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A Treatise on Treason

(II) AMERICAN AID

Although written by an American, John Stormer, and sponsored by Americans, None Dare Call It Treason shows very conclusively that a great deal of the international disasters of the last fifty years and the continuous advance of Communism across the world were financed and made possible not only by the wealthiest country in the world, the U.S.A., but by some of the wealthiest "capitalists" in that country.

This statement does not fit into the neat little theories of the Left who blame the capitalists for opposing the centrally organised State; nor does it fit the neat little theories of many conservatives who think that Communism is a "working-class" movement.

Stormer asks: "How has a nation (Russia) which cannot feed its own people while employing 55% of its total working force on the farms come so close to conquering the world?"

"The communist world revolution has been largely financed from its start in 1903 until the present day by American wealth, public and private. Lenin and his heirs have had the sometimes knowing, sometimes unknowing, cooperation of the United States State Department every step of the way.

"Every communist country in the world literally has a 'Made in U.S.A.' stamp on it.

"Look at true history and prove for yourself what has happened.

"The part an American soap manufacturer played in financing Lenin's early career has been detailed. In 1916-17, Leon Trotsky was in exile in America. In New York, he recruited, financed, and trained a cadre of gangsters and hoodlums. Transported to Russia, this hard core of cut-throat shock troops was used by Lenin and Trotsky to seize control of the shaky Kerensky Republic.

"Immediately following World War I, economic chaos developed in Russia. Lenin's attempt to make the big leap into communism had failed. The toppling of the socialist experiment was imminent.

"Millions were starving when American relief, food, medicine and other supplies, eased the pressure. Lenin had time to consolidate his strength. This well-meaning, humanitarian gesture of the United States solidified the power of tyrants whose heirs in the succeeding 40 years have murdered at least 60-million human beings and enslaved one-billion others.

"During the 1920's, American oilmen, technicians, and their machinery opened Russian petroleum fields. Other American engineers, scientists, and production experts assisted the communists in building steel plants, assembly lines for tractors, trucks, and autos."

The question arises: how can the American people, for about fifty years, give such lavish help to their most bitter enemy, and

be so active in the destruction of the whole basis of Western civilisation? The answer to that question is that the American people had very little power over their own government, and have had very little knowledge of what it was doing.

Unless one has adequate information of the actual position in international affairs one is unable to give an opinion. The International Fraternity who are using our news services as propaganda machines know that one's attitude to affairs is much more important than facts. So long as the American Government kept on shouting its policies of anti-colonialism, and anti-imperialism, and one-world government, it could get American support for driving the British out of India, the Belgians out of the Congo, and for putting Castro into Cuba.

Roosevelt considered that British Imperialism was more dangerous than Russian Imperialism, and so backed Russian Imperialism. He presented the Communists with Eastern Europe and China, and those generals and others in high positions who knew how ridiculously dangerous and unnecessary this was, and said so, have been heavily smeared, and still are smeared right across the world through a large chain of newspapers.

(To be continued)

Grains of Truth

The British public now have to submit to news televised direct from America and, as any critical spirit languishes, will doubtless be reader than ever to accept the latest marvel of centralised news. But here and there a few grains of truth emerge, normally too late to affect the issue.

Sir Reginald Verdon-Smith, chairman of the Bristol Aeroplane Company, stated at the Annual General Meeting of the Company: "What we have experienced in recent months is not just a change of mind about particular items of defence—it must be the prelude to a major change of defence policy. It must surely imply—for reasons partly financial partly political—acceptance of greater dependence on U.S.A. for our national security . . .

It may well mean that in seven or eight years' time we in this country will no longer have the means of providing a wide range of U.K.-produced equipment such as that upon which our defence services have hitherto relied. . . I do not believe that this country ought to renounce, allegedly on grounds of economy, the prelude to a major change of defence policy. It must surely imply—for reasons partly financial partly political—acceptance of greater dependence on U.S.A. for our national security . . .
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Elsewhere it is stated, "about 1,000 TSR2 workers have put our feelers for jobs in South Africa."

In the correspondence columns Erich von Richtofen expresses surprise that "the head of your information service, the B.B.C.,
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FROM WEEK TO WEEK

In its midday news broadcast on June 5, 1965, the A.B.C. reported that a Minister of the Nationalist Chinese Government is to visit Australia to confer with Australian officials. The reason for this first ever visit was stated to be that the Minister considered it essential in the light of developments in South East Asia. In the broadcast of news one hour later, this reason was omitted, and it was stated the reason was to continue the good relations between the two countries.

There is a certain body of opinion which is under the impression that we have abandoned the financial aspect of Social Credit. In this connection, we are reminded of a pungent criticism made some years ago, that the great disadvantage under which Social Credit movement then laboured, was that it was largely composed of Socialists who wanted nationalisation of banking.

People who hold this type of opinion have not taken the trouble to grasp the fundamental subject matter with which we have always been concerned, which is the relationship of the individual to the group. Thirty years ago, that relationship was predominantly a financial relationship. Quite largely through the exertions of Socialists, strongly assisted by the highest powers of International Finance, the Central Banks have become practically immoveable, and the sanctions which they exert have shifted from the bank balance to the Order-in-Council.

It ought to be, but unfortunately it is not, apparent to everyone who takes an intelligent interest in these matters, that the fundamental problem has been greatly complicated by the developments of the past twenty years; and that the immediate issue is in the realm of Law and military power, not of book-keeping. That does not mean in the least that book-keeping is one penny the less important than it was when we directed attention to it; but it does mean that it is the second trench to be taken, not the first. For that, we have to thank in great part, the obsession with "nationalised" banking.

The problem presented by the centralised ("majority") political vote is the same in its fundamentals as that of which it is only another manifestation—the monopoly of credit.

The above note (the above four paragraphs) by the late C. H. Douglas, which appeared in From Week to Week of The Social Crediter of October 16, 1948, and which was never superseded by him or by the Secretariat, defines Social Credit strategy in the post-war situation. "The immediate issue is in the realm of Law and military power". The truth of this is very much more evident now than it was in 1948. Yet many years before the second world war, Douglas had defined the ultimate problem of strategy as gaining control of the last squadron of bombers and, mutatis mutandis, that remains the problem on which the enemies of mankind are so viciously engaged.

There can be no doubt at this stage that the group at the core of the International Finance-Communist Conspiracy is working just as much through the U.S.A. as through Russia towards this end. The first clear demonstration of this was when American diplomatic and military might were deployed against British and French might in the Suez crisis. Other evidence is less clear, but as definite. The reason for this is that the ultimate success of the Conspiracy up to a certain point, now very close, depends absolutely on deception. But as soon as one looks at the effects of, rather than the pretexts for, American military interventions, it can be seen that they are always against military anti-Communism, and for 'neutralist' 'coalition' governments, which are the prelude to either sudden or gradual Communist take-overs. Of course it is cleverly and convincingly done; it has to be. It can be seen going on at this very time in the Dominican Republic. An article in the June, 1965 American Opinion states: "Apparently concluding that Bosch is washed-up, the State Department has now picked a professional thugs and murderer to be the new Dominican ruler. He is Antonio Imbert Barreras ... up until Trujillo's death, Imbert worked for General Trujillo as a hired killer, or 'executioner', as the Dominicans call it." The facts disclosed in this article make it plain that the reason why thousands of American troops were sent into the country, of course under the pretence of preventing a Communist take-over, was to prepare for such a take-over by making sure that the loyal military element could not form a 'right-wing' anti-Communist government.

When, by this continuing process, say ninety per cent of the world is under Communist control, there will obviously (to the American public) be no alternative but a final 'accommodation' with Soviet Russia. Such an accommodation would lead to the Russian and their international masters be unconditional surrender, complete with the usual liquidation of 'war criminals'. Anyone who opposes Communism now would obviously be a 'traitor' then; the retrospective 'justice' for which the Nuremberg trials prepared us.

There are increasing 'preparatory' reports of a rescue operation in Vietnam to get the American troops out when the premeditated fall of the South Vietnam government occurs.

The Times recently carried a report of a booklet published by the Institute of Directors, entitled The Assault on Private Enterprise, which apparently describes the effects of the Wilson administration's policies on the free enterprise system. "The government, it claims, is making it increasingly tough for the businessman to get new capital. Savage and steeply progressive tax rates, and a political bias favouring the spenders rather than the savers, make it impossible to build up reserves. Robbed of reserves, the market dies ... the capital gains tax ... which makes no allowance for inflation and is thus a recurrent capital levy which will mean the end of the small business during the owner's working life-time".
It is evident that the fundamental policy of the Wilson administration is the complete proletarianisation of the British; and it seems highly probable that rather than see this policy checked, it will not submit to another election. Under cover of an apparent parliamentary democracy, they are preparing the way for outright totalitarianism, if not for a Communist takeover. It can be regarded as certain that the government is thoroughly permeated by secret Communists. In the meantime, the Parliamentary farce is the best possible camouflage for what is being prepared behind the scenes, and confiscatory taxation is the continuous filching of freedom of action, to inhibit any possible preparations against the coup when it comes. It is simply a form of personal disarmament.

GRAINS OF TRUTH (continued from page 1)

could be so completely wrong on a question of historical fact."

... He adds that Mein Kampf indicated Hitler's main objective, "the theory of Drang nach Osten and war with Russia, which was not believed by your Foreign Office and laughed at by your Press."

These disturbing items suggest that truth is not the objective of information services, and that the more these are centralised, the less truth we shall receive; that policy is not subservient to financial factors but manipulates them, and that British policy is not manufactured at home.

The emigration to South Africa of expert technicians is a curious by-product of the Government's policy, for if it regards any country as "black" beyond redemption, that country is South Africa. Yet our nationals prefer it to the more enlightened new democracies: for they may realise at the back of their minds continuous filching of freedom of action, to inhibit any possible preparations against the coup when it comes. It is simply a form of personal disarmament.

Apology

We regret that None Dare Call It Treason, by John A. Stormer, was erroneously priced at 1/6 plus 8d. postage in our issue of June 19. The price is 5/6 plus 8d. postage as previously advertised. We regret the inconvenience caused to those of our customers who ordered at the erroneous price, and to those of our staff who had to deal with the situation. None Dare Call It Treason will make painful and alarming reading for those who had imagined that United States policy was aligned against Communism.

Over five million copies have been sold in the United States. From K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London, E.11.

CORRECTION, PLEASE!*


Six months after the forced departure of Nikita S. Khrushchev from the Soviet leadership, it is becoming clear that history will remember him best for deeds of the spirit rather than material accomplishment...

CORRECTION: If historians write and think as does Salisbury, we agree that Khrushchev will be so remembered in history. But we hope that there will be some historians who consult the seven-part The Crimes of Khrushchev, consultations published by the House Committee on Un-American Activities. Without further comment, we present excerpts from these consultations:

Khrushchev--as the No. 1 Communist official in the Moscow area... sent thousands to their death, scores of thousands to hideous slave-labor camps;

was sent in 1937 as Stalin's trusted killer (to the Ukraine). His first move was to summon a conference of the entire Ukrainian Government, staged as a social occasion. The gathering was surrounded by the secret police, arrested en masse, and most of his "guests" died in the cellars of the Kiev and Moscow secret police. When his two-year Ukrainian purge was over, an estimated 400,000 had been killed and terror gripped the whole population;

assumed (in 1943) the task of punishing the Ukrainian people for their welcome to the Germans. This second or post-war purge, again under Khrushchev's command, was if anything more bloody and more horrifying than the first. Those liquidated, by exile or death, ran into hundreds of thousands;

(made) the final decision (as No. 1 in the Kremlin in 1956) to unleash the Red tanks that crushed Hungary's freedom and Hungary's freedom fighters. Our ambassador in Moscow at the time asked Khrushchev what he would do to stop the blood flowing in Hungary. To which the master of the Kremlin replied: "We will put in more troops and more troops and more troops until we have finished them."

(issued the) order that trapped the top freedom fighter, General Maleter, who was summoned to a fake conference under a flag of truce, then arrested, and in due time killed;

(issued the) order that lured Nagy, head of the short-lived anti-Communist government, out of the Yugoslav Embassy where he had found asylum. Though he had been assured immunity, Nagy was arrested and eventually executed.

Petro Pavlovych, former editor of a newspaper in a community in Ukraine known as Vinnitsa, Mr. Pavlovych stated: "All activity of the NKVD and other terror mechanisms were completely in Khrushchev's hands and, specifically, the purges and mass murders were by party order which he promulgated."

Although Khrushchev may today properly assess against Stalin the basic decision that there was to be a mass starvation in Ukraine, Khrushchev cannot obliterate the historical fact that he was actually the perpetrator of the details of this man-made famine; that he, Khrushchev, was the one who carried out the basic policy of Stalin pursuant to which millions of human beings were deprived knowingly, premeditatedly, of the food which they themselves had raised. Khrushchev cannot disassociate himself from the blood and misery of this awful epoch in the history of Ukraine (where six to seven million starved to death), in which he directly, actively, and knowingly participated as the chief engineer of the policy announced by his then chief, Stalin.

* A Selection of extracts, reprinted with permission, from Correction, Please! and A Review of the News which is published weekly by Correction, Please, Inc., 395 Concord Ave., Belmont, Massachusetts 02178, U.S.A.
There are no political freedoms in Latvia whatsoever, and the Latvian people to this day are deprived of the right to elect a free government of their own choice; there is no freedom of speech, of press, nor of association; there is no freedom of movement within the country, and people cannot change their residence without the permission of the police; there is no freedom to leave the country and the number of those who have been able to leave the country within the past 15 years is insignificant; there is most certainly present a regime of fear—people are not to go to church, for fear that this may harm their position as far as their jobs, educational opportunities, and even their living facilities are concerned. People dare not correspond freely for fear of censorship and persecution.

Mass deportations have been replaced by “voluntary” compulsory transfer of young Latvians to Khrushchev’s virgin lands in Kazakhstan. Many thousand young Latvians have been compelled to go and many more will have to follow, not just for a few years, but as the Communist publications in Latvia openly state, “for good, to spend all their lives there.”

In spite of Communist assertions to the contrary, Khrushchev’s regime in Moscow interferes through its agents in every branch of Latvian life.

The Lithuanian people consider Khrushchev, who has been and is a member of the ruling clique of the Kremlin, as being co-responsible for all the crimes committed by the Soviet Government against the Lithuanian State and the Lithuanian people. That means a breach of the Peace Treaty, the Non-Aggression Pact, and other legal and political commitments of the U.S.S.R.; military invasion and occupation, suppression of the independence and freedom, mass murders, mass deportations of large portions of the population to Siberia, the forced Sovietisation of the country and economic exploitation of the resources and manpower of Lithuania.

Khrushchev’s peaceful coexistence means that the status quo is recognised. The peaceful coexistence of Khrushchev does not intend peacefully to coexist, but does intend to have direct or indirect recognition of the suppression of one hundred million westernised people from the Baltic down to Bulgaria and Albania.

During and after the revolution and freedom fight (in 1956) there were approximately 30,000 Hungarians killed by armed forces of Khrushchev. According to official reports 2,500 persons were executed; however, the victims of Khrushchev’s secret police are probably higher—12,000 persons were deported to the Soviet Union; hundreds of thousands of persons were imprisoned; 13,000 were confined to forced labor camps.

And finally Khrushchev ordered the re-establishment of concentration camps which were abolished before the revolution of 1956.

Soviet and Czech and other international Communists, under the direction of Khrushchev and his secret police, swooped down by the thousands out of the mountains and forests upon the helpless Slovak population, savagely and shamelessly butchering them and destroying their homes, and occupied certain strategic public centers and buildings, including military barracks, and proclaimed a violent revolution, the aim of which, according to the proclamation, was to overthrow Slovakia’s government and bind Slovakia to Communist Prague and Moscow.

The partisans terrorised Slovak villages. They categorically seized all citizens of note who had shown opposition to communism. They robbed their apartments, homes, castles, factories, places of business, warehouses. They indulged in drunken orgies and began to annoy innocent victims, too, slaves and inhuman tortures.

The brutality and cunning efficiency of Khrushchev’s Virgin Land Policy may be reflected, in a sense, by looking at the statistics. During Stalin’s regime, notwithstanding the unpunishable ruthlessness with which this tyrant promulgated his policies, there were developed 174 State-controlled agricultural enterprises, which means that there were 174 distinct forced labor camps in which the workers were, in effect, slaves for the State. Since Khrushchev assumed power, the statistics show that this number of forced State-owned agricultural enterprises has increased to almost 900...