

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 45 No. 6

SATURDAY, JUNE 19, 1965

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

A Treatise on Treason

The organisation required to collect news on a world scale and to keep up-to-date with the rapidly moving events of today is beyond the reach of individual writers, of voluntary organisations and even of large newspapers. All these are dependent on a centrally controlled international news service. That any one organisation should have the power to decide what news should be released and when, and what should be suppressed, over a large area of the world, is something ridiculously dangerous; so dangerous that no sovereign State would suffer it except under extreme duress. That so many States have to suffer the very dishonest and partisan international news service indicates that there is a central authority somewhere which has the necessary sanctions to compel obedience over a wide area.

For those of us who are deeply concerned with the consistently slanted news that is being served to us, it is good to know that there is a group of men in the U.S.A. who know what is happening, and are not only prepared to do something about it but have the resources to do so.

One of the publications of this group, *None Dare Call It Treason*, is a tremendous piece of work. The documentation alone represents a great effort, but the publication, printing and selling of over five million copies of this book in nine months, makes this an historic event. Let us raise our hats to the writer John Stormer and those who so ably backed him.

The people who read *None Dare Call It Treason* receive a tremendous shock when they learn that the march of Communist Imperialism across the world would have been completely impossible without consistent financial, technical, military and ideological aid from the U.S.A. given during and after the two world wars.

People today are looking to the gigantic industrial and financial power of U.S.A. to act as a bulwark between the Western democracies and the ever advancing tide of Communist Imperialism. When these people are told that the International Financial Conspiracy and the International Communist Conspiracy are one and the same thing, they are so horrified by the sudden revelation that all they can say is "It is not true". But when high ranking American officials publish books revealing, in documented detail, how their own government has financed and supported the Communist Conspiracy, then people begin to see how, not only the political and economic power of Britain and Europe have been systematically destroyed, but also how the mighty resources of the U.S.A. have been used, under the slogan of anti-colonialism, to destroy the basis of white civilisation.

The psychological war which is being used to destroy us has penetrated every section of society, and therefore any realistic discussion would have to reveal how, and by whom, our key organisations have been white-anted. This Stormer does with great skill and economy of space.

Stormer shows how the funds of the Tax-Exempt-Fundations, bequeathed by the wealthiest men in the world, Ford, Rockefeller, Carnegie, etc., are being used to further the work of those who are working hard to destroy the American constitution in order to remove all obstacles to the introduction of the centrally controlled communist State.

Again Stormer gives the facts and the names of the members of the permanent and invisible government of the U.S.A. and shows how the nominees of this inner circle remain in key positions of government irrespective of who occupies the White House. These are the men who consistently helped and financed the Communists and heavily smeared any person who dared to reveal their knavish tricks.

—J.G.

(To be continued)

Vietnam

The following question raised in the House of Representatives, Canberra, on April 27 is reprinted from *Hansard*:

Mr. Killen.—My question, which I direct to the Minister for External Affairs, concerns photographs and film shown in this country of what purport to be scenes of destruction caused in North Vietnam by United States of America air strikes and military activity against the Vietcong. Will the honorable gentleman say whether he knows who arranges for the despatch from North Vietnam of the photographs and film and their entry into Australia? Assuming the photographs and film to be genuine, will the Minister inquire whether similar facilities will be provided for showing the results of Vietcong atrocities? Finally, will the Minister assess the prospects of reciprocity being offered by the Communist authorities of North Vietnam?

Mr. Hasluck.—At present I am unable to give the honorable member any exact information how this propagandic material—a good deal of it is consciously directed propaganda—enters Australia and obtains currency. I will have inquiries made into those particular aspects of the question. It has caused the Government some concern that a good deal of publicity has been given in this country to photographs and other material which purport to show that most, if not all, of the dreadful deeds that take place in war are being committed by what I will term "our side" and that nothing is given of the deeds of brutality and terrorism which have been committed over a long period by the North Vietnamese and Vietcong forces. We recognise that war is a horrible business. None of us wants to try to strike a balance sheet of horror, but the Australian public, I am sure, would be gravely misled if they imagined that most of the horrid and barbaric actions were not being carried out by the forces to which we are opposed—the Vietcong and the North Vietnamese.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1.
Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1, Telephone EUSton 3893). Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

On May 20th a group of some three hundred people, led by about twenty interstate Protestant clergy, held a silent meeting before Parliament House, Canberra, to protest against *apparent* U.S.A. policy in Vietnam, and the Australian government's support of this policy. This would not be of much importance, except that it requires considerable arrangement, and thus points to the existence of an organisation on an interstate level; and that it conforms to similar protests all over the world.

It is quite likely that the fate of the world now depends on the outcome in Vietnam, and a major objective of the Communist conspiracy within and without the U.S. is to make an American collapse or withdrawal credible. American public opinion, which increasingly recognises the real issue, must be suitably prepared for an 'accident' which, one way or another, will render America's position untenable. And then, with the inevitable, and probably rapid collapse of the whole of Asia, there will seem to be no alternative to the negotiated surrender of America to Communism, or whatever the name the Finance-Communist Conspiracy chooses to give its World Government over the enslaved populations of the globe—what are left of them after potential 'saboteurs' have been eliminated.

American Opinion, May 1965, carries an article, cast in the form of a story, which quotes quite extensively from official 'studies' of the problems of disarmament. For the most part these deal with the activities of the Disarmament Agency, whose duty is to prevent any possible re-armament, and even to detect any individuals or groups (including local administrations) who might be contemplating re-armament. The selection of types to be used for an international police force, and the methods to be used by the Agency in examining suspects, read like passages out of George Orwell's 1984. Yet these 'studies' have been prepared by quite prominent people.

There is little doubt that involvement, sometimes perhaps even unconscious involvement, in the ramifications of the conspiracy leads in many cases to mental aberration; an inability to perceive the real meaning and effect of what is proposed in the name of apparently noble objectives, such as World Peace. But others, of course, know well enough what it is all about. They

know that the Conspiracy at this stage is more likely to succeed than to be defeated, and think themselves safer on the inside than the outside, no matter how much *international* "police brutality" may be involved in making that victory permanent. Not a few, of course, will be disillusioned, as were the original Bolsheviks in Russia. Idealists in particular are a menace to the inner core of the Conspiracy—those "less than four hundred men", all known to each other, and appointing their own successors—since, not grasping the real meaning and intention of the conspiracy, they have their own personal hopes and ambitions, their own pictures of the world as it ought to be. They do not understand that the conspiracy is made up of layer upon layer of deception and treachery.

* * *

The threat to Western civilisation is now palpable, and on every hand there are increasing signs of awakening and apprehension. The task is to focus public attention on the true cause of our disasters: Treason in high places. So now we have come to "one specific and material end"—the determined exposure of the conspiracy. "The only effective force by which any objective can be attained is in the last analysis the human will, and if an organisation . . . can keep the will of all its component members focussed on the objective to be attained, the collective power available is clearly greater than can be attained by any other form of association." (C. H. Douglas)

Everybody now knows that only the armed forces of the U.S.A. can at this stage possibly stop Communism. But what too few people understand is that the government which controls these forces is heavily penetrated by traitors, whose objective is to disarm the U.S. while keeping up the appearance of confrontation. And that is the vital fact on which public opinion *must* be focussed, so that concentrated and informed public opinion may make further betrayal impossible, and then reverse the consequences of past treachery. There *is* a war on, and the Communists are winning it. But America *could* win, and America's government must be made to win. It was not Goldwater that the Conspiracy was afraid of—it was that their men in key positions were likely to be kicked out and exposed by reactivated Congressional Committees of Inquiry. It is not likely that another Goldwater will get another chance; but an informed public opinion would be more certainly effective than any Goldwater. An informed public opinion is our sole chance of survival at this stage.

It is true that the actual battle-front now lies in the U.S.A.; but traitors occupy high positions in every country, and are the cause of the mounting world disorder. Persistence in financial policies which keep us on the brink of economic disaster make the activities of traitors in other areas of government all the more fruitful, and promote the ever-increasing centralisation which is the mechanism of eventual world government. So that intensive exposure of the methods, and, so far as they are known, the personnel of the Conspiracy, is the order of the day. As Tom Anderson said in a speech to the American Association of Physicians and Surgeons: "There is a burgeoning grass roots rebellion developing in this country. Millions of alarmed and awakened Americans have now gone to work to save our Republic from becoming a Socialist-Union-Welfare dictatorship which is surrendering piece-meal to the Communist-dominated United Nations. . . . It won't be saved from the top down, but from the bottom up. . . ."

And as Douglas said in 1948: "If we are not faced with a long term policy our position is quite hopeless. . . . But if we are facing a Satanic policy, our position, though very serious [it is desperately more serious today] is not necessarily irreparable. . . . Politics embody strategies; you do not fight strategies, you fight the human beings who are carrying out that strategy. . . . The best defence is attack. Do you propose to allow your enemy a monopoly of it? . . . If we grasp the fact that the essence of Communism, which is the politics of the World State, is centralised vesting of the planet in an organisation expropriating and cutting across all local and personal sovereignty, we cannot be much in error if we identify internationalists, open and concealed, with treason to the individual and his race and country. It is faith that moves mountains. . . ." (*T.S.C.*, May 22, 1948.)

Far more facts concerning the Conspiracy, its methods, achievements and personnel, are available now than were in 1948; perhaps enough identification has been done, and facts elicited to rout the Conspiracy—provided they become widely enough known and in sufficient time.

In 1954 James Burnham published his book *The Web of Subversion*. This is a documented exposure of the penetration into every area of the United States government, and says: "It is impossible to act effectively in relation to the web of subversion without a sufficient knowledge of its history, nature, and methods. Until recently there were few persons in this country (outside the web) who had this knowledge. It is only natural, therefore, that until recently there was little effective counteraction." He also writes: "The methods of investigation, legal action and exposure that have brought about this improvement in our defenses will continue in use." In this he was largely wrong. The Conspiracy reacted strongly to such exposure as had been effected. At the time of the great Congressional enquiries, many witnesses refused to answer vital questions on the ground of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which allows witnesses to decline to answer questions where such answers would tend to incriminate them. Nevertheless, such refusals carry obvious implications, and the investigating committees were able to draw the appropriate conclusions from the general weight of the evidence. But early in 1954 the Executive Department of the U.S. government issued an Executive Order that witnesses need not answer questions *unless they had been instructed to do so* by a letter from the Executive Department. This made the sort of investigations which had previously been undertaken by the committees virtually futile.

But there is still the investigation work of the F.B.I., and occasional defections from the conspiracy, with subsequent disclosures of vital information, and the vital necessity is to create an increasing climate of opinion to support investigation and disclosure.

In one sense, the defeat of the Conspiracy is still possible, and even, perhaps, near at hand. But against this must be set the fact that the Conspiracy, after innumerable decades, and probably centuries, of patient and cunning contrivance, is almost at its culmination, and now commands such immense power and organisation that it is bound to make the final desperate act to consolidate forever its position. For that reason, its control of the organs of mass communication constantly present a false picture of the world situation, so that it appears far less dangerous than it actually now is.

Militant and informed anti-Communism is not a negative strategy. It is the only practicable positive first step to rectifying the present disaster. It is all the more practicable in that the objec-

tive of effort is now far more definitely visible than it was in the war years, when Douglas first urged action on such lines: "This, I think, exactly defines the task which society must face and solve, or perish. *First*, to attack and defeat the Money Power [i.e., the *individuals* who exert that power—*vide* earlier quotation]; *then* consider the reorganisation of the money system." There is no slightest sign that were Douglas alive today, he would alter that priority. His early efforts were to avoid the present eventuality, which is now the last resort.

Motives Behind International Campaigning Against South Africa

(A Statement issued by the South African Government)

The current international political campaign against the Republic of South Africa has its origins in the sudden manifestation and growing momentum of a highly-charged anti-colonial campaign waged mainly by certain States in Africa and Asia. The pressures generated by this campaign are not merely emotional, but are also the result of questionable motivations.

In evidence submitted to the International Court of Justice concerning the dispute over South West Africa, the South African Government refers to the contrast between the nature of domestic discussion of South African problems and of international criticism.

"In South African political, intellectual and even spiritual life, the unceasing debate about group relations has on the whole a strongly positive and constructive nature in that it concerns itself with a comparison of the merits and demerits of various proposed policies," it is contended, "but international criticism is on a different level entirely."

As recently as October, 1964, Professor C. A. W. Manning, a well-known authority on international affairs stated in an article in the American quarterly *Foreign Affairs* that "the hostility of the non-White world can be explained without reference to the merits of the apartheid program. Indeed, for Afro-Asians the possibility that it has any merits can scarcely arise. It is the policy of white men governing black; and the only good thing that white men still wielding authority in Africa can do is to abdicate in favour of the non-white majority. Anything else they may think to do is by definition bad. In the eyes of the Bandung confraternity, South Africa ought never to have existed and ought now no longer to exist. It is not a question of whether she is meeting her responsibilities with humanity, wisdom and even a measure of self-abnegation. What in their eyes is wrong is not what South Africa may do, but the fact that she should continue in a position to do anything at all."

Fomenting Disorder

Apart from such emotional reasons for the hostility of the non-white world towards South Africa, it is further argued, some leaders are of course influenced by more practical ones. Thus, in an address delivered at the Conference of African heads of States and Government in Cairo on July 19, 1964, President Nkrumah of Ghana said: "We must unite for economic viability first of all, and then to recover our mineral wealth in Southern Africa, so that our vast resources and capacity for development will bring prosperity for us and additional benefits for the rest of the world."

Others again have an interest in fomenting disorder and chaos as a prelude to extending their ideological and political influences in Africa, and are consequently hostile towards South Africa as

an effective bastion of security in a continent where political violence is never far from the surface. This applies mainly to Communists, and particularly the Chinese variety, South Africa informed the Court.

"There exist strong indications that, in accordance with this principle, Communists have had a hand in many of the recent revolutions in Africa, including those in Zanzibar, the Congo and Cameroun; and according to evidence in recent trials, in an abortive attempt at initiating an uprising in South Africa. To what extent Communist influence also plays a role in the campaign of international vilification of South Africa must remain a matter of conjecture. What is clear, however, is that both Russian and Chinese Communists would give a great deal to destroy the present orderly and anti-Communist administration in South Africa, and no doubt use whatever weapon is available to them towards that end."

The picture of South Africa presented overseas has so often been one-sided, exaggerated or completely incorrect that some Governments or their representatives may well have been misled thereby, South Africa contends. In addition, many persons were no doubt attracted by philosophies or theories of multi-racialism which exerted a strong appeal on the emotions but have been singularly unsuccessful in practice.

"The South African Government expects that as the fruits of its policy become visible, and the defects of alternative policies can no longer be ignored, there will be an ever-improving world climate of informed opinion regarding the principles of separate development."

Trade With Russia

Information on Russia's trade with the West should be known to the international clearing houses as well as to the various government statisticians and customs authorities. Nevertheless, we learn through the A.B.C. News Service that, for the first time, the Soviet Union has disclosed trade figures with other countries.

According to a report submitted to U.N.O., trade with the West increased by 15% over the past year; to £A1,400,000,000 at the official rate of exchange. Three-quarters of the increase of 75% in foreign trade over the past six years was with other Soviet countries.

Whether or not these figures include both imports and exports or only imports was not mentioned. Neither was Russia's trade balance. There can be little doubt that there was a large excess of imports over exports. To the Communist hierarchy this was a *favourable* trade balance.

The value of exports, from Australia to Russia in 1964 was £57,066,000. Australian imports from Russia totalled £925,000. The relative figures for Communist China were £84,095,000 and £8,178,000.

U.S. exports to the Soviet Union the first six months of 1964 totalled \$306,558,000, of which \$272,655,000 was in wheat shipments. Other commodities included rice, wheat flour, raw cotton, insecticides, industrial chemicals, synthetic rubber and wood pulp.

There has been opposition in the U.S.A. to increased trade with Russia and a review of the following news items shows the surreptitious technique followed to cover actions previously decided upon:

September 18, 1964

Assistant Secretary of Agriculture Rodney Leonard says that the U.S.S.R. has made no direct or indirect offers to purchase surplus wheat from the United States.

September 19, 1964

An inspired leak: Department of Agriculture "officials" are surprised "at pressure building up in Congress and elsewhere for more liberal U.S. trade policies—particularly in grain and other foods—with Communist-bloc countries." And, an anonymous State Department "spokesman" says the U.S. government neither has received an offer from the U.S.S.R. to purchase surplus wheat, nor does the U.S. expect to receive any such offer.

September 28, 1964

Officials of the Kennedy Administration eagerly launch trial balloons for the sale of U.S. surplus wheat to the U.S.S.R.

September 29, 1964

Former President Harry Truman urges a policy whereby the United States would offer "wheat and other grains to [Communist] China without any kind of fuss or maneuvers." Asks Mr. Truman: "And what is there to lose?"

All this makes sense if we realise that there is a CONSPIRACY, that it is INTERNATIONAL, that it is using COMMUNISM and HIGH FINANCE — an INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL-COMMUNIST CONSPIRACY. Or have you some other plausible explanation?

—H.A.S.

NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON

By JOHN A. STORMER

A careful compilation of facts from hundreds of Congressional investigations of the Communist conspiracy to enslave America.
1/6, plus 8d. postage

From K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11.

ONE DOZEN CANDLES

"It is better to light one candle than to curse the darkness"

(An old Chinese proverb)

- 1 *While you slept*, by John T. Flynn.
- 2 *The Web of Subversion*, by James Burnham.
- 3 *America's Retreat from Victory*, by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy.
- 4 *Odyssey of a Fellow Traveller*, by Dr. J. B. Matthews.
- 5 *Shanghai Conspiracy*, by Major General Charles A. Willoughby.
- 6 *From Major Jordan's Diaries*, by George Racey Jordan
- 7 *I Saw Poland Betrayed*, by Ambassador Arthur Bliss Lane.
- 8 *The People's Pottage*, by Gareth Garrett.
- 9 *The Kohler Strike*, by Sylvester Petro.
- 10 *The Pentagon Case*, by Victor J. Fox.
- 11 *The Tragedy of Bolivia*, by Alberto Ostria Gutierrez.
- 12 *Nine Men Against America*, by Rosalie M. Gordon.

The above twelve reprints are assembled, one of each, into a fibre carton, for sale as a unit at 65/- + 4/- postage. Individual books are 7/6d. each + 9d. postage. Address orders to K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd. at 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Printed by E. Fish & Co. Ltd., Liverpool.