The Survival of the Unfittest by Unnatural Selection

by JAMES GUTHRIE

(Continued)

As in the inorganic world, so in the organic world, the belief that progress, or "evolution" as it is called, is automatic has been exploited for reasons which we are now to discuss.

The fact that great progress has been made in breeding plants and domestic animals has induced people to accept the belief that if these changes can be made in a hundred years, the changes which have been made in a million years would be so enormous as to explain easily any change whatever.

The fallacy in this argument is that although a large variety of say, domestic dogs, may have been bred over the last thousand years from the wild dog, these dogs are still dogs, they are not cats or rabbits. Nor can they be made to interbreed with animals outside the "Dog Family."

I would say that the essential difference between a dog and a cat is not its size or shape, but its mentality. The more we change the size and shape of dogs "the more they remain the same thing." This is not evolution; this is variation within the family. Men have come very close to breeding dogs that look like reptiles, but the poor little caricatures still try to wag their mutilated tails. There is no experimental basis for the belief that all animals evolved from one ancestor.

As one evolutionist said, "Let us not give in to the temptation of saying: 'Many things can happen in 100 million years.' If nothing happens in a year there is no reason why, by multiplying what has not happened one million or a hundred million times, something will happen at the end of that time. There must be a starting point, no matter how humble."*

Evolutionists agree that any evidence for their theories must be found in the fossil record.

THE STORY OF EVOLUTION

The story of Evolution which has been presented to immature minds in the schools and universities is a story of a grand triumphal progress of life from the amoeba through various animal stages to modern man.

The man of the 20th century, with his motor cars, his television and jet planes is the crowning glory, so the story goes, of a vast process of millions of years of gradual evolution. He represents the survival of the fittest. Man is on the move and the move is always upwards. "Modern" man looks upon all previous generations with the utmost contempt, in spite of the fact that he insists that the evolutionary process, of which he is the end product, is an automatic process which has no design and no mind behind it.

Evolutionists from Darwin's day to the present have been confronted with the fact that the fossil record shows that all animals in existence today have not altered in any essential feature from the beginning of their existence on this planet. They have found no place on the face of this earth where the fossil remains show the gradual development of any animal. They have found no "link" between one kind of animal and another.

On this subject Thomas Henry Huxley, in 1862, in his address to the Geological Society, said:

"What then does an impartial survey of the positively ascertained truths of paleontology testify in relation to the common doctrines of progressive modification, which suppose that modification to have taken place by a necessary progress from more to less embryonic forms, or from more to less generalised types, within the limits of the period represented by the fossiliferous rocks?

"It negatives the doctrines: for it either shows us no evidence of any such modification, or demonstrates it to have been very slight; and as to the nature of that modification, it yields no evidence whatsoever that the earlier members of any long-continued group were more generalised in structure than the later ones."

In 1930 the evolutionist, A. H. Clark, wrote: †

"One of the most striking and important facts which has been established through a study of the fossil animals is that from the very earliest times, from the very first beginnings of the fossil record, the broader aspects of the animal life upon the earth have remained unchanged."

Why then, in face of actual evidence to the contrary, do evolutionists stick to their theory of gradual evolution from the amoeba to man: they do so for one reason only and this has been generally admitted. They say "If we admit, as the fossil record clearly demonstrates, that there have been sudden bursts of activity, when creatures arrived on the face of this earth fully equipped for life for millions of years, without the need for any major variation, then we must scrap the ideas of the origin of species by 'natural selection' and 'survival of the fittest' and the gradual evolution by automatic impersonal forces. We are compelled to..." (Continued on page 2.)

* Human Destiny, Lecomte du Nouy.

† The New Evolution.
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From Week to Week

It became quite evident during the 1939-1945 phase of the World War that the stage was being set for the imposition on mankind of World Government in an open and definite form. What was not clear at that time was just how this was to be done. The three major possibilities appeared to be: a third phase of World War, with a final victor; a deal between the U.S.A. and Russia; or World Government by ‘agreement,’ as via the United Nations.

Events since 1945, however, have made pretty plain what the master plan is. The objective remains that which Douglas pointed out many years ago: to obtain control of the last squadron of bombers; once this is achieved, the completely centralised administration of the world and its resources in the service of the controllers can be maintained.

Now the chief characteristic of the so-called “Capitalist” nations is that they are subject to two forms of government: national, ‘visible,’ titular, or nominal Governments; and an international, invisible, ‘unofficial’ Government. The former largely control administration and the Armed Forces; while the latter controls policy, largely by economic control.

Economic policy, throughout the industrial world, including Russia, is one: centralisation via ‘Trusts,’ with full employment for the individual. Progressive taxation and Death Duties make escape from this enslavement virtually impossible, except by a challenge, backed by force, to the imposition of this policy. Take away the power to back rebellion by force, and tyranny is unchallengeable. That is to say, if national Governments can be disarmed, economic government achieves world dominion, and “the last squadron of bombers” can be retained for police duties only.

The first step to this objective is to ensure that if a nation possesses Armed Forces, it cannot use them for national ends.... That is the lesson of Suez. Once this demonstration is complete, then Armed Forces collapse. The so-called Nuclear Stalemate is approaching such a demonstration; and under cover of this, the balance of power is being inexorably shifted in favour of ‘Red’ military power. It appears to us as certain that after the lapse of not much more time, the Red Army will be found to be in the position of a world police force, able to prevent any “de-trustification” of the world.

It is important to observe that the structure of industry is quite independent of its alleged ownership. Theoretically, industry is “owned” by shareholders; but in fact industry is controlled by finance, and it is control that matters. The interest of shareholders can be abolished at any moment (e.g. by ‘nationalisation’) without the slightest effect on industry; the only thing that stands between overt international control of industry is the possibility of national revolt—which is rapidly being eliminated.

The grand strategy is now easy to discern. Wartime agreements paved the way for such a balance of power as to make world war virtually impossible; under cover of this stalemate the balance has been progressively shifted in Communism’s favour both positively by Communism’s expansion, and negatively by the adoption of policies which will make a ‘take-over’ by Communism simple at the appropriate moment.

And then the interests of consumers can be ignored, and industry directed to the re-construction of the globe in the interests of its masters.

THE SURVIVAL OF THE UNFITTEST BY UNNATURAL SELECTION—(continued from page 1.)

... postulate that the mind is not the end product of evolution, as we thought. It is more likely to be the starting point.

“Clearly we dare not admit this.”

Here welcome to the crux of the problem. The question of evolution is not a question of facts, or of “Science.” I don’t think that it is even a question of faith, as some writers suggest.

Darwin’s theory of the “Survival of the Fittest” came as a “gift from Heaven,” or Hell as a complete “cover,” or justification, for the crimes of ambitious and ruthless men.

The 19th Century industrialists who had changed the most sublimely beautiful parts of the English countryside into the most desolate spots on the face of the Earth, grabbed Darwin’s theory hungrily. (“If Nature is ruthless man can be likewise”). Here was a complete justification for the most hideous crimes against nature and their fellow men; here was the complete answer to those proud men who objected so strongly to the industrial towns, the polluted rivers and the rootless proletariat.

Here was an answer to those who spoke of the sanctity of the “Moral Law,” the sanctity of the human personality and the overriding importance of the spirit in man. Such people were impractical fools, let them keep out of politics, and economics; let them mind their own business and teach their religious ideas to old ladies. We are Modern men, Progressive men, Enlightened men; all the hard facts of Science are on our side.

The enormous expansion of industry and the amazing prosperity of Victorian England seemed to justify every claim made in the name of Evolution and Progress. But behind the façade of expansion and ceaseless activity there was clearly visible much to horrify intelligent Englishmen. The caricatures of human beings which crawled from under the “dark satanic mills” were not the only or the most fatal casualties of that era.

(To be continued.)
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Report of American Bar Association
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON COMMUNIST TACTICS, STRATEGY, AND OBJECTIVES

THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION TOOK NO ACTION ON THIS REPORT, BUT SENATOR STYLES BRIDGES INSERTED THE REPORT IN FULL IN THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

It was reprinted in American Opinion, December, 1958.

V

SAFEGUARDING OUR REPUBLIC

1. Remedial legislation: In his testimony before a House Appropriations Committee on January 16, 1958, J. Edgar Hoover said:

"Crime and subversion have become critical challenges due to the mounting success of criminal and subversive elements in employing loopholes, technicalities, and delays in the law to defeat the interests of justice."

Hoover quoted a Federal judge who warned against "an unfortunate trend of judicial decisions ... which strain and stretch to give the guilty not the same but vastly more protection than the law-abiding citizen. In his speech to the national convention of the American Legion last fall, Hoover warned us:

"It would be the worst kind of folly to allow the spy and subversive immunity through technical rather than logical interpretation of the law, while they plot the destruction of our democratic form of Government."

We urge that careful consideration be given to congressional legislation or judicial construction which will:

1. Restore to congressional committees the right to determine whether the questions asked of pro-Communist witnesses are pertinent.

2. Restore to congressional committees the same freedom to investigate Communists and pro-Communists that these committees have always had to investigate businessmen and labor leaders.

3. Restore to the States the right to enforce their own antisubversive laws.

4. Restore to the Smith Act the provision which makes it a crime to teach or advocate the violent overthrow of the Government.

5. Restore to the Smith Act the meaning of "organise" which includes organisational work done after 1945, so that Communist organisers cannot hide behind the statute of limitations.

6. Restore to the executive branch the right to determine and to dismiss security risks in both sensitive and non-sensitive positions of the Government.

7. Restore to the States the right to set standards high enough to exclude from public employment and education those who refuse to testify about their Communist activities and associates.

8. Restore to the executive branch the right to question aliens awaiting deportation about subversive associates and contacts, and the right to deport aliens who are Communists at any time after entering the United States.

9. Restore to the executive branch the right to deny passports to those who refuse to sign a non-Communist affidavit.

10. Restore to the States the right to exclude from the practice of law those who have been members of the Communist conspiracy or who refuse to testify about Communist activities.

Although eight years have elapsed since the passage of the Internal Security Act, the Communists have succeeded in preventing its enforcement and in blocking a final judicial determination of its constitutionality. The April 20, 1953, order of the Subversive Activities Control Board, issued after exhaustive hearings and backed by scholarly documentation, that the Communist Party, U.S.A. must comply with said act still awaits enforcement. We reassert the views stated in the brief we filed in the United States Supreme Court in support of this important legislation. If any part of this act violates the Constitution, the courts should so hold and Congress should pass new legislation or amend the old act to conform to constitutional requirements. If it is constitutional, the Communist Party and members should not have immunity from its security provisions during many years of protracted litigation.

2. Re-evaluate the policy of recognition of Soviet Russia and its satellites: How diplomatic recognition can be used as a weapon in the cold war was well stated by House Majority Leader John W. McCormack on July 13, 1958, when he called for "a severance of diplomatic relations with Russia." Four American presidents refused to recognise the Communist Government of Russia, and it remained weak and ineffective so long as it was unrecognised. Communism began to spread through the world as soon as the United States extended diplomatic recognition in 1933, because, in violation of all international morality and even of the executive agreement by which recognition was extended, Soviet Russia used her embassies and consulates as centres of espionage, subversion, and propaganda.

In describing the recent case involving the Communist spy, Rudolph Ivanovich Abel, J. Edgar Hoover testified:

"I mention this case particularly, Mr. Chairman, because there are some people who think that the matter of Soviet espionage is a thing of the past and no longer exists in view of the theme of peaceful coexistence the Soviets continue to expound. Many of the incidents in the Abel case occurred in 1957."

We must all realise that Communist governments use blackmail, counterfeiting, forgery, kidnapping, lying, mass murder, slavery, subversion, theft, and treaty-breaking as part of their official state policy. It is a matter of historical record that the Kremlin blackmailed the Allies into concessions during World War II by threatening to sign a separate peace with Hitler; that the Communist governments have counterfeited American money and forged American
passports; kidnapped American citizens and held them for ransom; kidnapped twenty-eight thousand Greek children in the cruelest mass abduction in modern history; murdered eleven thousand Polish officers at Katyn Forest, six thousand one hundred and twelve American soldiers whom they captured in Korea, and thousands of boys and girls in Hungary; forced millions of Polish, Latvian, Lithuanian, Estonian, Czech, Hungarian and Ukrainian citizens to work as slave labourers in Siberia; stolen six hundred tons of gold bars worth seven hundred million dollars from the Government of Spain in 1936; destroyed by subversion the postwar Republics of Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, and Poland; and violated fifty of their last fifty-two treaties.

Nothing would slow down the Communist line more definitely than if the United States were to recognise the necessity of treating Soviet Russia as an outlaw and putting its Red Agents in the same category. It would dry up the channels by which Soviet Russia spreads its propaganda, directs its espionage, and enforces its discipline on our citizens.

We invite serious attention to the speech of Richard L. Roudebush, commander in chief of the Veterans of Foreign Wars, to the national encampment of the V.F.W. on August 30, 1957, in which he called on our Government to sever diplomatic relations with the Soviet Union. Twenty-two State conventions of the American Legion have also endorsed withdrawal of recognition from Soviet Russia.

It has been claimed that our embassies in Communist countries have value as listening posts. Evidence is abundant that our embassies provide listening posts only for the Communists and not for Americans. American diplomats discovered a microphone had been hidden for years only a few feet from where our Ambassador to Moscow Joseph E. Davies dictated his top-secret reports to Washington. In the American legation in Communist Hungary, a microphone was discovered in the fireplace of the room where Cardinal Mindszenty resides. The Communists had a wire recorder on the legation roof.

We should, of course, continue to refuse recognition to Red China, and we should continue to press for the return of a satisfactory accounting for the four hundred and fifty American prisoners of war still held by Red China in violation of the Korean armistice agreement, as called for by House Resolution 292, unanimously passed by the House of Representatives on July 9, 1957.

3. Be prepared with a plan of action: One of the several lessons we learned from the Hungarian rebellion in October, 1956, was that the West has no plan of action. The Voice of America, Radio Free Europe and promises of “liberation” by responsible American officials had kept alive the hope for freedom. But when the major breakthrough came in Hungary, we had no plan to help the freedom fighters. This aid did not need to be military, but it did need to be prompt. The Rev. Daniel A. Poling, editor of the Christian Herald, said in June, 1958:

“There were four days when in the opinion [even] of Tito, recognition by the United States of the Nagy government would have saved the revolution. Nothing more than this was required. Red Russia waited until she knew we would not act—and then she came.”

Similar lost opportunities to administer a fatal or crippling blow to world communism were the occasions of Stalin’s death, and the Poznan and the East German rebellions. Each opportunity was muffed by the West because we had no plan.

Had our leaders understood the Communist dialectic, they would have known that we could have intervened to aid the Hungarian freedom fighters without running any risk of war with Russia. It would have been un-Marxian and antidialectical for Soviet Russia to have fought the United States over the issue of freedom for Hungary. On the other hand, it was a dialectical advance for Soviet Russia to fight little Hungary, because—while going backward in world opinion over Hungary itself—it went forward in proving that the West will not heed anti-Communist pleas for help, and the Communist nations aid each other, but anti-Communists must fight alone.

4. Study communism: In 1937, Pope Pius XI asked a searching question about communism:

“How is it possible that such a system, long since rejected scientifically and now proved erroneous by experience, how is it, we ask, that such a system could spread so rapidly in all parts of the world?”

He answered his own question in these words: “The explanation lies in the fact that too few have been able to grasp the nature of communism.”

Twenty-one years later, an additional seven hundred and fifty million human beings have been swept behind the Iron Curtain. But it is unfortunately still true that not all too few people understand what communism it. Last year, our committee reported:

“The greatest asset the Communists have at the present time is not the hydrogen bomb, certainly not Soviet satellites, but world ignorance of their tactics, strategy and objectives.”

When our enemy was Nazi Germany, our Government and military leaders studied every detail of the enemy and his strategy. One of the great generals of the European campaign was George S. Patton. Early in World War II, when the Germans were advancing, General Patton was asked one day if he wasn’t discouraged. His answer was precise:

“I have studied the German for forty years. I have read the memoirs of his great men. I have studied every detail of all his military campaigns. I have attended some of his staff courses. I know exactly how he will react under any given set of circumstances. He does not know what I will do. For this reason, when the time comes, I shall beat the hell out of him.”

And this, he did.

We can no more save our Republic from communism, merely by saying we are against communism, than parents can save their children from polio by fervently being against polio. To conquer any disease requires intelligent study to isolate the germ and discover the vaccine. As the number one killer in the world today, communism is a disease which merits our urgent study.

(Concluded.)
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