Conspiracy did not plan and carry out the assassination of Kennedy. On the other hand, there is evidence which very strongly suggests that it did.

First of all, there is the suspicious celerity with which the broadcasting agency sardonically called Voice of America, Tass in Moscow, Earl Warren, and many publicists and politicians noted for their services to the Conspiracy in the past, began to screech that the murder was the work of “right-wing extremists” almost as soon as the shot was fired. One is justified in asking whether the leaders of this chorus went into action as soon as they received news that they were expecting. Or, if they did not know the precise moment, were they not prepared in advance for news of that kind? Is it conceivable that the same story would have occurred independently to so many different persons, however intense their hatred of the American people, or that they would have dared to announce as fact a malicious conjecture, if they had no assurance that their statements would be confirmed by “evidence” to be discovered subsequently? Not even the most addle-pated emulator of Sherlock Holmes would pretend to identify a murderer without a single clue. But the screechers went much farther than that: What they said was the precise opposite of what was suggested by the first indications available (the arrest of a Negro, reported on the radio while the Presidential automobile was starting for the hospital)—an indication which, although it later proved to be wrong, no prudent person could have disregarded at the time, unless he had assurance from some source that he trusted, that contrary indications would soon be produced.

Persons whose business it is to tamper with the news are naturally accustomed to lying, but even they do not lightly take the risk of being caught promptly in a particularly improbable and offensive lie. The case of Earl Warren is even more puzzling. No one would suspect him of concern for truth, but surely the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court must be shrewd enough not to make allegations without some reason to believe that he will be able to produce some shreds of “evidence” to support them.

It seems that preparations had been made for rioting and murder throughout the country. Americans known to be opponents of the Conspiracy, including General Walker, prominent members of the John Birch Society, and leaders of other conservative organisations, began to receive threats of death by telephone from creatures who somehow knew that Kennedy was dead before he reached the hospital. In many cases,
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Exposure

THE FOLLOWING IS EXTRACTED FROM PAGES 99 TO 103 OF The Blue Book of The John Birch Society BY ROBERT WELCH:

At the time of Pearl Harbour, and in the early years thereafter, it would have been absolutely fatal to Franklin D. Roosevelt and George Catlett Marshall for the part they had played in bringing about that catastrophe to have become known to the American people. By coercion on the one hand and rewards on the other, Roosevelt contrived to have perjury, postponements, decisions against the evidence, and every necessary means used, before or in connection with one hearing after another, to keep the truth from getting into the record. He refused to allow the courts martial demanded by General Short and Admiral Kimmel, to which they were clearly entitled, and he wrecked other service careers brutally and without hesitation, to keep the real facts covered up. For the real facts not only constituted plain unadulterated treason on the part of both Marshall and himself, but they would unquestionably have been so regarded at that time by a horrified American public. Exposure at that time might have brought Roosevelt’s impeachment, despite the war, and would certainly have been disastrous to the reputations and future careers of both Marshall and himself.

But now notice that less than ten years later, through publication of the books by Morgenstern, Kimmel, Admiral Theobald, and others, the true facts concerning Pearl Harbour were definitely established and made available for anybody who wanted to read them. And by this time, so far had effective public opinion in America been gradually eased towards the internationalist left, the Roosevelt-worshippers didn’t challenge the facts at all. They took the position, instead, of practically admitting the facts, and of openly praising Roosevelt for having been so foresighted and such a courageous statesman as to have used this means of getting a united America wholeheartedly into the war; a war which was then prosecuted to such a glorious success, on behalf of the future happiness of the world under Soviet socialist leadership, as to make the loss of lives and ships at Pearl Harbour appear now as a picayune loss and a brilliant gambit.

Well, gentlemen, I can assure you that the Communists and pro-Communists in Washington today, including those most highly placed, fully expect the same whitewashing of their deeds by the history resulting from those deeds. Right under our noses the Communists are gradually carrying out their plan of grand strategy as already described, which is so to change the economic and political structure of the United States that is can be comfortably merged with Soviet Russia in a one-world socialist government.

In this patient process they never expect you to be able to find the line, or for there ever to be a line, on one side of which you can say clearly that the United States is an independent nation, and on the other side of which you would know that the United States was already just another Soviet People’s Republic in the world-wide Communist empire—with the police-state features already closing in on us. But somewhere we reach the point—if the Communists are successful, as they now certainly expect to be—where all newspapers, all magazines, all radio and television commentators, all lecturers, and all historians will be constantly proclaiming the glories of this great one-world socialist government which has now brought peace (meaning subjection and slavery) to all mankind.

Already, through their stooges like Milovan Djilas, who is supposed to be in disgrace in Yugoslavia, and Boris Pasternak, who is supposed to be suffering bitter enmity of the dictators in Russia, but whose books have thus been publicized—and, please note, helped by the Left Wing—to become best sellers in America; already through such books, which gullible Americans more readily swallow as true because of the supposed hatred of the authors for their respective governments, tens of thousands of opinion-molding Americans are more and more accepting, or finding less unbelievable, the thesis of these books that Communism itself is all right—in fact is a glorious system—and that all that’s wrong with it is the character of the people now running the system.

Already, in hundreds of other ways, the Communists are rubbing out or making more and more shadowy the lines of disagreement and the once sharp differences between our ways and theirs. The movement is smooth, widespread, continuous, insidious, and powerful. Already Communism and Communists—even with a record like Oppenheimer’s—become more and more respectable in this country, and outspoken or firmly uncompromising anti-Communists become more and more disparaged as fanatics. And so, already, it becomes less and less reprehensible for an American to be working for Communism, especially if he labels it international socialism, even though he commits treason to the United States in doing so.

The top American secret Communists in this country, therefore, fully expect that by this time it is ever possible for anybody to bring out the fact that they have been working as secret Communists, instead of that action then being considered as having been reprehensible, they will be praised for having, in courageous, foresighted, and satesmanlike manner, helped to speed progress and the wave of the future. Instead of having committed treason to the United States, they will have been carrying out a deeper and superior loyalty to an all-encompassing one-world ideal which included the United States. They know that the moral judgments of the future belong to the victors; and they are confident that the agreed-
upon legend which becomes history will be written by them-
selves and their supporters. They are well aware of the
thought which Sir John Harrington expressed: "For if it
prosper, none dare call it treason!"

Increasingly as we near that stage, therefore, exposure of
secret American Communists will mean less and less. Again
we see why destruction of McCarthy took precedence over
everything else on the Communists' American agenda. For he
was exposing treason while it was still treason, and when it
really hurt their cause; whereas if they could only hold off
such exposures for a few more years, bringing out the same
facts and exposing the same men would not amount to any-
thing serious anyway.

Which brings us back to the step we are supposed to be dis-
cussing, of our own exposure, through publication, of present
secret Communists, while it will still shock the American
people and can have some real effectiveness. It would at the
present time, For the important consideration would be to do
these things, and bring out these facts, before the Communists
were ready for them. If we do not start beating them to the
punch on a lot of things we are gone anyway.

Of course we would have to be prepared from the begin-
ning for a lot of smearing, as I have already said; and pos-
sibly also, no matter how carefully our job was done, for a lot
of nuisance libel suits. And we must face the fact that our
courts have by no means been immune to Communist infil-
tration either. So that if the supposedly aggrieved parties
could get their cases into certain courts, the libel suits might
easily prove to have more than nuisance value. But it is to be
remembered that libel suits also necessarily give added pub-
licity to the charges, which is one thing we would be seeking
and which the Left would be most anxious to avoid.

Also, admittedly, the step proposed here is drastic. But this
is no cream-puff war we are in, and the stakes involved are not
those of a pillow fight. We have to face squarely up to the
solid truth—that unless we are willing to take drastic steps,
and do that, we are not going to win the battle. It is too
late to delay. And we might as well start reconciling ourselves to having our
children—not just our grandchildren—live under the Krem-
lin's rule, as Mr. Khrushchev indirectly prophesied.

MARXMANSHIP IN DALLAS  (continued from page 1)

communities, mobs composed of the dregs of humanity and
openly proposing to burn the homes and murder the families
of known conservatives, began to form in the evening, as
though in obedience to orders that had not been countermand-
ed to all sectors. I do not suggest that the local vermin were
entrusted with a fore-knowledge of precisely what was to
happen in Dallas, but it seems very likely that they had been
prepared to respond to a signal and told what to do when the
signal came.

It is easy to see what could have happened, had everything
gone smoothly in Dallas. There could have been a complete
breakdown of law and order everywhere. The numerous ver-
min that have been living for years in ill-concealed anticipa-
tion of the glorious day when they will be able to hack Ame-
icans to pieces and drag bodies through the streets, could
have "spontaneously" started looting, burning, and murder-
ing. In many places they could have mustered strength be-
yond the control of the police, and even if checked and arres-
ted, they could have claimed, like Rubenstein, that they had been
"crazed" by "sorrow" for martyred Jack, and, of course,
unlimited funds would have been available for legal defense.

What is more, the great nest of traitors in Washington could
have begun a pseudo-legal reign of terror, for which the in-
famous "Sedition Trial" in Washington in 1944 was obviously
a small-scale and premature pilot-study. In an atmosphere
of hysteria, maintained by the anti-American television, radio,
and Press, all the leading American patriots could have been
dragged in chains to Washington. The "Federal Marshals",
from Alcatraz and the like, whom the juvenile Czar had
used for his invasion of Mississippi, could have been counted
on to beat some of them to death or murder them while "try-
ing to escape". The sadists whom we have imported as "men-
tal health experts" could have tortured others into fake "con-
essions" or have destroyed their minds with drugs. There
could have been a national Saturnalia of legalised violence
under cover of which the International Conspiracy could have
gained a control of the whole nation that could not subse-
quently have been broken.

You, who read these lines, may owe your life or at least
your liberty, to the vigilance and sagacity of Officer J. D.
Tippit, the policeman who stopped Oswald on the street and
was murdered by the Conspiracy's well-trained but not infal-
lible agent.

There is other evidence, including definite indications that
certain persons, whom observers have long regarded as mem-
bers or at least auxiliaries of the Conspiracy, knew days in
advance that something was going to happen to Kennedy in
Dallas. But when one considers the enormous gains that the
Conspiracy could have reaped from the assassination, had it
been carried out without mishap, and when one remembers
that the Conspiracy had an urgent and even desperate need of
precisely such an event, one cannot avoid the conclusion that
the weight of probability lies overwhelmingly on the side of
the view that the murder was arranged by the Conspiracy as
a strategic operation.

Be Ye Not Comforted

Many Americans, while giving thanks for their deliverance,
strangely assume that the Communists' mishap in Dallas will
give us a respite from danger of at least several months. On
the contrary, the danger is greater than ever, for the partial
failure merely augments the criminals' need for some signal
victory over Americans to preserve enthusiasm in their own
ranks. As I write, shortly before Christmas, it does not seem
that that victory can be attained before the New Year, but we
may be sure that every effort will be made to attain it as soon
as possible thereafter.

The first expedient was primarily defensive. In a hasty and
thus far successful attempt to thwart an investigation by
legally constituted authorities, i.e. the Senate Sub-committee
on Internal Security and the Attorney General of the State
of Texas, both of whom had already announced their deter-
mination to conduct an impartial inquiry, an illegal and un-
Constitutional "special commission" was improvised with the
obvious hope that it could be turned into a Soviet-style kan-
garoo court. The best-known members of this packed "com-
mmission" are:

(1) Earl Warren, so notorious as the chief of the quasi-
judicial gang engaged in subverting the Constitution of the
United States that many thousands of the finest and most
prominent American citizens have for two years been demand-
ing with increasing insistence his impeachment and trial. A
favourite subject of speculation and debate among some in-

formed observers is whether Warren, if brought to trial, would try to dodge behind the Fifth Amendment or would take it on the lam and disappear behind the Iron Curtain. Warren, who spends his vacations with Little Khurshech in the Crimea, began to traduce and defame loyal and informed Americans minutes after the murder in Dallas; and it is easy to see why the Communist Party, through some indiscretion or mis-timing, officially nominated him as head of the “special commission” two days before his appointment was announced in Washington.

(2) T. Hale Boggs, the loud-mouthed agitator who disgraces the State of Louisiana in Congress. The Council has reproduced a press photograph which shows young Boggs in the act of giving the Communist clenched-fist salute while he was head of the Communist-front “American Student Union” in Trulane University, ridiculing our Army, and urging young men not to fight for their country. The same publication reports that it has indisputable evidence that Boggs “served three years before entering Congress” as chairman of a Communist-front “Peace Drive”, and reports that he is a member of the “Interparliamentary Union”, a sinister gang which meets annually in some city abroad to plot the liquidation of the United States. As promptly as Warren, Boggs began to yell that the “right-wing” (as he and his kind call Americans who don’t want to be liquidated) was guilty of having shot Mister Jack.

(3) Allen W. Dulles, one of the founders of the malodorous Council on Foreign Relations and currently its Director. Dulles was the head of an American spy ring in Switzerland during the Second World War and is said to have done a fairly good job, although it was believed at the time that his organisation was infested with double agents who were really in the employ of the Soviet—and even more serious implications can be drawn from the testimony given in Karlsruhe last July by Heinz Felfe, a Soviet agent who had been Mr. Dulles’ German counterpart and supposed competitor in Switzerland.

Our Central Intelligence Agency, although it was infected from the very beginning by the incorporation of scum from the notorious O.S.S., was still an American agency while it was under the command of Admiral Hillenkoetter. Under Mr. Dulles it was transformed into the bizarre gang of seventeen thousand or more secret and faceless agents, some of them expert assassins so recently imported into the United States that they cannot speak English. Mr. Dulles’ C.I.A. is also the gang that helped Castro attain power in Cuba, staged the fake “invasion” to destroy anti-Communist organisations in Cuba, recently carried out (in close co-operation with the Soviet Secret Police) the murders in South Vietnam as a prelude to complete and open Communist occupation, and is known to have served the Soviet in many other ways, while, so far as is known, it has never done anything at all for the United States, whose taxpayers provide the gang with unlimited funds. Some perhaps frenetic observers believe—based upon this and other “coincidences”—that the C.I.A. is now the major branch of the Soviet Secret Police in the United States.

It was Mr. Dulles personally that the late Bang-Jensen trustingy confided evidence that very important members of the C.I.A. were officers in the Soviet Secret Police, and Mr. Dulles did nothing at all about it—unless, indeed, it was the C.I.A. that murdered Bang-Jensen to prevent him from ever giving testimony.

One writer has recently suggested that it was the C.I.A. that arranged the assassination of Kennedy; I know of no evidence to support that opinion, but obviously Mr. Dulles’ creation is open to suspicion. Perhaps that is why he is a member of the “special commission”.

(4) John J. McCloy, of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Ford Foundation, the World Brotherhood, and other mysteriously powerful organisations whose un-American or anti-American activities should have been investigated by Congress long ago. McCloy is reputed to be the principal author of the present plan to disarm the United States and prepare it for occupation by Soviet troops and associated savages of the “United Nations”, which he, as an assistant of Alger Hiss, helped to design and foist on the American people.

Well, those four form a majority of the “fact-finding commission” and their records offer a guarantee of the kind of “facts” they will find or devise. Two of the other members are self-styled “Liberals” of little political experience, and it is obviously idle to speculate concerning what Senator Russell may be able to do alone in such company.

It should be noted that the very creation of this Soviet style “commission” in violation of our Constitution and for the express purpose of superseding legal and Constitutional procedures represents in itself a victory for which the Communist Conspiracy would have been willing to pay almost any price, since it accelerates the disintegration of legality and accustoms Americans to dictatorial acts that subvert the authority of Congress.

(To be continued)