THE SOCIAL CREDITER
FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 47 No. 17  SATURDAY, 18 NOVEMBER, 1967  1s. 3d. Fortnightly

This is It!

Despite our nation being by far the most productive on earth, our ground troops must fight with inadequate equipment, and even inadequate ammunition. They are forbidden to fire on an attacking enemy until the enemy has fired first. As fast as they clean the Communists out of any area, they are required to withdraw and thus allow the Communists to return and take it over again. The whole war is simply a stage-managed show, in which our sons are being maimed and killed and captured to serve the disguised purposes of a worldwide conspiracy.

The war is being “escalated” as rapidly as our government can arrange for the Communists on the other side, through our help, to have sufficient supplies to make the opposition and the fighting look plausible. Manpower means nothing to the Communists. Their supply in eastern Asia it utterly unlimited. But military equipment is a different matter. And Washington right now has U.S. Army Engineers helping the Soviets to build a massive highway from Afghanistan to Indochina, so that supplies can then also be sent overland from Soviet Russia to our enemies in southeast Asia, instead of practically all of these supplies having to go by ship to Haiphong as at present. For the Communist bosses on both sides know that this war must be carried on a great deal longer, and made a great deal larger, to bring about the results for which it was planned.

In the meantime, General Westmoreland obligingly asks for more troops. Secretary McNamara announces that forty-six thousand more are being sent at once. Ambassador Ellsworth Bunker says: “I believe we are gradually achieving our aims.” And President Johnson himself has officially defined those aims as a peace which will be pleasing to our Communist enemies.

B. And At Home.

Since 1960 the non-defense expenditures of our federal government have just about exactly doubled. There is no expectation nor likelihood of a balanced budget within the foreseeable future. Our actual national indebtedness today, instead of the officially and dishonestly defined national debt, is well over a thousand billion dollars.

While pretending to oppose inflation, our government is deliberately promoting inflation. It continues to increase both taxes and spending in a parabolic upward curve. Our gold reserve is gone, our balance of payments position is extremely unfavorable, and Washington goes right on enlarging the supply of money which has no visible means of support. All of this is exactly in accord with Communist strategy.

A great deal of this extra money is used to finance such programs as the “War On Poverty”, which actively promotes revolution. In fact, this federal bureau was specifically warned that the activities of its agents in the Newark, New
**Rhodesia Exposed?**

A bold advertisement appeared in the *Sunday Express* (Oct. 8, 1967) for two quantity surveyors, and gave an address in Salisbury, Rhodesia, for the applicants. A large number of democracies or peoples' democracies, so-called, would know that such an advertisement could attract no replies, but I expect that some who read this notice will write to Salisbury.

Yet a few days before Mr. Christopher Hitchens sent to the *Daily Telegraph* (Oct. 6, 1967) a compendium of complaints against that country; he is the Secretary of the Youth Committee, Movement for Colonial Freedom. I am accepting this movement as genuine and not as a communist front, and the letter even contains some new allegations.

Mr. Smith's assurance that the white Rhodesians are interested in African advancement is faced, in the letter, with Mr. Smith's quotation that there would not be African rule there in his lifetime. We are to assume that the two statements are incompatible, yet the handing over of power to Africans not ready for it has produced tragedies enough and, to put it mildly, has hardly furthered advancement. Indeed the "naiveté" of which Mr. Hitchens complains continues right through his long letter.

He contrasts the amount spent on African and white education, but gives no figures of the numbers of the children, of the source of the grants or of education in other African countries. Rhodesia in fact gives better opportunities than the so-called "free" countries.

He alleges that terrorist activity stems from restrictions on property-ownership, not mentioning that the terrorists have infiltrated from abroad, after foreign training, and that the native Rhodesians have opposed them gallantly and do not really enjoy having their thatched roofs ignited. Nor, after nearly two years, are the gutters of Bulawayo flowing with cheap African labour, as Miss Judy Todd foretold; and even the Archbishop's prophecies of disorder—as a pretext for "force"—have failed to materialise.

Mr. Hitchens says that a State "based on exploitation of cheap African labour" cannot afford "emancipation", yet many Africans—from Malawi and elsewhere—have come to work voluntarily in Rhodesia owing to the lure not of chains or of exploitation but of better conditions. He also blames the Land Apportionment Act, without mentioning the rates of production on the different territories, and then lumps together the countries of Rhodesia, South Africa and the Portuguese colonies as examples of apartheid. The three systems differ in many ways, save that all three face the undoubled problems with a sense of responsibility and a distaste for chaos.

I do not fear that any fair-minded quantity surveyor need be much discouraged by Mr. Hitchens or his committee.

—H.S.

**Tensions in Africa**

The following letters to the Editor are from *The Sydney Morning Herald*, September 16 and October 4, 1967:

**SIR—** May I comment briefly on your thought-provoking editorial “Zambesi Front” (“S.M.H.”, Sept. 11)?

It is a matter of one's point of view as to whether the situation in Rhodesia and Southern Africa is worsening. However, may I suggest that it is not a question of black men versus white men or, as you also put it, Rhodesia and South Africa versus African nationalism? It is a question of a defence line running broadly along the Zambesi against Communism and this is important for the well-being of the Western world as time will show.

The true solution to the problem of race relations in Africa is indicated by the news of the working arrangements between South Africa and Malawi. By establishing diplomatic relations, both the South Africans and Dr. Banda are opening the way to the breaking down of racial tensions which can best be initiated by nations of different races achieving working contract while respecting each other's own internal policies. Whatever the motives that can be read into this particular rapprochement, according to one’s point of view, men of goodwill will see in it an opportunity for breaking down the seeming deadlock between the races in Africa.

T. A. CRESSWELL-GEORGE.

**Director,**
Rhodesian Information Service,
Melbourne.

**SIR—** What I meant by “Communism” (Letters, September 16), was simply that, and not any obscure definition. Africa is being assailed by international Communism and the Western world must be alerted to this fact and to the fact that the “Zambesi Front” is the present bulwark against Communist infiltration southwards.

Communism thrives on unrest and I can understand that Communist interests must look with dismay at the accord between South Africa and Malawi, which is clearly a step toward the establishment of better relationships and the betterment of all the peoples of both nations. Communists are no fools. They know that even from such a small beginning—peace and goodwill between races and nations could spread throughout Africa. That would not suit them.

T. A. CRESSWELL-GEORGE.

**Director,**
Rhodesian Information Service,
Carlton South,
Victoria.

This is it! (continued from page 1)
there is a school that teaches "unadulterated hatred" by Negroes of all whites. It is run by the so-called Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, one of the leading fomenters of race riots in the United States. The actual director of that school is a full-time paid employee of the federal government's Office of Economic Opportunity. And these are but tiny samples of what the Administration is doing on a very extensive scale, to help the agitators create their riots and their pretenses to revolution.

There are now forty-two million persons receiving regular checks from the federal government—either directly, or from the states under aid programs financed largely with federal government funds. Resistance to the encroaching tyranny of a central government is daily made more difficult for an ever larger percentage of the American people. For it holds as true between man and government as between man and man that "he who eats another's bread must do that other's will!"

The number of civilian employees of the federal government has increased twenty-five percent under Kennedy and Johnson. It is now being steadily increased at an average rate of more than seventy thousand per month! Most of this added personnel, and the new or enlarged bureaus to which they belong, are visibly working to promote or carry out various parts of the Communist program—even though only a few of the rank-and-file workers fully realize how they are being used.

The federal government now has a stranglehold on the ideological direction of practically all education in the United States. A few months ago Attorney General Ramsey Clark openly boasted that the government had the power through its subsidization of schools, and would use that power if necessary, to reassign teachers in public schools where there is any reason to believe that "racial allocation of faculty" denies to students "equality of educational opportunity". And if some bureaucrat of the federal government, not liking the patriotic slant given to American history by some teacher in Podunk High School, orders that teacher reassigned to some kindergarten sandlot, who can name or prove the real reason for the transfer? Or for the pressures to make such teachers follow the Communist line?

The federal government, with the same kind of grants and subsidies as used in education, is now insidiously but rapidly moving into control of our local police forces all over the United States. The effort to undermine, destroy, and eventually replace these local police forces, through the effect of such Communist-planned harassment as civilian police review boards, and of Communist inspired charges of "police brutality", was stymied by the efforts of The John Birch Society and its Support Your Local Police campaign. The present move to the same end is far more subtle, and could quickly become more fatal.

The crime rate, especially in our cities, is now soaring so rapidly that the complete anarchy and chaos which the Communists need are imminent. This massive lawlessness is being constantly encouraged by decisions of the federal courts, actions of federal agents, and official measures of our federal government—all fully supported in many areas by the actions of state and local officials.

The use of drugs, including LSD, is growing by leaps and bounds. The breakdown of morality, elimination of marriage and of family loyalties, destruction of all sense of values in the arts, and perversion of all organized religion are proceeding at an accelerating pace all around us. And these things are not just happening. They have been brought about, and are now being speeded up, by Communist conspiratorial activities which have been at work on these developments for decades.

The racial violence in our cities is fomented, organized, and conducted by Communist agitators, who are automatically parts of one giant conspiratorial movement by the very fact of their being Communists. The very plans and methods being followed by these agitators have been laid out in Communist literature and taught in Communist training schools since at least 1928. But almost every agency of the federal government—as well as CBS and a major part of all other news media—are continuously issuing vast quantities of propaganda to the effect that the riots are spontaneous uprisings, due to poverty and disease and "discrimination" and other legitimate complaints. And that the only way to prevent future riots is to spend tens of billions or even hundreds of billions of dollars in improving the living conditions of the minority groups. Which is the exact Communist line, and is one hundred percent false as is the whole "hungry belly" theory of Communism.

The truth is that the American Negroes now have a larger percentage of their number in college than any nation on earth outside of the United States as a whole. They have far more churches for their dominant religion (Protestant Christian), in proportion to their population, than any nation except the United States as a whole. The Negro households of America own from 35% to 38% of the homes they live in, which is certainly one of the highest percentages in the world. In the total ownership of automobiles and radios and television sets and bathtubs and washing machines and other ready indicators of the material standard of living, the Negro population of America, with only twenty million people, stands very near the top in comparison with the 120 nations of the world, regardless of their size. The claim that these riots are the natural result of resentment caused by Negro living conditions is a typical Big Lie of Communist strategy. And the greatest promoter and supporter of this Communist Big Lie by far is our federal government.

Exactly contrary to what the American people have been led to believe about huge troublesome surpluses, our food supply in this country is so far depleted that actual famine conditions are imminent. We are now the largest importers of beef in the world. A normally prudent supply of wheat on hand in the silos and warehouses of America is considered to be sixty percent of a year's crop. With the crop now running around a billion and a half bushels a year, that reserve would be nine hundred million bushels. Six hundred million bushels has long been considered the absolute minimum for safety. Today the total stock of wheat on hand in the United States is hovering around three hundred million bushels—and the government goes right on shipping huge quantities of wheat to Communist régimes all over the world. The need for ration cards in order to obtain food, and the control over ration cards as a means of coercing into submission the heads of hungry families who might otherwise resist, has long been a standard weapon of Communist suppression. We are being headed, by our own government, straight for that condition.

Our government claims the right, and high officials exercise the practice, of lying to the American people. As a typical example, McNamara issues statements that are full of deliberate falsehoods. They are then shown to be falsehoods.
So McNamara “explains”, and then issues new statements just as full of falsehoods as the old ones. Others do the same, and almost invariably the falsehoods are in tune with the current Communist propaganda line, or are designed to serve the needs of the Communist program.

Finally, in this section, let us reassert, with reluctance and regret but with complete assurance, that Communists now are, and for several years have been, in full working control of our federal government. If you will make for yourself a list of what you consider to be the hundred top men in appointive positions in that government, and study both the actions and the records of each one, you may come out with very few whom you can identify as Communists. But you will also come out with very few who are not actively and visibly supporting the Communist program. And it is not how many Communists there are on the government payroll, but where they are, that counts.

II. LET’S PAUSE FOR SOME BACKGROUND

To understand what is really happening, it becomes more and more necessary to realize that the Communist movement itself is but one weapon, or a huge front, of a conspiratorial clique above it. For the sake of as much simplicity as can be provided in discussing so purposely confusing, deceptive and complex an operation, we have called the top command of this two-hundred-year-old conspiracy the INSIDERS. We have no intention here of going in any detail into so esoteric a subject as the history, or even the changing morphology, of this self-perpetuating diabolical organization. But we do need to take a few paragraphs to summarize its ultimate purposes and unchanging methods.

Those purposes, however unbelievable to the ordinary decent human being, and thus however successfully kept hidden because of the very immensity of the evil and the horror which they involve, can be clearly determined, by any sufficiently objective student, from the literature and the facts that are available. Despite all of the people who have been murdered to keep this secret whole, the essential elements of the conspiracy are now well and widely known: it has been the unwavering aim of these INSIDERS, under whatever names they may have called themselves or some of their divisions during various decades of the past two centuries, to carry out the following satanic plan.

1. To draw into their inner circle the most desirable prospects from the very top financial, economic, social, and political circles of their respective countries; and gradually to admit into this inner circle those coming up from below with sufficient ambition, cunning, ruthlessness, and lack of moral scruples to make them suitable members of the club.

2. To have all members of the organization, including those in various echelons below the top who understood only in lesser degrees the total conspiracy and total game of which they were becoming a part, promote each other cleverly and uncasingly, at all times and by all practicable means, into ever higher positions of prestige, wealth, and power. And at the same time to see that all enemies of the INSIDERS were discredited, pushed aside, demoralized, and—if dangerous to the conspiracy—destroyed.

3. To hold together by ruthless discipline the INSIDERS themselves, and the vast conspiratorial organization which could be built under their hidden command, in support of one unchanging, incredible, objective: Namely, to establish their rule of steel over the whole earth and all the people on it. (It might be an interesting question as to how much of this whole picture Dzhugashvili had already grasped when he chose the Russian word, stalin, meaning steel, as a name for himself.)

4. To make this goal of world rulership possible by the following fantastically evil, ruthlessly realistic, and majestically patient program. (a) To destroy all religion, and replace it with the worship of something called “reason”. (b) To destroy all existing governments, and replace them with ruthless agencies of the conspiracy. (c) To destroy the family, and have all children become wards of the state, trained and educated by the state to become its willing slaves. (d) To destroy all existing human institutions, and replace them, if at all, with organizations which served solely the needs or purposes of the conspirators. As in the case of both religious bodies and governments, this destruction was to be accomplished almost entirely by infiltration, and at patient gradualism in acquiring the power to destroy. (e) To wipe out all economic systems permitting or depending on any rights of individuals, or responsibility of the individual for his own material welfare, and to replace them with the collectivist system of the ants and other insects. (f) To destroy all morality, all human tradition, and all of man’s historic sense of values, replacing them with a hedonistic pragmatism as the basis for all human philosophy. (g) To destroy, and exactly reverse, all of man’s sociological, political, and religious ideals; with “equality” replacing “success” as the theme of man’s thought, force replacing law as the most desirable means of government, and hatred replacing love as the great motivating force in man’s relations with his fellow men. (h) And on the chaos, confusion, suffering and despair thus created to erect for themselves a new order”—the “New Deal” and various similar names in this and many other countries were all selected and used because of their relationship to this plan—in which the hierarchy of INSIDERS would be superior and all-powerful, and only their millions of administrative minions would have any rights and any privileges among the billions of once human beings on the face of the earth.

(To be continued)

Realistic Constitutionalism

By C. H. Douglas 2/- posted

In an Address given to the Constitutional Research Association in 1947, C. H. Douglas elucidated the principles which relate the Constitution of a country to “objective reality”.

“Whatever may be the case at the moment . . . in some form or other sovereignty in the British Isles for the last two thousand years has been trinitarian.

“Whether we look on this trinitarianism under the names of King, Lords and Commons or as Policy, Sanctions and Administration, the Trinity-in-Unity has existed, and our national success has been greatest when the balance (never perfect) has been approached . . .”

“The real British Constitution . . . is an organism. The Russian Constitution . . . is an organisation.

The reprinting of this Address twenty years later is timely in view of the present threats to British sovereignty and to the British Constitution involved in the attempts to subjugate this country to the Treaty of Rome and the obvious intention of the Socialist government to eliminate the House of Lords as an effective check to those bent on achieving complete central control of the community.
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