BRUTON PLACE,
LONDON, W.1**

---

**FINEST TEA**

AT

‘THE JUST PRICE DISCOUNT’

TO ALL SOCIAL CREDITERS

- "CHOICE CEYLON" at our "JUST PRICE" of 2/2d. a lb.
  (Costing 3/- a lb. retail)

- "SPECIAL BLEND" at our "JUST PRICE" of 2/- a lb.
  (Costing 3/6d. a lb. retail)

To support the National Dividend Campaign, we, THE CHOTA HAZRI TEA CO., LTD. have arranged to forego nearly the whole of our profit, and to pay to THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT a subscription of 3/- on every 10 lb. of "Choice Ceylon," or 2/6d. on every 10 lb. of "Special Blend.

Our Customers all over Britain write that they cannot get quality like CHOTA HAZRI, no matter what price they pay.

FOR TRIAL, half a pound of each will be sent post paid on receipt of 2/3d.

10 lb. orders will be sent carriage paid
5 lb. orders, 6d. extra for part carriage “Join with your friends for a parcel.”

Orders (marked SOCIAL CREDIT) and cash only to CHOTA HAZRI TEA CO., LTD. Tea Importers 49 MOORFIELDS, LIVERPOOL

---

**Auld Kings Arms Hotel**

**Stranraer**

ON SHORT SEA ROUTE TO IRELAND

HOT-BED OF D.S.C.

TEL. 20.

W. M'ROBERT, Prop.
A PROPOS
Of Everything in General and Nothing in Particular

FROTT'S QUERIES
No. 3: Is It Fishy, No?

O Sire, Editor-bird, what is these New Dealings of the Right Honorary George, Lord David, Esq.? How indeed can you have New Deal with same old greasy pack—and most of them up someone's sleeves, too? Indeed also I am quite thunderstricken to observe with what painstaking zeal Mr. George has copied his house-to-house visitations from the Electoral Cham-

paign of the one and only Douglas (of whom his songster has so lovingly expostulated "The Man That Broke the Bank of Monty Norman"). This is shirk plagiarism, my goodness, yess

But I ask you, is it not real voracious truuthes that someone has said to himself: "Here is poor old Harold Lloyd George, eating his hair off with nothing doing. So let's give him casual job of turning away attentions' plaguism, my goodness, yess

Henryk Ibsen on "Public Works"

"Brand," Act IV.

So one thing and another eggs

My brain to cope—if that may be—

With our unhappy poverty.

Brand. "Do you expect that you will cure it?"

The Sheriff. "Nay, that's the last thing I expect

Society's innate defect.

It's there, and we must just endure it.

Oly, if some tactful means be used,

May be limited, reduced.

Directed in a given channel.

We hear from every jury-panel.

How Want's the best manure for crimes:

I mean to damn it up bezees.

Brand. "But how?"

The Sheriff. "Ah! you may well go guessing!

A long, little wait now will be filled,

And all the truth, reap the blessing,

If I can get the means to build

A Poor-house— Pest-house if you like,

Seven great nations, in a monetary fix.

Building, it is in my mind,

Might with a Lock-up be combined:

Thus shall happily effect

The Cause, you see, with the Effect;

By the same bars securely fixed

With bulletin of crime 'will strike.

Then, while I am about the thing,

I mean to add another wing.

For public buildings in the main meant,

But equally for entertainment;

With rooms for guests, and things of that form,

The whole completed by a platform;

In short, to give a title to 't,

A neat Political Institute.

A So-Called Democracy

"... But why must the people of Bengal be listened to and the people of Britain not listened to?" asked Moung Thwa, "surely the partition of their country affects them just as closely. Are their opinions too silly to be of any weight?"

"The people of Britain are what is called a Demo-

cracy," said Moung Kaj.

"A Democracy?" questioned Moung Thwa. "What is that?"

"A Democracy," broke in Moung Shoogley eagerly, "is a community that governs itself according to its own wishes and interests by electing accredited representa-tives who enact its laws and supervise and control their administrations. Its aims and objects are government of the community in the interests of the community."

"Then," said Moung Thwa, turning to his neighbour, "if the people of Britain are a Democracy—"

"I never said they were a Democracy," interrupted Moung Kaj acidly.

"Surely we both heard you!" exclaimed Moung Thwa.

"Not correctly," said Moung Ka; "I said they are what is called a Democracy."—From "The Comments of Moung Kaj," by Saki.

Published for the Social Credit Secretariat, 123A, Strand, London, W.C.2. Tel. TEM. 4154 (Secretariat), TEM. 7044 (Editorial and Publishing). Printed by the Blackfriars Press Ltd., 12 Middle Temple Lane, E.C.4; and at Leicester.

Cross Country RIDING TREKS
Organised by the CLARKE RIDING SCHOOL, POLES-HILL, SARRATT, HERTS.
Week-ends in Chilterns. Longer Treks, August and September.

PHONE: KINGS LANGLEY, 7456.

SELECT BOOK BARGAINS

New Copies: Limited supply only. Cash with order.

Man was made for work,

Man was made for labour,

You must keep him at it

He bawls for house and clothes,

The Devil made the oil

To make the crops

And all that damned machinery

To rob us of our toil.

It

Fishy, No?

Very Sound Song

(Earthquakes, thunderstorms, and other horrors are known to insurance companies as "Acts of God.")

Mr. George has copied his house-to-house visitations from the Electoral Campaign of the one and only Douglas (of whom his songster has so lovingly expostulated "The Man That Broke the Bank of Monty Norman"). This is shirk plagiarism, my goodness, yess
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"... But why must the people of Bengal be listened to and the people of Britain not listened to?" asked Moung Thwa, "surely the partition of their country affects them just as closely. Are their opinions too silly to be of any weight?"

"The people of Britain are what is called a Demo-

cracy," said Moung Kaj.

"A Democracy?" questioned Moung Thwa. "What is that?"

"A Democracy," broke in Moung Shoogley eagerly, "is a community that governs itself according to its own wishes and interests by electing accredited representa-tives who enact its laws and supervise and control their administrations. Its aims and objectives are government of the community in the interests of the community."

"Then," said Moung Thwa, turning to his neighbour, "if the people of Britain are a Democracy—"

"I never said they were a Democracy," interrupted Moung Kaj acidly.

"Surely we both heard you!" exclaimed Moung Thwa.

"Not correctly," said Moung Ka; "I said they are what is called a Democracy."—From "The Comments of Moung Kaj," by Saki.
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