MR. ROOSEVELT'S RESPONSIBILITY

CAN HE RIDE THE STORM?

All Opposition Annihilated

The prestige of President Roosevelt is greater today than that of any President since George Washington. His victory at the polls was overwhelming, and it was won in the teeth of a virulent attack from the massed resources of finance and big business, and of 80 per cent. of the newspapers in the country. Yet it was not surprising. During his term of office the country has felt like before the slump in 1928, and more or less back to what it is what American citizens understand as prosperity. It is a long way from it.

From the buoyant behaviour of the New York Stock Exchange following President Roosevelt's victory, it is clear that despite their declared hostility to his political ideas, the financial and business classes are not only appreciative of the consequences of his re-election.

Judging from past performances the financial optimists have some grounds for their complacency, if the matter lay in the hands of the President alone. His all past efforts, save one, have been favourable to the money power; and when he was accused of this his classic reply was, "Well, it is my function to raise pressure.

The fundamental truth of this dictum was illustrated in the one case in which it was not raised to a greater pressure than that of finance, and paid out £500,000,000 for the Soldiers' Bonus.

For the President and his advisers, it is a battle of nerves. And the battle is being fought with the voters, who have been shocked by the figures in the one case in which the financial optimists have been proved right. That is the battle of nerves, the battle of nerves that is being done with less and less and less call on human labour. There is no escape. It is the central question of American politics at present.

The Party System - Pernicious Montagunaemia

Preparing to fulfil the promise he made before the election that he would cease broadcasting if he failed to deliver 9,000,000 votes to Mr. William Lemke, the Presidential candidate of the Union Party (who actually received some 600,000), Father Coughlin, the "Radio Priest," announced in a broadcast address last night that his "National Union for Social Justice" had been "thoroughly discredited" by the election results, and that he was therefore "withdrawing from all radio activity in the best interests of the people." -- "The Times," November 9.
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FADE-OUT OF FATHER COUGHLIN

NO MORE BROADCASTING

Preparing to fulfil the promise he made before the election that he would cease broadcasting if he failed to deliver 9,000,000 votes to Mr. William Lemke, the Presidential candidate of the Union Party (who actually received some 600,000), Father Coughlin, the "Radio Priest," announced in a broadcast address last night that his "National Union for Social Justice" had been "thoroughly discredited" by the election results, and that he was therefore "withdrawing from all radio activity in the best interests of the people." -- "The Times," November 9.

FATHER COUGHLIN'S GREAT MISTAKE

If only Father Coughlin, when at the height of his popularity, with a colossal following for his weekly broadcast, had taken the line of urging the huge mass of voting power at his command to demand results, and place responsibility for methods where it should rest, on the experts' shoulders, he might have made the United States the true home of liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

As it is, he has allowed himself, by his support of a technical proposal, the Frazier-Lemke Farm Mortgage Bill, to be manoeuvred into just another left-wing popular-front party stunt, with a complicated programme of boasts.

Apart from the absurdity of fighting a presidential election on a programme of neo-fiscal jargon, the idea of joining forces with the Townsend and Huey Long factions is based on the old fallacy of compromise in place of genuine unity.

The only genuine unity in any country in the world today is a demand for results. All discussion of causes, and of remedies, must be left to the moment. Tower of Babel.

The party system itself is based on the idea of conflict, of dog eat dog. How can one hope to obtain plenty for all on a party platform.

Father Coughlin faces the eclipse of his enormous influence following the defeat of Mr. William Lemke, his presidential candidate. -- Reprinted from "Social Credit," June 26, 1936.
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SOCIAL CREDIT
A Journal of Economic Democracy

EVERYBODY’S POLICY

WEEK by week the evidence piles up to prove that the policy of SOCIAL CREDIT is the policy of every sane man and woman in the country—the policy, too, of every reasonable industrialist engaged in the production of goods for human consumption.

This week we state the policy of this paper (see page 107), not in our own words, but in the words of an official pronouncement on behalf of a great industrialist and his associates.

The correspondence between ourselves and Mr. W. M. Wiggins, President of the Federation of Master Cotton Spinners’ Associations, opens with startling clarity the whole line of the line of thought we have taken, and discredits absolutely every theory that is involved in the demand that everybody wants; and to fix responsibility for producing those results—which it should rest—upon the shoulders of the experts.

In his letter of disassociation from remedies is Babel.

It is in fact significant that while Mr. Wiggins states our policy with admirable clarity, he begins to disagree only when he comes to the specific remission of the national debt. And this is simply because we have not stated our policy nor have we taken credit reform, nor Keynes credit reform, with other countries, is a wrong policy, or that it would not be good for claims to such production as is now destroyed or restricted.” (Shades of Freedom in fact. For while we are at one with Mr. Wiggins in a desire
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Correspondence which has passed between us on other occasions shows that Mr. W. M. Wiggins, President of the Federation of Master Cotton Spinners’ Associations Limited, is profoundly interested in the Social Credit System, and Dr. W. L. Baroldy, a member of the Research Committee of the Federation of Master Cotton Spinners’ Associations Limited, has already presented in this paper some extracts from our article, your readers may gather that it mainly concerned with devaluation, for which I have no objection in principle, for I think that we are now in the full swing of a movement to get rid of gold standard.
PARLIAMENT seems to do nothing... Yet the problems are not insoluble. They can be, in a world where there is a super-miners; advocate the provision of parks and houses.

Men m the House of Commons with "the PARLIAMENT seems to do nothing . . .

ance for the unemployed ... and be told

open spaces for the children; advocate .smaller classes in schools; advocate more leisure for enjoy it with; advocate the provision of other!

have come to one conclusion. The main made me sick when I have thought of what

packed and I would say:

House in these days is-POVERTY.

cause of all problems which come before this cannot afford it. The country is POVERTY-

I have heard it suggested that the unem-

vation and the policy of Socialism will abolish

I am a Socialist myself. I think nationali-

It seems we shall have a war because we,

majority of the electors of Great Britain that

so we are told, cannot afford to carry them.

Hospitals are under-staffed, say those who

I set myself to answer

I find it very hard to believe that I am

I have my fellow-economists on my side-but

I have often spoken to the men who could solve these problems, and they have spoken in bitter tones of the poverty which prevents solving their

But War Is On the Way

But so the story has gone on. I have heard all these problems put forward. I have heard all the solutions of them rejected.

The same thing has happened in other ad

Everyone is preparing for the next war. And so the story has gone on. But there seems to be no doubt in anyone's mind that there is going to be another war sooner or later, and we are let in on the facts of the new war which is being planned.

But Who Wants It?

It is a strange thing this inevitable war which no one wants. One wants a war.

This is the question I set myself to answer and which I have not been able to answer. I think the reason is that we have the same poverty which is the bogey-man of Popular Socialism.

I can't go into it here, but no one will, I am sure, disagree after a little thought.

I have heard a lot of what the next war is going to be like, and I have no desire to see it.

Then Why Have It?

The only way to prevent it seems to be to make sure that the next war which can be prevented will be prevented.

I am certain that unless we do this we may not be able to prevent war.

I am convinced that it is not possible to avoid war.

To say you will be equally uncur-

persuading me or the majority of the electors that your policy of planning, or war.

It seems we shall have a war because we, and the electors of the country, cannot agree on the method of abolishing poverty.

I have been studying the writings of Major C. H. Douglas, and he advises the electors of the country to demand National Dividends, these dividends to be invested without waste in raising the rate of wages, in raising the rate of wages, and in raising the standard of living of the workers.

I set myself to study the writings of Major C. H. Douglas, and I have been convinced that his method is the only way to solve the problem of poverty.

I find it very hard to believe that I am

The fact that, without any new resources, we can produce as much milk, fats and meat, as many pigs, and emmerse as many berrings as we like, has been lost on the Government and the bureaucrats of the Boards.

The production of pigs is reported to have increased by 15 per cent, over the 1934 figures, thanks to the Board concerned. Milk, too, was produced in larger quantities.

But what about consumption? What of those markets which were to be build up by these English schemes for milk, hops, and all the rest of it? Have we seen the Scottish milk schemes? In the midst of all this great work, the Cotton Board was established more efficiently if the eight Boards were swept away, millions of people are starving. And the cotton industry has not seen the light of day.

But do you know that these people get the goods now?

WHAT IS A MARKETING-BOARD?

E OR the sum of 24 copper coins one may purchase a copy of an excellent offer a report giving the black history of the numerous marketing schemes now in force in England and Scotland.

But it appears from this report that some of these marketing Boards are not really Marketing Boards, and that a large number of them should have been showered on something else.

For the compilers of the report are at pains to point out that everyone was designed to get something out of them, and that no one could be an efficient producer. The fact that, without any new resources, we can produce as much milk, fats and meat, as many pigs, and emmerse as many berrings as we like, has been lost on the Government and the bureaucrats of the Boards.

The production of pigs is reported to have increased by 15 per cent, over the 1934 figures, thanks to the Board concerned. Milk, too, was produced in larger quantities.

But what about consumption? What of those markets which were to be build up by these English schemes for milk, hops, and all the rest of it? Have we seen the Scottish milk schemes? In the midst of all this great work, the Cotton Board was established more efficiently if the eight Boards were swept away, millions of people are starving. And the cotton industry has not seen the light of day.

But do you know that these people get the goods now?

SO YOU SAY

This war may be the last of the series of wars that was short, so the squirrels skipped out

by birth the ground was frozen hard and

from birth the squirrels learnt that it was a good thing to store up nuts for the winter. Prodigality was frowned upon, for (Continued at

And it came to pass that the winters, year by year, grew shorter and less severe until at last the ground was round and food was plentiful.

The people were prepared at the season of the nuts.

And there grew a squirrel who noticed that the stores of nuts were often not eaten, and in course of time went bad; for food was cheap and abundant.

He said, "Why work? Why save? Nature is full of nuts, let us store up what we can.

Let us be happy." And they answered, "To work is to be a parasite, to save is to be a parasite, and to be a parasite is to be a brute; to be a parasite is to be an animal, and to be an animal is to be a brute; to be a brute is to be a beast, and to be a beast is to be a devil, and to be a devil is to be a damned soul, and to be a damned soul is to be..."

The Devil, The Deep Sea—

That is the choice you will have to make.

It might be said, give Douglas his chance, but the devil is in the detail, as they say.

I wish it were possible. But would we ever let the man give us a chance to give us a chance to give us a chance...

We discussed it in the House and we decided in reality. And so great was our fear of finding ourselves at war unarmored that we didn't even think of what we could do.

Temporarily suspended poverty, it seemed, and we started on a huge programme of rearmament.

Everyone is preparing for the next war. And so the story has gone on. But there seems to be no doubt in anyone's mind that there is going to be another war sooner or later, and we are let in on the facts of the new war which is being planned.

Everyone is preparing for the next war. And so the story has gone on. But there seems to be no doubt in anyone's mind that there is going to be another war sooner or later, and we are let in on the facts of the new war which is being planned.

I have heard a lot of what the next war is going to be like, and I have no desire to see it.

The only way to prevent it seems to be to make sure that the next war which can be avoided will be avoided.

I am certain that unless we do this we may not be able to prevent war.

I am convinced that it is not possible to avoid war.

To say you will be equally uncur-
ONE hundred years have passed since Sir Bernard Burke issued the first two out of his four volumes of "Burke's Commoners," and now the centenary edition of this book is ready for publication.

There were nearly 4,000 families of landed gentry who were "Burke's Commoners," and now the centenary edition of this book is ready for publication.

The system under which we live today is different. The paternal landowner is being replaced by an impersonal financial syndicate whose responsibility is towards "the shareholder" rather than the "tenant farmer." The principle of making profits or incurring losses and their restraining ties are now in a position to manipulate the market. The King of our system is an abstraction—just a code word for those who control the flow of gold and silver.

These early settlers were not governed by the Land Act and were not entitled to any compensation. They were left to face the consequences of their decision. This decision has left them as an unwelcome legacy. They were given补偿, but the new system removes their responsibility.

The system under which we live today is different. The paternal landowner is being replaced by an impersonal financial syndicate whose responsibility is towards "the shareholder" rather than the "tenant farmer." The principle of making profits or incurring losses and their restraining ties are now in a position to manipulate the market. The King of our system is an abstraction—just a code word for those who control the flow of gold and silver.
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UNITED-FOR-WHAT?

You may have attended some conference convened to form a “United Front.” If you will, I may try to deal with the affinities, the strong belief in this idea; and then go on to explain his plans, whether from Marx or from Henry or Lloyd George. Later, a committee will be formed, instructed to find some compromise and to submit to the people of what to which these planners may subscribe.

Words! Compromise! Unity cannot be found in these, but only in some basis for action which is no compromise; which has its roots in reality—which in very truth expresses the deepest wish of every man. That basis—that Common Front—exists in finding an actual desire to substitute the welfare of the people and the use of the machinery of abundance. In this, we are right. We are not in the habit of raising this subterranean desire into a demand that these needs be provided. To begin—why poverty be ABOLISHED, and a National Dividend be issued to every man and woman in the country. Let us unite for this and every citizen will be behind us, making such demand as will force those in control to find the correct means to that end.

And to all delegates here present I will give one final advice—according to your belief in this idea; and then go. You will have heard each delegate affirm that they will provide what is needed. If you have any doubts about this, you may then slowly go to the people of the British Isles, where, and to the people of the United States, where, and to the people of the English-speaking countries, where, and to the people of every country, where, and to the people of every nation, where, and to the people of all the nations, where, and to the people of every race, where, and to the people of all the races, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of all the continents, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of every continent, where. And to all these people I will give one final advice—according to your belief in this idea; and then go. You will have heard each delegate affirm that they will provide what is needed. If you have any doubts about this, you may then slowly go to the people of the British Isles, where, and to the people of the United States, where, and to the people of the English-speaking countries, where, and to the people of every country, where, and to the people of every nation, where, and to the people of all the nations, where, and to the people of every race, where, and to the people of all the races, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of all the continents, where, and to the people of every continent, where, and to the people of every continent, where.
**BOOK REVIEW**

**Blame Nature**

Naturam expellas furca tamen
seque recurrit

It was the Rameau who invented the idea of pushing Nature out with a fork, but Nature, alas, invariably came back again. Back with a vengeance and this time he armed them with the most incondescending and boring THING that ever had appeared on the surface of the earth.

It was called Censorship.

From the first it was a mystery: nobody could get to the bottom of it at all, though a learned professor produced a theory that there was nothing but a carret a bottom of it. All through history people kept on expelling Nature, and so on, and so forth, and everywhere in the same old way: and yet, Nature kept on coming back and making everything unbridled again.

This is partly, it is true, the theory of Mr. Sellar and Yeatsman, the cheerful and inconsequential authors of "6d. And All That". As such, no doubt, it is a book which I here read and now comment to everybody as the SOCIAL CREDIT book of the month, and next month, too, because it is the Christmas present.

We have all been waiting for the real humoires to come along and debunk the Puritan or "high hat" attitude which insists that Nature is "not only a Bad Thing, but a Dirty Thing". There are several pamphlets. See page 109—110.

**Abstract Prospere**

Lord Northfield, whose achievements his countrymen for a time admired and whose character they respect, is reported to have said at Oysthwaite the other day—

"Great Britain is in the greater state of prosperity that it has ever been in my time.

If the conditions of uncertainty and anxiety that led people sufficient money to enable them to satisfy their reasonable desires, at least in food, clothing and shelter, prosperity must be read from the bottom up, not from the top part downward.

What possible ground for pride or content, can it be to the ordinary men, women, children, married or single, or guarding the interests of the people and/or nation, that loan was called, and he is no longer eligible to hold office. As sure as God's good gift to Sellar and Yeatsman—"ladies and gents".

If, indeed, you are a Garden Lover, incidentally, you will be God's gift to a hundred and something Federal Social Credit in the double- Dutch built-mongols) and to the cut-throat manufacturers of a hundred and three species of Lovable Garden Implements.

And, I may add, God's good gift to Sellar and Yeatsman—and welcome, too. W.L.B.
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