The Great Betrayal
By C. H. DOUGLAS

Once again we reprint the survey which originally appeared in these pages in 1948. Events since then, and particularly those current, add emphasis to Douglas's synthesis:

In the course of a speech delivered on April 12, 1948, in the Canadian House of Commons, Mr. Norman Jaques, M.P., said:

"Speaking of internationalism and the real purpose and motive of internationalism, I have made a few notes on the social credit analysis of the hidden motives behind this drive for internationalism. It is an essential strategy for world dictatorship. The central strategy is to gain the monopoly of credit and of world propaganda so as systematically and continuously to spread false doctrines and to exploit the inevitable confusion resulting from putting such false doctrines into practice. This exploitation takes the form of centralising every kind of control, the creation of greater and greater monopolies leading to the police state, and to the final step of world government by world cartels controlled by international financiers. Some of the meshes of this international net are U.N.R.R.A., Bretton Woods, emergency food board and U.N.E.S.C.O., by which nations surrender control of their credit, food supplies and propaganda; in other words, a world cartel of credits, propaganda and food to be used as sanctions against any recalcitrant countries.

"National sovereignty is an obstacle in the way of these international socialists and would-be dictators. An inner ring of internationalists, extending to many countries, repudiate loyalty to the country of their adoption; they give their loyalty to their international ring and its ideals. Through their control of financial policy they are able to exert a controlling influence over the governments of the countries in which they live. Their plan is to replace national with corporate government, the control being within the international ring. This is the empire of international cartels with the international financier as the emperor. With them war is a means to an end. War is 'the pursuit of policy by other means.' These internationalists work to a plan. Let me name some of them. Mond sets up a chemical cartel linked with Germany and America. Samuel recommends state ownership of coal. Isaacs (Lord Reading), negotiates a war debt settlement with Wall Street, binding the British to undisclosed terms. Sief f sets up political and economic planning, using the war as an excuse to overcome opposition. Cassel finances the London School of Economics to train the bureaucracy for the future world socialist state. Laski preaches class--that is civil—war. The state assumes the ownership of coal and other real assets, and international finance involves the state in dollar debt. The socialists bankrupt the state, and the international financiers foreclose on the physical assets. In the meantime the people, forced into the factories under the slogan 'Work or Want,' are controlled by quotas and ration books, ticketed and dossiered by social security.

"That, Mr. Speaker, is a brief but, I believe, absolutely true picture of the real motives behind this drive for international government, and the surrender of national sovereignty to international control."

About the same time, a circular emanating from the publishers of a much advertised Foreign Affairs precis, remarked, "The public is not only ignorant of large facts, as for example the reason why America [sic] changed her whole foreign policy, but also of almost all constructive information . . . That we should be in want is fantastic. It is the result of utter incompetence, lack of vision, of Government by managerial mediocrities."

Now it would appear at first sight that Mr. Jaques and the author of the circular in question are putting forward mutually incompatible theories. Mr. Jaques is saying that the disintegration and betrayal of the British Empire is the outcome of internationalists possessing ability of the highest order, as well as immense, perhaps almost unlimited resources. The circular seems to contend exactly the opposite, that now, if not previously, "Britain" has come into the control of stupid "mediocrities" whose incompetence is a sufficient explanation of our discontents.

These two aspects of what is only one fact will be familiar, perhaps to the point of weariness, to the more serious students of Social Credit literature, to go no further afield. They relate, of course, to the utilisation of the proletariat to destroy the aristocracy for the benefit of High Finance. They can be synthesised in the statement that history is crystallised politics; it is not a string of disconnected episodes. It is not accidental that we are pursuing a suicidal policy under half-baked careerists trained by aliens sneering at patriotism; it was not accidental that such men as Mr. Benjamin Cohen and Mr. Schmuel Gilman (Sidney Hilman) spent much of their very valuable time in "Britain" when we were "in war, or under threat of war"; it was very far from accidental that Mr. Churchill adumbrated the liquidation of the British Empire in 1942, or that Mr. Attlee, who is reported to have said in 1934 "We have absolutely abandoned any idea of national loyalty, and we are deliberately putting a world order before loyalty to our own country," should have become Prime Minister of what we are so anxious to proclaim is a second-rate Power.

Perhaps, least of all, is it accidental that Earl Mountbatten, the son of a German and the husband of Sir Ernest Cassel's grand-daughter, should be the last Viceroy of India. When we examine even cursorily the fantastic financial transactions which have characterised the "defence" of India, the necessity for a Viceroy with the broadest possible views becomes evident, al-
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FROM WEEK TO WEEK

"There is so much that meets the eye in President Johnson's appointment of Abe Fortas, one of his oldest cronies, to the Supreme Court that just political observers today seem to take it at its face value." (Alistair Cooke, Guardian, July 30, 1965.)

"Abe Fortas, more familiar now as the defender of Owen Lattimore ['a conscious, articulate instrument of the Soviet conspiracy']—Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee, 1952] and Bobby Baker [a friend of Johnson's found guilty of large frauds involving government funds] got LBJ some quick Texas justice before the Supreme Court. And Hugo Black sealed the book of history with the stunning court order that sent LBJ to the great round-up on the Hill." (Jim Lucier, American Opinion, April, 1964.)

"On the night of President Kennedy's assassination Mr. Fortas went to help and comfort his old friend at the White House and for the first three weeks handled, as somebody said, 'everything from Cabinet appointments to the waste-paper baskets'. It was Mr. Fortas who dispelled the bad odour over the Johnson family's ownership of television stations in Texas by wafting it into the deep-freeze of a trust agreement, ... ." (Alistair Cooke.)

Lucier's article is an account of how Johnson became a Senator. He started off as secretary to Representative Richard E. Kleberg. In 1937 he stood for a seat in the House made vacant by death. "Johnson stood for the seat on the boldest New Deal program vacancy gave re-canvassed." It was announced in due course that 200 votes for Johnson had been 'overlooked'. But it was also discovered that 1,028 votes had been reported from the Precinct, while only 600 ballots had been issued. After much trouble, Stevenson and his County Committeemen were able to look at, but not touch, the voting list. They observed that the last 200 names had been added in alphabetical order, in green ink. The investigators set out to track down some of the names on the green list, "Most of the addresses were rather difficult to locate. Three voters had moved to the cemetery at periods well preceding the election. Others had never registered, or simply did not vote, according to their sworn statements."

A move by the County Committee to declare the election in Precinct Thirteen invalid unless the County Committee were permitted to examine the voting lists and ballots was blocked by a court order served on Governor Stevenson. The Committee was forbidden to meet, to consider the voting returns, or to certify new returns. "The order came from far away Austin, where Johnson had arrived early in the morning at the chambers of Judge Roy Archer and alleged that the meeting of the Committee the night before was a conspiracy to defraud him of the election." Application for this order could have been made to the resident judge of Jim Wells county, who was available. Why was it not made locally?

Stevenson then obtained a restraining order to keep Johnson's name off the ballot until court hearings could be held. The ballot boxes were seized and opened, but contained only shredded newspapers and trash. Johnson then appealed to a Court of Appeals to have the restraining order set aside. The single judge considered it improper to rule on such an important issue, and adjourned the application until the full three justice court would be sitting; but this was two days too late for Johnson, since a candidate must be certified one month prior to the election.

Johnson's attorneys now took the matter before U.S. Supreme Court Associate Justice Hugo Black. "Justice Black did not hesitate to hear the appeal alone. Nor did he hesitate to hear the appeal while the case was still actively before the lower courts. The real brain on Johnson's team was Abe Fortas, the big-time lawyer who actually argued the case. Justice Black suspended the restraining order. The Texas Secretary of State could go ahead and put Johnson's name alone on the ballot; and if the full court upheld the Davidson order, it was just too bad. On October fourth it would be too late to give both men the fair chance of a write-in. The beauty of Justice Black's mind was its clarity; Stevenson would lose both ways."

"Thus without once touching the merits of the case, Justice Black effectively destroyed Coke Stevenson."

"Mr. Fortas practically got Congressman Lyndon Johnson elected to the Senate by sustaining before the U.S. Supreme Court, his right to keep his name on the Texas ballot after a Federal Judge had decided that the primary election result was too close and warranted an investigation." (Alistair Cooke.) So Mr. Cooke knows the story, although he does not tell it.

Mr. Fortas has largely established his legal reputation by his ability to extricate identified Communists from the consequences of their illegal activities. With the co-operation of the U.S. Supreme Court, this has, as one writer puts it, ripped sections out of the U.S. Constitution.

What has all this to do with us? Well, it is one more piece of evidence that the greatest Power on earth, the U.S.A., is effectively under the government of the International Finance-Communist Conspiracy. It is evidence that as far back as 1931, Lyndon Johnson was recognised as a potentially useful instrument of the Conspiracy, and that finance and intrigue brought
him to, and kept him in, a forefront position. This is the man on whose apparent direction of the war in Southeast Asia depends the future of Christian civilisation; the man who was elected President because the forces behind him convinced the American electorate that if Goldwater were elected, Goldwater would 'escalate' the war in Vietnam.

Johnson's history, his actions since election as President, and now his appointment of an advocate of Communist causes to the U.S. Supreme Court, are the clearest warning that the one, real, effective enemy of the West is the International Power in control of the Federal government of the U.S.A. The military might of the U.S.A. is all that now stands between the world, and the U.S.S.R. But the U.S.A. is almost totally subverted from within —progressively disarmed by the Secretary of Defence, disordered by the Civil Rights Act, and undermined by corruption, vice, immorality and riots. All these highroads to final disaster are the outcome of the activities of the revolutionaries who seized control with the inauguration of Franklin Roosevelt as President in March, 1933, who are still there, and whose man is now President Johnson.

The Canberra Times, August 21, 1965, reported that the Federal president of the Liberal Party of Australia, Sir Phillip McBride, announced that the party's executive had decided to ask the Federal Government to publish a White Paper to document and expose Communism in Australia. Sir Phillip is reported to have said: "On all levels of the Liberal Party of Australia, there is pressure for a comprehensive survey of Communist activities in Australia, and this is becoming stronger because of international events. . . . We believe in the public interest that the activities of the Communist Party in Australia should be documented and exposed. . . ."

Our defeat by the International Communist Conspiracy now virtually stares us in the face, and this monstrous possibility will not go away if we simply shut our eyes. It will go away when the total nature of the threat is properly and adequately exposed, which means that the activities of the Communist Party in Australia must be seen in the context of Communist global strategy. The Communist inspired stoppages on the Australian waterfront and elsewhere are, as we reported (T.S.C. Aug. 14, 1965), part of the "vigor mass aid Viet Nam and resist American movement" in which delegates to the Hanoi 'labour conference' were briefed in early June of this year - country by country.

Except by the individual initiative of conservative groups the proper exposure of Communism has been made very difficult in the U.S.A., because of the degree to which the Conspiracy is in effective control of the U.S. government. A well-supported demand for a thorough investigation of Communism in its international ramifications as operating in Australia might reveal to what extent the Conspiracy is in effective control of Australia's federal administration.

The action of the Federal executive of the Liberal Party presents Australian readers of this journal with a miraculous opportunity for concerted and effective action in writing to their Federal Members of Parliament in strong and reasoned support of an adequate investigation of the total Communist Conspiracy.

Indonesia has twice announced its intention of exploding an atomic bomb "soon". On August 13, 1965, some British scientists were reported to have said that they considered there was a real possibility that Indonesia could in fact carry out its intention.

According to the Allen-Scott Report, published in Human Events (Washington) July 24, 1965, "President Johnson has before him a strong State Department recommendation that more military aid be given Indonesia - including atomic fuel for a research reactor.

"As vigorously urged by Secretary Rusk, the President is being asked to:

- Authorise additional atomic fuel for a research reactor the United States gave Indonesia in 1961.
- Permit a U.S. firm to sell some $4 million of latest communications equipment to the Indonesian army.
- Extend the atomic agreement between the two countries that expires in September."

Indonesia is an integral part of global Communist strategy in Asia, and is shipping oil and other vital war supplies to North Vietnam.

There is growing and vocal awareness in Australia (a) that Australia could not for several years defend itself by its own efforts, and (b) that Australia could not rely in all circumstances on American aid.

But those of our readers who have done their home-work will know that America will not defend Australia in any circumstances until America's Conspiracy-dominated Administration is overthrown.

According to U.S. News and World Report, Aug. 16, 1965, the defence of Europe is becoming critical, through French sabotage of NATO. On May 30 the French announced that all French combat forces were to be withdrawn, in effect, from the NATO command, and at the end of July de Gaulle ordered all French members of the integrated staff at NATO Supreme Headquarters, outside Paris, to boycott preparations for joint military exercises.

This will not surprise anyone who has studied The Tragedy of France (American Opinion, Sept., 1962*): de Gaulle is a Communist. "For the strategy of the actual Communist advance in Western Europe, under the leadership of de Gaulle pretending to be a super-neutralist, is fairly obvious. As France itself becomes more completely owned, managed and used by the Communists, Spain and Portugal will be left as enclaves surrounded by Communist pressures on all sides from the Rhine to the Sahara. . . .

"Then, when all of Western Europe west of the Rhine is in Communist hands; when Italy may have been formally taken over; . . . and with Britain purposely left as what it has become today, an absolute nonentity in the anti-Communist fight; then Western Germany will have become a small anti-Communist island surrounded on all sides by a completely Communist Europe." (Op. cit. supra.)

And so, in effect it has turned out. According to U.S. News and World Report, if France pulls out, Western Europe in effect is split in two, with no land bridge between the two parts. In the meantime, Communist forces in Eastern Europe have become stronger than ever, with an integrated and well-armed force of fifty divisions, with weapons standardised to conform with Soviet models. The NATO Command staff is largely composed of French military personnel at all levels from generals down.

According to the Washington Correspondent of the Times

The Academics and Vietnam

The following letter, addressed to the Editor, appeared in The Sydney Morning Herald, August 26, 1965:

Sir,—I have watched with interest for some time the growth in the number of academics who have signed a petition attacking Australian intervention in Vietnam. The final crowning result is most instructive—the hard-working organisers succeeded in collecting the signatures of 500-odd members of "academic staff," but they omit, perhaps prudently, to tell us whether these conclusions are all full-time permanent academics (of whom there are nearly 6,000 in Australian universities) or include part-time or temporary staff (the figures of these are probably not less than 6,000 also).

It is important that the public should be informed on this point, since if the signatories be full-time permanent academics they represent only 10 per cent of their group, whereas if, as I suspect, they include part-time or temporary staff they represent only 5 per cent of academic opinion in Australia. Both these figures are far below the percentage of the general public who appear to share their views, if the strength of the unity-ticket bloc in the Labour Party be any guide. I am sure the Prime Minister and his Government will be grateful to these brilliant research scholars who have provided the basis for these conclusions.

I am concerned when I read of academic staff who combine their official position to give weight to their private views on a subject in which he is not academically qualified. The academics who signed this petition, knowing it would be put forward as the work of academics, have shown themselves to be irresponsible in that they failed to make this dichotomy.

E. C. B. MacLaurin, Sydney.