

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 46 No. 19

SATURDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1966

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

The Truth in Time

IF YOU WANT IT STRAIGHT . . .

(From *American Opinion*, November, 1966)

To make the history of the great conspiracy both clear and convincing, we need a hundred volumes of a thousand pages each. And we hope that those scholarly volumes will all be written in due course, as a guide and a warning to future generations. But at present we have a more immediate and more urgent task. We want to give you simply an outline of the progress of this organized evil force, from its beginning up to the present time.

The aim of the conspirators always has been, and still is, to impose the brutal tyranny of their rule over the whole human race. Today they are at last within striking distance of that ultimate goal. And we agree with the Hon. J. Edgar Hoover, who stated in the April 1961 *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, that "the way to fight it [Communism] is to study it, understand it, and discover what can be done about it." We think that the only way to stop the Communists is to create sufficient understanding, soon enough, among enough people, as to what is really taking place all around us. So let's do our best, within the limits that are practicable for this occasion, to review the whole fantastic story step by step.

A. THE EARLY BACKGROUND

1. We must hunt the first steps largely in the shadows rather than in the substance of history. For the conspiracy is deeprooted. Two hundred years ago, or during the last half of the Eighteenth Century, there were in Europe many secret societies with grandiose dreams of overthrowing all existing human institutions, and of rising out of the resulting chaos as the all-powerful rulers of a "new order" of civilization. Of these groups the Illuminati, founded in Bavaria by Adam Weishaupt on May 1, 1776, was undoubtedly the most important.

2. By 1789 the Illuminati were already strong enough to have had a great deal to do with planning and precipitating the holocaust known as the French Revolution. In that upheaval we find many elements of Communist strategy and purpose with which we are familiar today. One was the ruthless undermining of rulers and governments in order to destroy them. Another was the destruction of all religion by substituting the worship of "reason" in its place. You will remember that the revolutionaries erected in Paris a statue to the "goddess of reason" as a symbol of this change. A third element was the fomenting by a few professional agitators of mob action made to appear as a spontaneous uprising of the people. A fourth was the massive use of terror to silence all opposition. A fifth was the use of the manufactured smear to destroy the enemies of the revolution or of its temporary leaders. And all of these objectives and methods, which sound like rehearsals for what is happening throughout the world today, had either been specifically

set forth by Weishaupt for his order of Illuminati, or were the practical applications of his program.¹

3. By 1795 the French Revolution had run its horrible course, and there was a gradual return even in France to traditional beliefs, values, and institutions. Although it led to the Napoleonic wars which, for the next twenty years, devastated so much of Europe, this revolutionary fire in France had failed to set off a continent-wide social conflagration, as the Illuminati and similar groups had hoped and planned. And henceforth the Illuminati observed even more closely than before the original Weishaupt instruction that the very existence of the order should be denied and kept secret at all costs. But the fact that the Illuminati were active, at the end of the Eighteenth Century, with a growing reach and influence in many countries, is clearly proved in the detailed histories of Robison and the Abbé Barruel. And the short-lived Babeuf conspiracy reveals that other groups, though possibly allied or subsidiary to the Illuminati, were working for the same totally destructive purposes.²

4. During the *Nineteenth Century* some of these secret societies and subversive groups appear to have grown and coalesced into the Communist conspiracy as we know it today. However hidden the controlling nerve centers may have been, by 1848 some of the activities and even organizational structures of the conspiracy were becoming visible. The first important declaration of purposes, using the name of Communism, as we understand the word today, was issued by Karl Marx in 1848. Communist plotting was at work, to some extent, in the numerous uprisings of that year, 1848, as it has been in almost all insurrections and wars involving European nations since that time.³

5. But the Communist movement is only a tool of the total conspiracy. As secret as the Communist activities and organizations generally appear, they are part of an open book compared to the secrecy enveloping some higher degree of this diabolic force. The extrinsic evidence is strong and convincing that by the beginning of the *Twentieth Century* there had evolved an inner core of conspiratorial power, able to direct and control subversive activities which were worldwide in their reach, incredibly cunning and ruthless in their nature, and brilliantly farsighted and patient in their strategy. Whether or not this increasingly all-powerful hidden command was due to an unbroken continuation of Weishaupt's Illuminati, or was a distillation from the leadership of this and other groups, we do not know. Some of them may never have been Communists, while others were. To avoid as much dispute as possible, therefore, let's call this ruling clique simply the INSIDERS. But we do need some such term for both clarity and convenience. And it

(continued on page 2)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1
Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone EUSton 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

**A Happy Christmas
to All Our Readers**

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

The vocabulary and the language of contemporary 'economics' ought to be sufficient to expose the fraudulent practices under which the British are suffering. "Squeeze", "freeze", "redeploy", "reflate", "export-led recovery", "Gnomes of Zurich", "credibility gap", "emergency package" "downturn", "biting", "the tide is right, the time is right, the winds are right" (H. Wilson), "industry . . . strengthened, streamlined, leaner and fitter to meet the challenges of the future" (H. Wilson) . . .

"But it is pointless now to job back in the palaeography of what has become the Government's usual doubletalk. The more important need is to try to decipher what exactly is the Government's received doctrine at the moment." (*The Economist*, October 29, 1966)

And perhaps another important need is to find out from whom the Government receives its doctrine, and whether "orders" should not be substituted for "doctrine". Later *The Economist* refers to "an entirely new economic policy, by which Labour must be judged when Part IV expires (and the shooting begins) next August 12th". *Shooting?*

Further on *The Economist* refers to Mr. Stewart acting "so foolishly", but later says "he feels he can move in this field without the cataclysmic results in causing bankruptcies which might follow from compulsory price orders in some other industries". *The Economist* is referring to Mr. Stewart's having issued the first compulsory price order under Part IV to laundries and dry cleaning establishments, which it calls "a remarkably blatant piece of politicking", and "a shabby political manoeuvre". Altogether, a nice blend of the village-idiot and the malignant cunning theories, with *The Economist* and the journals which disagree with it possessing the wisdom so sadly lacking in politicians; as:

"unfortunately, in the economic team itself, one is reduced to such tiny compliments as to say that a junior minister like Mrs. Shirley Williams this week made rather a good (if slightly inaccurate) speech."

The root of the economic problem is that an expanding industry eventually supplies individuals with the durable goods they want, so that demand slackens, and slackens still more if unemployment (unemployment) increases. The answer to this is said to be to export more; but the difficulty here is that the sophisticated production of modern industry has to look to markets which themselves have increasingly developed the ability to produce sophisticated durables. So you try to keep prices down ("competitive") by "restricting home demand", and this brings about frustration and bankruptcies and go-slow activities to try to retain employment when 'redundancy' threatens.

This is a situation which cannot be overcome by British entry into the Common Market, since it has its origins in accountancy and not in lack of 'productivity'. All industry everywhere has more or less steadily grown in productivity to a point where its capacity to dissipate the resources of the earth is approaching the magnitude of an international disaster, all in the name of "expanding international trade"—which fundamentally amounts to the swapping of motor-cars, etc.

The mass media have been notably quiet about the result of the mid-term elections in the U.S.A., reporting only the numbers in terms of parties of candidates elected. In terms of votes cast, however, the Republicans obtained 54.1%, the Democrats 45.9% (*U.S. News & World Report*, Nov. 21, 1966). The Republicans were in a majority in 39 of 50 States; in only 11 States in the South, traditionally Democratic, did the Democrats show a majority—51.1%. In State legislatures, the Republicans gained control of both houses in 16 States, up from 6 States, and in lower houses up to 22 from 8 previously. Twenty-five States will have Republican Governors.

The average mid-term losses in House seats since 1950 by the party holding the White House has been 22; this time it is 47—nearly 114% increase.

How much of this decided increase in Conservative forces is due to the organizational and educational efforts mainly of the John Birch Society is impossible to estimate; but the consequences are likely to be of the utmost importance. The Governors are likely to make important appointments to the positions where real power and influence lie—the main target areas of penetration by the secret Communist and other conspirators over many years. New revelations may be brought to light, increasing the exposure of the Finance-Communist Conspiracy. Exposure is the one thing it cannot face and survive. We are probably witnessing a rapid increase in the movement of understanding of and resistance to the real forces behind the government of the U.S.A. On that movement of understanding and resistance the fate of civilization now exclusively depends.

The Truth in Time

(continued from page 1)

should be recognized that always pushing and promoting each other into higher positions, on every road to power and wealth and fame, has been a vital part of the strategy of this "fraternity" from the beginning—and of the motivation of its members at any given time. The goal for each generation of conspirators, the tie that bound them together,

lay in what this self-perpetuating body was to become; but the contemporary reward and satisfaction was in the becoming.

6. For more than a hundred years now the conspiracy had pushed on steadily, along many avenues, all leading to the one goal of world rule. During the last half of the Nineteenth Century the INSIDERS were using the Communists, the anarchists, the socialists of various hues and kinds, and dozens of other groups, to promote their purposes. Many of these groups were largely unconscious of how they were being spurred on and guided by a few clever INSIDERS. While under the guise of humanitarianism, and in the pretended promotion of freedom and equality and brotherhood, these INSIDERS, and the gullible idealists who served as their dupes, were busily undermining the very beliefs and institutions which made the Nineteenth Century a highwater mark of such civilization as man has laboriously achieved.

One broad avenue down which these conspiratorial forces advanced was known as "progressive legislation." The very same collectivist theories and demagogic pretenses which had destroyed earlier civilizations were now paraded forth in the disguise of new and modern concepts. What Pisistratus had done in Athens in the Sixth Century B.C., with the leverage of his vaunted concern for the working classes, Bismarck now began in Germany by basically the same approach. The controls which Diocletian had imposed on the Roman people sixteen hundred years before—entirely for the good of the people themselves, you understand—were now matched by one socialist régime in France after another. And in England the advance of government paternalism caused Herbert Spencer to comment that the ultimate result of protecting fools from their own folly must be to fill the planet full of fools.

Now this great and growing stream of socialist legislation was made possible, of course, by idealists with only the noblest of intentions, combined with or led by venal politicians with no more nefarious objectives than glory and pelf for themselves. In the rotting French Republic of 1900 A.D., as in the rotting Roman Empire of 300 A.D., most of the rot was introduced in the name of progress. Most of the people of Europe and America, for the past hundred years, for instance, have gradually been led to believe fervently that everything in the way of "security" legislation, from the first Workmen's Compensation Acts under Bismarck to the latest Medicare monstrosity under Lyndon Johnson, was a proof of their own nobility of outlook. And this is not the place to go into any discussion as to which pieces of the mosaic may really have been justified and worthwhile.

For unrecognized by the visionary do-gooders and even by the opportunistic politicians, who alike were inveigled into pushing along this new humanitarianism, was the constant thread of its hidden purpose. That actual purpose was, on the part of the instigators who contrived it, always and by all means, to reduce the responsibilities and rights of individual citizens, while steadily increasing the quantity, the reach, and the potential tyranny of governments. This was the real long-range goal of the INSIDERS who designed and controlled the movement, but who had realized from the beginning that Communism must largely be advanced by non-Communists who know not what they are doing.

In the United States, with its remaining pioneer spirit and ubiquitous opportunities, the season was not yet ripe for offering this mess of pottage. And timing is always an essen-

tial part of Communist strategy. But there was still plenty that could be done as a part of the grand design. So by 1914 the INSIDERS, with comparatively few agents but tremendous influence and cunning, had already brought about in our own country such decisively important measures in their program as central banking, a graduated personal income tax, and the direct election of Senators.

The brilliantly contrived central banking apparatus was called the Federal Reserve System. As in the case of the graduated income tax, its long-range significance and use could be well hidden under the pretended objectives at the time of its founding. And its ultimate value to the conspirators could be tremendously enhanced by the character and ability of the good men drawn into its top positions during the early decades of its existence. But what this function and prestige of the Federal Reserve System would inevitably come to mean, in time and in actual practice, was the control of credit, the control of the money supply, the ability to spend with increasing profligacy, and the means to steal continuously from the people by the debasement of our currency, on the part of the Federal Government. And we have reached the stage today where all of these results have visibly come to pass.

The graduated income tax, of course, was the second plank in Karl Marx's program for imposing Communism on any country. From the very beginning the whole scheme included, without the knowledge or understanding of the American people, a parallel gimmick for the benefit of the INSIDERS, which we can identify as the system of tax exempt foundations. The actual result of this development has been—as it was intended to be—that the wealthiest men in America have been able to accumulate untold billions of liquid wealth in these foundations, by escaping the increasingly confiscatory taxes which prevented such accumulations outside of the foundation formula, and still have practically as much control of what can be done with this money and how it shall be spent, in helping to move our country Leftward, as if these billions were in their private bank accounts. Since the founding of the Illuminati, each generation of INSIDERS has visibly followed, so far as they were able, Weishaupt's stated and aggressive policy of pulling within their conspiratorial circle the sons of the very powerful and the very rich. In the United States this policy, combined with the income-tax squeeze on one side and the foundation loophole on the other, has gradually brought fantastic wealth into the service of the conspiracy, while putting ever greater handicaps and stronger brakes on the acquisition or the uses of money by those who might oppose the plans of the INSIDERS.

And the direct election of Senators was of tremendously more importance than was realized when the Seventeenth Amendment was adopted in 1913. For as long as the Senators for any state had been elected by the legislature of that state, they clearly represented the state itself as a sovereign entity within a federal union, and not just the citizens of the United States within certain boundaries, as was the case for the members of the House of Representatives. This distinction had been carefully planned by our Founding Fathers, in their studied effort to extend and improve upon what Cicero had praised so highly as the *diffusion* of government in the early Roman Republic. We had a division of federal governmental power into three branches, the executive, legislative, and judicial; the grand division between federal power and governmental power retained by the states; and the further division of this state power, again between three branches. The INSIDERS, how-

ever, wanted gradually and eventually to bring a concentration of all governmental power into the hands of the executive department of one central government. And the direct election of Senators was actually their first huge legalistic step in that direction.

7. It was during this period, too, that the INSIDERS learned to foment, nourish, magnify, and utilize every natural division among men, whether based on race, color, language, or religion; to create strife ranging from a precinct political feud to a major war between nations; to stimulate and control *both sides* of all such bitter divisions among mankind; and to profit enormously from the opportunities thus offered them to grasp more power amid the resulting chaos, resignation, and despair.

Today the most important basic split among mankind lies in color. The Communists are making the greatest possible capital for themselves out of that division, and are planning to make even more capital in the future. But in the Nineteenth Century the division of greatest significance was between Jew and Gentile. So the most valuable plank in this area of Communist strategy was the revival, nourishment, and magnification of the dislike, distrust, and bitterness now associated with the term anti-Semitism. The Communists are always sharpening and using both edges of this sword. In their efforts to weaken and destroy The John Birch Society, just for an illustration, they have dupes and agents clamouring incessantly that the Society is anti-Semitic; and at the very same time they have *agents-provocateurs* everywhere trying to persuade members of the Society that the whole Communist movement is simply a Jewish conspiracy, and that these members are wasting their time in The John Birch Society because its leaders do not have the courage to *name the enemy*. And by this typical Communist method, they have made anti-Semitism into one of the most powerful weapons in their whole arsenal of destruction.⁴

8. But the decisive success of the INSIDERS, and of the various groups and forces through which they have worked, in this more shadowy period of their machinations and advance prior to 1917, lay in their instigation of the first World War. Looking back now it is easy to see how this great beginning of the long deliberate destruction of the soundest values and most stable institutions of our civilization, at the cost of the cruel killing of so many of the finest youth of Europe and America, was deliberately contrived by power-hungry criminal megalomaniacs in this clique of INSIDERS, simply to serve their own purposes.

The very slogan, "To make the world safe for democracy," by which Americans were inspired to greater enthusiasm in their contribution to this holocaust, should have been a giveaway to any intelligent student of history and political science. For at least since the time of the Greeks it has been well known, as it clearly was to our Founding Fathers, that democracy was not only the worst of all forms of government, but was the last direct step of any nation and any people on the road to an unbridled mobocratic dictatorship. By 1916 it was already so important to the INSIDERS to convert our constitutional republic into a democracy that Edward Mandell House and his dupes and allies even used their war in part to promote the proper attitude for such a change on the part of the American people.⁵

But this was only a comparatively small part of the mighty betrayal, not only of the United States but of our whole human race and of its reasonably humane civilization. For once America had been enticed by lying propaganda and tremendous cunning into this great senseless European war,

the world could never be the same again—nor as happy and sane a world again, for at least a long, long time—as the INSIDERS were well aware. And they undoubtedly rejoiced at the success of their satanic schemes.

—ROBERT WELCH.

(To be continued)

Footnotes

What follows is inadequate as either bibliography or documentation. But the scholarly friend who added most of these footnotes for us recognized the need to be practical. He has simply tried to tell the reader where he would go for more details about items in this record which such reader might find most puzzling or of greatest interest. And in the same spirit we have unprofessionalized these footnotes even further, by adding information about the prices and the availability of the publications cited. To whatever extent this reference list proves useful and helpful, it will have served its only purpose. (Prices are in American currency.)

1. See, John Robison, 'Proofs Of A Conspiracy' (New York: Corneliuis Davis, 1798, and, Waco, Texas: Owen Publishing, undated. Hardbound, \$7.50).
2. See, Abbé Barruel, 'Memoirs Of Jacobinism', four volumes, (London, English translation: T. Burton, 1798. Out of print); Robert Welch, 'The New Americanism', Chapter 5 (Boston: Western Islands, 1966. Hardbound, \$4.95); and Robison, 'op. cit.'
3. See, Nesta Webster, 'World Revolution' (London: Constable & Co., 1921, and, Waco, Texas: Owen Publishing, 1921. Hardbound, \$6.00).
4. See, Robert Welch, 'The Neutralizers' (Boston: The John Birch Society, 1963. Pamphlet, three copies for one dollar).
5. See, Robert Welch, 'The New Americanism', Chapter 4 (Republics and Democracies).

THE U.S. ELECTIONS

In July, 1964, 'The Social Crediter' published an article entitled 'What We Face' which described the process by which conspiratorial forces gained control of the Government of the U.S.A. in 1933 and outlined possible developments in this present decade. This analysis is of greater current interest than when it was published in the light of the 1966 mid-term elections in the U.S.A. What we face, if we are to survive, is counter-revolution.

'What We Face' indicates the strategy of counter-revolution, and is available in pamphlet form. A companion pamphlet, 'No Co-Existence', also from 'The Social Crediter', describes some wider aspects of the conspiracy which at present has its focus in the U.S.A.

WHAT WE FACE

NO CO-EXISTENCE

Prices including postage

Single copies 1/3

Twelve copies 9/-

Six copies 6/-

Twenty-five copies 17/-

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

RHODESIA ACCUSES

by A. J. A. Peck

"In these pages I ask you—you, the people of the United Kingdom, you people of the older dominions, you people of the United States of America, you people of Europe—and the respective governments of each one of you . . . are you not, perhaps even as I write, now guilty of, and contemplating yet, the perpetration of that final treason: the unconscious furthering of the ends of evil in the name of all that is most holy?" That is the question asked in this book—Is it a question that the West can afford to ignore?

10/3 including postage

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London, E.11.

Printed by E. Fish & Co. Ltd., Liverpool.