The Bilderberger organization is, like the C.F.R., another of the formal conspiracies dedicated to creating a “new world order.” The Bilderbergers meet once or twice a year at some obscure but plush resort around the world. Their secret conferences are attended by leading internationalists in finance, academics, government, business, and labor from Western Europe and the United States. The odd-sounding name of the group is derived from the name of the Hotel de Bilderberg in Oosterbeek, Holland, site of the first meeting in 1954. Leader of the Bilderberg operation is His Royal Highness Prince Bernhard of The Netherlands.

The original rationale for the meetings is said to have been the building of “Western unity” in opposition to Soviet expansion. Just why this required private meetings outside N.A.T.O. has never been adequately explained. Certainly Bilderberger “anti-Communism” has been non-existent. At the very first Bilderberg meeting, for instance, Life publisher C. D. Jackson (C.F.R.) called Senator Joseph McCarthy an “emotional freak” and promised that by the next meeting he would be felled by “an assassin’s bullet” or lanced like “boils on the body politic.” Before the next meeting, the anti-Communist Wisconsin Senator had been “censured” by the Senate and soon died at age forty-nine, under very mysterious circumstances, at the federal hospital in Bethesda, Maryland.

Early Bilderberg claims to anti-Communism, made only briefly and in public, were designed to serve as a front for promoting the merging of sovereignties in Western Europe into a regional government to “strengthen” the area against Communist attack—it was a ploy to force a regional step toward the “new world order.” Bernhard complains openly that the establishment of World Government is being hindered by “national selfishness, putting internal problems first.” The Bilderberg objective is to overcome this “national selfishness” with properly certified “world think.” In recent years this strategy has required the ballyhooing of commercial and political detente with the Soviets. This began some five years ago with Bilderberg proposals of massive East-West trade.

Other than a few such public announcements, no one except the delegates knows for sure what is discussed at the secret Bilderberg meetings. The press is, of course, banned from the sessions. One would assume that the professional shriekers of the mass media would have literary apoplexy at the very thought of the world’s movers and shakers of finance and politics congregating in guarded secrecy to formulate foreign policy for their respective nations. But, such is not the case. The Establishment media does not shake its fist against this news black-out, nor does it howl about the public’s sacred “right to know.” Prince Bernhard and his fellow Insiders are never annoyed by tacky questions about Bilderberger manipulations.

It is not surprising that His Royal Highness is vitally interested in world trade and World Government. The Prince is a key figure in two of the world’s foremost multi-national corporations, Royal Dutch Petroleum (Shell Oil) and the Societe General de Belgique. The former is the Number Two oil company in the world, and the latter is a vast conglomerate cartel with worldwide holdings in finance, manufacturing, and natural resources.

Prince Bernhard’s Siamese twin among the American Bilderbergs is David Rockefeller, chairman of the board of the C.F.R., and controller of the world’s largest petroleum conglomerate and most important international bank. Among the other Bilderbergs from the ionosphere of international finance are Baron Edmund de Rothschild of the incredibly wealthy and powerful House of Rothschild; C. Douglas Dillon (C.F.R.), former Secretary of the Treasury and partner in the influential international banking firm of Dillon, Read & Company; Robert Strange McNamara (C.F.R.) of the World Bank; Sir Eric Roll of the London-based international banking firm of Dillon, Read & Company; Sir George Ball (C.F.R.), the former Undersecretary of State who is now a senior partner in the international banking firm of Lehman Brothers; and, Pierre Paul Schweitzer, king-pin of the International Monetary Fund.

One can be very certain that these busy and powerful men do not gather from all over the world to drink martinis and discuss the plots of the latest X-rated movie.

Not everyone who attends one of the secret meetings of the Bilderbergs can qualify as an Insider. A few are there as window dressing, and others are being “looked over”. But only the most trusted conspirators are allowed to attend the smaller, super-private meetings following the general sessions. There Bilderberg policy is planned by an elite Steering Committee of Insiders composed of twenty-four Europeans and fifteen Americans. Americans who have been, or are presently, members of the Bilderberg Steering Committee
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Controlling the economy means controlling people; and people are controlled either by naked force—the slave system of antiquity—or by controlling their access to the necessities of life—food, clothing and shelter. A money economy, coupled with the injunction ‘if a man work not, neither shall he eat’, has, up till recent times, represented the finest degree of graded people-control yet evolved. But the progress of the industrial arts has been steadily nullifying that control. Progressively automated power-based production steadily tanan policy can be defeated, and the way would be open for political democracy which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas. Secre tar iat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The conjunction of accumulating inflation and rising unemployment represents the end of the line for purely monetary control. Mr. Heath has already been driven to threaten statutory control of incomes and prices. This, as Mr. Enoch Powell correctly stated in his address at Leamington on Sept. 18, 1972 (see T.S.C., Oct. 14, English edition Oct. 28) must inevitably lead to totalitarianism; and totalitarianism invariably ends up with the threat or use of force. Whether this ultimate stage is called fascism, as Mr. Powell called it, or communism is of no consequence. It is the perpetual rule of an oligarchy over a population of slaves; and that is exactly where the former Great Britain is headed — a loss of national identity in an international conglomerate of slaves, building the pyramid of World Government through aid to ‘under-developed’ areas of the world, from the tropics to the polar caps — even to the Moon.

The name of the deadly game—which has already cost the lives of millions and misery for many millions more—is conspiracy. But it seems impossible to get politicians to admit that conspiracy rises above a certain level) they would have to admit that some very important people, who may have been extended them favours, must be active conspirators. This they do not want to believe, so that they have a mental block which prevents their believing it.

Nevertheless, the fact of conspiracy on the highest international level is now an established fact, and nothing short of public exposure of this fact offers us the slightest hope of survival in the way of life we have painfully evolved over the millennia. Population control means population extermination, of which the murder of unborn babies is an integral part; control of pollution means the elimination of ‘luxuries’ for all except the masters (banquets in the Kremlin) and the upper echelons of their militia; progressive education means mind manipulation along the lines, rapidly emerging, envisaged by Aldous Huxley in his Brave New World (see also his Brave New World Revisited). Britain is on the edge; but when she topples over, perhaps in a few months time, she is likely to start an avalanche, letting the Red Army into every quarter of the globe.

There is not the slightest prospect of remedying this situation through the ordinary processes of Party Politics, misteacher democracy. What is required is a degree of public understanding which renders these ordinary processes impossible, for they only serve to mask what is really going on. If this can be achieved—and a determined attempt by those who already know to establish and extend study-groups based on the materials we have recommended and distributed for several years might have the desired result — then totalitarian policy can be defeated, and the way would be open for economic democracy—without which, as Douglas said, political ‘democracy’ is dynamite.

Economic democracy means in essence restoring economic initiative to the individual, and the method is by the distribution of unearned incomes on an ever-increasing scale, in accordance with the increasing capacity of modern power-based and automated industry to meet the demands of individual consumers made on it, instead of fulfilling the ambitions of international cartels—and of Mr. Heath, who has adopted their cause—to engage in projects beyond the capacity of single nations—sepulchres of civilisation. In present circumstances, the most practicable method of distributing the required extra incomes is by a progressive reduction of the retiring age on full incomes, and the progressive lowering of prices by first removing sales-tax, and then applying a negative sales-tax—a sales credi. This of course would reverse inflation, and it is important to realise that prices can be made to fall just as easily as they are allowed, even assisted, to rise.

At this point, the question is still almost invariably raised: where is the money to come from? The short answer is: from the source from which it already comes—that expansion of the money supply which is already a continuous, though fluctuating, process. But instead of spending it into circulation by a progressively excessive expansion of capital works (so-called ‘pump-priming’), thus enabling a rise of prices and justifying higher taxation, it would be used to reduce prices, and would then be cancelled as consumer purchasing power—so-called ‘deflation’. This way of doing a service to the normal process of industry’s paying back its capital equipment—cancelled either by extinguishing a bank-loan, or by being sequestered as a reserve against the re-
placement of plant, etc. To say that we need more employment in the face of continual expansion of employment-saving machinery is as obtuse as to say that because a man buys a motor-mower, he should sow further lawn that he does not want, or having bought a car, should walk to work to keep up employment in the foot-wear industry.

This is not the place for a full technical explanation of the mechanics of economic democracy. But it is most important to recognise that there is a genuine alternative to a system which is now quite obviously culminating in a totalitarianism which appears 'inevitable' in the absence of an alternative. A fuller explanation is offered in Social Credit and the British Crisis (T.S.C., April 15, 1972, English edition April 29), and in books listed in our Brochure, The Politics of Poverty*. The first step, however, in this gravest crisis in the world's history is to study the evidence of world-conspiracy, and to call to account Representatives in Parliament, regardless of Party, in the light of this knowledge. If they know that the public know, on a wide enough scale, they and their shadowy promoters will know also that the sinister game is up. That is the only hope we have.

Almost five years before his pilgrimage to Peking, Sir Alec Douglas-Home wrote (in the Foreword to Ian Greig's The Assault On the West): "The revelations which were made at the time of the Canadian Royal Commission is a reminder that no country is immune from attack and that not even the most advanced democracy can afford to be complacent. The most recent targets of Russia and China are the newly independent countries of Africa and Asia, and the money spent and the personnel employed to stir the pot of revolution should alert these countries as to who are their foes and who their friends". He said of the author that "he relies absolutely on fact to illustrate the methods by which the Soviet Union and China direct a campaign which to them is in the nature of a religious crusade". Perhaps Sir Alec does not have a very good memory for fact.

The notorious "secret" negotiations in Paris, which, as predicted in these pages† have culminated in the jettisoning of the South Vietnamese Government and the opening of the flood-gates of murder and terror against genuine anti-Communists, were secret only from the public, as of course they were conducted between the belligerents so that there was no question, in the conventional sense, of betraying information useful to the enemy. In short, they were a cover-up operation, arranged by the Kremlin and the Council on Foreign Relations and mediated by the C.F.R. agent, the German-born Henry Kissinger, the de facto President of the United States.

And of course the U.S. is stepping up military supplies to South Vietnam before the cease-fire puts an end to (American) supplies, to ensure that there will be an adequate cache of arms ready for the Communists when they take over (ABC News, Oct. 29, 1972).

Our Sovereign Lady

The Church of England prays each Sunday for Our Sovereign Lady, Queen Elizabeth, but fails to point out that subjection to the Treaty of Rome will rob Queen and people of their sovereignty: in such a case we should logically pray for someone or something else, as the Americans pray for their President, for another power will be our Sovereign.

The Spectator (Oct. 14, 1972) points this out clearly enough, for in signing the European Bill "she will not be signing any ordinary piece of legislation" but will be "signing away her own birthright". Joining of Europe, it adds, would not be because the people willed it or after the expression of the nation's consent "full-hearted or otherwise"; but because a Prime Minister has exercised despotic authority to dominate a Government which leads the House of Commons, and they have passed a bill "destructive of the power of both Commons and of Crown". As the writer puts it, "The Prime Minister demands; the Commons consents; the Queen assents. But the country still says 'No'. We note the absence from this process of the higher legislative criticism which C. H. Douglas hoped that the Church might exercise as "the Authority on the Mills of God" (Realistic Position of the Church of England).

We may in fact see an acceleration in the Mills of God. Jonathan Guinness in the same Spectator points out that Ceylon talked firmly to India which then absorbed half a million Tamils "without fuss". He concludes that the whole business of admitting immigrants "stems from the fashion to give others, particularly of different race, preference over our own people. It is a classic case of today's treason of the educated".

But when the Mills of God have turned or, if we like, when the Gadarene swine have reached the bottom, we may look to such a future as The Times describes (Sept. 30, 1972) when it states that "it will be a Europe of merchants and not of dreamers". We may reasonably add that the merchants will be dominated and directed by merchant bankers.

But if any resistance or personal initiative arises, we may take warning from the fate of Cardinal Mindszenty. His memoirs were due to appear this year, a professor had edited them for publication, but the professor now says that "the agreement between the Vatican and the Hungarian government, which resulted in the Cardinal's leaving the U.S. Embassy in Budapest after 15 years, precludes the Cardinal from publishing his memoirs" (The Remnant, Aug. 15, 1972). The Cardinal said in an interview last October that he "owed it to his conscience and to the Church to let the world know the truth". In fact, he is still a prisoner.

The poet Dante inscribed on the gates of hell a message of hopelessness, but their great Maker was moved by Justice, supreme Wisdom and primal Love, he stated. No such motives moved the creators of the European hell, but rather greed, deceit and the lust for supreme power. Political Parties, the Lords, the Lord Chancellor, the Church have all connived. Yet Christ said that "the gates of hell shall not prevail", and that faith must still animate people in choosing between the way of life and submission to global evil.

H.S.  
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The Conspiracy

The following letter to the Editor was published by the South Coast Register, Nowra, N.S.W., October 16, 1972:

Sir.—Any unbiased historian writing of the present state of the world would surely note these days as civilization’s darkest.

Here in Australia, to say nothing of the rest of the world, we have at least the beginnings of a reign of Terror—armed hold-ups, bashings, violent demonstrations, serious vandalism, bombs, rolling strikes, plus stand-over men, international agitators and so on.

This, of course, provides the excuse required to usher in the long planned World Police Force, responsible not to you or me or to our representatives but to a supra-national anonymity.

And what of our so-called representatives, present and future? We see them, every day, competing with each other to ensure that we sell (or give?) vital commodities to our very worst enemies, who boast that once we begin to trade with them the end is near; they will eat us! A soldier found guilty of such conduct would be shot.

Note also that at the same time “we” steadfastly refuse, none dissenting, to trade with Rhodesia, where our anti-offensive kinsmen, having no extra-territorial aims or plans to rule the world, quite rightly mind their own business and resist interference from outside.

Both Parties do their utmost to dissipate our national resources and neither is averse to making massive gifts to any people but our own and each—the one surreptitiously and the other blatantly—will gladly flood Australia with Africans and Asians and any subversives available. The British, however, are not to be encouraged.

Meanwhile the media reports events as confusingly as possible and carefully omits any mention of who or what is behind our increasing difficulties.

Pornography flourishes, likewise the traffic in drugs, and inflation continues unabated while preparations are well under way for the World Bank to further upset our finances. The Great Conspiracy is treated as though it does not exist.

In this, the twilight of civilisation, what is needed as a pre-requisite to a return to sanity is a sufficient understanding of the plot; who and what is behind the Parties, the Media, the Unions, the big Industrialists, the University leftists, the bogus Churchmen and a host of others.

To fill this need—world wide—Gary Allen has painstakingly compiled a new and well documented book entitled None Dare Call it Conspiracy, now in its third edition (four million).

Two copies have been presented to the Shire Library. For further information refer to the undersigned.

W. Prescott
39 Cyrus St., Hyams Beach 2540

Who They Are (continued from page 1)

include George Ball, Gardner Cowles, John Ferguson, Henry Heinz II, Robert Murphy, David Rockefeller, Shepard Stone, James Zellerbach, Emilio Collado, Arthur Dean, Gabriel Hauge, C. C. Jackson, George Nebolsine, Dean Rusk, and General Walter Bedell Smith. It is not a coincidence that every one of those men is, or has been, a member of the Council on Foreign Relations.

The Bilderberger Advisory Committee forms an even more select “inner circle” than the Steering Committee. Americans on the Advisory Committee include Joseph E. Johnson, Dean Busk, Arthur Dean, George Nebolsine, John S. Coleman, Henry J. Heinz II, and General Walter Bedell Smith. Again, all are members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

The most recent of the Bilderberg meetings was held at Laurance Rockefeller’s Woodstock Inn at Woodstock, Vermont, during April of 1971. Apparently the only newspaper to carry a substantial report on the meetings was the Rutland Herald, whose reporter complained he could acquire only the most sketchy information about the conference. According to the Herald of April 20, 1971:

A rather tight lid of secrecy was being kept on the conference . . . . A closed-door meeting was held in Woodstock last week to brief a handful of local officials on some phases of the conference. One participant of the meeting insisted Monday that the officials were told the meeting would be an “international peace conference”. However, other reliable sources said the conference will deal with international finance . . . .

The Woodstock Inn will apparently be sealed up like Fort Knox . . . . No press coverage will be allowed, with the exception of issuing a statement at the close of the meeting on Sunday.

When Prince Bernhard arrived at Boston’s Logan Airport, he did admit to reporters that the subject of the conference would be what he called a “change in the world-role of the United States”. Isn’t it nice to have changes in America’s role in the world decided upon by Bernhard, Rothschild, and Rockefeller? There is real democracy in action, as they say.

Present at the secret Woodstock meeting to represent President Nixon was his Number One advisor on foreign affairs, Henry Kissinger. Shortly after the Woodstock meeting, two ominous and “role-changing” events occurred: Henry Kissinger went to Peking and arranged for the acceptance of Red China as a member of the family of trading nations, and an international monetary crisis developed after which the dollar was devalued.

(To be continued)